


OTHER BOOKS BY  
THOMAS BEER



THE FAIR REWARDS

1922

STEPHEN CRANE

1923

SANDOVAL

1924

THE MAUVE DECADE

1926

THE ROAD TO HEAVEN

1928



# Hanna

by

THOMAS BEER



Alfred A. Knopf · New York

MCMXXIX





### *A Note To Lewis Mumford*

In the summer of 1910 a friend invited me to his father's house at Watch Hill and there I became the awkward cavalier of his sister, a young matron whose beauty was so extraordinary and musical that it persisted as a sounding nimbus about her even when she was shaking sand from the diapers of her small son upon the enchanted beach or pretending gallantly to enjoy a waltz with me. The book which I am dedicating to you was unconsciously begun, one night, while I defended her, on a gentle signal of her fan, in the veranda beyond a ballroom, between lanterns and moonlight. For the yacht of a certain Mr. Dives rode at anchor in the bay, and Dives himself, a pinnacle of creamy English flannels splashed with a tie in the colors of some Harvard club, sulked at the lady's feet, yearning to dance with her. He was dull; he was fifty years old; he attempted a flirtation in tones of a badly maintained boyishness, and the fan informed me that I must be useful, here. So we talked, and it happened that Mark Hanna was mentioned and Mr. Dives kicked alive a curiosity about the great adventurer in government.

He had found Mr. Hanna puzzling. Once they were lunching with some common friend in a hotel when the richest of Americans passed the table and

#### A NOTE TO LEWIS MUMFORD

nodded to the Senator from Ohio. Mr. Dives was thrilled. He was the sort of rich man to whom richer men are sacred and he had wanted to meet the grander Dives at once. But Mr. Hanna said that the gaunt financier was not worth meeting. "Why," Mr. Dives cried, "he's worth two hundred millions!" Mark Hanna grunted, "Yes, and what the hell else is he worth?"

Mr. Dives did not understand that question. He recited it to us carefully, the moonlight soaking his white coat and his stupid face. I am glad to report that the beautiful lady did not have to dance with this oaf. The music stopped in the ballroom, and, next morning, his yacht twinkled past the beach in a glory of brass and canvas, bearing Mr. Dives off to Newport. Maybe someone else patiently told him, somewhere, what Mark Hanna meant, but I doubt that he really comprehended it, and he must have died in the satisfaction of feeling that a profound loss to the world was occurring in the separation of himself from his millions of hereditary dollars. He had made me think of Mark Hanna, though, in a new way and the discussions of Mr. Herbert Croly's book on Hanna in 1912 commenced my education in the legend of this man's singular force. I don't mean the public discussions; the capital biography was exposed on the lacteal quagmire of American criticism and sank therein through a scum of tepid reviews. But it was my luck to hear the work tried by a jury

#### A NOTE TO LEWIS MUMFORD

of experts, men whose names figured in its chapters, and, listening to them, this familiar image of Marcus Hanna changed for me and was enriched.

He was familiar to me and an awful nuisance of my childhood, although I saw him just twice, once on a train bound to Washington and once as he came stiffly walking down a driveway with little Quentin Roosevelt sticking to his cane, a white moth of starched clothes chanting out a tale about a pet rat's foul misconduct. But Mr. Hanna annoyed me endlessly. He was a substance in Washington or Cleveland which my father had to see, a sort of joss or rune. I conceived him as silent in a shrine, and my father as a messenger hunting oracles. A voice would command over the telephone or a telegram would come, and then the cab was at the door and Mr. Beer was gone to catch the last express for Washington. An upbringing in the political seraglio is like any other; one's father's business is an awful bother; much of my father's business from 1896 to 1904 was Mr. Marcus Alonzo Hanna, and in proof of that I tender to your interest the footnotes and appendix of this book.

This prefatory note was made necessary by the quaint conduct of a veteran publicist and a younger author of fiction in April of this year. The first of five articles compressed from the full text of my study was available to the public on April 11th in an issue of *The Saturday Evening Post*. The veteran publi-

A NOTE TO LEWIS MUMFORD

cist, on April 12th, wrote to a friend in Chicago asserting that "Beer has begun to whitewash Mark Hanna in the *Post*. Ruth Hanna (McCormick) paid him fifty thousand dollars for his stuff and gave him the material. . . ." On April 18th the author of fiction had a much higher figure for Mrs. McCormick's generosity toward me and, in the latest quotations, her fee has reached terms quite imperial. So I am forced to deny, on behalf of Marcus Hanna's daughter, that she has ever seen me, written to me, paid me one penny, or caused any information as to her father's life to reach me. About the whitewashing of Mark Hanna, let someone do that who is willing at the same time to whitewash the American people as it existed in the latter nineteenth century — No, he must do more. He must clean from his account of mankind that unconquered essential of our being which makes us bid for a place beyond our fellows; he must prove that we act and fail driven by some sweeter impulse than the search for power. I prefer Hanna to another subject as I might negligibly prefer Grunewald to Botticelli, any Egyptian stonemason to Donatello, Hawthorne to Poe, or Faraday to Henry Ford.

But this is not a biography. You know very well that the biography of an artist is at least a plausible adventure; if he has the strength to speak his mind at all, he tells you much about himself. A politician's art is a long wrestling with the most dangerous of materials, ourselves. He lives as a demonstration of

A NOTE TO LEWIS MUMFORD

the one great modern saying: "It is life, not the individual, that is conscienceless." He puts out his will, not as he would but as he can, and his art is one of concessions, of oblique gestures and perpetual ironics. Search for his conscience and you must pluck it from a hundred battered shards of distorting mirror. He eludes you, and your justice to him is a demand, finally, that his skill and his force be applauded. Try to paint him out and he fades from your perception. You sketch — you strive — you almost reach conclusions, and then you sit at last humiliated by a shadow.

*Thomas Beer*

Siasconset, July 4th, 1929



H A N N A





## CHAPTER I

### *THE YEAR 1865*

#### I

A dark man who smelled of brandy came up through a bright theater in Washington and shot Abraham Lincoln from behind. He then threw himself nine feet down to the stage and for a moment was a clear apparition to the people seated above the President as he shifted a dagger to his right hand, brandished it, and vanished. His pistol's small explosion was not heard at all by many in the galleries. When Mrs. Lincoln's shrieks began they thought at first that Mr. Lincoln had been stabbed, and an old preacher named Hugh Jones stood, shouting in Welsh, "He that took up the sword has perished by the sword!" He was half mad since the death of a third son in battle, and now he mumbled revengeful verses from Ezekiel and the song of Deborah while his scared daughter pulled him down the stairs through a commencing panic. In the cool street he lurched against her, silent. He lived six months, but did not speak again.

Even as men were thrusting Charles Taft in his blue surgeon's dress over the torn flag and gilded rail into the President's box it was shouted in the theater

that this disappearing shape had been John Wilkes Booth. His last appearance in melodrama impressed the random young actor heartily; he paused to slash a musician who met him behind the scenes and then stunned the simple lad holding his horse for him in an alley with a blow of his dagger's handle. On the morning of April 15th, 1865, the mob's hot logic answered his performance in like kind. Mr. Lincoln was scarcely dead when a crowd of hundreds swelled into the white lobby of the Burnet House at Cincinnati, hunting Junius Brutus Booth, and the innocent tragedian lay hidden in a friend's room for days until he could be smuggled out of town. Toward noon a pretty girl on Broadway in New York was mistaken for Roberta Norwood by a gang of street boys who penned her against the rails of Trinity churchyard bawling, "Actress! Actress!" Policemen and a naval officer, a Mr. Dewey, rescued her after a real fight. All afternoon a committee of the pious rode through Pittsburgh trying to get signatures on a petition which demanded the closing of every theater in the state of Pennsylvania. That night the mayor of Boston posted guards before the playhouses of his city, and in Cleveland a negro boy who polished brass at the Academy of Music was set on by warm patriots and cruelly hammered.

Mr. John Ellsler, lessee of the Academy, had taken his famous company down to Columbus for a season. He was a reticent, rather stately actor, deft in comedy

## THE YEAR 1865

of the dry kind and competent in everything. He had lifted the whole condition of the theater in the middle West, refusing employment to celebrated drunken stars who entertained audiences by clinging to scenery as they mouthed their lines, often mistaking footlights for an exit. Mr. Ellsler's stock company was a model of drilled conduct; no scandal emerged from it and none entered it. Gentlemen lounged in, between the acts, to chat with the clever manager and to be presented to whatever notable player was being supported by Mr. Ellsler's troupe, to Edwin Booth, to Miss Western or Mr. Couldock. Ralph Waldo Emerson stood in the wings, one night of 1859, watching the Mephistopheles of a farcical ballet shot upward through a trap in a puff of red fire, and the actresses, led by Ellsler's wife, came forward to curtsy in modest reverence before the smiling philosopher.

But now Mr. Ellsler saw a display of ferocious diabolics. His players got the news of Booth's identification at noon of April 15th. They simply did not believe it. Why, this could never be Wilkie Booth! Just awhile ago the mailbox of the Academy was jammed with notes on the most scented paper, addressed to J. Wilkes Booth, Esquire, by ladies fascinated as he stalked in pale robes close to the boxes in *The Marble Heart*, since Mr. Booth repaired his lack of height by displaying his authentic beauty to the populace at close range. It could not be Wilkie Booth. He was too gay and kind and gentle. Mrs. Ellsler wept

and little Clara Morris, the hungry child who played everything from Romeo's page to Hamlet's mother, was still weeping on Sunday afternoon when one of Mr. Ellsler's civilian friends found the miserable company keeping its nerves together by a lame rehearsal.

They were a city besieged. When Mr. Thomas Beer walked into the gray place, Ellsler darted at him, begging for news. Was Booth taken? What was being said in the streets? Was the profession to be suppressed? All these people packed around the burly young lawyer from the town of Bucyrus and heaved questions on him. It was a real peril, long forgotten. At the great mass meeting before the capitol in Columbus some man wise enough to be reckless asked the mob's compassion for the shamed players yonder in the theater. A growl stirred, deepening, and the crowd swayed until someone started a thin cheer and the dangerous moment passed. "And verily," says Clara Morris in her memoirs, "we were grateful!" But on Sunday Ellsler had nothing to be grateful for. He handed his friend a telegram from the mayor of Cleveland warning him that it might not be safe to bring back the company to the Academy of Music next week. His restraint broke. He raved, pitching Shaksperian sentences at empty maroon chairs of the orchestra.

This was the end of the profession in America! They would be vagabonds once more, preyed on by

moral pamphleteers and sour pastors, shut from society and given the worst rooms in poor hotels! But he would not give up, by heaven! He would take his people back to Cleveland and open the Academy on Tuesday, as he had advertised! Come wind, come wrack! Let the Clevelanders whom he had served all these years lynch him in the streets!

Mr. Beer saw nothing comic in this state of mind, and reported it to a grandson grimly as something hideous he had seen in the year 1865. A terrific emotional machinery had been licensed in America since 1859 and the cool lawyer once had been its victim when he objected to some words of a vindictive orator who was damning Abraham Lincoln for offering to buy the slaves in Kentucky. He had been snubbed and cut on the streets. He had learned, in bewilderment, that all logic and all kindness were lost in this rhythmic billowing of organized hates. The windows of the tiny rural newspaper's office where his dry and sane editorials were sometimes published had been stoned by night, and a benevolent soldier had warned him that the partisans were talking rope. He had experienced the profound æsthetic of a wild drive in a heeling buggy through night and storm, which made him sensitive to the music of *The Erl King*, afterwards, and, in the year 1865, he faced, as gravely as possible, his ruin at the law, because he was a Democrat, and social blizzards because a drunken actor had killed the President. So, having lived for

years among people ready to go mad on order, he saw no reason to laugh at John Ellsler's fears.

But, as it happened, the mob's mood did not last. For some days actors were insulted in restaurants and on the street. When Ellsler's company opened the Academy on Tuesday night, the journals of Cleveland reported a full house and warm welcome home for "The Favorites." A very old gentleman recalls mild hisses in the gallery as the curtain rose, but the potency of the emotional machine was now aimed elsewhere: the body of Abraham Lincoln was parading the United States. On Wednesday carpenters began to lay the floor of a funeral pavilion in the Square, close to the Perry monument, and Juliet Araminta Smith probably saw a final demonstration against actors that same night.

The Smiths have left no trace on the history of Cleveland unless some venerable gentlewomen recollect a meek, pretty little Mrs. Smith who made children's clothes in the spring of 1865. She brought Juliet Araminta and Orion James to Cleveland in January, on account of economic conditions in central Illinois. Her father frankly told her he couldn't keep Nate Smith's offspring in food and copper-toed shoes, even if it was nobody's fault that Nate had been drafted into the Army. It was too bad, of course, but Orion Holt's farm would not support Mrs. Smith and her children, as well as her nine younger brothers and sisters.

So Mrs. Smith wrote to Cousin Jennie, who sewed in Cleveland, and Cousin Jennie promised plenty of work. Cleveland was growing rich; steamboats and foundries increased; ladies could afford the amplest mourning. The Smiths came eastward and resided, obscurely but agreeably, in one room of a boarding-house. And after young Orion Smith, who was almost eleven, got his job at two dollars a week in the warehouse of Robert Hanna and Company, they lived luxuriously and often had oysters for supper.

The oysters established Mr. Marcus Alonzo Hanna in the mind of Juliet Araminta, who was nine, as a benevolent djinn. She became addicted to oysters and always went with Orion to the fishmonger's shop to revere the salesman who counted oysters into the tin pail. As Mr. Mark Hanna had given Orion his job, because Orion was a soldier's son, her warm and confiding nature caused Juliet Araminta to think that this grandee should be thanked. His munificence rained oysters on her. She accordingly penetrated the warehouse in River Street and presented herself to Mr. Mark. He made the kindly mistake of tendering Juliet a heart-shaped lump of maple sugar out of the tin box on his desk. After that he was seldom without Juliet.

She helped him to escort customers and callers through his firm's premises. She dirtied her pantallettes on the docks of Hanna and Company. She ran



diligently with notes addressed to "Angostura & Bitters, Gin Avenue" or to "Doe & Roe, Mud Street" and came tearfully back to tell Mr. Mark that policemen assured her there were no such firms and no such streets in Cleveland. Mr. Mark, refreshed by an hour of Juliet's absence, fed her more maple sugar and pulled her hair.

But it was certain that he loved her. He called her Sawdust and told her that the government should mint her yellow curls to redeem Mr. Lincoln's greenbacks when the war was over. He slew a monstrous rat which threatened her on the docks with one blow of his walking-stick. He — this was glory perpetual along her street — he even once drove her home behind his black horse Howard, with Orion clinging awed on the back of the buggy. And it was no good for Orion to keep saying that a Mrs. Marcus Alonzo Hanna already existed. Juliet Araminta knew better. She was Mr. Mark's beloved and he was hers and when the nasty war stopped they would be married, and they would eat oysters and maple sugar every day. So, walking down to the station on the night of April 25th between Orion and her timid mother, she wished that Mr. Mark could see her in the full dignity of her new best dress, as she was sure that she looked perfection.

Be sure that she did, for she was very pretty at the age of nine and her mother had composed a costume for her from a plate in the French fashion maga-

zine. This costume survives — a tunic of stiff red silk nobly embroidered in vast roses of hard white cord. Under this were four starched petticoats, a shirt of some kind, a pair of cambric pantaloons edged with pink lace, and a girdle of canvas. Under all that was the rosy substance of Juliet Araminta in a condition of rapture. Somebody sensible had killed the odious Mr. Lincoln who drafted papa into the Army, and that had ended the war, and she was going to the railway station to meet papa. Her views of the war and the Army and Mr. Lincoln were harsh; it was all an uncomfortable boiling of tears and belated letters and mamma walking the floor at nights. Juliet Araminta glanced at the funeral pavilion in the Square and dared Orion to go and spit on it. But there was a policeman watching and they had to hurry, else the train would come in and Private Nathan Smith would arrive from the wars unwelcomed.

Private Nathan Smith was discharged from hospital at Georgetown on April 22nd, 1865, after two and a half years of service, with three fingers gone from his left hand and no prospects whatever. But he was still only twenty-eight years old — boys married young in the fifties — and he landed cheerfully in the smoke of the raw station, seeming taller than ever to Juliet Araminta, as he was thin and pallid. The family made a group commonplace in the month of April 1865: a tired woman crying on a tired man's

breast, and two children hopping about in their best clothes.

He must be fed directly; he had been fifteen hours on this train without even a sandwich. The family wandered into what must have been rather a low eating-place near the station, as she recalls that it was lighted by oil lamps and that her mother told her not to look at some ladies in bright dresses who were keeping late hours in one corner. There was sawdust on the floor, near a bar, and when the trouble began, this sawdust flew everywhere. Juliet's father thrust her behind him, against the wall, and she put her hands tightly on her eyes. It was going to be worse than the riots at home in Illinois when the marshals rode through a crowd protesting the draft, and men had their scalps slashed open by blows of the rawhide whips. There was the same dull and thick sound of voices from the jostle at the bar and — clearly remembered, this! — the wail of an old fellow who kept saying, "I am not an actor! I am an elocutionist, gentlemen!" He said it over and over as they pulled him down on the floor. A waiter ran yelling into the street. Women shrieked, of course, and Private Smith roared uselessly, his left hand still in bandages. But when other voices came into her hearing Juliet Araminta knew that everything was all right and took her hands from her eyes. He had come in time! Warned by love's echoes, sounding out her terror, he had flown through the night to aid her. It was

not that the waiter had brought in anybody he could find on the street. It was love.

He was standing inside the door, bawling orders at the crowd, just as if the angry men were stevedores on the docks of Hanna and Company. Juliet Araminta peeped around her father's legs and admired him. His silk hat was probably tilted back and sideways on his round head. His whiskers swept in auburn beauty from ear to ear under his pink, shaved chin with its wide dimple. His large brown eyes sparkled yellow as he shouted. In one fragile, long hand he kept a cigar alight by little twitches of his delicate fingers. Behind him a naval officer, perhaps his brother Howard, and some civilians were mere and meaningless shadows to Juliet Araminta. Here was her Mr. Mark! She recalls nothing of the process by which Mr. Marcus Alonzo Hanna quieted the men who had been willing to mob an old stray because he talked like an actor. It is not unlikely that some people in the bar were afraid of Robert Hanna's nephew, the husband of a daughter of the towering Daniel Rhodes. An eminent citizen, in those days, had certain habits of command, and at young Hanna's back was the prestige of a great wholesale grocery and shipping business; the steamboats of his firm ranged the Lakes; every roustabout on the docks knew Mark Hanna by sight and knew that he was not afraid to toss a tipsy stevedore off the deck of the *Northern Light* into the river. The men shied from

him. Someone dusted the victim of applied emotionalism and got him through the doors into the safe darkness. Mr. Mark tossed a dollar on the bar, being already a sound diplomat, and was turning to leave the place when Mrs. Nathan Smith did all that a lady in an interesting condition was obliged to do after a public excitement in those days, and swooned on the floor.

Juliet Araminta's evening culminated in a pure bliss of sensations as she sat on Mr. Mark's knees in a real cab and his whiskers caressed her neck. He talked pleasantly to the simple family and ordered the cab to halt at some club or hotel when he found that Private Smith was still supperless. Waiters came running with sandwiches and coffee; Orion James and Juliet Araminta were fed ice cream. It was eleven o'clock when Mr. Mark lifted her up the steps of the boarding-house and twelve o'clock struck before she was in bed. She had never known there was such an hour as that.

Two hours later Jack and Will Garrett roused from a nervous nap in a shed on their father's farm near Port Royal, Virginia. They had not really slept; they were watching out the night beside the farm's precious horses, one of these a "present from General Grant," brought home after the surrender at Appomattox. All afternoon the handsome, curious stranger who called himself Boyd and his silly young friend had been trying to buy the horses for a trip to the

South. The Garrett boys mistrusted him and they had locked the door of the frail tobacco house when he and his companion went in there to sleep, for they could not risk losing the horses. Now they nudged each other. A familiar, increasing rhythm had brought them wide awake: a column of horse was pelting through the moist night. . . . It was a detail of Yankee cavalry coming closer down the lane from town. They must have known precisely each echoing detail of the business — the thud of boots dismounting, the chatter of carbines cocked briskly and the separating noise of heels in the dooryard, before Lieutenant Baker hammered on the kitchen door and the boys heard their scared old father answering him. Then it was too much for Jack. He dragged on his gray jacket and loped up the new grass, breaking through the ring of bluecoats on the porch. . . .

A little later and any negro crossing those flat fields heard the crack of Boston Corbett's pistol and saw flames spin up from the burning tobacco house. Soon a shifting audience collected along the roadway — negroes and white folks who had come running to help put out the fire. But the troopers would not let them inside the yard. They could stare across the fence at the boy in manacles who sat against a tree, whistling to keep from tears. That was young Herold. Mr. Booth was a shape under a blanket on the porch, voiceless. Now and then the handsome schoolmistress who boarded with the Garretts bent down and wetted

his face with her handkerchief. He achieved, here, a fine drama of silence and complete futility. The sun rose. He died.

Juliet Araminta was not enough impressed by the morning's news to recollect it. Something local and pressing had her full attention. A telegram came before breakfast. Orion sped forth and told all his acquaintances that he was going to California on a ship and danced disgracefully. Mrs. Smith wept, of course, and Private Smith rubbed his chin while his daughter plangently wanted to know what had happened. She was not told, and things became a muddle until presently she was allowed to guide her father down to River Street to call on Mr. Mark.

There was a lot of talk. A clerk went out with a green slip of paper and returned with many bills. Private Smith painfully wrote his name on a note for five hundred dollars, without interest, payable in five years after date, and then wiped his eyes. Mr. Mark stood Juliet Araminta on his desk and drew a pencil around the coast of the United States on his map, from New York down past Florida, on below Cuba — it was south of Cuba that this child saw her still-born sister buried in the warmer seas — and so straight on to the Isthmus of Panama.

"If there was a canal through here, Sawdust, you could sail right up to San Francisco."

The Smiths spoke of this sentence often, after 1880, but it made Nathan Smith chuckle in the year 1856,

and he told his daughter as they walked homeward that Mr. Hanna was just joking. There had been some crazy stuff in the newspapers about cutting a canal through Nicaragua or Panama. But it stood to reason that you couldn't cut a canal through mountains. And she was now to be extra good and help mamma pack. They were all going down on a German steamer with Cousin Jim Holt from New York to Nicaragua and across to the Pacific slope. Cousin Jim had made the trip three times and knew the ropes. Nothing to be scared about (he was trembling with the thrill of it, himself) — and they would live on Cousin Jim's land near a town named Santa Clara.

Juliet Araminta had no notions of distance or geography and was much pleased until it was dusk next day in the thunderous railroad's station and a distress came to her in the noise of Cousin Jennie's snivelling. But here was Mr. Mark. The slim female with him wore black splendors in token of mourning for Mr. Lincoln and glittered everywhere with jet so that she seemed a cloudy wonder from some journal of fashion. She smiled, though, and Juliet Araminta had no time to be jealous of Mrs. Marcus Alonzo Hanna. There was a basket filled with fruit and sandwiches and a smaller basket filled with garments cut, it mysteriously seemed, for a mere baby. Mrs. Smith wept gratefully. Orion James began to snuffle as Mr. Mark handed him up the steps of the tall coach. . . . She knew, now, that she was being taken from him,



forever, and Juliet Araminta wailed for hours and fell asleep with her nose pressed to a pane of the lumbering car.

They woke her and told her to look out. It was dead night where the train was stilled on a siding among wet fields. But there was no darkness to hide the rain and the women huddled under wide shawls or umbrellas. Huge bonfires tinged the world; men and boys were feeding fence-rails into the flames. Then an engine neared, with bayonets of guards flashing from the tender and streamers of wet crape swinging curiously. And then all the women began singing *Rock of Ages* as the train came by. She saw a lighted coach brilliant with flowers, and some officer's white gloves, and she started to cry again, without knowing why. So Lincoln passed her.

## I I

Among the important towns of eastern Ohio in Andrew Jackson's time was New Lisbon, not a big place, but a thrifty center of farms and trade, dominated by a Quaker named Benjamin Hanna. He and his sons owned good land, the largest store in the county, shares in a flour mill, and much else. Their prestige was enormous in the region; sometimes Benjamin Hanna was called "Squire" in bills and documents. The pioneer societies had not yet come to value themselves for homeliness, and in New Lisbon as elsewhere, they tried to reproduce the civil formu-

las and habits of their kinsmen in Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and Vermont. Wandering painters and primitive masters of the daguerreotype report the Hanna tribe in its best clothes for us. The hard Quaker's wife wrote invitations for a son's wedding in a grand, sweeping hand, and, since Benjamin Hanna traded eastward to Pittsburgh or acted as forwarding agent of mills and shops in Philadelphia, his establishment had its mild elegances in the way of handsome silver, miniatures, and pretty trash.

The cleverest and most sensitive of Benjamin Hanna's sons was Leonard, a long young man whose frail hands got him the nickname "Miss" when he was a boy. He had been elaborately educated for medicine and came back to New Lisbon to practice after refining years in Philadelphia. But a fall from his horse damaged his spine. He lapsed into the mass of the family and did as his father and brothers did, although he was always called Dr. Hanna. He dabbled a bit in politics, speaking in debates on primitive abolition and prohibition, trying to rouse sentiment against the Mexican war and forcing his tribe to back small ventures in civic improvement. For the family, when it acted as a body, had great force. It was much admired. The Hannas were tall, imposing men with large eyes, gray or brown, and resounding voices. It was said that you could hear them having an argument or singing a hymn for the space of two miles.

They helped New Lisbon to ruin itself, with the best intentions. A canal was planned which would join the community by water to Pittsburgh and the Lakes. Rather distrustful of the oncoming railroads, the Hannas threw their weight behind the project of the canal, and threw two hundred thousand dollars into its construction. It failed, after a long struggle; the section west of New Lisbon blocked from bad engineering; banks caved in; a tunnel through a swale of rock cost immensely. Marcus Alonzo Hanna, Dr. Leonard's eldest son, must have lived as a baby in the sound of the word "canal." Meanwhile the new railroads were built at a distance from the worried town, and this was the end of New Lisbon's importance. People drifted away; the little cultivated layer of lawyers and clerks went hunting jobs in towns along the railways. One Hanna retreated to Pittsburgh. In 1852 Leonard and Robert Hanna took what money they had left, made an alliance with a shrewd trader named Hiram Garretson, and moved to Cleveland.

In this partnership the nervous, handsome doctor supplied the imagination. Supposedly the firm of Hanna, Garretson and Company was a wholesale grocery. It had that character for a few months, anyhow. But in 1853 Dr. Hanna went off, to rest his nerves, on a sailing vessel up the Lakes and watched something inspiring to a clever man. Hundreds of settlements sent out canoes or dories to the leisurely sloop asking if there was molasses for sale, or a spare

steel blade, or a bottle of calomel, or an awl. Dr. Hanna saw a commercial light. He returned to Cleveland and there commenced a period of discussions between the Hanna brothers, often within earshot of young Marcus Alonzo. Robert Hanna was the natural conservative; Leonard was adventurous. In the end the ailing doctor won and soon the firm of Hanna, Garretson and Company had steamboats on the Lakes, dealing out supplies of all kinds, shedding passengers at the developing ports. The ships ran up to wild Minnesota and came back again with pig-iron, salted fish, timber, and skins. When the Hannas of Cleveland backed Abraham Lincoln in the election of 1860, they were eminent men, known everywhere throughout the basin of the Lakes.

But this basin of the Lakes, at the beginning of the Civil War, was an unasserted territory, and long after the war was finished the trade of the Lakes meant little in the everlasting brag of American commerce. Certain families and combinations on the Lakes advanced with a sort of stealth into the literary or journalistic perception of the United States. Indeed, the literary were long in judging what battle had done to commerce. The war deflected all routes of American trade; New York was to become definitely a metropolis; the Confederate cruisers interrupted with decision the advance of American interest in the Caribbean; the appalling importance of the Mississippi was discovered just when the traffic of the

Mississippi was suspended for three years. Robert and Leonard Hanna had no place in the catalogues of wealthy Americans drawn up by the hacks of the seaboard cities in the years before Lincoln's election, and their support of Mr. Lincoln's campaign is not mentioned in the many hundred sheets of raw propaganda which record that fierce episode in emotional politics.

Marcus Alonzo cast his first vote for Mr. Lincoln in 1860. He was born at New Lisbon in September of 1837 and left the failing town unwillingly in 1852 because he was already engaged to marry a pretty girl there. His parents allowed him to consider himself engaged, at any rate, and tactfully permitted the affair to drag itself into staleness for three years, since the eldest son was stubborn as the freckles on his flat face. Among several shaky legends of Mark Hanna's boyhood one is certainly true: he had an astonishing stubbornness. When he was suspended from the Western Reserve University in the spring of 1857 for faking the programs of a solemn Junior Exhibition and successfully distributing his burlesque imitation among parents and professors, his father ordered Marcus to apologize to his mother and Marcus would not do so. But the lady was rather pleased and boasted of her son's refusal in her old age. She was from Vermont, and she despised men who "gave in."

Mrs. Leonard Hanna probably affected her son's whole life. Her own name was Samantha Converse.

## THE YEAR 1865

Generations of Huguenot and English ancestors supplied her traditions. Her parents came in their own carriage from Vermont to Ohio with some family silver and a deal of stiff pride. She was a cultivated person, but not sentimentally so, for she regarded the sweet ballads of the fifties and sixties as nonsensical and terrified people in the theaters of Cleveland by genteel but audible snorts of contempt when passion gushed too heavily on the other side of the footlights. She did not regard poverty as an unfortunate condition, but as a disgrace. She gave royally to charities, but she did it, says a lady who knew her well, with an air of simple scorn for people who needed charity. "Any reasonable man," she would say, "can make a living. . . ." Her eldest son adored this lady and often did just what she told him to do, in any unimportant matter of business or society.

In 1861 she confronted him on an important point and beat down his will. He had voted for Mr. Lincoln and his natural place, he thought, was in Mr. Lincoln's armies. But Samantha Hanna knew that her husband was dying, whether his sons understood it or not. Only Mark comprehended the spreading and complicated affairs of Hanna, Garretson and Company. After he was suspended from college he went to work for his father. He wore and was slightly proud of his jumper and overalls when he handled boxes at the warehouse. He saw customers, mastered double entry book-keeping, acted as purser on trips of the

Hanna steamboats, and ranged as primordial traveling salesman through Indiana and Illinois. His uncle Robert did not see Mark as the model of a business man. "You shake your head," said the dying Mark Hanna to William Osler, "just like my uncle Rob. . . ." Uncle Robert's head shook frequently, it seems. Mark was too much interested in picnics at Rocky River or in the affairs of the Ydrad Boat Club. Money spun through those slim fingers inherited from his father. He had no respect for office hours. He danced until the bands stopped. His parents did not worry. Mark never drank and when he slept he slept in Prospect Street, at home. He might not be the model of a wholesale grocer, but he was a good son.

It was onerous to be a good son in the spring of 1861, when the solid society of Cleveland was laid open by Mr. Lincoln's call for volunteers. A fair half of the city's grandees were Democrats. Mr. Lincoln, to them, was an amiable freak who had been rushed into office by the mob. The Hanna family was Republican, loudly, and Dr. Hanna had even made a few speeches in the campaign. He fainted on election day, from excitement, and was insensible for hours. Marcus now faced his mother, one might say, over his father's body. There was no private fortune; Leonard Hanna's income was his share of the firm's business. Someone must watch the family's interest and keep unimaginative Robert Hanna wide awake.

So there were long discussions, weary scenes. The argument lasted for two months. Then Howard, the second son, went off to the war and Marcus Alonzo stayed at home.

He then became pathetically conspicuous as his friends got back to Cleveland on leave or furlough. He made himself a committee of one for their entertainment; his mother's carriage was abroad at all hours supporting healthy young officers hither and thither. He gave dinners or swept together parties of twenty or thirty for the play. He was to have given a supper on the night of his father's death, in 1862. Among poor folk it was known that Mr. Mark Hanna, down at the warehouse, would go a length to find a job for a soldier's son. If there was no job with Hanna and Company, there would be a note of recommendation to another firm and a couple of dollars for the boy.

His pride had been hurt, but circumstances arranged a compensation. He met the compensation at a bazaar in the spring of 1862, when she had just returned as a finished product from a school in New York to her parents in Franklin Avenue. Her name was Charlotte Augusta Rhodes and she was very handsome, slim and straight. Marcus Alonzo's consideration of her qualities made him forgive her for being a Democrat's daughter, but her father, Mr. Daniel Rhodes, did not intend his favorite child to compensate any damned black Republican puppy for



not being a soldier in the idiotic war, or words to that effect, and his position in the matter was put as strongly as possible.

A romance in the Victorian form now progressed. Mr. Rhodes was unspeakably rich, for the times, entrenched in the coal and iron business and fortified by a natural iconoclasm of temper. One of his legendary remarks is that he respected nobody for being wealthy or respectable. But it is also legendary that he barked without often biting; socially the leader of Cleveland's Democratic faction, he was popular among Republicans and his charities were numerous. Ladies invaded his office timidly, hunting gifts for the Sanitary Commission, and got big checks with a lecture on the asininity of freeing the no-account niggers. He adored his daughter, and as it grew plain that Charlotte Augusta had fallen in love with Marcus Alonzo it grew plain that Mr. Rhodes had not. He had every excuse. He was a cousin of Stephen Douglas, who had been defeated by Mr. Lincoln, and was not Marcus Alonzo one of Mr. Lincoln's condemned screechers for freedom? . . . Charlotte Augusta languished and shed tears. In one of her father's more violent states of mind she dispatched notes to her Marcus by a gardener's red-headed brat named Asa Barnes, and Marcus Alonzo set the boy up as a junior capitalist by paying him a dollar a note for a month of 1863. When Mr. Rhodes cooled down, the wretched child was living far beyond his means, and

the withdrawal of revenue ruined him at the candy shop.

The society surrounding this battle was polite. A very strong transfusion of Connecticut took place in the early history of Cleveland. Men of business, in the sixties, wore tall hats made in Connecticut and many had diplomas from Yale College framed on walls of their offices. They took in culture from the same round of lecturers which served their kin at Hartford and New Haven. When Henry Ward Beecher came to lecture on *The Beautiful*, boring Mark Hanna to slumber, the orator shook hands with people he had known as children in Litchfield and kissed their daughters. Erastus Gaylord's mansion or the house in which Mrs. Leonard Hanna lived on Prospect Street might quite as well have been set on Whitney Avenue in New Haven. A wash of immigrants was sweeping up against this Yankee layer of the city's builders, for Cleveland "boomed" after 1861, but the manners were still those of grave, conditioned Connecticut and the affairs of Charlotte Augusta and Marcus Alonzo were whispered, not unduly aired. Everybody knew what was going on, though, and ladies in skirts of seven layers interested themselves in the sad case of the good-looking Hanna boy. They sighed entreaties upon Daniel Rhodes when he appeared at evening parties where glasses were piled in bright pyramids on tables of real mahogany and eighteen kinds of cake affronted the digestion

besides bowls of punch. It is said that Mr. Rhodes had no peace. He began to wilt in the spring of 1864. When Marcus Alonzo went off with his regiment of militia to defend Washington from Jubal Early's raid it was admitted that an engagement did exist and, on September 27th, 1864, Marcus Alonzo and Charlotte Augusta were united at Saint John's Church. Mr. Rhodes relieved his emotions by boxing the ears of Asa Barnes when he found the plebeian kid climbing through a window to behold the cakes and bouquets of the wedding feast.

## III

This sober quality of Cleveland kept the city from a howling festivity when the funeral train brought Mr. Lincoln's body to lie in state for a few hours on April 27th of 1865. The titanic Amasa Stone deprecated an outpouring of women in white gowns to meet the train, and less tremendous citizens spoke against display. Crowds slowly filed under the mortuary pavilion in the Square and the legend "*Extinctus amabitur idem*" on the pennants drooping from a ceiling sprinkled with hideous rosettes and stars was admired by those capable of translating it. Elsewhere cities and towns enriched by the war showed what they could do by way of public grief. Mr. Lincoln had come eastward to his first inaugural through communities in which a fortune, a factory, or illuminating gas were undreamed. His body now retired

below arches of evergreen twined with silken banners and shimmering at night with colored globes. Ladies clad in fresh white robes posed mournfully near the tracks in choirs of thirty and fifty. Canvas pillars offered such painted consolation as "Go To Thy Rest," or "Ours The Cross, Yours The Crown." A certain new millionaire of Chicago made himself permanently famous among journalists by sending a basket filled with champagne bottles and roses to Mrs. Lincoln — she was not on the train — with his card. In Chicago, too, a photographer named Halstead had for sale at the station a bogus view of Mr. Lincoln rising among clouds into the embrace of Jesus Christ. . . . The train rolled down to Springfield between incessant bonfires and illumined stations. At last he was entombed in a placid valley, close to a soothing brook.

War had favored the cities of the North, although the first historians of the struggle got as far as they could from admitting the nature of the change. They talked mistily of a prosperity caused by the opening of new mines in the West or of free farmlands parceled out under the Homestead Act of 1862. Two or three forthright essayists in religious papers or magazines did say what was true. Something definite and simple had taken place, and yet only a few were ready to admit that the war itself had built factories, enlarged mills, increased railroads and telegraphic services. All trades and crafts had been put to its service,

and the labor unions had forced a steady lifting of wages from 1862 to 1865. War tariffs protected industries scarcely existent in 1860 until they bloomed and asserted themselves in unions and associations. A pair of German girls who made roses for milliners in New York before the war sold a business and a trademark in 1866 for a hundred thousand dollars. A whole range of new, eminent families had been created from the rawest materials by the necessities of women's clothing; metal buttons and clasps, corsets, and such unmentionable matters as the cheap lace of drawers thrust up a thousand proprietors and workmen into the beauties of affluence. While millions flooded in official checks from the Treasury on the blanket-weavers and gunsmiths, the civilians paid their hundreds to these protégés of the tariff and the Confederate cruisers. It was a boom, timed to the pulsations of cannon and rifles on the Virginian border.

But the farmers had not enjoyed the fullest benefits of the war. Many rural opera-houses are dated from the sixties, and one who actually chooses to explore rural journalism of Lincoln's presidency will discover that pastors sometimes deplored the spread of fastidious costume among women of the plain people, but there were not enough novelties of the shop and playhouse loosed among the farms to distract mothers from a strict and discomforting attention to the casualty lists. Sons not allured by the recruiting office

were often lured away by high wages in the munition plants or by the riot of sudden gain in the oilfields of Pennsylvania. Population of the villages decreased and farms were abandoned in many regions.<sup>1</sup> The prices paid for grain, meat, and hides were high, but the cost of hired men rose incessantly. Women of substance plowed and reaped in the fields alongside their half-grown sons in 1863. And education slowed; a master would enlist or a mistress of some petty school-house marry, and the bothered school-board was likely enough to let the school stay shut until the children were nuisances indoors when snow fell and mothers remembered that book learning was a valuable thing. Many families migrated into the towns near Pittsburgh or into the city itself because their children must be schooled. "The horrors of war," says a veteran, "in my family were not those of the field, but of ordinary household life. My father and my older brothers came home on furlough occasionally, but it was not until I was discharged from service in 1863 as physically unfit that my mother had any one to contribute steady help in her round of tasks and to help her control the lively small children. A mod-

<sup>1</sup> A letter of my great-grandfather to my maternal grandfather, dated August 2nd, 1864, mentions good farmland near Cincinnati sold for sixty dollars an acre "because no help is to be had." Farms were abandoned in western New York, on Long Island, and in the region north of Gettysburg. An acquaintance of my family bought two hundred acres to the north of Springfield, Illinois, in 1864, for one thousand dollars, the price including two years' back taxes. According to Senator Grimes, in 1864, twenty thousand acres had been abandoned in the states of Iowa and Nebraska.

ern woman would have taken to her bed and enjoyed a case of nervous prostration. . . . She was scarcely forty years old in 1865 but she had the appearance of a woman of sixty. . . . She worked all day, and at night, from sheer benevolence, she held a sort of school for the neighboring children old enough to stay up after dark. . . . It is no wonder that she used to say, 'The slaves could have been bought for a billion dollars but nobody will ever know what the Rebellion cost.' . . ."

Nor did these people wholly misunderstand what was happening in the cities and at their capital. The United States was already an uncomfortable topic with foreign essayists; its very peasants who tilled the fields and did what the European peasant had done in illiterate peace for a thousand years could read and write. Cavour pondered that it would be interesting to see the effect of their literacy on their wars and politics. The literate peasants, then, did not enjoy the war too well and knew that it was making money for the cities. The discontents and treasonous grumblings which passed through Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois were protests, not only against the war, but against the city's expanding glitter, and the return of the soldiers in 1865 brought, often, merely the ease of added muscle power. For the boys came home with tales of New York and Washington. They had seen gayeties and luxuries, mixed with urban types in camp, and heard all kinds of unsettling things. It was

well that Mr. Lincoln had spoken so kindly of the plain people, for in 1865 the rustics must have learned rather sharply that they were pretty plain.

Yet this rural population derived an intangible benefit from the wretched performance at Ford's Theater on April 14th, 1865. A true folk-hero had been born to them, an obscure man of the plain people who rose to speak equally with kings and to slay monsters. Mr. Lincoln did not rest in his tomb outside Springfield. Very soon his memory was a phantom of rectitude fairly towering while emotional rhetoricians and jobbers ripped his party into quarrelsome bits. His legend was invoked against "the money changers in the temple of Liberty" and "the Pharisees of the Senate" or the divorced woman who dictated patronage from her drawing-room near the Capitol. He was dead; he could do nothing about the soft-handed men of the Eastern cities who had gripped affairs in Washington; he had the profound power, therefore, of King Arthur and Saint Joan. That he might have shown himself shifting and incapable in the problems which hampered his successors became an unthinkable contention. Lincoln would never have done wrong, because he was dead. Since 1900 he has slowly become a man again, but for thirty years he was something else. His figure mingled with that of another gentle bearded dealer in parables, a friend of the humble and outcast, once murdered on Good Friday; the mood of some pastors and journalistic



poets in the weeks following his death grew to be an establishment in oratory, sacred and profane: Lincoln was a form of Christ. The cool political humorist and strategist vanished under a softer outline, and his orations with their haunting Biblical music were declaimed on Memorial Day to audiences truly reverent, hushed and tearful.<sup>2</sup>

This cult, like all such reverences, must do its harm. Forty years after Lincoln was murdered Harry Thurston Peck timidly implied in a defense of Chester Arthur that Lincoln's social example acted to the hurt of politicians who didn't happen to be born in log cabins or given to receiving callers with feet in woolen socks spread on a chair. Lincoln's life offered itself to the touchy rural mind in a double capacity: he rose from obscurity to domination and he was as homely as I am. To the cheaper egoist in the bustle of a county campaign this came with soothing emphasis as a form of self-defense: Lincoln was plain

<sup>2</sup> On the appearance of this paragraph, somewhat shortened, in *The Saturday Evening Post*, in April, a gentleman teaching American history in a huge Western university at once wrote to me: "You are like all these other Bolsheviki who are trying to degrade the character of Abraham Lincoln and make him appear an ordinary man. Lincoln was the greatest man born in the world since our Savior, *if it is fair to call him a man at all*. . . ." A dozen other letters in a more temperate tone protested my "irreverence" to Mr. Lincoln. Mr. Rutledge Watson of San Francisco wrote to assure me, on the other side, that as a small boy in Kansas he was taught to mention Mr. Lincoln in his nightly prayers, saying, "Make me as good as President Lincoln." My grandfather once halted a witness before him in a case of manslaughter who swore by "Christ and Abe Lincoln." Mr. Lewis's *Myths After Lincoln*, issued in May of this year, was very grudgingly reviewed. It is plain that the historical interests of Mr. Lincoln are still jeopardized by his emotional values.

as I am, so the cultured man — the smart city fellow — is wrong. And this defensive machinery was used by clever men, sometimes wholly dishonest, as leverage in the brawl between the city and the farm from 1865 to 1928. It was really in the year 1928 that the formula reached its highest utility in political black-guardism, when a Republican speaker, a clergyman, addressed a rural audience with the aphorism: "Remember that a country boy is born with the right principles, but a city boy is likely to be bad from the day of his birth. . . ."

In the amusing domain of legislative manipulation, too, the cult worked against the rural population to its infinite damage. Mr. Lincoln had four times signed acts of Congress which, as things fell out, set governmental policies inimical to the plain people in motion and all these policies were later twisted into the fuse of the grand political crash of 1896. Guiltless, so far as is known, of aiding any rogue by premeditation, Lincoln made himself the friend of rogues, the smart men who, more openly than in our times, haunted the economic scene of the United States to the end of the nineteenth century.

The adjective "smart" in earlier New England was not a complete compliment, but was reserved for the inventor of the wooden nutmeg and his imitators. A "smart man" was a horse-trader or a clock-peddler, a licensed trickster excused for his humors in a world where the humor of the eighteenth century was still

admired. As the nation expanded, some of this primitive meaning sloughed away from the word, although it was offensive enough to cause an action in libel, in Ohio, in 1858. Lincoln is called "smart" in old newspapers, with a sense of mere shrewdness, before his elevation. And yet, persistently, there was something a little wrong with a smart man; the elder meaning hung around the adjective as the Civil War began.

On Mr. Lincoln's call for volunteers a number of smart men responded to the signal, but without military intentions. A silent "Yo, ho, ho!" rang from mind to mind. They came from everywhere, from apprenticeships in those inns where drovers met, high on the Hudson, or from tours as salesmen of candy or patent medicine in country fairs. Many of them knew the South rather better than did the new President and saw that this would be a war of some dimensions. They were ready, in a fashion, to make it their holy war.

Bull Run was hardly fought when a minor genius named Belknap arrived at the War Department with a large bill for packing sixty-odd dead Yankees in ice and transporting them to their grieved kin. A methodical clerk referred the account to regimental adjutants and found that there were no such soldiers dead. Mr. Belknap vanishes and his petty speculation is followed by the graver adroitness of men who fully knew what they were after. Light history has selected the name of Jim Fisk from this battalion,

acting on the wise and always the popular theory of blackening a black sheep to make gray sheep paler. As Mr. Fisk ultimately was killed in a fuss over a courtesan his general reputation does not matter, and he left no acute descendants to specialize in the destruction of testimony against him. But, when one considers the mildness of his wartime exploits, he seems a weak choice. So many smart men were so much smarter and in such widths of roguery. They enjoyed that quality known to Italians of the Renaissance as *virtù*, arranging their fortunes without regard to the safety of the Union. Congressional reports, records of Federal courts and the harried Court of Claims, the documents of the Adjutant General, and a hundred military orders exhibit their arrangements.

Twenty officers were disgraced and a pair of Congressmen damaged within eighteen months of the war's beginning, but the smart men continued. Rifles thrown away as unfit for real service by ordnance officers in the East were sold to General Frémont in the West. An expedition was dispatched to the Mississippi in rotten hulls for which the government often paid nine hundred dollars a day. Bayonets of polished pewter, tents of porous shoddy, coffee made of pulse and sorghum, carbines that exploded on the drill ground, blankets so long stored in Boston that water would not soak them to the thickness of a coin, and many other versions of the wooden nutmeg were offered to the Army between 1861 and 1864.

Often nothing could be done. The actual vendor vanished in a cloud of agents and guileless middlemen, or agitated legislators raved usefully when the name of some respected citizen was read out in the meetings of a Congressional committee. Sometimes the cases wound up in a tangle of smart lawyers and angry, inexperienced judges. A few smart men were consigned to military prisons or common jails. It was the son of a famous philanthropist who was begged out of a dungeon by Henry Ward Beecher after the bright lad forged a presidential proclamation so as to influence the gambling in the gold room of the Stock Exchange at New York. . . . As one reads the testimony in these affairs Mr. Lincoln's suspension of the Habeas Corpus has an appearance of pure governmental necessity. But nothing could control this adept army of thieves, often so stately in their social placing, as it wrought from the rear. A Fisk is singled out and remembered in the mess; once the names of Wormser, Justice, Vanderbilt, and Simons smelled quite as evilly as did Fisk's sales of mouldy blankets on behalf of two estimable patriots in the city of Boston.

A natural irony followed the apparition of this scattered but most active battalion. When weariness and rural discontent solidified pungently in 1864 and Mr. Lincoln's own family thought he would not be elected a second time, the Republican party discovered in its ranks a number of paying guests, converts

to Mr. Lincoln's principles, highly willing to see the war prolong itself. The names of convinced gentlemen interested in the making of sound munitions are to be seen on Republican lists alongside those of men who had been advertised in cases of fraudulent practice on the government. It is entirely true, of course, that successes in the field and the virile efforts of Lincoln's actual friends defeated General McClellan, but it is also true that the costs of the wild campaign were partly borne by men in every degree of shadiness and that Lincoln's party did not disclaim their aid. A political faction invented for the uses of a humane cause thus rapidly became, let us say, both practical and mundane. Smartness entered its being; after 1864 the crazy Western schism was a party of the smart man and of the East.

But the smart men were not all urban or Eastern. In 1863 louts rode through Ohio asking farmers if they had any "crowbait" for the army, and a Federal judge at Cincinnati lost his temper as he tried to explain to some hearty yeomen that they must not doctor up dying horses for sale to the cavalry and artillery. One of General Pleasanton's officers, in that year, presented his commander an affidavit reciting that three mounts just brought to the camp had been sold by himself as useless before John Brown was hanged. In December of 1864 Charles Francis Adams scornfully wrote to his father about the Treasurer's report: "He does not state one principle in sound

finance, but he makes a stately onslaught upon 'speculators in gold.' Why not also on those in flour and pork?" Waves of cynical talk passed through regiments in which half the soldiers and their officers came from farms. Tricks of the city's smart men may not have been fully understood among the tents, but they did understand about horses and grain and pork, and a sense of betrayal can be found in the letters of sailors as well. An obscene variation of *John Brown's Body* sung in Farragut's fleet mentions wives and sweethearts lured away by the homekeeping profiteer "while we go sailing on. . . ." The tedium of war produced a recoil sometimes fatal to the cordial sentiment necessary to the war itself. "My one interesting experience with deserters," Colonel John McCook wrote to a friend in 1900, "was with two fine boys from Mr. Lincoln's own county. A sergeant caught them leaving camp in civilian dress and brought them to me. They told me honestly that their mother and sisters were practically destitute and were obliged to 'go out' as hired help by the day. They claimed that men at home were getting rich by 'sharking' on provisions for the Army. . . . I got to work through Anson" — General Anson McCook — "and had the matter referred straight to Mr. Lincoln. He looked after them. . . . He has been criticized for his leniency toward deserters. But I believe he knew what lay behind many of these cases. Mr. [Norman] Hapgood does not seem to realize the extent of guilt among

dealers in provisions, etc., at that time. The writers seem to neglect this whole phase of the war. . . ."<sup>3</sup>

This phase was not so striking as the battles in which all instruments of civilization were used beligerently for the first time. Potencies of the railroad, the balloon, the iron ship, the machine gun, the telegraph, were declared. Europe had been astonished at us, with much reason. But the war's interior effect on American manners had no immediate students and certain modern writers seem to fancy that a period of corrupt legislation, fantastic morals in public affairs, and ethical sloth commenced only with the end of the war, as if Booth's bullet had released seven devils on the nation. Yet the Gilded Age set in with 1861; no phenomenon appeared after Mr. Lincoln's death that was not plain by the close of 1862, and of many concurrent phenomena during his presidency he, himself, was the innocent producer.

Mr. Lincoln, as is known, was obliged to sign acts of Congress under which an immense quantity of fiat currency was issued. These "greenbacks" were sure to be dangerous. Even the opportunist Thaddeus Stevens fought rather shyly for the passage of the bill

<sup>3</sup> John J. McCook to William C. Beer, June 26th, 1900. It is probably now necessary to inform readers that the "fighting McCooks" were celebrities of the Civil War. The family was distinguished for its piety and physical charm. His men called John McCook "Stunning John" and "Beauty." In 1902 he was standing on Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington when an alcoholized person handed him a dollar bill, saying, "Beauty, here's some money I stole out of your tent in '62, God damn it! I ain't felt easy in my conscience since!"



creating them in 1862. The tempted government issued more and more of the things. In 1864 this fluctuating material had come almost to the sum of four hundred and fifty million dollars. Gold and silver were unseen objects; the public heard of speculation in metal moneys, but the coins had vanished. In 1865 some of his command, wanting to give Major William McKinley a respectful token, pooled their greenbacks and bought a five-dollar gold piece from a jeweler. Ladies wore coins dangling from bracelets, and young children wondered why the pretty gold stamped with eagles was called "money" when it clearly wasn't a paper bill. But from the paper bills arose a superstition in finance; the greenbacks were "Lincoln's greenbacks" as soon as wise men tried to get rid of them and, until 1896, this superstition twisted in and out of the plain people's mind a frozen conviction of the American nation's powers in money-making.

The greenback was not dear to Mr. Lincoln. He may have been no financier, but he is said to have viewed the fiat money with distrust. Yet, in other instances, he played the hand of the smart men cheerfully. It cannot be forgotten that he was naturally an expansionist. He had lived most of his life in the current of the Western migrations; the pioneer and the settler, always tending westward, were common-places of his social thought. The United States was a curio among nations in that it could colonize within its own borders. Normans and Bretons were not ad-

vised, in the forties, to leave home in covered wagons and plant themselves in Provence; Russians removed to Siberia only under severest compulsion; the cockney went to Wales and Scotland for a holiday and scuttled home. But to the American expansion was a vivid factor of existence. It was even a patriotic duty, and Mr. Lincoln wanted to see the West colonized, as did everybody else. There is no evidence in any way denying that he took the swift increase of Western population for a benefit to his country, and he abetted it by his signature of the Homestead Act of 1862 and by two most dangerous acts creating railroad corporations with singular rewards and powers.

Nothing seems so harmless as the Homestead Act, granting settlers Western land if they would cultivate it for five years. Lincoln and Andrew Johnson thought westward to a prodigious vacancy in 1862. Through this arable desert would pass the two railroads — the Union Pacific and the Central Pacific — forming a spine for commerce and help to the colonists. Naturally, the railroads must be subsidized and they might, also, be granted some of this endless public land. Everything seemed natural while Congressmen and Senators argued a little as to terms. Four very smart men of Yankee stock smiled encouragement from the background of an enterprise which had, too, a color of military necessity. But the lean and pensive Senator John Sherman rubbed his beard and wondered, in silence, if trouble might not come of this.

Nobody shouted, "Look out!" although there had been trouble in 1858 and 1859 over a subsidized railroad that was to have made Texas a garden and a pasture. The dignity of the Senate was offended by mad rows over the character of the road's directors and the uses to which they had put the granted lands. Now, John Sherman saw, here came this new bill granting strips of land ten miles deep on each side of the tracks and six thousand dollars in government bonds for each mile finished. Mr. Sherman shivered. But he was a strong Administration man and his shudders did not pass outward in protest. In 1864 he shuddered afresh as the directors needed more help and got it — bonds and more bonds of the United States — although the rails, somehow, were not being laid. And a hazy bill creating the Northern Pacific Railroad, with land grants and privileges, was stuffed through the Senate in a hurry. "These two bills," Sherman wrote in 1895, "prove that it is not wise, during a war, to provide measures for a time of peace. . . ."

Upon these acts a mountain of bright casuistry heaped itself and Mr. Lincoln's name was used, as it grew sacred, to warrant the governmental policies they engendered. What was worse and more pervasive was a certain frame of mind infecting principled men: the government came to be a source of help for private enterprise, of subsidies and candid gifts. It was not Lincoln's fault, but he had armed the smart bat-

talion against his plain people and, in a sort, had made the West a victim of the East. Well, it needed a trained prophet to see beyond what John Sherman feared, and Mr. Lincoln was a prophet only in the popular style.

He was thinking about the West on the last morning of his life. Schuyler Colfax came to discuss the tour he had planned through the mountains to the Pacific coast. The President talked earnestly, deep in his chair. He must get the disbanded regiments and the new immigrants headed to the West, where mines and railroads needed them. Gold and silver would pour eastward, destroying the national debt. The seaboard cities must not be packed with cheap labor, bringing down wages when the mechanics were getting good pay. Colfax was to tell the Westerners that Mr. Lincoln had their interests at heart, and Colfax thrilled. He went away to chat about the President's foresight in Willard's Hotel at a lunch of officers and civilians and came back at night to talk some more.

The gaunt man rocked in his chair and drawled until it was eight o'clock. Mrs. Lincoln walked into the room, with her fan and brilliant shawl, to remind the President that he had promised to see the play at Ford's Theater. Oh, so he had! Well, Mr. Colfax could drop in tomorrow morning. He bowed himself away. . . . The President's carriage was waiting below dim pillars in the pleasant night. Soon the people in Ford's Theater rose and applauded as Mr. Lincoln strolled into his box. . . .

## CHAPTER II

### *THE BULLETHEADED GENERALS*

#### I

Mr. Hanna, young or old, was not given to reading books. He went to sleep, even, over the political studies current in his last years which showed him up for a monstrous rogue, and once was found snoring in a hammock, an ear crumpled on a challenge to the Standard Oil Company. But he had a tendency often seen in men of an extraverted intelligence: he sometimes liked to read history. A caller entered his rooms at the Arlington Hotel in 1901 and saw the Senator pondering a great volume of Maspéro, his hands flattened on the pages, lost somewhere in Egypt or Assyria. Perhaps the pictures absorbed him and he was staring at a view of the Pharaoh or the Sâr poised in a chariot, casting gold among reverent soldiers. He looked up, after a while, and said suddenly, "Isn't it funny, Jackling, that money and machinery came into the world at the same time?"

His life had been so bound to these two forces that he must have thought them endless partners in the story of man. Everything in Mark Hanna's early time

had hung to the new machines. It was because wheels rolled too far from New Lisbon that his father brought him to Cleveland, and his family's success before 1860 had grown as the steamboats paddled money to the offices of Hanna, Garretson and Company. His whole triumph in affairs and politics was conditioned on the wheels and screws delivering purchased commodities, scattering out his agents and his propaganda; he thrived by the effects of speed. It might be said that his legend is that of a man who realized the full force of manipulated transportation. He had been born with the railroad's first exhibited potency in American life. He died just as that potency began to be threatened by new machines.

The disaster to America caused by machinery was inscrutable to young Hanna. But the machine clattered under the prelude of the Civil War so certainly that Herman Melville caught the sound and wrote it down in *The Confidence Man*. Obsolescent slavery was defeated by the machine, and it is not badly argued by Oswald Spengler that mere fuel — coal — was the actual victor behind Grant's troops. Latter-day slavery, he says, was "a threshold phase of our machine industry, an organization of 'living' energy, which began with man-fuel, but presently passed over to coal-fuel; and slavery came to be considered immoral only when coal had established itself. Looked at from this angle, the victory of the North in the American Civil War (1865) meant the economic

victory of the concentrated energy of coal over the simple energy of the muscles. . . .”<sup>1</sup>

That is well enough for the speculative historian and the European. For the American, whose history is so short that he can watch its flexures and accidents within spaces of a decade, there is another victory to be mentioned: coal and the railroad and precious metal in the West were three Fates winding out an end of the fine provincial America. Compact social bodies too small to bear defection wilted as “the dream of the plains defeated the dream of the sea”; the romantic motive which perpetually imposes itself upon the economic in this business of migrations shimmered before the men of New England and Pennsylvania, drawing them inland after 1849, not outward on the waters to maintain a liaison of culture with Europe. War accelerated this destruction of the provincial lines. And who saw it? . . . One man at least, it seems.

In March of 1862 legislators, profiteers, and officers smoking at Willard’s Hotel in Washington might admire a tall, trim gentleman who strolled past their chairs. He was not old, but his hair seemed almost white, and straight eyebrows showed gray above his dark blue eyes. Some knew the face or remembered that they had seen it engraved in books, and John Hay walked nervously after this magnificent along Pennsylvania Avenue one day, trying to be brave

<sup>1</sup> *The Decline of the West*, Volume II, page 488.

enough to speak to Nathaniel Hawthorne, who had tired of the rumors and the telegrams and had come down from Concord to see what this war was like.

His thoughts were soon printed in *The Atlantic Monthly* as a languid essay, musical with disdain for the mob in Willard's Hotel, where "you are mixed up with office seekers, wire pullers, inventors, artists, poets, prozers, clerks, diplomatists, mail contractors, railway directors, until your own identity is lost among them. . . . You adopt the universal habit of the place and call for a mint julep, a whisky skin, a gin cocktail, a brandy smash or a glass of Pure Old Rye. . . ." The feebleness of modern toppers depressed him as he glanced at venerables in frilled shirts swilling Bourbon by the horn. All these mild diluted drinks were tame beside those eight inches of liquid fury! Old times and glories passed in this conglomerate of vulgarities. But he seemed merely to be describing General McClellan's camp, or the prisoners in the roundhouse at Harper's Ferry, a "heap of unwashed human bodies" or the *Monitor* at anchor, a sort of iron rat-trap. Nothing is stressed save his flashing taunt to his friends at Concord who had likened the calamitous John Brown's gallows to the Cross, and his own intellectual satisfaction in seeing Brown hanged for a fool is underscored by an apologetic footnote. But he saw a good deal: the iron rat-trap meant the finish of naval warfare in the adorable grand manner. He pondered for a sentence on future duels of



submarines. He saw that something might well come of the poor Southern white when slavery was abolished. And as to the future of the Union, revealed to him in the smoke of Willard's Hotel, why, "one bullet headed general will succeed another in the presidential chair; and veterans will hold the offices, at home and abroad, and sit in Congress and the state legislatures, and fill all the avenues of public life. . . ."

He was really writing a dry little dirge for New England. These railway directors and mail contractors were enemies of that close order which had produced Nathaniel Hawthorne. The nation spread and its several integrities were thinned or slaughtered as troops hurried to the East by rail. Soon the same rails would slide away young men from the decadent villages of his province to the West; it was "the downfall of the Bostonian Empire" that appeared in the smoke at Willard's, and with Boston fell Virginia. . . . But, for Mr. Hawthorne, his own territory had been all America. He was not much interested in this large, raw, collection of states that claimed his devotion only to "an airy mode of law" and had no symbol but a flag. He was not worried about the bullet-headed generals who would rule this hazy space. Something good might come of them. They were Force. "It may substitute something more real and genuine, instead of the many shams on which men heretofore have founded their claims to public regard. . . ."

He had always seen into shams. Boys at college fancied a mystery behind his stare and christened him Oberon as much for this as for his beauty. And now he perfected the strangeness of his life by dying, with his province, as if in protest against the oncoming miscellany. He grew weaker, thinner, smiling at the doctors who could not say what was wrong. Mr. Hawthorne was simply selecting the right conclusion to a grave fantasy about a being who had stared from the shadows of a bewitched wood at man's hypocrisy and man's vain emotions. He vanished. Oberon withdrew, as was most right, while a dog howled below his window among the silent hills, in a cool midnight. . . .

Four years and a day from Mr. Hawthorne's death a Republican convention in Chicago began to fulfill his prophecies. General Ulysses Grant was nominated for the presidency in a roar. There could be no other candidate. And then the delegates behaved unbecomingly toward New England; the expanding nation yawned at the wishes of its intellectual nurse, and Bostonians learned from their newspapers that the West, this region beyond Buffalo, wanted a Western vice president. There is an old lady living who can recollect raucous delegates yelling, "Take yer damn' codfish home!" when the names of Henry Wilson, of Massachusetts, and Hannibal Hamlin, of Maine, were proposed. On a fifth ballot the gay Schuyler Colfax was nominated and the Republican convention of 1868 dispersed, by railway.

It had not been well reported, and facts of the discord between East and West are mostly traditional. But it is true that an unled, formless resentment of New England's postures washed the West during the war. The feeling may be judged by six letters of a Mrs. Dix, an ordinary female of the more cultivated kind who worked in Washington's hospitals in 1863 and 1864. Just one person from Boston way seemed a human being to this lady out of Illinois; she loved Louisa May Alcott. But the wives of the cotton-spinning Congressmen annoyed her, reading tracts to sick men in the wards and "squealing" when some tortured invalid brought out an oath; and so did the Yankee Senators, iced and done up in tight black bindings, patronizing Mrs. Lincoln at evening parties. She could not bear them! "We" — she meant Ben in the cavalry and Geordie in the Navy — "are fighting for Union, and all they want is to see the Rebels humiliated! . . . I hope never to hear the name of Massachusetts again when this ordeal is over. . . ." The Yankees had shoved the nation into hell and now they were sniffing at the Westerners, who did most of the fighting. The rise of Grant and Sherman was a victory of the West. Who won the war? The West! . . . Mrs. Dix died soon after Grant was nominated at Chicago, perhaps in a state of revengeful contentment. Grant would show 'em!

Something of this resentment was working in Marcus Alonzo Hanna on July 3rd, 1868, when he wrote:

## THE BULLETHEADED GENERALS

"Dear Cap: Lillian just remembered to tell us that you brought those firecrackers for the best baby in Ohio. Dan says to tell you that you are a gentleman. Mr. Rhodes was just putting up a high fence at dinner. He does not want to see Pendleton nominated against Grant. I hope they put up somebody from Mass. or New York, so we can all turn out and lick him. I am awfully sorry about the sheep.

"M. A. Hanna."<sup>2</sup>

The document has its mysteries. Who was Cap, and what happened to the sheep? The second sentence is mendacity. Master Daniel Rhodes Hanna said nothing of the kind, at the age of eighteen months. The rest is simple: Mr. Daniel Rhodes has been bluffing at dinner about affairs of the Democratic Convention, which seemed to be ready to pick George Pendleton, of Ohio, against Ulysses Grant, of Ohio. As a capital financier Mr. Rhodes likes nothing less. "Gentleman George" heads the "soft money" faction of the Democrats and wants to pay the government's debts, foreign and domestic, in greenbacks, the inconvertible paper currency born of necessity in 1862 and now crumbling in all cash-drawers and

<sup>2</sup> This autograph has an odd history. It was found in an old novel in a Washington shop and brought to Mr. Hanna in 1902 to be authenticated. He was just then busy with his negotiations in the coal strike and was giving instructions as to some telegram to his secretary, Mr. Dover. Turning the paper over, he noted on its back, "Wiring Morgan, McCrea, Depew this date," and then apologized for damaging the memento, saying, "I am awfully sorry. . . ." He contended that his use of "awfully" was common in Cleveland in his youth.

pockets. Mr. Hanna, whose son, you see, is "the best baby in Ohio," hopes that the Democrats will nominate some ghastly Easterner, so that the state's loyalties will not be divided between two older native sons.

Mark Hanna had learned things in finance since 1865. A little after his marriage the oil fever took him and he built a refinery for petroleum. That was not enough for a clever fellow, who thought well of himself as a business man, and he built the *Lac La Belle*, the swiftest and smartest of Lake steamers, using his own money for the venture. But the refinery burned and the steamer sank, uninsured, and one morning in 1867 young Mr. Hanna, just convalescent from typhoid, found himself a pauper.

His daughter brought her diminished husband home to Mr. Daniel Rhodes, who viciously teased Marcus Alonzo and planned to make use of him. The teasing would be abominable form in our times, but Mrs. Hanna's brother, James Rhodes, lived to assert that "men joked each other about business losses at that time as if they were country boys having a fight over a stolen ball. . . ." The old Democrat had his joke with Mark Hanna, but, meanwhile, a wonderful perambulator rolled on Franklin Avenue, containing Master Daniel Rhodes Hanna, although his grandfather admitted no concern with the perambulator's contents. He "put up a tall fence" about babies; they didn't interest him, even Charlotte Augusta's brand. But when Charles Nolan came from Washing-

ton to get the signature of Mr. Rhodes on a contract, he waited three days in the big man's office and, getting tired of hard furniture at Rhodes, Card and Company's, took himself to the magnate's house. Mr. Rhodes came down from the nursery, snapped his name four times on a paper, and hurried up to resume intensive studies of croup, leaving the caller to be entertained by his son-in-law, an agreeable creature whose name Mr. Nolan did not catch. . . . Yet, in 1888, a burly man whose whiskers now were mere strips of red fur close to his ears stared at Mr. Nolan a time in a hotel at Chicago and then shouted: "Hi, Mr. Nolan!" Mark Hanna's memory worked so; he had remembered a striking person for twenty-one years.

This memory and some other capabilities were put to use in April of 1867, when the renovated firm of Rhodes and Company was announced, with George Warmington, Robert Rhodes, and Marcus Hanna as partners. Daniel Rhodes retired. His son and his son-in-law were to assume his place in the business. Thus, in his thirtieth year, Mark Hanna neatly shifted from dealing in wholesale groceries to dealing in coal and iron, and this was his occupation for the rest of his life in business. He was to be Mr. Hanna, of Rhodes and Company, until the famous firm became M. A. Hanna and Company.

In 1868 his enthusiasm for Grant was natural. Mr. Hanna knew that American paper currency was

degraded; Canadians sulkily accepted a dollar at thirty-five cents in the Lake ports, and all dealings of Rhodes and Company in Canada were hampered by the exchange. He must have well understood what General James Garfield, of Ohio, meant, in Congress, on the fifteenth of May. "We are cut off," said the Congressman, "from the money currents of the world. Our currency resembles rather the waters of an artificial lake, which lie in stagnation or rise to full banks at the caprice of the gatekeeper. Gold and silver abhor a depreciated paper money and will not keep company with it. If our currency be more abundant than business demands, not a dollar of it can go abroad; if deficient not a dollar in gold will come in to supply the lack. There is no legislation on earth wise enough to adjust such a currency to the wants of the country. . . ."

That seems clear enough? It made sense to a Mark Hanna in 1868, but it was idiotic gabble to farmers and small editors everywhere in the United States. They could not differentiate business, which is local, from finance, which, after the eighteenth century, had become international. In a nation boasting of its extraordinary financial acuteness there were so few actual financiers that members of banking firms in Wall Street caught up the soft-money heresy and were prepared to back Gentleman George. Sitting near Wall Street was Mr. John Pierpont Morgan, a tall Yankee who had once refused to be a professor of

mathematics at Göttingen, keeping the ledgers of his firm in a deliberate double system, one set of books for American money and one set for real money — the sacred token of finance, gold; his cold eyes could examine what his house was supposed to be worth, and turn secretly to what it was worth. To this man General Garfield's words were platitudinous, to a fair portion of interior America they were fantastic. A sentiment had promptly gathered on the greenbacks; they were now "Lincoln's greenbacks." There was a lot of them. They filled the function of money. Of course the government could pay its debts in paper! Anyhow, it was the capitalist and the foreigner who wanted the government to redeem its bonds in gold . . . so to hell with the capitalist and the foreigner! An orator named Chidsey outlined this concept of the nation's case to a crowd in Shobonier, Illinois, in the spring of 1868, saying, "If Europe wants none of our greenbacks, we can blow them on Europe from the cannon's mouth!" That settled it.

Among Mr. Chidsey's hearers was a sickly young lawyer from over in Minnesota who was trying to collect a bill for a client. War had filled Cushman Davis with fevers and made him prematurely bald. Endless lethargies possessed him and he struggled, even in court, with a passion for sleep. But Mr. Chidsey's oration exasperated him and he shouted from the crowd that the United States could not thrash all Europe. The crowd hissed. Mr. Davis went back to



Minnesota, pensive, and, as Senator Davis wrote in 1896: "Here is this Balaam" (Mr. William Jennings Bryan) "telling people just what this jackass was telling them in 1868 and they are believing it. Verily, verily, men are fools when they fall into a superstition about money!"<sup>3</sup>

Ulysses Grant had no such superstition. He had lived through wretched times before the war when the "wildcat" money of banks along the Mississippi fluctuated weekly and might be worthless overnight. He stood boldly in 1868 for the unpopular proposition. "The United States is only one nation among many," he told a small girl, "and its money must be the kind that other nations will accept. You will understand this when you are older." Miss Prudence Watson was proud of the General's communication, but she had to grow up in a country which tried to ignore Grant's little dictum. In 1868 she saw her own brothers out parading for Horatio Seymour, the Democratic candidate, and heard orators bawling that the Republicans were trying to enslave the farmer to the capitalist. Miss Watson's understanding of the word "capitalist" was vague, but she knew that the Corinthian decorations at the top of the veranda's pillars were capitals and worked out her own definition: a capitalist, she reasoned quite correctly, was a man who sat on the top of a narrow post. . . .

From their shaky perches some smart men looked

<sup>3</sup> To James P. Holt, September 2nd, 1896.

down unfavorably on the agreeable George Pendleton when Democracy convened in Tammany Hall at New York on July 4th, 1868. This hall was not so far from their offices; something could be done at short range, in the private name of Democratic bondholders and bankers. Money talked to itself and then addressed the convention's machinery. These forces came together into the life of Mr. Pendleton. After many ballots the delegation of his own state swung against the pleasing man, and Horatio Seymour, aghast, was nominated to head a ticket in which he did not believe. A "hard money" Democrat was nominated on a "soft money" platform. The straddle ended any hope of winning; farmers deserted the Easterner to vote in swarms for the man from Ohio. Next March, as per prophetic schedule, the first of Mr. Hawthorne's bulletheaded generals entered the White House.

## II

Pendleton's vogue had been an expression in the most compact terms of the nation's isolation and he had prospered until such time as the smart men saw his implicit dangers. But he had not amused his opponents; intrigue disposed of him, not laughter. The perils of fiat money were explained in America, and broadly, before 1860, but a heavy tithe of the nation's educated men had been taken between Sumter and Appomattox; the cultivated Americans had supported this war, and their mentalities did not survive their

bodies. Really, you cannot kill off a medley of poets, engineers, journalists, undergraduates, and sharp young clerks or sterilize them against further ideas by the supreme heat of military experience without damaging the critical life of a nation; and you cannot sever a colony from its several mothers while it is still in the nursing period without damaging its political equilibrium. . . . In the forties and fifties the American had been incessantly stimulated by Europe. His poets, his two real novelists, his lecturers, had expounded Europe to him, if he read books. European heroes and charlatans visited his cities. But after 1860 he moved more and more swiftly into his present condition of "the stripped European." The many and truly informing contacts of simple commerce had been interrupted; in the swirl of his own current emotional adventure he lost all touch with the resolved emotional adventures of foreign arts and political facts. He would face Europe, from this point onward, in the mood of Mark Twain or of Henry James, trying to find it funny and producing just the nervous giggle of a lad thrust into a drawing-room among indifferent older folk, or accepting Europe's own valuations of itself with a fatuous respect. That this miscomprehension affected his understanding of finance began to be plain in 1868. The neurosis had formed; the nation was now in the state of an unconscious invalid who thinks himself wholesome because he eats, walks, and begets. It would need the crash of sensations to

assure him that he was shut off by a film of ignorant defenses from the wisdom of mankind, which is not so small. Meanwhile he had money and machinery, and these contented his muscles as playthings. They do so still, some say.

A society of the positively neurotic waited to welcome Ulysses Grant in Washington. Many of these sufferers were soldiers who had served under the taciturn general; others had taken malaria under McClellan in the Virginia swamps. For years their nerves were kept at a certain palpitating pitch, and now they drank and gamed to keep up the tension of nights in camp along the Potomac. They alarmed ladies at dinner by twitchings and bursts of tearfulness over nothing. "Shell shock" had not been invented then, and the ladies did not imagine that a recurrent sense of the inane, war's least comfortable acquirement, was disturbing some giant in broadcloth between the baked shad and the roast duck. One member of Congress always left the House when Gettysburg was mentioned; another could not sleep in a dark room. Nothing seemed amiss with the whiskered men in loose velvet jackets who wore a tea rose in imitation of the Duc de Morny and swallowed champagne before luncheon in Willard's Hotel or drank six of the famous brandy cocktails at the Saint Nicholas in New York on their way to the stock exchange. Their grandsons, after 1919, were just as gay companions, with the whole vocabulary of the neurologist ready to

explain their malady. It was the pay-day of a vast military exploit. Only, at the end of the sixties, men were not supposed to have nerves, and there was no talk about neuroses.

Anything for a thrill, though! They turned to ferocious amusements of finance and legislation, and roared in Congress when James Blaine told Roscoe Conkling that he was a dunghill, a singed cat, a whining puppy and some other things. Their taste in oratory had been formed by the sickening, rhythmic vituperations that preceded the war, and their logic had not been increased by fifty months of subjection to mere command. It did not bruise their sense of proportion to hear Abraham Lincoln and the "dead of a thousand fields" summoned up against a bill to deflate the currency, and they did not mind — it was exciting! — if an orator asked God to strike dead the Postmaster-General who hadn't given someone's pet orderly an office in Iowa. They must be amused. Our historians primly tell us that "they joined in the mad scramble for worldly success." Perhaps historians may yet discover that success is just a form of amusement, mostly sacred to those who have not brains enough to attain it.

But as for the smart men whose interest in the war had been the costly shipping of soldiers and mail or the sale of munitions, and damn the quality, to the bewildered government, they were now in smoothest function as a medium between the American and his

country, ready to explain its possibilities. They had money and machinery at their disposal and useful examples for their argument. The star of Empire, they said, glittered in the West. It was already a traditional saying; expansion was an old catchword. In the fifties there had been little difficulties about grants of land in the territories, but the Homestead Act and the donations to the railroads had countered that precedent. Hotels and boarding-houses in Washington now jammed with people eager to have the government run a railway across their acres, or appropriate ten millions to build a governmental school on their mountain, or widen their river. The popular mind had grown used to the government's benevolences, and smart men would surely not discourage this state of things. The expansionist mania, really, was washing back upon itself; the individual neurosis of the pioneer, his profound belief in future wealth, now mingled with the other neuroses in the East and made the smart man happy.

Huge tracts of Western land passed into the keeping of gentlemen who had rooms and pretty ladies at the disposal of excited Westerners wanting something done at Washington. Everybody was opening the country up. It was a pastime. The pioneers came eastward with charts of their possessions, and the smart battalion at the Saint Nicholas and the Willard entertained them suitably with promises and champagne, a drink popular among Englishmen and Americans

because its gaseous nature recalls the ginger beer or soda water of infantile revelries. Confidence in the government was the note of the smart battalion's discourse to the returning pioneer; all that had been done for the Union Pacific and the Northern Pacific could be done again, and ought to be done. Now, as to expenses. . . . There was a deal of whispering about a man in Washington, who. . . . Soon every Western settlement had its sour loungers, aware of the best bars and hotels in New York and the capital, but bitter forever against the East. They had gone to meet the sunrise and came home shrivelled. They knew all about the damned capitalists of the Saint Nicholas bar, at least. Some of the capitalists locked away deeds to thousands of Western acres and waited for the country's movement to manure this unearned increment. While waiting they roundly applauded the Honorable Roscoe Conkling when he passionately argued, before Federal courts, that the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution forbade a mere state to regulate rates of railroads passing through its territories. Mr. Conkling could prove by sheer force of oratory that states should not regulate anything. The less regulation you had, the better. Was not the country being opened up? Even Mr. James Blaine agreed that the country was being opened up. It went on being opened up until the panic of 1873 halted the practice.

This society, not at all unlike the society surrounding another President from Ohio fifty-two years

later, welcomed Ulysses Grant in 1869. Amusement-hunters, power-seekers, and orators swarmed on the guileless, tired soldier. He was no fool, but his weariness was clear even to the young daughter of William Lloyd Garrison when she saw him at Washington in 1866. That he became the subject of a game is common history, and the game was safe until such time as his stubborn temper roused and his suspicion leaped at his plausible friends. But he was tired and he wanted to be entertained; he had been poor all his days and he had a simple reverence for wealth. Rich people who could dine him in gilded private parlors on steamboats, where colored windows projected sheen on rattling silver and cut-glass goblets, circled the President, with various intentions. Handsome Congressmen who understood a horse, and Ben Butler, who understood the plebeian mind, rose and fell in his favor for eight years. He might buck out of their grooming hands and veto a bill to increase the inconvertible currency; he might sign a bill setting a date for the resumption of payments in real money of the government's debts. He might listen to reformers and establish a commission for the improvement of the Civil Service. He would decide three times to withdraw the soldiers from the racked South and let a conquered people disentangle itself from its miseries by its own will. But, for six days in the week, the smart men had him dazzled. He was tired.

Failure and war had eaten out of this man any



essential will; he had been nothing for years, a drudge in a crude community, and then he had been exhausted by an incredible tension of command. "Let us have peace," was not just a political expression, but a personal and immediate prayer. He wanted no more trouble. It was easier to take advice from his friends, and it was soothing to have such pretty things given to him, horses and bonds and jeweled pins. Let the Senators and Congressmen make the appointments to the Civil Service; if the Cabinet made trouble, get another cabinet. Let him have peace!

His presidency will provide gossip for a dozen more biographies. The society about him can be dissected into a hundred layers of scandal. His failures were notorious from the first month of his vacillating reign. But, beyond the orators and the journalists, this general had a special audience, and it saw him not unjustly. He was Grant. He was not the President or the military commander to this legion, but a man. Historians cannot be taught to remember steadily the force of the human aspect on a people. You can pick Grant's effect from any volume of the *Photographic History of the Civil War*. . . . See, here is a circular bench under dusty pines. A group of officers and correspondents gabble in the sunlight. Orderlies and messengers are dimmer upon tall horses beneath the boughs. And here, somehow lonely on the bench, is a hard, lounging body, a plain hat drawn over a bearded face, a cigar, and a pair of dirty boots. This

is Grant, familiar to the men watching him as Bill or Ed or Jason waiting outside the smithy at home for a colt to be shod. Everything since dug up by destructive analysts in the case of Grant was a commonplace of his camp. His men knew that he had hauled wood for a living in Missouri, but that did not disturb them. If his staff pumped ideas into him, what of it? A man ought to listen to his friends. He was supposed to drink two quarts of whisky a day. His men were not concerned. He was no figure to be increased by religious lights, and verbal gestures were not expected of him. He was no Liberator. He was their neighbor. He had only to pause beside a cot in the hospital below Vicksburg, saying, "I bet that hurts," to a lad with a smashed arm, in order to have the fealty of a whole county in Iowa. So criticism of the President was immaterial to this grand army. They might curse him for a fool and even vote the Democratic ticket, but he was still Grant.

He gained by an unspoken contrast with his background of noisy creatures on paper stilts. The word "great" flooded the press; great orators, great editors, great railroads, great thieves, and great strumpets were on every page of the newspapers. Mark Twain became a great humorist, as he once said, between two lectures. The rapidity of creation stunned, and the presidential sphinx, who had really done something, seemed larger in this smear of shams. And there was nothing else to look at, now. The giants

vanished or waned as querulous freaks. Thaddeus Stevens died. Wendell Phillips had gone crazy over fads, such as female suffrage and prohibition. Sumner was lost in his obsessions, wanting the English flag washed off the American continent, quarreling tiresomely with Grant over the annexation of Santo Domingo or bullyragging the President to get the fantastic item of two billion dollars for "indirect damages" inserted among the *Alabama* claims. Ben Butler wallowed between the parties, grossly impudent and jolly. Roscoe Conkling leaned on a mantelshelf of yellow marble, now and then adjusting the famous curls on his advertised brow, and aspirants for his favor waited a gesture of Kate Chase's round fan before approaching the chief of the spoilsmen with some request or a new slander of James Blaine. This is the chart of the Gilded Age, a fierce assumption of greatness in a circumfluent weariness. Everyone was tired and wanted to be entertained.

Thus the first bulletheaded general becomes an emblem of his nation in that time. He was himself a victim in the comedy of the expansionist mania; his merits, which were solid as the merits of many men, had been diluted, expanded in all directions; the force so excellent in the drilling of a raw regiment exploded vainly. He did small things very well, as President, and wanted to do large things. But he strayed among his projects, as the expanding nation strayed, and his result was a network of incomplete

roads towards a proper government, and the demolition of any respect for its rulers that survived in the United States.

Out in Cleveland young Mr. Hanna found that he couldn't interest his friends in politics. "Your newspapers," old Senator Hanna said, in 1900, "used to gas about the great excitement of some election or other. And then we had to hire livery hacks to get the voters to come and vote!" It was his first political lesson. He made himself inconvenient and obstreperous trying to get the Republican voters to attend a caucus or put off a trip to the marshes to shoot ducks on election day. The increase of Cleveland had brought into the city numbers of shabby laborers or immigrants whose votes were already manipulated in 1864, ordered by small bosses or put up for sale. Mr. Hanna profusely objected to the machinery of his party all through Grant's first term. In 1873 he bolted, with other Republicans, and helped to elect a reputable Democrat mayor of Cleveland. He kept fussing about this lackadaisical habit of his friends in regard to the affairs of the city and the state. It took time for cynicism to enter him. He was an Ohio man and a Cleveland man, locally absorbed. He would not see that it was absurd to be interested in a caucus or in the bad lighting of Euclid Avenue; his wife teased him and his friends called him a bore. Let the professionals handle politics and be damned to them. Politics was a dirty game. Nobody dissented at a banquet when

Mark Twain put out his unprinted witticism: "There is a Congressman—I mean a son of a bitch— But why do I repeat myself?"<sup>4</sup> It was not until 1880 that Mr. Hanna shrugged and consented, and perhaps the experience of hearing himself called a rich busybody at a meeting of reformers was the conclusion of his first political mood. He knew, by that time, that machinery ruled in politics and that the machinery was companionably to be oiled by money. This image itself appeared in cartoons, in the time of Grant. All was appropriate. Factories vomited cheap furniture and cheaper machines on the country, and the vital machinery of cities cast up cheap men into place. Behind this apparatus was a point of reality: one might quietly rule in politics without being a politician. One might be an engineer.

## I I I

In 1872 there was a stir and a flutter from Washington. The nation now learned why John Sherman had shuddered in 1864 over the act insuring the Union Pacific Railroad. It came to be said that the mighty construction company known as the *Crédit Mobilier* had distributed shares of its stock among national legislators. Commissioners were appointed to discover what exactly had happened and the facts twinkled into view. Mr. Oakes Ames, a Congressman from Massachusetts, the son of poor but honest parents,

<sup>4</sup> This was current before 1879. Mr. Clemens dated it about 1876.

was the main witness before the delving commissions. Mr. Ames spoke freely and indignantly and his statements crawled abroad to bite heels. A controlling group of stockholders dominated the Union Pacific and the Crédit Mobilier. As the construction company built the railroad, the railroad paid its friend in cash and stocks. Unpleasant bystanders asserted that the actual cost of building was about one half of what the Crédit charged for its work. It was shown that the Crédit had been paid more than ninety-three million dollars, while the costs could not be run to more than fifty-three millions. Skeptics alleged that the road had truly cost only about twenty-eight millions. Anyhow, stock of the Crédit was a "diamond mine." Mr. Oakes Ames said so himself. He was allowed to know what he was talking about.

The investigation was an affair of two stories. On the lower floor the committee discovered that certain Congressmen and Senators had taken or had bought stock at par from Mr. Ames. In the second story people accustomed to finance had an esoteric sensation. For, mile by mile, the government of the United States kept its contract with the Union Pacific by turning over securities to the road's officers. It was this load of bonds that really paid for the construction of the Union Pacific, on which, said the builders, they had "risked their every penny" and "staked their personal honor." And now the Government must wait until 1895 for the maturity of the railroad's debt.

Engineers, surveyors, and workmen had risked their lives to build the line, but there had been no real risk of anybody's fortune in the deal. The government was the cow and the smart men filled their pails. The fact was there, and very clearly to be inferred in the reports of the Poland and Wilson committees.<sup>5</sup>

Congress meekly voted that a Senator and two Representatives be censured, and casuists defended the legislators minutely through every turn of the business. It is true that no bills affecting the railroad were before Congress when the stock was distributed by Mr. Ames, and Mr. Ames assured the world he was just procuring a friendly influence against the evil-speakers and blackmailers who might threaten his scheme. People laughed over the word "blackmailers," and yet there was a glitter of realism in that statement, for the blackmailing of corporations had begun in the United States as soon as there was talk, in the fifties, of controlling railroads and contractors. However, Mr. Ames settled his case by going

<sup>5</sup> It will surprise anybody reading the journalistic comment of the period to find how seldom this second phase of the matter is mentioned, even in the partisan Democratic sheets. Possibly the method of giving out the reports tended to hide the real issue. Most of the denunciation was wasted on the so-called corruption of Congress. An interesting attitude will be found in *The Last Quarter Century in the United States* by Benjamin Andrews. Andrews was socially in touch with people who could inform him properly, but for a moralist and a reformer he is curiously mild in his recital. Mr. Myers in his study of the great American fortunes seems certainly to be more correct. Mr. Charles C. Nolan, who knew Mr. Poland, told me in 1926 that the investigator put the cost of the construction at about thirty-eight million dollars.

home to Massachusetts and dying. His notebook had done its worst to several careers, as he kept accurate count of the legislators who would pay for the stock presently, but hadn't paid him when the scandal broke. A blow glanced off and ruined Schuyler Colfax, who tried to show that a dividend received from Mr. Ames was a contribution to his campaign in 1868 given by one Nesbitt, chief of the "Paper Ring." Congressmen who returned stock and dividends to Mr. Ames, on second thought, defeated by their example the case of the casuists who kept it. "It was fishy," said one of these former, Allison of Iowa, in 1895, "and you can see what they were after, now. Ames and Huntington wanted to get rid of the debt to the government. They were looking a long way ahead." He spoke as the grandees of the Southern Pacific Railroad were attempting to put off the payment of their huge debts to the public, and the public was resisting the attempt with unusual success. Perhaps Mr. Ames had not looked so far ahead as 1895. He was a very smart man, though, and sprung of the plain people, who, Mr. Herbert Hoover tells us, are the backbone of the country.

This scandal was still piling its gossip in the summer of 1873 when a wide uneasiness troubled the expansionist financiers. Times were bad in Europe, after a panic in April at Vienna. Jay Cooke had to admit that bonds of the Northern Pacific Railroad sold slowly, as Europe would not buy. Europe bought less



and less through the late summer, and bright lads in the brokerages now discovered that American finance was not free of Europe at all. Meanwhile sober investors wondered about the government of the Western railroads and a few journals talked, gently, of inflation and unsound prosperity. Then, in seven hours of September 19th, 1873, the whole expansionist bubble descended in water. Nature co-operated handsomely with business; rain fell a dozen times on frantic brokers lurching along Wall Street. Spectators huddled under porticos and umbrellas, staring at the wet show. Jay Cooke had failed, it was known on the eighteenth, but this show of the nineteenth was not wholly due to the collapse of Cooke or of Hatch and Company, although both houses ruined smaller banks and brokers as they fell. The image of the card castle is not accurate. This was real panic, for stocks of excellent value followed the descending railroad shares, thrown away without reason. The almighty American business man became a tremulous sheep in the drenching storm. Everything slumped, racketed, and dropped. Certificates of stock were found lying in the gutter by boys, abandoned — in one case, at least — by men who were solvent. The Exchange closed. People sat on curbs, mumbling to each other. There was nothing left.

And now men who had lived on stimulus since 1861 exacted a last thrill: suicide was constant in the newspapers. Mr. Edward Stokes, who had lately murdered

Jim Fisk, sent word from prison that he was glad to be in out of the rain. "A horrible levity," Mrs. Henry Ward Beecher noted, "seems to prevail among the afflicted. . . ." The more fashionable pastors steered away from calling their flocks frivolous or superstitious, but mentioned that God's hand seemed to be on the nation. Mr. Jay Gould quietly swept thousands of shares into his control at very reasonable prices, and many sons of stockbrokers left school and went to work.

At the end of 1873 more than a third of the railroads in the United States were being nursed by receivers. The burden of the panic fell, of course, on the West, as the ruin of grand banks in New York brought on the ruin of the rural bankers. Much of the trouble was simply neurotic.<sup>6</sup> A state of mind succeeded a state of mind; inflation was the mother of timidity. In the spring of 1874, farms of ninety acres could not be mortgaged for a hundred dollars, and the charlatans were wailing to Grant for more money. Congress, in April, sent an act calling for an increase of the inconvertible currency to four hundred million dollars, and the cliques assured Grant that unless he approved this stupidity the Republican party in the West was ruined. But the President put his veto on the folly, and it cannot be decided, now, whether the new scandals among his odd friends or his veto did

<sup>6</sup> A client of my grandfather, for instance, withdrew all his funds from solid banks in Ohio, sold his land, and kept more than two hundred thousand dollars in cash in his house for some years.

most to revive the Democratic party in the elections of 1874.

Business would not revive. The soft coal mines of Ohio and Pennsylvania had been suggesting gold mines until Black Friday. Now scared little concerns combined or drearily summoned creditors to appoint a receiver. Railroads curtailed orders, under stress of economy, and engineers joked about using coals twice over in the yards, as prudent wives used tea or coffee grounds. An association of miners sent its awkward delegates to implore decent wages, but the delegates could report only one friendly listener to the new union. Mr. Hanna, of Rhodes and Company, would hear them out and do what he could for them.

Mr. Hanna, much hooted at by conservatives, had organized an association of operators. Not being talkative he explained his purposes badly to his friends. He had no fancy name for his scheme, but he believed in what is now called collective bargaining. A strike was a nuisance to him, and nothing good, that he could see, had ever come of poor wages and discontent. He listened civilly to the delegates and promised to aid their association if they would promise to use strikes as a last means of getting attention. But he was to be baffled by the weak operators as he tried to keep things smooth in Wayne and Stark counties, called then "the Massillon district." Master Daniel Hanna's first lessons in finance were to be discussions of coal and wages around the dinner-table.

Flushed gentlemen expounded the villainies of the Eastern markets, the horrible terms exacted by cautious managers of railroads, and the general awfulness of everything. Statistics rose in air and battered the boy's head. And then, or later, he learned that his father saw a fraud in all this twaddle of insecurity and bad times. "He held that some corporations and large industrial concerns were deliberately bleeding their workmen as a matter of selfish economy. I have heard the same opinion expressed in regard to the panic of 1873 by other men who were in a position to be acquainted with the facts. . . . But the conditions in the soft coal business at the time were really very bad. It was impossible for Mr. Hanna to keep the rate of pay up. Several of the small operators were on the verge of ruin. . . ." <sup>7</sup>

In these three years of extreme depression Mr. Marcus Hanna began to be apparent to his rivals, for he was a salesman of Rhodes and Company's coal before anything else. He committed seeming miracles. It would be known that a large contract awaited someone in Chicago or Duluth; agents would hustle to the point of demand and meet Mark Hanna coming home with the contract in his pocket. He seemed to smell a chance for a big sale from Cleveland; he shot off to Saint Louis or was met in the streets of Winnipeg. He got there first. And, although it then was thought absurd, he went in for advertising. On his

<sup>7</sup> Daniel Hanna to Henry Adler, November 24th, 1905.

command Rhodes and Company issued leaflets and pamphlets, some written by the literary James Ford Rhodes, which circulated the offices of railroads and factories. It was advertising by indirection. The firm of Rhodes and Company seemed merely to be giving news of the coal-fields to the world.

The private news was saddening enough. Business went on, but prices stayed low and declined in 1875. In the early spring of 1876 the frightened operators reduced wages to sixty-five cents a ton in the Massillon district, over Mr. Hanna's warning, and the union could not keep the men from striking. Only one mine of Rhodes and Company was alive in the middle of April. When Mr. Warmington brought down some new non-union laborers from Cleveland one morning, he was mobbed and would have been killed had not two strikers saved him. A genuine disorder broke out instantly and the sheriff appealed to Governor Rutherford Hayes for troops. The grave veteran at Columbus hesitated and then sent a company of militia to the mines. Twenty-four hours passed. Then strikers set afire two mines of Rhodes and Company, and the militiamen made arrests. A man was shot, of course, and the timid babbled about anarchy in Cleveland. Mr. Hanna limped into his house and threw his hat the length of the hallway, alarming his son by the remark, "God damn militia, anyhow!" This salty sentiment, often repeated by Americans, was Mr. Hanna's first attack of profanity before his

son, but excusable. He found himself, as chief of the operators' association, charged with the sickly job of seeing that twenty-three half-starved proletarians were properly punished by the law.

It was not easy to find a lawyer who would defend the miners before an antagonized audience at Canton in June. Some picturesque happenings in Pennsylvania had alarmed readers of newspapers. The "Molly Maguires" terrorized miners around Mauch Chunk, freely assaulting bosses and foremen, sometimes murdering these delegates of authority. There was awful talk of a general conspiracy of labor, perhaps arranged by the Pope or by Russian nihilists. So Major William McKinley's friends begged him to let the case at Canton alone when they heard that he would plead for the miners. He had a great deal to lose. He was being talked up for a seat in Congress and he was popular with the nicest people in his district. But Major McKinley had the unbelievable stubbornness of the mild, pliant man. He elected to defend the miners and take his chance.

His clients at first considered the volunteer a spy sent to entrap them. He was some dapper little devil who would sell them to Rhodes and Company; he was too handsome, too well dressed, too polite. It cost him a box of cigars and large bulks of chewing-tobacco to soothe the prisoners. They talked, at last, won over by his charming voice, a rather monotonous, easy voice with something of the pastor in its tones. People

always talked to him, when they liked him, as though he were a professional confessor. "What a priest," said Monsignor Ireland, "he might have made!" His success, in this case, was due to what he had got out of his witnesses beforehand. When he went into court in June, he was fairly sure of getting the men discharged and his legendary appeal against the brutality of capitalism is not even good legend, although it remains a pretty picture, with Mark Hanna shedding tears in the foreground. Major McKinley won his case on the facts.

Mr. Hanna did not enjoy the occasion. He was never vindictive against small people, and the miners were small people in his mind. About this time he let loose his first famous epigram: "Up to his neck a man is only worth the price of a day's labor." This puzzled or offended men who somehow or other tried to believe that one man was as good as another, in some way inexplicable. A man, below his brains, might be just a machine of muscles and worth no more than his neighbor, but it was not a comfortable witticism. In our times it would be called un-American. Mr. Hanna, if he sat in the court at all, was probably relieved to see the soiled machines of skin and muscle let out of their box, but nothing gave him much pleasure in June of 1876; he was suffering abominably with hives on his tender ankles. When he took young Dan to the great Centennial at Philadelphia in July, he was still smeared with sulphur ointment and lean-

ing on a cane. The small boy deplored his father's habit of sitting down on benches in Machinery Hall in contradiction of Daniel's right to hours in the society of an elegant camel attached to the Exposition. It was very hard to get Mr. Hanna away from the machines, anyhow, since he understood what made the wheels go round and the valves open. He talked too busily to clerks and exhibitors, writing down prices and grunting arguments. Once, when Daniel was importunate for camels, the wearied father saw a man in a red fez and said, "Go and ask Abou Ben Adhem if his tribe's increased any." He was then left alone with some humming and clicking interest for a while before Daniel came back to report that Abou Ben Adhem had no tribe. By that time Mr. Hanna was ready to be a good parent and take his son to the camel.

When he was old, in April of 1903, Mr. Hanna leaned on his cane in the midst of political splendors at Saint Louis, where a majestic exposition was to be dedicated. All around him were emblems of power named Theodore Roosevelt, Benjamin Odell, or Senator or General. The machinery of intrigue clicked behind all these shapes. A faction was welding Odell to the President; a faction wanted Mark Hanna for President; a faction wanted Mr. Hanna to cast down Roosevelt and make someone else President. The machines whirled. Experts and reporters watched the show. And then a red-faced, clumsy personage rose



on the platform, and the multitude began a lengthening shout which would not die away. They cheered Grover Cleveland, twice President, so hated by half of his party that his name was hissed at banquets of the proper Democrats, who swore by William Bryan. This roar astounded men. It outdid the roaring for Mr. Roosevelt; it was the apology of a people to a courageous man. Mr. Roosevelt stared. The Republican powers and dignitaries whispered lies to him that night, sputtering that Wall Street was backing Cleveland for a third term. But the noise went on, and it was seen that Mr. Hanna was quivering, his mouth tightened in amusement. They wondered if he was laughing at Mr. Roosevelt's humiliation. A friend led him aside when he came limping down from the platform. But he had been remote to the intrigue and the roar for a moment. He was thinking of a quotation that suited Mr. Cleveland's triumph. Lo, he thought, Ben Adhem's name leads all the rest. And that made him think of Dan and the fellow in the red fez, and the days in Philadelphia. Hey, what a grand show the old Centennial had been!

For Mr. Hanna, outside his office, was a simple person. He was not by much different from any well-paid clerk or lawyer who led a son under the Centennial's domes of harsh stained glass. His tastes were plain. He gave his guests champagne and drank water. He doted on stewed corn and rice puddings. He liked

a popular play or a good lecture. But he knew each line in whole acts of Shakspeare and detested the eloquence of Henry Ward Beecher and Robert Ingersoll. He would play whist a full night without a stake and condescended to euchre if ladies preferred that imbecile pastime. Except that he was a daring pioneer of industrialism and could remember anything that once had interested him, he was the mental cousin of any prosperous midlander who afforded a trip to the Centennial. He resented the patronage of the East, just as they did, and he felt the same way about the massacre of General Custer's troops week before last on the Little Big Horn, and he was still ashamed of Grant for accepting Secretary Belknap's resignation back in March when Congress impeached Belknap for selling privileges at the trading-posts in Indian territory. He may have thought Samuel Tilden would beat General Rutherford Hayes for the presidency in the fall. The Republican party was in awful shape, everywhere. Hayes would never have been nominated if it had been possible to make Blaine and Conkling heal their quarrel at the convention. Mr. Hanna was little wiser, politically, in 1876, than anybody else. He was like the rest of the crowd. His strong cigars were costly, and be sure that his wife had the best rooms in the hotel. If officers of the Pennsylvania Railroad or men from Duluth and Milwaukee saw the heavy man in the press, be sure that they came to shake hands with M. A. Hanna, of Rhodes and

Company. But Mr. Hanna was still nobody at Philadelphia in 1876.

## IV

War did not make Rutherford Hayes neurotic although his hair and his long, square beard turned gray when he was commander of the Twenty-third Ohio. He didn't understand nerves and he despised excesses. Young officers were politely lectured when they drank too much, but he was indulgent to the enlisted men because they were less educated and had no responsibilities. He liked a soldier to be decorous, if he could, and was delighted with William McKinley's rise. The ironmaster's son was a proper little officer, and aware of his responsibilities.

General Hayes had never been a failure and evaded no responsibility. When he was shot through an arm he lay on the ground before his troops and directed the fire; when an electoral commission assured him that he was President of the United States he took on the job. It worried him the rest of his life to be uncertain if he had been fairly elected in that brazen row when both parties bribed and lied so furiously that the public believed neither side at last, and sat in peace all winter while the case was decided and General Hayes inaugurated. He was then badgered, bullied, insulted by newspapers, legislators and office-seekers throughout his term of office. One gapes at the mass of published but truthless information avail-

able on Rutherford Hayes from 1877 to 1881. But the salvation of this Yankee gentleman was that he was a Yankee gentleman, although born in Delaware, Ohio. New England returned to power with Rutherford Hayes, although Yankee journals did not claim him and he was vilified in Boston as he was in California.

He was not one of your fanciful Yankees. He admired Emerson's platitudes, but sometimes could not understand the drifting prose of his pet philosopher; life was a thing of considered rules to the second bulletheaded general; his passion was for conduct, not for speculation. Vagueness didn't please him. He distrusted minds which moved from side to side of a question. James Garfield's cleverness seemed a want of moral principle. But he was not a dull man. As a young lawyer he had undertaken, with a prophetic psychology, to defend a murderess on the grounds that her ugliness and her sense of inferiority had shoved her out of contact with humanity. He talked to people with an alert sympathy until they annoyed him by their perpetual unreason. Then he looked at them as though they were disobedient kids, and gave them up. Mr. Hawthorne would have understood him directly, had they met, for the romancer often talked with such Yankees — stiff, tall, cool — when they brought ships into Salem through a January gale and reported at the customs-house with ice caked in their beards. It was this old strain, scrupulous, hard, and

precise in its functioning, that made Hayes loathed by the supple spoilsmen who lived on gifts of office to their followers. He had not been President two weeks when a breach showed between the Executive Mansion and Capitol Hill, nor was it ever filled in with any help from General Hayes. He had a vanity, but it was not wide enough to hold much oil in the shape of flatteries dispensed by Roscoe Conkling: he did like to be called General.

Actually, much of what Hayes did was to carry out the good intentions of Ulysses Grant. Grant had been wanting, at the last, to withdraw troops from Louisiana and South Carolina. Hayes did it and the carpet-bagger governments of both states collapsed at once, freeing the whole South from military controls. A third of the Republican legislators now cursed the President, who had undone the victory of the Union on the glorious fields of etc., etc., etc. Grant steadily disliked the inconvertible paper currency and had favored the Resumption Bill of 1875, which set a date for the redemption of the greenbacks in gold. Hayes and his placid Secretary of the Treasury, John Sherman, accumulated gold in 1878 by an issue of bonds and resumed the payment of gold for currency in January of 1879, while Greenbackers and Democrats howled, literally, in public meetings that this meant ruin, and severe rural patriots upbraided Sherman for letting foreign bankers buy the bonds. But no new panic came; people turned in hoarded gold

pieces for bills at the Treasury. Nor was the stiff general afraid to face Roscoe Conkling, the oratorical giant. He made use of his executive powers to clean out a polite gang of Conkling's friends from the New York customs-house. It was not a wholly just performance, but they had been irritating and impudent, certain of Conkling's supreme protection. General Hayes ordered them out of there, as if he were ordering the chevrons off a refractory sergeant's sleeve. The Congress refused to back him, of course, when he wanted to revive the Civil Service Commission, and would not grant him funds.

Washington became a new kind of comic spectacle. The rush of Congressmen leaving the House after the reading of a presidential veto in 1879 was likened by Cushman Davis to the flight of bats from hell. Hayes was incomprehensible to the men hardened by the Gilded Age's acids. He sent poets, scholars, and cultivated lawyers who quaintly spoke several of those foreign languages to Europe as ambassadors and ministers. He made no use of patronage to secure himself a personal machine. One of his Cabinet was a former Rebel, David Key. He appointed unreconciled Confederate ladies postmistresses in Southern towns on the recommendation of Democrats. "He seems," said Murat Halstead, "to have no feeling whatever for the popular thing!" He was, it proved, quite ready to veto a bill shutting out Chinese immigrants from the United States because it dishonored

an American treaty with China, and then he patiently observed in his journal that it was the popular thing to burn him in effigy beyond the Rocky Mountains.

It was the nation's luck to have this temperament in office on the night of July 20th, 1877, when Mr. Hawthorne, startled by noises, abandoned a conversation with Pushkin and Miss Jane Austen in the literary heaven to stare down from a golden casement at a web of pink, pulsing irregularly on the map of the United States. The roadways of the machine were ablaze; burning ties and coaches made heaping buds of flame. The great strike had come at last. Black Friday fulfilled itself when the pay of railroad workers was reduced yet again and the men struck "because there was nothing else to do," one of them said. . . . Junctions and roundhouses were seized. The ties burned in six states. Perishable stuff rotted in warehouses and express companies. Cities had no ice and no milk. There was open rioting in Martinsburg, Reading, and Scranton. Militiamen would not shoot into the swaying masses that hooted them. Nineteen were killed in Chicago. Pittsburgh was an insanity of lights and smoke as strikers shoved blazing oil-cars into the huge roundhouse or fired machine-shops. Men lugged stolen mattresses and leaking cans of sirup or frivolous kid slippers from the looted stores up those steep hills to their shacks. It was Wagnerian — a revolt of the dirty dwarfs against the gods at Newport. Sewing-machines were tossed from

halted trucks into the crowd.<sup>8</sup> Champagne puzzled the finders of a case and they used it to wash their hot faces, delighted by the fuzzy impact, not knowing it was wine. . . . At Cleveland it was chattered that two thousand men armed with revolvers were waiting to plunder Euclid Avenue. In New England it was observed by Mr. Henry Cabot Lodge that owners of mills began suddenly to raise wages, finding sharply that they could afford to do what had been impossible a week before. . . . Ten thousand miners struck in Pennsylvania. It was a huge and exasperated stirring of the betrayed.

General Hayes wrote three idle proclamations and sent out regular troops. One of his own kinsmen was among the militia besieged in the roundhouse at Philadelphia, but he does not excite himself over that in his journal. The regulars paraded in cities, took charge of junctions, and stood guard among smoldering cars in yards. An ordinary revulsion aided the troops. "We were ashamed of ourselves," says a man who was sixteen when he helped to loot shops in Pittsburgh; "we saw that this had not done any good, but had made people sore at laboring men. . . . we went back to work as soon as we could. Lots of men went out west that fall. They bummed along the lines

<sup>8</sup> My mother was a witness of the first phase of the strike. She was taken from Pittsburgh on the last train to leave the city and saw the sewing-machines in progress up the hills. The detail is mentioned in reports of the strike. One of the surviving strikers is responsible for the tale of the champagne.



or rode in freight cars. It was said that you could live better out west. I have since met a good many men who have done well for themselves in California who were roundhouse boys with me in 1877. . . ." By the first of August the strike was over. On August 5th the President wrote in his journal: "The strikes have been put down by force; but now for the real remedy. Can't something be done by education of the strikers, by judicious control of the capitalists, by wise general policy to end or diminish the evil? The railroad strikers, as a rule, are good men, sober, intelligent and industrious. . . ." But in the newspapers the strikers were demons waving axes and torches. In New York a great pastor whose salary was known to be twenty thousand dollars a year was persuaded to say, at a meeting of the indignant and comfortable, that a workman could raise a family on five dollars a week, although Henry Ward Beecher was not fool enough to say that workmen ought to enjoy this income. As it was, he had said too much, and there was laughter. People live who joined in the titter. Americans of those days still had humor and they might not have heard a presidential candidate putting forward the existence of the electric ice-box as a proof of his party's integrity, without laughing a little.

The strike was over, but it had sharply shown that something was ruptured in the being of the United States. Mass employment had swelled in time to the increase of all machines. It was only in small brick-

works or petty shops that owners now saw their men at all. Mark Hanna strolling out on the dock of Rhodes and Company, a cigar in his teeth, to listen to the firm's foremen stating a grievance as he sat on a barrel, which stained his trousers, was a survival of an elder order, a wholesome singularity left over from a tradition. Laborers, since the war, had grown used to feeling that the boss or the company was remote, unfriendly, an entity designed to keep wages down. There was a conspiracy of wealth against the masses, a dozen brittle parties of reform affirmed. The machine, spreading everywhere, had spread with it the dingy shack, the smoky flats. Mass thinking codified its simplicities and found the point against which its anger with its own weakness must be projected. This was Wall Street's doing. Wall Street was now to be the emblem of oppression.

General Hayes was badly placed to do anything about the judicious control of capitalism. The powerful legislators were openly his enemies. Quiet people and reformers packed his table at the White House and the number at his wineless evening parties grew, but the great figures of Washington did not condescend to the President. Congressman McKinley was a favorite caller, sometimes squiring Mrs. Hayes to lectures on foreign missions, but the journal says nothing of Roscoe Conkling and James Blaine. Congress passed, over the President's veto, the Bland-Allison Act of 1878, forcing the Treasury to issue at least

twenty-four million dollars annually in full face of the fact that silver would not rise to par and that its depreciation had begun. Congress did everything it could to make itself loathed by Rutherford Hayes. His last act as President in 1881 was to veto a monstrous thing named the Refunding Bill. He then shook hands with Senators who had insulted him in speeches, with Congressmen who had jeered at his beautiful wife for her modest little addresses on temperance; and so he went home to Fremont, where he was glad to see that his new country-house in its grove of fine trees had a low, plain, old-fashioned look. He had not wanted to be President a second time; it was such a slavish, exacting job. And, he told his friend William Dean Howells, you had to deal with such extraordinary people. They seemed to have no principles at all. They were like unruly cattle.

Mr. Hanna hoped to see John Sherman nominated in June of 1880 at Chicago. The Secretary of the Treasury had two merits at least that made Mark Hanna think well of him: he was from Ohio and he really knew what business was all about. But Mr. Sherman had two vices in the reckoning of the Republican party's leaders: he was not any more a good showman than Rutherford Hayes had been and he was critical of fellow politicians. Had he not called a Senator an ignoramus to his face and publicly, even though he asked the man to dine afterwards? He was still pensive, he was proud, and he annoyed the smart

men by his tendencies toward a reformed Civil Service. He jested sourly about New York's financial heroes. He refused to meet Jay Gould at a dinner in 1879. He was not on smooth terms with James Blaine, and Roscoe Conkling despised him for a friend of Hayes.

Roscoe Conkling now reached maximum. The superb showman was truly able. His narcotic oratory was backed by a soothing tact in private relations; he could stun the public by three hours of pointless invective, and get his way with a caucus by bland courtesies. He had the kind of sarcasm which covers a shortage of real wit, and the effrontery of a practiced harlot. All this equipment was mottled and disfigured by the most humorless egoism. He liked power; he had power and he did nothing with his power save to consolidate his hold on the politics of New York and to place Congressmen under obligations. Would he see John Sherman nominated? "This," he said, "would be fatal. . . ." Assertions of the sort were his daily offering in Republican councils. He addressed ten men across a table as though a thousand gazed at his curls and his gestures. He would suspend the reading of trivial notes to a committee while a page brought him water and resume his unimportant suggestions in the case of an Indian reservation after frowning in silence over the delay. It was right, in his mind, to assert that Sherman's nomination would be fatal, as though that meant anything outside the

Republican party. For he had the charlatan's most valued gift in public life: he created excitements out of nothing and made simpletons believe that his causes were real issues. Sherman was unobjectionable to the party, but he was not that which Mr. Conkling liked to see in movement toward the White House. What could be played against him? Of course, there was Grant.

Grant landed at San Francisco from his voyage around the world and came eastward in a glory of applause. He was still Grant. Smart men arranged processions and banquets in the cities, but they needed none of that out in the country, where the special audience of this general gathered by the weathered stations at any hour of night in hope of seeing just his private car pass by. Cowboys raced their ponies alongside the tracks. Miners put burning boughs together, after dark, below the numerals of some old regiment carved white upon a hillside and hoped that Grant would look that way. The cheers were screams, and celebrating shots cracked the ears of children in the crowds swept together by this name. Here came Grant, and the noise of the welcome was soothing to Mr. Conkling after his dose of Hayes. He gathered the smart men behind him and appeared at Chicago with three hundred and eight votes of the Republican Convention pledged to Grant. They were Eastern votes, for the most part. Out on the prairies veterans hated Conkling, not altogether justly, as Grant's evil

adviser in times past, and farmers knew him to be a pet of the railroads. They would take Blaine or Sherman before they would take Grant with Conkling's hand on his collar. Mr. Conkling did not know this.

His own insolence now spoiled the rhetorician's chances of a nimble victory. In his pounding speech, nominating Grant, he sneered at Sherman and Blaine. He was applauded for twenty-five minutes, or Grant was applauded. But he was answered by General James Garfield, of Ohio, nominating John Sherman, and something happened. This convention's numbers were mixed with a younger variety of politician. Garfield's speech was not wholly new in style — he had to say that God was preparing the outcome of the nominations — but he talked on amiably and courteously, without sneering, and the young men, sick of allusions to Appomattox and to envy's poisoned chalice sat up, listening. Once someone shouted, "We want Garfield!" and cheers disturbed the speaker. Mr. Conkling sank in his chair, staring at this unpleasant symptom of a change in public taste. When he sensed that he was watched he turned his stare to the galleries and kept it aimed there when the roar began as Garfield stopped.

On the thirty-sixth ballot Garfield's sudden following had risen to three hundred ninety-nine votes and he was nominated. Mr. Conkling's faction was handed the nomination for the vice presidency and chose Chester Arthur, of New York. The maudlin

convention broke up. Mr. Conkling retired to New York in a state of mind and openly sulked. His language for some weeks is legendary and cannot be proved, but he talked too freely and an edge of the ridiculous entered his situation. He had personally been hit in full sight of the party by an expression of the party's will, after a fierce, maintained contest. It was stiff. Polite messengers and intermediaries could not budge him to meet Garfield. Chester Arthur had to persuade him to make any speeches in the campaign and Mr. Arthur, not caring to be defeated even for the vice presidency, lost patience with his patron at a dinner in Delmonico's. "I hope you understand," he said, "that if the Republican party is defeated, we are defeated with it!" The inner party of smart intrigue must not be allowed to go down. So Roscoe Conkling consented to appear as a loyal Republican. He collected a group of names and loaded them into a private car, summoning other great folk to meet him in Cleveland, whence the whole crowd of dignitaries would be lugged to Warsaw, Ohio, and would exhibit its devotion to General Garfield in the candidate's own state.

Mr. M. A. Hanna of Cleveland had demonstrated to the Republican leaders of Ohio that he was valuable in campaigns. The basis of campaigns was shyly kept in the background, owing to an old conventional sentiment which denied that money had anything to do with Americans around election day. Cabs and

hacks took lazy voters to the polls and someone had to pay for that, and for bunting, posters, and brass bands. But there was never much chatter about the campaign funds except the rude words of the losing party. Still, someone had to collect for the local committees, and Mr. Hanna, genially persuasive, had been useful in 1876. He roamed northern Ohio, smoking cigars, and collected. It was a weary job and it had no dignity. It was like the function of some trusted priest in the Middle Ages, arranging the loans of Holy Church to the Jews and Italian bankers. It was business to be done quietly, by a solid man. As a reward, in 1880, Mr. Hanna was allowed some fuss and feathers. He understood transportation, to be sure, and that would make him useful on the trip to Warsaw. He went along to see that all these persons of great name were comfortable.

It was a fine mass-meeting. The crowd yelled for Grant when he mounted the platform and gaped as Roscoe Conkling expended five minutes in empty music of his noble voice. Logan, Cameron, and the rest were pointed out to children. Mr. Hanna smoked and admired the great or maybe wondered if they were worth the price of a day's labor from the neck down, or up. He was not conspicuous, unless one looked at his eyes; nobody paid attention to his emotions when it was whispered among the reporters and understrapers that the party would go straight back to Cleveland, ignoring General Garfield in his pretty house at



Mentor. Mr. Conkling's loyalty to his party had limits. He would not meet the candidate or be reconciled to him. He would leave Ohio without acknowledging Garfield as chief of the Republicans. . . . The great filed down from the platform and were transported to the house of a state senator for luncheon. Mr. Hanna stayed outside with the small fry and listened to the indignant buzz in the dooryard. His friend Charles Foster lost sight of him for five minutes. Mr. Hanna had walked into the dining-room and bowed to Ulysses Grant.

"General, it has been arranged that we return to Cleveland by way of Mentor, and if you propose to stop there and see General Garfield, we shall have to start in a very short time."

Roscoe Conkling scowled. He had brought Grant into Ohio as a condescending favor to the party and now this plump imbecile with the brown eyes was going to drag him to Mentor, to the house of his enemy. It would seem a reconciliation, an admission of fealty to Garfield! But he could not speak; he did not dare to command Grant.

"We will stop at Mentor," said Grant.

Mr. Hanna came beaming out and told Charles Foster what he had done. The crowd at Mentor went mad for Grant, and the great men stood about on the candidate's veranda for the world to see, then vanished from Ohio. Mr. Hanna set dutifully to work collecting Republican funds. He did not know that his small

ruse worked the damnation of Roscoe Conkling. He had oiled the heels of the charlatan for a fall.

Conkling's egotism now spun him into a reverse of his position. He boasted of his aid extended to Garfield's campaign in "the West" as well as in New York. He spoke of the new President's obligations to him, and the simple creatures of the metropolis expected to hear of a cabinet picked by Garfield on Mr. Conkling's orders. Had not Garfield invited Mr. Conkling to his home in Ohio and told him that he was in his debt? But there was chuckling in New York itself. John Logan had turned loose a version of the scene in Warsaw; it was known that some friend of Garfield had challenged Grant to ignore the candidate and that Grant, not Conkling, had caused the party to call at Mentor.<sup>9</sup> Even Mr. Conkling's prim, industrious ally Senator Thomas Platt was not so sure that General Garfield would be biddable. Spring came; battle came. General Garfield made James Blaine his Secretary of State. General Garfield appointed a Secretary of the Treasury without Mr. Conkling's consent. Mr. Conkling forced an odious scene in Garfield's apartment at the Riggs House, and cold spread even in his own clique as the news of his language got abroad. Garfield coolly retorted by appointing an enemy of Conkling's machine collector of customs at New York. Mr. Conkling's rhetoric became a continuing thunder. He wagged his curls and vaticinated before a committee of

<sup>9</sup> See Appendix, Notes to Chapter II.

conciliation, imitating sentences from the Bible, threatening to expose a disgraceful letter written by Garfield. He exposed it, and it was nothing but a hint for campaign funds sent to an official. Committees and orators worked between the President and the Senator for days, but Conkling's anger sent his conceit floating upward. He would have the President humiliate all his enemies in New York and nothing less; Garfield must eat dirt. The two men met for a last time in a lady's drawing-room, bowed to each other below a chandelier while watchers gulped, and were blocked from each other's sight by a movement of resolute friends as Conkling seemed about to speak. On May 16th, 1881, Mr. Conkling and the mild Mr. Platt resigned their places in the Senate, as a gesture of outrage, knowing that the Senate would confirm Garfield's collector of the port of New York. The legislature of their state would, surely, elect them back to the Senate. . . . But the legislature wouldn't. Conkling was done for.

So was Grant. He soon gave the use of his name and his money to a smart man who had a scheme for a bank, and the smart man finished off the money. But the name was good. Poets and critics, sunflowers of emotion, turned to Grant again when it was known that he was dying, valiantly writing his memoirs in hope of paying his debts. Sympathy was a fountain. But his special audience had never turned from Grant and did not have to show its sympathy by calling at

his house on the mountain. It knew Grant. He was doing the right thing for his folks. The farmers clubbed dollars and sent subscriptions for "the General's book" to Grant's publisher. . . . Clumsy coats and tanned faces appeared in the streets of New York on the day of his funeral. Rutherford Hayes nodded to men of his old regiment as he was driven past them on the curbs. Mr. Hanna lent veterans the money for their fare to New York and back. Soldiers and their grave children came to look at this burying-ground, where Grant was. And, after years, they came to New York once more on the windy day when Grant's white tomb was consecrated, with foreign soldiers parading before William McKinley and the Hudson dark with ships. Some of us who were children then, heard all around us the murmur of a man's name. They were talking of Grant, of this picture in a schoolbook. Because we have seen machineries creating sentiment for Presidents without honor, actors and empty sportsmen, we can grin at sentiment. But we dare not grin backward at this sentiment a man created for himself. He was Grant. The name, as they spoke, had the sound of a drum briefly pulsing, and no other name has sounded so, in this long time. There are illusions of the dead so firm and hard that they cannot be dis-severed from their causes. He was Grant, to them, and that meaning was recorded in the mention of his name, the curt admission of their faith in him.

## CHAPTER III

### *THE HUMAN MACHINE*

#### I

Without notice in Eastern newspapers, on April 1st, 1873, Mrs. William McKinley began to alter American history. She gave birth to a second daughter and had a bad time of it. Her mother died while the pretty little lady was still in bed, and it seemed that Major McKinley's wife would never be well again, after the new baby vanished in August. She would sit for hours in a darkened room, holding Katie on her lap, weeping in silence. Katie was not allowed out of her sight, unless the major took the child for a drive, and poor Katie left just one childish saying to sting in the memory of her uncle, Abner McKinley. He found her swinging on the gate and invited her to take a walk with him. "No, I mustn't go out of the yard or God'll punish mamma some more. . . ." Then Katie died in June of 1876. God, revealed to Ida McKinley in the chatter of old women, had punished her some more. Presently the literal children of Canton told each other, across fences, that Mrs. McKinley had fits. A form of epilepsy showed; the handsome young Congressman was pitied at home and in Washington. A

cloud of sympathy settled on William McKinley with the beginnings of his public life.

His buoyant manner changed. He became a soft-spoken, watchful nurse in his own house and a worried guest if he was in company without his charge. About 1880 there was something worse. "Please," said the major to a pretty girl, "don't walk into the yard with me. Ida might see you. . . ." For a year or so the fading invalid thought herself neglected. When the major came down from President Garfield's funeral in the autumn of 1881, he mentioned a handsome lady seen at Cleveland, and his brothers were witnesses of a frantic scene, cut by an epileptic attack. The phase ended. She was a gentlewoman, and the wife of a personage. Public business might be interrupted by Mrs. McKinley's messages to the Representative, the Governor of Ohio, or, at last, the President of the United States asking his opinion of a scrap of silk for a new frock or the flowers of a bonnet, but Mrs. McKinley behaved herself as well as she could. She had a certain bright intelligence and was sometimes witty enough to amuse John Hay.

McKinley ascended into the headlines of newspapers with this burden, and it was genuine, wasting his time, hurting his health, and wearying his friends so that they canonized him before he was forty years old. A man who loved tramping in any weather and who broke wild colts for his neighbors was reduced to driving a bit, when Mrs. McKinley wanted some air,

or to strolling through Canton with a bowl of blanc-mange sent to an ailing child.

She loved children, although she might cry if a child came to sit on her knees. In 1892 a Mrs. Saxton lectured on the work of Presbyterian missions in a church at Columbus and Mrs. McKinley seized upon her when she was through her talk, asking if they might not be relatives (her own name was Ida Saxton), and then insisting that the missionary come home with her to meet the Governor. She ended by dining with the two and telling them all about India. "It was a curious experience. They seemed positively fascinated by my stories. I have never been a good talker and have always known my deficiencies in conversation. It startled me to have them hanging on my account of my work in the hospitals. . . . Mrs. McKinley said, 'Oh, how wonderful to be like Lady Dufferin and help all those poor children and their mothers!' I did not know of her losses and did not understand how keenly my talk about the Indian children must have hurt her. . . . She began to cry when I left and begged me to come back some other day. When we met afterwards in Washington, in 1899, she fairly implored me to see what could be done by the Presbyterian board of foreign missions for the children in the Philippines. . . ." Other people had like experiences. The women and children of the Orient lured McKinley's wife. He was murdered. She shivered through winters in Canton, cowering above fires and hot pipes,

and wailed to friends that, if she had any strength left, she would go out and teach the babies in the Philippines. And the major had planned to take a trip through the Orient. And now she would never see all that. . . . Out there, perhaps, had been a paradise of colors and warm flowers, with Katie and baby Ida born again, brown and naked for her kisses, waiting to be brought up as Christian ladies. . . .

The major had been thriftily reared, being the son of an ironmaster who had a large family, and he rose through politics on a notion sacred to thrifty provincials. It was the tobacco-growing Connecticut farmer who demanded a tariff on tobacco from Sumatra, and the rural American ironmasters were noisy, primitive agitators for a tariff on iron and steel. Major McKinley was not amused when Winfield Hancock, the Democratic candidate of 1880, called protective tariff a "local issue." He said, "The protective tariff is a great combination of local issues. We can not neglect the force of so many local issues in judging the nation's great need of protection for its industries and produce. . . ." <sup>1</sup> He believed heartily that these amalgamated local issues made a demand on the government and that better wages for the laboring man would result from a high protective tariff. Many of his speeches in Congress are plausible and well made; his voice was charming and the adroitness gained by constantly tending a nervous, fanciful woman helped

<sup>1</sup> Unprinted autograph, addressed to J. C. Parton, December 30th, 1889.



him with irritable opponents; he nursed his subject along and grew famous as the champion of the tariff.

Tariff is a method of deliberated stealing from foreigners — that is, from a class of human beings in which the native has no sentimental interest. It is an old institution among men, but men have always shown their small faith in the lawfulness of tariffs by admiring smugglers, just as Americans of our time show their disregard of the ignoble Prohibition laws by tolerating sellers of alcohol. In the nineteenth century this theft, called a tariff, was sentimentalized by honorable men just as honorable men excuse a mother who steals for her young. Madison's catchy phrase "infant industries" echoed comfortably and, as William McKinley could justly complain in Congress, Europe was given to playing the hog against American necessities on occasion. Chicago burned, and prices of paints and metals rose too suddenly in Europe. A manufactory of cathedral glass in Missouri was destroyed; the value of European glass was suspiciously rising within a week. This game went on, and to oppose such jockeying by legal pillage did not seem wrong. McKinley's attitude was no more narrow than that of Thomas Reed, an educated, traveled, clever man. The protectionists were sincere enough — are sincere enough — but their final vision of a world's economics is small. They limit their concept within the art of successful government.

Successful government, up to the year 1929, is any

kind of domination which assures a particular flattery to the most powerful factions of a state. The collective egoism of a landholding minority or a landless majority or a party of moral reform, backed by rich industrials, is soothed by the enunciation of principles which tend to flatter it. Physical comfort and the humiliation of another faction are practically the alternate offers of the artist in successful government; a full dinner-pail, "the highest standard of living ever known in the world," protection from workmen rendered careless by strong drink on Monday morning, and such benefits unforgettable are tendered and accepted. Anything will go in common times. But an uncommon period, when more than one powerful faction has to be placated, calls for someone able to suggest the grand art of government. And the period extending from the panic of 1873 to the end of the century was uncommon in the United States.

Mr. McKinley's merits in the eyes of an examining tactician were many. He had good looks, a good voice, and good manners, with an amusing trace of the country boy about him to conciliate the plain people. This last was valuable. The real tacticians in politics were now learning their job. They began to transmute Fernando Wood's epigram on pandering to the moral sense of communities, and, as the plain people grew defiantly proud of being plain, they pandered to this pride whenever it was possible from 1880 to 1928. Garfield was not advertised as a finished expert in

currency and economics, but as a lad who had led horses barefoot along the tow-paths of canals. Grover Cleveland's rough simplicity and early poverty were duly expounded. It was difficult to exalt Benjamin Harrison as a son of the plain people, but wasn't he the grandson of a pioneer hero? . . . The plain people, curtly, had become a faction so powerful that even dull Eastern observers faintly recognized the point and some of Major McKinley's earliest followers were politicians in Connecticut and Rhode Island. This "shadow of Lincoln" was the thing demanded by the West. "I am unable to see," said Professor William Sumner, drawling to students at Yale College in 1888, "that a boyhood spent in poverty among simple people peculiarly qualifies a man for political preferment, but such seems to be the general argument. . . ."

As for the rest of McKinley, he was fond of red carnations, lilacs, and the music of brass bands. He was a Methodist, but not convinced that a guilty soul suffered eternally for its misdeeds in this world. Might it not be extinguished, sent into oblivion? He was uncertain on the topic of perpetual franchises for street railways. But he approved the classified Civil Service and he spoke up handsomely for arbitration in case of strikes or disputes between common carriers. He had no time for reading, what with politics and nursing, and said wistfully to his Vice President in 1901: "You make me envious. You've been able to get so much out

of books. . . ." His memory was well trained, and this does him credit, for it had once been weak.<sup>2</sup> He liked his town and loved to dawdle around a farm.

He was adored, but this adoration prophesied the downfall of American character in the next generation. To be adored for negatives is not so well. The children of pioneers overvalued the conciliator, the very pleasant neighbor, everybody's friend. McKinley had the strength of certain opinions; he could be stubborn. Beyond him drearily appeared the caricature of the modern American; the jellyfish of satire, amiably afloat in a society of like mediocrities, agreeable to everything, the "good fellow" of the golf-club and the office.

But the adoration of McKinley was genuine. In 1928 a shrewd and *rusé* old gentleman of Cleveland amazed some youngsters by telling them that William McKinley was the only person he had ever known who suggested the possible personality of Jesus Christ; on the day of the President's funeral, in 1901, guests and uncomfortable servants in the alley of the old Manhattan Hotel stood watching a man, accounted brutal in finance and politics, who had just crumbled against a wall, sobbing in a roar of pain as the hush of

<sup>2</sup> My grandfather judged several cases in which McKinley was concerned. One of these the major lost by simply forgetting what a witness had said before him. He notably improved, afterwards. Mr. Abner McKinley said that the concentrated stare which annoyed people was really an effort of attention. Mr. McKinley looked straight at you and steadily, to the point of seeming rude.

the street told him that the service at Canton had begun. The major was adored, early in his career. "My opponents in Congress," said Thomas Reed, "go at me tooth and nail, but they always apologize to William when they are going to call him names. . . ."

At the Republican convention of 1888 an accident displayed Major McKinley favorably to Marcus Hanna. A distinct faction, made up of men from every part of the country, approached him with a suggestion that he let himself be nominated. McKinley refused and bluntly.<sup>3</sup> He had come there pledged to support John Sherman and he would support John Sherman. Much whispering indicated that Joseph Foraker, in charge of the Ohio delegation, was willing to shift grounds and join the Blaine faction. The Eastern leaders were willing to try a trick. If a "stampede" for McKinley could be started, his own state's delegation would be driven to sharing the movement. John Sherman would be removed from the list, and a deadlock arranged in favor of Blaine. But McKinley halted the attempt by declining to hear himself mentioned as a candidate; he interrupted the roll-call of states when his name was shouted from the delegation of Connecticut and succinctly denied that he was in the running. The nomination was thrown to Benjamin Harrison, and Marcus Hanna contented himself by

<sup>3</sup> "I heard Mr. McKinley use violent profanity only once in his life. It was when he refused to be nominated by the Platt crowd at the convention of '88. . . ." Charles Foster to William C. Beer, November 15th, 1901.

reflecting, "Well, Harrison was born in Ohio, anyhow!"

Mr. Hanna's admiration of Major McKinley was profuse. He appreciated men who stuck to a losing bargain, for he kept his contracts even if they were only oral, and delivered coal at the promised figure to customers at a loss. He would accept a back-drop painted for the Euclid Avenue Opera House ten feet too short for the height of the stage because he had given the dimensions to the painter and could not complain. He detested people who did not stick to a bargain, once made. The major's rectitude impressed him at just the right time, for he was quarreling with Joseph Benson Foraker.

Foraker and Mark Hanna were made to quarrel. The rich man from Cleveland accepted political theatricalities as so much chaff. There had to be processions, all these speeches, and "a lot of gas" about precedence. It amused him. He liked a phrase much used in conversation by Rutherford Hayes, "the hurrah boys." Mr. Foraker accepted the chaff as something else. He felt that a bit of parade and circumstance was becoming to him, at this time, as Governor of Ohio and as Joseph Benson Foraker. He was imposingly designed; he spoke with force and certainty; he had regulated the Republican machine of lower Ohio to an extraordinary smoothness of operation. Mr. Hanna's lack of dignity annoyed him. He was sharply disgusted when the millionaire 'failed' to

arrange proper rooms for him in the hotel at Chicago, and when Hanna obliged some Negro delegates from the South by taking over their tickets to the gallery of the convention at a price, he was outraged. Mr. Hanna was not behaving as a sound politician should.

On his side, Mr. Hanna was tired of the handsome Governor's attitudes. He had shed a deal of money on Foraker's campaigns and the Governor inconveniently had not done just the right thing about a job promised to one of Mr. Hanna's deserving campaigners. And, on June 8th, 1888, the Governor had let it be known that he disapproved a plan of Grover Cleveland. The President wished to restore the captured Confederate battle flags to the South, but his action brought on a shower of partisan protests. Mr. Hanna admired Grover Cleveland and he liked Southerners. When he met Mr. Foraker at Chicago, he tactlessly told him that his gesture of June 8th was "stale" and might damage John Sherman's candidacy, remarking these things before half a dozen men, one of them a stranger to Mr. Foraker. The quarrel swelled in a flare of suspicion, and the alliance of Hanna and Foraker ended with the last day of the convention of 1888.

Mr. Hanna was nobody in particular in the hotels at Chicago. He did not stand about bars, and few of the delegates met him. Charles Nolan heard him indicated in a crowd as the head of Hanna and Company in Cleveland, the man who owned the Euclid Avenue Opera House. But people were not interested

in this stout and quiet person sitting with Benjamin Butterworth or Charles Foster in lobbies and restaurants. Mr. Hanna was now an actual millionaire, the possessor of his own business, the director of street railways developed from some ramshackle properties of his wife's dead father, the president of a bank, concerned in a ship-building company, partner in three rolling-mills, and nurse of the Republican party's finances in Cleveland. But he was not conspicuous; he was technically not in politics at all. He spent money on politicians. There was a difference, in 1888, which has since disappeared. Anyhow, the East dominated this convention. Reporters and sightseers were keen to talk to Mr. Platt of New York and Mr. Quay of Pennsylvania, the unbreakable bosses, who had knocked down John Sherman and got rid of Allison's promising little boom. So Hanna was nobody in particular at Chicago in 1888.<sup>4</sup>

## II

Benjamin Montgomery, chief of telegraph service in the White House, had callers in his small office on a night in the autumn of 1902. A great strike in the

<sup>4</sup> Mr. Hanna's remarks on Foraker's criticism of Cleveland are not mentioned in Senator Foraker's memoirs or in Mr. Croly's biography of Mr. Hanna. But the matter is touched on in a letter of Charles Foster, who may have been present; and Mr. Charles Clery Nolan, who was present, is a living witness. A piece of gossip about a quarrel between Mr. Foraker and Mrs. Hanna over the Confederate flags seems plainly to be a mixed version of the alleged scene between the Forakers and Mrs. Grover Cleveland at a reception in Philadelphia.



coal-fields made this dim little chamber the most important point of the United States. Privileged correspondents and an agent of John Pierpont Morgan were talking to Colonel Montgomery as they awaited the end of a dinner-party elsewhere in the banal residence. Montgomery was a gently oozing spring of information on the Civil War, and this night he was reviving the siege of Petersburg when an apparition grew in the smoky door, and all these men in dinner jackets started. It was an old man, who seemed ten feet tall, towering in a wet ulster, and a soft black hat with the device of the Grand Army. He had got into the Executive Mansion, they could not find out how, and he would not leave it without speaking to Mr. Roosevelt, he would not say why. There he was, an immovable substance, an awkward fact. The President was at dinner? All right. He would wait. He stood just outside the door and inimically considered the official and the journalists, patently distrusting the lot of them. He did not take his hat off when Mr. Roosevelt came limping through the hall to write a telegram, but watched the President finish the message and then said, "I seen in the papers where you hurt yer leg, colonel."

Mr. Roosevelt spun and stared. There began the strangest conversation. The old man spoke slowly as a plow moves through rocky ground. He had come on east to thank the President for giving his son a "gov-er'nment" job. This Jim or Bill had been shot in Cuba

and needed something quiet to work at, and this was one of Bill's or Jim's children. A minor apparition, three feet long, edged around the columnar ulster. The President snatched a carnation from Colonel Montgomery's coat and knelt painfully on the floor, talking to the child while he fastened the flower in its clothes. Then he looked up at the specter and asked if it was a Republican.

"I used to be a Republican when it was Lincoln an' Grant an' Hayes an' Garfield. Then I was a Demmucrat some. I was a Populist in '92 and I was for Bryan in 1896. An' now I'm for you, colonel."

The President stood up. One of his hands helplessly felt the silk of his evening coat. His eyes squeezed shut behind his glasses. For once he had no answer to a compliment. He bowed and limped away, the veteran watching him. But when the old man spoke, it was in a level tone of sad censure.

"Lincoln would never have wore them clothes."

"Why, my friend," said Colonel Montgomery, "I can show you a dozen pictures of Mr. Lincoln in evening dress!"

But the Westerner knew better. His Lincoln was now firm in his mind and the mind of his likes out there, a friend of the plain people who scorned the silk-stockings crowd. Without heat he told the gentleman, "You're a damn liar," and then he vanished, with Jim's or Bill's child hanging to his fingers. . . . A bit later one of the reporters showed Mr. Roosevelt

a note of the scene, but the President shook his head, saying, "No, as a favor to me, Dunn," and there was no pretty anecdote in next Sunday's paper.

This apparition had told the story of a certain rural mind. He voted for the heroes of his war while they lasted and then he had been a Democrat because he could not vote for smart Jim Blaine, the trafficker with railroads; then Populism gave him a cause without a hero, and then Mr. Bryan was the hero of his cause in 1896. This was how he thought about things in a world without telephones, a world in which news was the county's newspaper or the babble along the road from the telegraph station when someone great died or a river flooded. He was a colonist, within his own country, unaware that he hurt his country's finances with manias and heresies, sure he was doing something fine for the United States out here on the prairies. He might have understood just the last sentence in William Sumner's arraignment of the colonial idea. "The notion is that colonies are glory. The truth is that colonies are burdens — unless they are plundered, and then they are enemies." This last he would have realized; he had been plundered. He was the enemy of the East, where all his good money, taken by the railroads, went to join the interest on his mortgage. It cannot be judged, now, whether he hated the railroads with their rates for shipping grain worse than he hated the mortgage. Let the son of such a man speak for him. This is Mr. William Dunn McCready,

born in 1874 in southern Nebraska in a house four miles from town, half a mile from the next house, youngest of eight children and five sons. He first saw a metal faucet on a train taking him to Omaha for the funeral of an uncle, in 1884.

"There must have been faucets and similar appliances in our town, but I presume they were in the houses of our banker and the man who had the store. I was never admitted to those glorified precincts. The banker had a telephone put in about 1886. I can remember the profound sensation of the event. . . . I must try to convince you that our district was victimized by a kind of swindling that increased the hard times of 1891 and 1892. The railroad overcharged for everything. You know that it was cheaper to burn corn for fuel in 1888 and 1889 than to try to ship it. We were absolutely at the mercy of the railroads and the express companies. The performance of the Octopus<sup>5</sup> in California is always treated as an individual kind of high piracy in conventional books. What else did the middle Western states get, may one ask?

"Another form of swindling was that used by some storekeepers in such small communities. I know that a lot of sentimentalism has been expended on the dear old whimsical fellow who has a general store by some of our writers of rural hokum. But the storekeeper was frequently a hard-fisted cheat. In 1890, when the McKinley tariff bill passed Congress, this smart

<sup>5</sup> The Southern Pacific Railroad.

Heinie in our town put up all prices 'on agcount of the dariff.' It was then that our banker came to the rescue. He told this robber baron to put the prices down or he would open an opposition store. Of course the storekeeper dropped his prices instanter. But it gave him an awful black eye with the community. He sold out to my uncle in 1891 and left town.<sup>6</sup>

"It was natural that our county went Populist in 1891 and 1892. I want to say for my father that he was about the only person who understood the fall of prices between 1885 and 1890. This was on account of his mania for geography. He comprehended that there were such places as Russia and the Argentine Republic. He would tell neighbors at calamity meetings that if Yurrupeans could get grain from South America and Rooshia for less than we sold it they would naturally buy it. But I swear that he was the only person I knew who did understand that. I am not saying we were a lot of fools. But the world was shut out from us and to a degree you people cannot get, even from the best descriptions in Willa Cather and *The Grandmothers*. My dear old man did know a little about external conditions affecting the price of crops. On the other hand he could not be made to understand that fiat money was not real money, any more than I could until your father banged it into my head at Saint Paul. He had been used to paper currency ever since he was

<sup>6</sup> Other storekeepers did the like in 1890. There are instances in Iowa, Ohio, Illinois, and Massachusetts known to me. Democrats were accused of this trick as propaganda unfavorable to the McKinley tariff,

a boy back in the fifties. If the government said a thing was money, it darned well was money. The Populists perfectly believed that in 1892, at the time of the convention in Omaha. They were so simple about anything financial that it is cruel for historians to laugh at them. Their delusion was this proposition: If the government will issue a lot of greenbacks per capita to the population of the United States, there will be more money in circulation and our crops will sell for more. If you tried to explain that this money was a drug in Europe and could not go abroad to purchase goods, they just did not get it. Europe was too far away. The Free Silver craze was part of the same delusion. I think I might say that they did not understand the depreciating quality of money at all, or so few of them understood it that it came to the same thing.

“May I add that the historians do not seem to realize the extent of the Free Silver advertising? It is funny to me that when this silver propaganda was so widespread and so openly shoved at us by men who were in the employ of the silver-miners nobody remarks the purely mercenary motive underlying the whole game. The silver-miners had a product to sell and they were trying to keep it sold by making up a bogus moral issue out of it. Because the suffering of the farm belt was intense and it really had been brow-beaten and smacked behind by the railroad kings, it does not follow that Free Silver was anything but a

financial hocus-pocus worked up by interested men. Bland, Bryan and Company were agents of the silver-miners, no matter how they stated the case to their consciences. The way the business is sentimentalized is what astonishes me. . . .”

In 1890 a remarkable instrument called the Sherman Silver Purchase Act passed under President Harrison’s pen and became law. Four million five hundred thousand ounces of silver bullion were to be purchased monthly and the Treasury was to issue against this gift to the silver-miners notes redeemable in coin at the discretion of the Secretary of the Treasury. This meant that the nation was to purchase approximately nine-tenths of the nation’s monthly output of silver and that the Treasury could not refuse, since these notes were legal tender, to redeem them in gold if it was required to do so. Here was subsidy, in short. The silver-miners were secured from any loss by the people of the United States, and the people of the West were gravely informed by orators that this astonishing performance in pure capitalism was a method of giving the farmer a plentiful, assured currency.

The friends of silver did not discuss one or two facts. Silver was depreciating everywhere in the world, as they knew; all important countries of Europe were now using gold as the standard of value in finance. They knew this, as they knew that they were getting a subsidy for the silver mines and causing danger to the

currency. But the act was signed and bankers began hoarding gold. Europe must be paid in gold, of course. . . . A bulk of silver dollars accumulated in the Treasury. Loans for small factories tightened at once. Little industries of the midlands were caught. In April of 1891 young Bill McCready came in from feeding the pigs and found the women petting one of his brothers. Nick had walked all the way down from Omaha when a factory closed, throwing sixty men out of work.

Many sons now came home to all these farms. If they were proud, they had come home on a visit; if they were honest, they had just come home. Democrats said it was the McKinley tariff and the banker said it was the Silver Purchase Act. But neither legislation produced the drought of 1891. That autumn one of the McCready boys took his long legs into the Army, the last resort in those days, and one of them tramped off down south to look for something to do in warm country, and Bill went up to a cousin in Saint Paul, on borrowed money, and found himself a job as an office boy at four dollars a week. This family of kind people split, under the mallet of his sacred majesty, hazard, and was never drawn together again.

Among callers at the office where Bill worked was a big young man of affairs, Mr. William Collins Beer, western agent of the National Surety Company. He would rave against the Silver Purchase Act by the



hour or discuss it logically to any length. Mr. Beer was such an abominable mathematician that he had been decently discharged from West Point in 1883 after a battle with calculus. He could never add sums in his checkbook certainly, but he understood the movement of money. The office boy gaped, listening to Mr. Beer's prophecies of a panic. Having been born after 1873, Bill was not aware of panics. He had a secret thirst for mad, exciting things. He tired out older people asking them what had happened in 1873. One evening in June of 1892 he asked an amiable, stout man sitting beside him in the gallery of the Republican Convention at Minneapolis if he remembered anything curious in 1873.

The gentleman remembered all about it, and talked in short, undecorated sentences, saying "by God" a good deal. He spoke of suicides, ruined banks, and battered businesses, and the gang of clerks sitting with Bill listened to his stories instead of attending to the speeches which flowed down on the floor of the hall. This assemblage was distinguished and very dull, and the stout stranger seemed more amusing to these lads who had come over from Saint Paul to hear marvels. The only entertainment was Governor William McKinley of Ohio, president of the convention, who blandly conducted proceedings with a palm-leaf fan. Bill and his friends admired this personification of a statesman. The stout gentleman with the brown eyes admired Mr. McKinley, too, and told them many

anecdotes of the Governor's kindness to all kinds of people. And what did they think of Thomas B. Reed?

They thought nothing of him. The fat, famous Speaker of the House of Representatives was no hero in the West. Out here he paid for his habit of being wittily rude to raw Congressmen. He was a smart man, the boys told the stranger, but. . . . Yes, the stranger argued, but what is it you have against him? Aw, said the nobodies, he's stuck up! They were all chattering their opinions, while the stout gentleman watched them with his brown eyes. People would talk to him torrentially and then wonder why they had talked, or what he had said to them, discovering at last that he had seldom opened his lips except to put a cigar between his teeth.

Mr. Hanna was gathering opinions. He had nominal headquarters of a McKinley movement at a hotel in Minneapolis, and there, on June 10th, 1892, he declined to combine his idol's admirers with those of Mr. Reed, although he was promised the Secretaryship of the Treasury for Mr. McKinley as a fee for helping Reed to the nomination. But he knew — he had been listening to the delegates — that this listless, discouraged convention would nominate Benjamin Harrison once more. He made no fight for his candidate, then, but was interested to idle in the gallery with these boys and to drive back with them to a respectable German beer-hall in Saint Paul. They liked him. He told them he was in the coal business, before

he paid for the cabs and the beer and vanished. But, says Mr. McCready, "if anybody had told me what Mr. Hanna's name was . . . it would have meant absolutely nothing to me. I never heard of Mark Hanna from any source until the Hearst papers began cursing him in the spring of 1896. . . ."

Really, he was so located as to be unheard of. The Eastern newspapers discovered Chicago early enough in its history, but Cleveland remained unknown. Its rich folk were not scandalous or showy; its politics had not the violent quality essential to American fame. Easterners knew that a street named Euclid Avenue existed, and actors knew that Cleveland was a good town in their profession. Mr. Henry Adler, for instance, was advancing on Cleveland in 1884 simply because it was a good town for the show business. He was connected with the theater, in those days, only by some pink ribbons and emotions, but he happened to be reading a French technical magazine which contained prints of theatrical machinery, and the stout man who picked up the magazine for him asked to have legends under the plans translated. Mr. Adler was obliging, and discovered with interest that Mr. Hanna owned the Euclid Avenue Opera House. As the young traveler was moving to a seat in Mr. Hanna's theater because of the dazzling lady who would tonight ravish Cleveland, he talked to Mr. Hanna cordially and told him all about the lady. Mr. Hanna must have said to himself, "You poor little

fool!" but he went on asking questions about theaters in France. When the train reached Cleveland, he invited Mr. Adler to dine at his house before the play.

In the hall of the big dwelling a small girl was uproariously pretending to be a dog. Mrs. Hanna alarmed the guest by showing signs of tearfulness. There had been another terrible editorial in the *Leader*! Mr. Hanna read whatever his enemy Mr. Cowles had written about him that day, grunted softly, and made the newspaper into a roll which he tossed to his youngest child. Miss Ruth Hanna fell on it and worried it.

"Marcus! You're not going to let her eat that ink!"

"Well, old lady," said Mr. Hanna, "it's the same kind of ink we use on the *Herald*."

Gentlemen now swarmed into the house and a couple more arrived when the crowd was seated. Mrs. Hanna told Mr. Adler, shy at her right, that she never knew how many there would be for any meal; Mr. Hanna loved company. Yet, the new-comer asked, were they all in the theatrical business? Mrs. Hanna explained that her husband was interested in coal and iron, and owned the *Herald* as well as the opera-house, and was managing a street railway.

"But," she said, "you're from New York. I suppose the only man you've ever heard of in Cleveland is Johnny Rockefeller."

Down the table they were talking of actors. A florid, jolly boy announced that he didn't like Henry

Irving. This Irving was a player who established a character with the audience by ten minutes of lucid and graceful performance and then, just when you didn't expect it, allowed his art to change into something richer and so strange. He gargled, hurtled, writhed, and yawped through some passage of simple emotion. He made Shylock a gibbering neurasthenic at one side of the stage and took him off at the other side as a nobly dignified English gentleman; he appeared as King Arthur in armor designed by Burne-Jones and declaimed for ten minutes so intensely that nobody knew what he was saying at all, but applauded him in a daze of apprehension lest they should not do the right thing. He became a fetich of the latter nineteenth century and was supposed to have contributed something to the pooled culture of England and America. Young Daniel Hanna did not like this actor, he said, because he was not like a human being.

"Don't you be a fool, Dan," Mr. Hanna grunted. "Why, you don't want a tragedian to act like a human being, do you? There wouldn't be any fun in that!"

But he judged comedians differently and watched them from his box in the Euclid Avenue Opera House with the attention he gave to a machine's first movement in a rolling-mill or to the conversation of his youngest child. Joseph Jefferson, William Florence, James Lewis, and the younger men as they rose were valued and discussed. His mouth would stiffen in contempt if a salty scene was allowed to drop into fool-

ery; Mr. Hanna became an ugly, disapproving mask suspended above the public, scowling at the stage. When disapprobation was final, he began to rub an ear with a fist, and his emotion might take him out for a cigar in the lobby. If a play went sour, he was precisely the disappointed boy.

Actors loved him, because he complimented their profession by understanding it. He knew the mechanics of their game — how a fast exit was made and how one fell without breaking one's knees or smote down a villain without bruising one's knuckles. There were also big suppers at his club and occasional generous loans. He was not so familiar toward actresses. That night in 1884 he was taken into the dressing-room of Mr. Adler's bright lady, but stood silent, perhaps not sure that he was privileged in beholding her.

He became a habit of Henry Adler, idle, rich, and melancholy, as cultivated Jews so often were in that period of American society. When his lady led the boy to Cleveland he would drop in at the offices of Hanna and Company and sit for an hour beside Mark Hanna's desk while shipping men, naval architects, customers for coal and iron, and little officials of the swelling city filed in and out. He discovered why his broker in New York had never heard of the mighty Mr. Hanna. This was a lord of the Great Lakes. The formula of his father's success served Mr. Hanna. His iron ships rolled up to Milwaukee and Duluth to fetch back ore for the mills at Cleveland in gross

quantity. He had allies in all the ports, men held to him by affection without contracts. But a hundred miles from the edge of the Lakes, Mr. Hanna ceased to be. He was blotted out in the mere size of America, and in New York he was unknown. Mr. Adler saw him walk through a crowd of bankers and business men one crowded night at Delmonico's with his youngest brother, Leonard, without getting a nod. That was in 1888.

He had the fascination of a constant surprise for the clever idler. He knew so much; he knew nothing. An English tourist suggested that the United States would still be colonies of England if the Stamp Act had not been passed. Hanna thought and shook his head. No, the colonies and England would have quarreled in the nineteenth century as soon as the question of immigration from European countries commenced to be important. And his memory had picked up the system of Indian government with which to floor this same tourist in the same talk. And then he didn't know what the letters M.F.H. meant, or what the Reichstag was. He did not know the name of any eminent painter then alive, except James Whistler, but he spoke shrewdly and tartly about pictures sometimes, for his mechanical sense made Mr. Hanna keen on lines and curves. "He was certainly," Mr. Adler wrote in 1904, "one of the best and worst informed men I have ever known. . . ."

Just before Christmas in 1889 Mr. Adler's lady

played in Cleveland and her victim walked into the office of Hanna and Company, and into a confusion of clerks around a weeping charwoman at whom Mr. Hanna was fairly roaring. To one side of this mess stood a beautiful little personage in a frock-coat who was trying to soothe Mr. Hanna. The rich man's eyes were yellow in anger and he would not stop shouting even when the Honorable William McKinley said, deeply, "Hanna, I'm ashamed of you!" Yesterday the major had been playing with a gold piece which he kept in his purse because his men gave it to him at the end of the war. He had left it on Hanna's desk when the millionaire took him away to dinner. And now where was it? Mr. Hanna did not care what she had done with it. He wasn't going to put her in jail. But, he shouted, she was to get back that "same, identical God-damn" coin from her husband or the saloon or the grocer, or, by God, he would find out who her priest was and tell him on her! . . . About then the gold piece rattled on Mr. Hanna's desk from the woman's hand. She slumped on her knees, inelegantly, and howled for pardon. Mr. Hanna stepped back from the suppliant and began to rub his ear. "Aw, get out of here! I'm not going to hurt you!"

Presently Mr. Adler was taking supper at The Players in New York with Lawrence Barrett and mentioned that one of the actors in the poor melodrama by Mr. Oscar Wilde in which Mr. Barrett was appearing looked like Marcus Hanna of Cleveland. The cold,



superior tragedian positively warmed to an austere beam. He put his elbows on the table and talked about Hanna for an hour, saying that Mr. Hanna knew more of machinery than many engineers. "He's a human machine himself," the player said, "with a heart of gold." And then, on Mr. Adler's next visit to Cleveland, he saw the human machine at its function. Mr. Hanna sat on the floor of his office with Howard and Leonard Hanna perched behind him on his desk, all watching the model of a dynamo as it tried to lift a weight hitched to a tiny crane. There was an engineer to discuss things with the nervous inventor, but Mark Hanna gave judgment. He had nodded to Mr. Adler as if he had seen him yesterday, when his admirer came in. Then he went on studying his toy. . . . Would this thing do, or wouldn't it? . . . It wouldn't. His big head fell back. He looked at the inventor and said, "Not for me!"

He probably rejected Thomas Reed in the same fashion. He liked Mr. Reed, but Mr. Reed was not for him. He brought Mr. Reed out into Ohio to speak in William McKinley's campaign of 1891 and Reed was charmed by an evening party at Mr. Hanna's house. Cleveland gave the celebrity a good time, but rural Ohio did not like his speeches. The people laughed and applauded, and were not won to him.<sup>7</sup> There was

<sup>7</sup> Mr. Hanna had employ  s listen to the effect of Mr. Reed on the crowds, he told my father. He never took Reed seriously as a possible President, his brothers assured Mr. Adler. I am told that a letter of Mr. Roosevelt to Nicholas Robertson, of San Francisco, makes a contrary asser-

nothing Lincolnian about Reed, obese, dapper, and sarcastic. He wasn't too friendly when they came up to shake hands after meetings. He was an Eastern product.

The swoop of American affairs between 1890 and 1896 made Mr. Hanna believe that no Easterner would do. In 1890 came the Silver Purchase Act. In 1891 business slackened. In 1892 here was the People's party in convention assembled crying out for government ownership of railroads and telegraphs, and for more silver to keep plenty of money floating into rural banks. The "soft money" heresy of 1868 had come alive again in this new form. Silver was the friend of the lowly and agricultural; silver was something clean and radiant, while gold was a red devil friendly to the rich of Wall Street. Gold was England's weapon against the United States. Gold was all wrong and silver was all right. Gold belonged to the capitalistic East, and silver was mined in the free and democratic West. . . . The Populists wanted other things. Explore the pamphlets and the lumbering speeches and you find the popular election of senators, the restriction of immigration, the subsidized farmer, the income tax, the regulation of rates for common carriers. You find everything, if you look, that had been stirring in the minds of reformers since the Civil War. Emotional

---

tion. But the letter dates from 1896, when Mr. Roosevelt was possibly influenced by his affection for Reed. It is well known that he wanted to see Mr. Reed nominated at Saint Louis in 1896.

politicians are seldom original; Mr. William Jennings Bryan, speaking rhythmic pieces at county fairs and religious festivals, heard all this chatter close to the soil, and listened attentively, his wits absorbed in the task of becoming a great orator.

A great malefactor rose in the East to collect upon himself the grievances of the West. President Grover Cleveland caused the repeal of the Silver Purchase Act in 1893, in the midst of the panic which sent young Bill McCready home from Saint Paul because his employer was ruined outright, and cost Henry Adler the favor of his bright lady, who, as Mark Hanna had warned him, wrung him as one wrings a moist rag and chucked him away when his income was suspended. In 1894 Mr. Cleveland sent federal troops into Illinois to aid in restraining the strikers at Chicago after Mr. George Pullman's stupidity brought about a general strike of railroad men, a thing costing more than has yet been computed. And here Mr. Hanna, furious in Cleveland, displayed himself in his odd duplex nature.

First he raged against Mr. Pullman for failing in common sense. The damned idiot ought to arbitrate, arbitrate and arbitrate! What, for God's sake, did the manufacturer think he was doing? He made a scene in the Union Club, surrounded by gentlemen who rather sympathized with Pullman. Mr. Myron Herrick tried to quiet him. Another friend claimed that Pullman had done fine things for his workmen. There was the town of Pullman, the model suburb, with its neat

homes for workers and its pretty square and library. "Oh, hell!" said Marcus Hanna. "Model —! <sup>8</sup> Go and live in Pullman and find out how much Pullman gets sellin' city water and gas ten per cent higher to those poor fools!" He knew too much to take Mr. Pullman's claims as a philanthropist on the high plane. He knew too much about workingmen not to see that Pullman's stockholders, Eastern and midland, had forced the commonplace millionaire into a folly against the state. "A man who won't meet his men half-way is a God-damn fool!" His words sped out and came into Chicago; in 1896 there was a difficulty in collecting money for the Republican campaign fund from Mr. Pullman's office.

The odious and Eastern Cleveland went on affronting the West. He demanded of Congress that it help him get rid of the fluctuating currency which was making the Treasury a pool for capitalists who could collect gold on notes until the reserve of coin was low and then sell back to the government at their profitable convenience. He blundered and appealed to Wall Street for help in marketing bonds. Wall Street, in the person of Mr. John Pierpont Morgan, dealt out the bonds and collected handsomely for its services. Mr. Cleveland's second term of office was concluding in a series of crashes; it was Wagnerian music, politically expressed.

A certain madness, an apocalyptic tremor, passed

<sup>8</sup> Primordial American noun, compound, meaning latrine.

about the world in this last phase of the nineteenth century. False scientists wrote novels on the end of the terrestrial globe, and flashy magazines printed them. An Englishman fancied the Martians invading London with poisonous smokes and flashing rays of heat that killed. Had the century failed, after all Macaulay had claimed for industrial machinery and progress, to make the world happier? Cheap men wondered what Christ would think of Chicago, as if the Nazarene would have concerned himself with Chicago any more than he valued things rendered to Cæsar which were Cæsar's anyhow. The disintegrating, halted Christian socialism of the century's middle period recurred in terms of emotion. Western speakers read *Progress and Poverty* again and there was talk in Kansas of the houses of Have and Want. Silly people in banks worried about revolutions. . . .

There was a nervous conversation at lunch in the Union Club at Cleveland. Someone got windy about a revolt. This Altgeld and that man Tillman from the South might start something serious, with the Populists following them. Mr. Samuel Mather laughed, saying that all the country needed was some protection for its industries and solid money. He left the room. Heads shook. Mr. Hanna took his cigar out of his mouth and grunted, "Sam's right. There won't be any revolution. You're just a lot of damn fools."

That autumn all the youngsters who called him Uncle Mark and hunted his advice on details of busi-

## THE HUMAN MACHINE

ness had a chill. Uncle Mark had quit! He had handed over his share of Hanna and Company to his brother Leonard. He was through. . . . He had taken a house in the South, at Thomasville in Georgia. It was incredible. But it was true. Mr. Hanna had retired from business. He really had not time to manage Hanna and Company and squabble about franchises of the street railways when he had to make William McKinley President of the United States.

### III

The Republican National Convention would convene on June 16th, 1896, at Exposition Hall in Saint Louis, but Mr. William Collins Beer arrived with the haste of all political amateurs on the tenth of June. He arrived perspiring; heat was already in possession of Saint Louis, and his room in the Southern Hotel was a decorated oven. He was full of ideas and advice which he yearned to bestow on the high powers of the party at once. He wanted to have the United States openly join the nations of Europe in declaring for the gold standard in finance. The National Surety Company had transferred him to New York in 1893; he had met Europeans and had dined with bankers and presidents of life-insurance companies. His pockets were full of letters introducing him to Eastern delegates, and he knew many delegates from the states of Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, and California. He wanted to meet the grandees. He was told that

he must meet Mr. Hanna before he met anybody else.

He recoiled. Since April, Marcus Alonzo Hanna had been revealed in the newspapers owned by William Randolph Hearst as an amalgam of all sins. He was foulness compact. He was the Red Boss of Cleveland's politics. The town council trembled when he sent minions to address it. He had stolen a theater from poor John Ellsler, foreclosing a cruel mortgage and rejecting the man's pleas for time.<sup>9</sup> He ruled Cleveland from his office, terrorizing unions and ruining rival street railways. He sent poor sailors, forced on his ships by bestial labor masters, out to sea on the wintry Lakes, cold and starving, unpaid and mutinous. He had bought the poor old *Herald* and then had wrecked it, which meant that he had sold his newspaper after five years of steady loss, in 1885. He had corrupted William McKinley's government of Ohio. He was a hypocrite as well, affecting to be a strong churchman and drawing down the curtains of his house on the Lake when he had guests to Sunday dinner. Now the Red Boss lay in wait at Saint Louis with a train of purchased Southern Republicans, ready to make McKinley the candidate of his party.

It was too wide an indictment for anybody to swallow whole, but Mr. Beer did not want to meet Marcus Alonzo Hanna. William McKinley was an old friend of his father. He would wait until he recognized some

<sup>9</sup> See Appendix

honest associate of the major. He hung about for two days, boiling his arguments for the gold standard in his head and drinking ice water. Then he gave in, and let Mr. Joseph Kimball lead him to Mr. Hanna's den on the floor below his own room in the Southern Hotel.

Mr. Hanna was a quiet object in a gray, plain suit, deep in a chair beside a bottle of mineral water, and placid as the bottle, in a room packed with vociferous personalities. The only other placid thing in the room was a tall, comely gentleman, excellently clad, who leaned on a wall and fanned himself with a newspaper. Mr. Beer knew Myron Herrick by sight. They had met in the office of John McCall, president of the New York Life Insurance Company. But the other men were strangers, and, somehow, this heart of the great party wasn't imposing. Mr. Beer decided, suddenly, that the grandees were just noisy, worried men. They did not stop talking when he was presented to Mr. Hanna. Under cover of the noise Mr. Hanna's memory now ticked, adjusting itself to the problem standing before him, very hot.

"You from Ohio? . . . Son of Judge Beer?" The young man was startled. "H'm, your dad cost some friends of mine in the oil business a lot of money once." The young man was pleased. "Some Democrat judges," said Mr. Hanna, impersonally, "are a damn sight more honest than lots of Republican judges. . . . Let's see —" the memory caught at something — "Got an uncle down at Ashland, haven't



you?" But, the young man thought, this is omniscience! "And now," said the Red Boss, "what's it you want to tell us about the platform?"

The amateur spoke. There had to be a statement in the Republican platform promising outright that the party stood for the gold standard. He had heard that Mr. Hanna was a bimetallist and he knew that McKinley had dallied with Free Silver in a cautious speech. He now attacked Mr. Hanna with statistics and financial reports. He soared into complexities of English banking and hopped the width of Europe to speak of Russia. He quoted from the resolutions of European conferences and congresses. He recited the wisdom of Mr. John McCall, although Mr. McCall was a Democrat. The grandees listened. Mr. Beer discovered in himself a talent for oratory and he talked and talked. Ferocious voices answered out of the jam. Two Westerners retorted with arguments from essays of theoretical professors. Mr. Beer's rapid tenor voice shut them up. He had begun by talking to Mr. Herrick and Mr. Hanna. He was now personally identified with the forces of sound finance; he was the gold standard defending itself against Free Silver. He threw out an emotion while his collar wilted and his clothes darkened with sweat. His throat was raw when he stopped talking.

"Very interesting," said Mr. Hanna.

The others were more cordial. Mr. Herrick asked the young man to dine. Mr. Beer walked, dripping,

down the corridor with Mr. Kimball, sure that he had saved the United States from another panic. When Mr. Kimball cackled and fell against a wall, he could not imagine what was the matter with the gentle little man from Arizona.

"You're about the hundredth person who's made that speech in there. Don't mind me!"

Mr. Beer went off to his bedroom and sternly took a bath. His father had always warned him that Republicans were coldly perfidious. He had been allowed to entertain the Red Boss and the rest of them for half an hour. He would leave Saint Louis directly. He would report to Mr. McCall and the president of the National Surety Company that Mark Hanna was a thug and a churl. He was moping in fresh underclothes on his bed, waiting for a page to bring him up more iced lemonade, when Mr. Hanna trundled in, bearing a cigar and a long sheet of typed names.

"Know any of these men, son?"

The bruised amateur looked down the list. He saw that this was the mass of the Silver faction in the party, delegates, bankers, editors of newspapers. Yes, he said, he had met many of them on his trips for the National Surety. He said so with a deal of injured dignity. Mark Hanna stared at him.

"All these men are in town already. Go and talk to 'em. Feed 'em. If you run out of money, come to me. If you can't catch me, see Herrick. If he ain't around, get hold of Andrew Squire."

Mr. Beer had plenty of money for dinners. But just what did the man want? He asked, "Am I to say that you —"

"You're not to say anything about me. You," said Mr. Hanna, "go and talk gold to those men. Tell them everything you told us."

He was not even polite. He trundled out. But the dynamo in the gray suit had energized the bruised young man on the bed. It is emblematic that Mark Hanna was one of the first, if not absolutely the first, of industrialists to attach a dynamo directly to a machine. He had the quality possessed also by Theodore Roosevelt and Tom Johnson in his times. He could energize. Mr. Beer pulled on his clothes and went into operation, armed with many lozenges for his delicate throat, certain that Mr. Hanna was for gold. He commenced a round of the hotels and wallowed in the society of men who wanted something done for Silver.

This Silver faction was partly made up of bimetalists, men who believed, quite correctly, that there was nothing wrong with a double standard of money. There was nothing wrong with bimetallism, except that international finance condemned it. The trouble of these gentlemen was that finance was not international to them, but American. They still did not comprehend what James Garfield had explained to Congress in 1868. Truly, they were victims of their sentiment for the American life. Money-making was the permitted field of the search for power in that

America, and they had grown up in that rhythm. Men worked; work was life. And while that rhythm, now broken, lasted in its strength, this American life satisfied; they were happy men, memorable in their enthusiasms, more charming than their sons who cannot believe in business as they believed.

But the bimetallists who thought that American interest was somehow damaged by submitting to the rules of world-finance were in a minority. The bulk of the Silver faction was made up, candidly, of the politicians to whom silver-mining was a home industry, a local issue. They wanted to see the Silver Purchase Act of 1890 restored and maintained; in other words they wanted the silver mines subsidized at the expense of the United States. They were bitter with fright and selfishness, of course, and among them moved quietly Mr. William Jennings Bryan suggesting that, if the Republican party rejected their patriotic enterprise on behalf of the plain people, they would find friends in the Democratic party.<sup>10</sup>

There were plenty of bitter Republicans entering Saint Louis from the East on every fast train, followers of Thomas Reed, and henchmen of Thomas Platt and Matthew Quay. They came to the convention knowing that this unknown monster of Cleveland had already secured William McKinley's nomination. His allies had caused the Republican conventions of nine

<sup>10</sup> Mr. Bryan was reporting the convention for a newspaper in Omaha. His own memoirs assert that he "conferred" with the Silver delegates.

states to pledge delegates to support Mr. McKinley, and the Southern Republicans of the "rotten boroughs," as Foraker called them, were loyal to the major. Mr. Hanna could thumb his nose at the Eastern bosses and the Eastern aspirants. His hero had refused to promise offices to Platt and Quay in exchange for their favors. All right, Mr. Hanna could do without the Eastern machines. His own machine supervened. The clever men of the party knew what had happened; Mr. Hanna, of Cleveland, Ohio, was the master of this occasion. But that was not enough. Mr. Hanna was doing what he should not; instead of being solemn and dignified, Mr. Hanna was amused. His mouth wiggled into grins as he stood in the lobby of the Southern Hotel with reporters purring and clawing his sleeves; he was openly entertained at his own show.

Meanwhile his human machine worked in the boiling of hot, discomfited men in those hot rooms and restaurants. Five unpaid amateurs were tackling the Silver faction. Mr. Beer spent all one night in useless argument with Richard Pettigrew of South Dakota, and crossed trails with another amateur in the rooms of Senator Teller of Colorado. Mr. Hanna himself was working out a subtle bit of fencing with a less public faction. Experts saw that a committee of the American Protective Association, the half-secret anti-Catholic society, was worming after Mark Hanna as he flitted from hotel to hotel. A messenger shot from

Hanna's quarters to a quiet lawyer in Saint Paul on the fastest train and, suddenly, there was a vehement telegram from the great Monsignor Ireland demanding that the Republican party should not disgrace itself by any action against his sect. The telegram was published. There could be no further question of conciliating the A.P.A. Mr. Hanna was much surprised by Monsignor Ireland's telegram.<sup>11</sup> He was about to be described by a sensitive Eastern observer as "coarse, insolent and incapable of tact in the detail of politics. . . ." What is tact?

His machinery moved in triple time. He had three problems. He must conciliate the East, if he could. He must keep the Silver faction in the party, if he could. He must clearly have the convention declare for the gold standard in its published platform. A declaration for sound currency would be insufficient. So he blended two of his problems. Let the Easterners themselves force him to declare for gold, then, by all means. The grandees were heavily telling him that he must get a firm statement for the gold standard written into the platform, and they went on telling him so through Saturday and Sunday. Next autumn several dignitaries muddled the rill of history by declaring

<sup>11</sup> Mr. Maury in *Wars of the Godly* correctly points out that Ireland's telegram kept the religious row alive and really strengthened the A.P.A. But the pressure on Mr. Hanna was serious. Many of the delegates from the central states were touched by Protestant bigotry. Senator Allison of Iowa had a violent scene with a man named Murchison who attacked him before my father and John Baldwin on behalf of the A.P.A. Saint Louis was full of religious busybodies, on both sides of the quarrel.

that they, severally, had caused the words "the existing gold standard must be maintained" to be written into the platform. Three Eastern politicians made this assertion and, in sketches of the episode, written by Easterners of recent times, their assertions still seem to be believed. But on Friday night Senator Redfield Proctor of Vermont told Charles Gleed, a Vermont man transplanted in Topeka, Kansas, that the wording of the sentence had been accepted by Mr. McKinley and Mr. Hanna before dinner. On Saturday morning William Merriam of Minnesota whispered to Mr. Beer that a gold standard plank was in the platform. At half past nine the young amateur telegraphed to the National Surety Company: "It is all right." However, the Easterners continued on Saturday and Sunday to force Mr. Hanna to declare for gold. Mr. Thomas Platt, Mr. Henry Cabot Lodge, Mr. Edward Lauterbach, and several others addressed this dunder-headed millionaire, with the authority of older politicians and cultivated financiers. His reluctance showed them what a fool he must be. They suffered a great deal.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>12</sup> "Why does Hanna not come out and tell these prominent burglars the truth? The gold standard sentence was in the platform by Friday night or else Proctor and Merriam are a couple of liars. He is pretty deep. . . ." Charles Gleed to William C. Beer, November 20th, 1896.

"I think Mr. Hanna was right to let it seem that the eastern end of the party forced his hand in declaring for the gold standard. Herrick and he had it all arranged when they came to Saint Louis. Lodge never got there until Sunday. He came on the same train I did. His claim is just one of his conceited pieces of bragging. I suppose he thinks he had something to do with it." Bruce Higginson to William C. Beer, August 1st, 1896.

Late on Sunday night the dunder-headed millionaire made a play for Senator Cannon of Utah, the best speaker of the Silver faction. He did not know Senator Cannon, even by sight, and Cannon had pungently refused to meet the Red Boss. But William Beer had soothed and blandished a follower of Mr. Cannon, a jolly parasite named Ira Gillis, and he led this conquest to Mr. Hanna's rooms. Mr. Hanna received his callers informally, in a nightshirt, and demanded at once their opinion on his ankles. Some red blotches had appeared. Were they hives? He would not be assured that this was just prickly heat.

"A fortune teller told me this spring I was going to have a bad summer. I had hives all the summer of the Centennial. I can't stand 'em!"

He tramped the room, directing his attack on Mr. Gillis. Gillis could tell the Senator that Mr. McKinley would send a commission to Europe to find out whether an agreement in favor of international bi-metallism could be reached. No reason why all that silver should be wasted. He and the major both hated to think of it. But you couldn't run the country on depreciated currency. He picked up a bit of cold toast from a tray. If all the nations of the world agreed this toast was money, it was money, and gold would be worthless as silver, and silver would be more worthless than it was now. Couldn't Cannon and Teller and Pettigrew see reason? . . . Mr. Beer saw this stout, worried man in the flapping nightshirt become



dignified, leaning on a little table and holding a piece of greasy toast as he talked in harsh, short sentences. . . . Did the Silver Senators want to see another panic? Didn't they know that, back east and as far as Chicago, able workmen were starving and shopgirls going on the streets? He promised that the major would do what he could for Silver. And if Mr. Cannon had been reading the stuff in the newspapers about M. A. Hanna, let him wire any man in Cleveland, even Tom Johnson, by God, and see if M. A. Hanna ever broke a contract! He had promised; he would have a commission sent.

"I've been in the coal and iron business pretty near thirty years. You go and roust out a man that's done business with me and see if I don't fill my contracts!"

Mr. Beer left him talking and did not see Mr. Hanna again until the convention assembled, but he saw Mr. Gillis and even tried his hand on the decorative Senator from Utah. He was sitting in the gallery close to Gillis when the gold plank was read out. Mr. Cannon's friend turned to grin at him. An hour later Teller and Cannon had made their speeches. The Silver delegates washed from the hall, and even Henry Cabot Lodge joined in the yells as the rebels stalked out.

Nominations were now in order. William Allison, of Iowa, was nominated by John Baldwin. Thomas Reed was proposed by Henry Lodge. Levi Morton, of New York, was inaudibly tendered by Chauncey Depew. Senator Joseph Benson Foraker of Ohio then put the

name of William McKinley in nomination, never looking toward Mr. Hanna. The applause lasted twenty-five minutes. . . . The obedient Governor of Pennsylvania now nominated Matthew Quay, who had sense of humor and liked to display it indirectly. The roll of the states was called. Mr. McKinley had six hundred sixty-one and one-half votes. His nomination was made unanimous. Delegates bawled for Hanna, and people stood up in the galleries for a first glimpse of this new power. Young Bill McCready jumped, recognizing the plain citizen who had been so friendly at Saint Paul as the plump figure appeared on the platform. . . . Mark Hanna faced the crowd and barked out some limping sentences, his hands behind his back, his face watering his collar, and then he beamed at the collected party just as a child smiles when its birthday cake comes to the table.

People ebbed downward from the gallery. Mr. Beer saw a group around his wife's cousin, John Baldwin, who had nominated Senator Allison, and walked over to join it. He was standing with ladies in the medley when a tall, dramatic man shoved past him to shake hands with Mr. Baldwin, rolling out a flattery on "your beautiful oratorical effort . . ." in a wonderful, clear voice. Men from Omaha and Council Bluffs grinned at each other. Why couldn't Bill Bryan have said what he wanted to say without all that bosh about beautiful oratorical efforts? . . . But the ladies

turned their little feathered hats as Mr. Bryan's curly dark head steered away into the press.

## IV

"The oddest thing about Hanna," Theodore Roosevelt said, on November 13th, 1916, "was that numbers of intelligent people thought him a fool. . . ." This impression, to be sure, had been riveted by the cartoons in the spring of 1896 producing Mr. Hanna as an obese money-bag or a stupid man lugging a hurdy-gurdy, with McKinley as a dejected monkey hitched to his master's wrist. None of that, though, excuses the subtle bankers and political lawyers in New York who, at a conference before the first of July, decided on McKinley's cabinet for him. Having decided on the cabinet, they went the further length of offering each other places in it, or "sounding out" various men to find that posts would be accepted. Colonel John McCook was airily appointed Attorney General of the United States in this manner, and Mr. Chauncey Depew, it was heard from the neighborhood of his offices, was to be Secretary of the Treasury. As for Mr. Hanna, he was said to be yachting off the coast of Maine, on the second of July, and they did not bother about him. Perhaps he would like to be an ambassador or something. Mr. Hanna was in Cleveland, really, but, for all the New Yorkers cared, he might be at Monte Carlo. Out of sight was out of mind. Soon enough these smokes were blown askew. The

voice of Mr. William Jennings Bryan sounded from Chicago, in the swells and pauses of a beautiful oratorical effort, and the East became poignantly aware of a great leader in the West, acclaimed as a new Lincoln, a son of the plain people, nominated by the ecstatic accord of the plain people.

At the time of Mr. Bryan's death, editors refused to print articles on his career in which commentators mentioned that his nomination by the Democrats at Chicago in 1896 wasn't a thunderbolt. But since 1925 Mr. Silas Kent and Mr. Charles Willis Thompson have asserted the truth: Mr. Bryan had a considerable following before he appeared in Chicago, and it had been guessed by several Republican observers that he might win the Democratic candidacy. Senator Allison of Iowa, among others, accounted Bryan a dangerous man in May of 1896. So did Harvey Scott of the *Oregonian*, out in Portland. So did Charles Gleed in Topeka, Kansas. So did Redfield Proctor in Vermont. Their letters on the topic exist. Nevertheless, the melodrama of his appearance and his famous speech are dear possessions of history, and your great-grandsons will probably read in schoolbooks that this handsome fanatic conquered the Democratic convention in 1896 by a single speech and so made himself a political hero. It will be true and untrue. He would not have been nominated except that he seized the nomination with cordial intent, and his speech was a deliberated, reliable method of seizure.

Properly analyzed, this address is an arpeggio of appeals to popular belief and prejudice, each paragraph calculated to rouse emotion. It contains a fuse for the conceit of the pioneer, a defiance of England, a gesture toward the cemetery, and a suggestion of Christian martyrdom. He made the Republican party wicked by four strokes of the voice and lifted himself into the position he kept until death took the American people from him. He became a moral force, as uncritical persons understand morality, and his more critical opponents had to resort to calling him wicked in turn. That Mr. Bryan, enemy of capitalism, was the half-conscious agent of a faction of capitalists, the silver-miners, and that he proposed to crucify the plain people on a cross of depreciated silver are obvious facts. His sincerity is no longer important. "If you tell a crowd of Americans that you are a good man," said David Graham Phillips, "they will politely take you at your word. . . ." Mr. Bryan's virtue was announced. He was nominated for the presidency of the United States and at once began operations as an eager artist in success.

One of his most efficient enemies was a wrecked, fidgeting middle-aged lady in the small town of Canton, Ohio. She was effective in a quiet but condign manner. By direction of his friends Major McKinley stayed at home, speaking to deputations and pilgrimages from every quarter of the United States. These deputations couldn't get off the train at Canton with-

out learning that McKinley was a devoted husband to an epileptic wife. Ladies often came with their own husbands to meet the Republican candidate and were impressed, although Mrs. McKinley was not displayed, and the curiosity of the deputations as to her health annoyed McKinley so acutely that several times he had her driven off to the farm of an old friend when his front lawn would be full of Republican pilgrims. But sympathy for the gentle little man poured out of Canton as the pilgrims retired. While women in crowds everywhere gulped as William Jennings Bryan spoke of God's will, and his curls glowed and his eyes flashed, other women talked of William McKinley's virtues. And everything was grist that came to mill in the summer of 1896.

The general tone of talk at Republican headquarters in Chicago and New York was angry confidence. Of course McKinley would thrash Bryan, and thrash him completely. But there was an undertone. Mr. Hanna's machinery worked with a delightful smoothness. There seemed plenty of money for the pay of speakers, for brass bands, bunting, golden elephants of paper or metal, and posters. Only on July 20th the major was almost fantastically glad to hear that the population of Nantucket Island, off Massachusetts, was solidly Republican, and on July 29th Mr. Hanna acknowledged a load of Republican pledges and some news from Connecticut forwarded to him by way of Canton with a singular warmth. Everything seemed

all right in New York. Clergy and bankers and owners of huge department stores denounced Free Silver cordially. Mr. Cornelius Bliss received journalists at the Republican bureau and talked with grave assurance. Good news was always coming in and going out. But on August 5th John Hay wrote to Henry White in London: "I find the feeling a little nervous; unnecessarily so, I think. I talked with Hanna and some of the Executive Committee, and while there is nothing like dread of defeat, there is a clear comprehension that Bryan will get the votes of a good many others of his kind, and that it will require more work than we thought necessary last spring to beat him. . . ."

Mr. Hanna came unostentatiously to New York on August 3rd and conferred with selections of the leaders. The feeling, as Hay wrote, was a little nervous. Americans were then more dignified, if they were men, than they are today and it was not considered form to display fright. It trickled out among the workers of the party that there was a good deal to be done. Mr. Hanna's idea of what was to be done scandalized Colonel John McCook so that he scribbled to William Beer: "I wish that Hanna would not talk so freely about money. But I know that we are going to need more. It is disappointing that a Democrat like [John] McCall has more sense of the real situation than Mr. Depew. Depew's attitude is simply disgusting. It is turning out the stiffest campaign since the war. . . ."

It was, but the Lincolnian figure was not in the Republican party, and Mr. Hanna knew that a lot of money was needed against the shadow of the Liberator. Bryan's weakness, as Hanna knew, was what Harry Thurston Peck rhetoricized in 1905; Mr. Bryan had picked up the wrong weapon. He was making his campaign on Free Silver. "He's talking Silver all the time," said Mr. Hanna, "and that's where we've got him. . . ." He sat in a chair at the Union League Club with another bottle of mineral water at his elbow and faced the New Yorkers, his cane across his knees. The proper Republicans of the metropolis were upset and stood staring at the obtuse coal-merchant from Cleveland who thought they should raise three million more dollars for the campaign fund. Money! Wouldn't he see that revolt was threatening? It was very well to say that Mr. Bryan was not in favor of abolishing the Supreme Court or confiscating railroads and telegraphs. Those were notions of the Populists. But the Populists were backing this bastard Lincoln! Altgeld was a maniac, ready to plunder the cities in Bryan's name. A somber wave thundered from the West. And Mr. Hanna sat talking of speakers and brass bands and educational pamphlets on sound money when the world was turning upside down, as if mere words and music could stop this thing.

Something furious stirred the air around heads of children on sea beaches. Ladies were gasping, with



hand on corsets, about rebellion and the horrible things John or Mason had told them about Mr. Bryan. Mr. Bryan was a black tower, like the Iron Man in *The Garden Behind the Moon*, smoking as he strode about in a clangor of bands, inciting revolution. You might be told that Mr. Bryan was a harmless person, normally resident in Lincoln, Nebraska, who thought the country would be better off if silver dollars were coined at a ratio of sixteen to one gold dollar. But even the voice of a father assuring you that he'd often talked to Mr. Bryan in Omaha and Lincoln without being damaged was not conclusive. Bridget could soothe. The matter was simple to her in the kitchen, because Monsignor Ireland himself had spoken against this crazy man from out west, and the saints were with Mr. McKinley, that was good to his wife in her trouble, and so don't you fret, and, for God's sake, be taking that dog out of this place, now! Still it was slightly awful to be a child in the summer of 1896.

The East took fright and high regions of the Republican party were troubled. Mr. Hanna did not seem to be spending enough money on New York. The metropolis heard that Mr. Hanna was trying to carry the midland states for McKinley. But the metropolitans saw no sense in that. John McCook was exasperated and delivered a whole lecture on the importance of the midlands to an audience in the Union League Club one afternoon, and Mr. Theodore Roosevelt followed up his stately exposition of the point

with a few words. The metropolitans were not convinced. A young man of large means and advertised name drawled that he had arranged for a *maisonnette* in Versailles. If a man like this Bryan was going to run the country, he would certainly leave it. He then left the room. Mr. Roosevelt said in falsetto, "There might be compensations in seeing Mr. Bryan elected!" But it was a serious little meeting, for Mr. Bryan was soon to accept the Democratic nomination before a mob in Madison Square Garden. People were betting that the new Liberator would carry New York and Connecticut. And this fool of a Hanna was wasting money on the middle West!

Mr. Hanna returned to New York. He was there on August 15th, again, in a gray suit, drinking mineral water. Also there was James J. Hill, the railroad king, who had words to say in certain offices. Mr. William Rockefeller did some rapid telephoning from his house in the Hudson valley. Mr. Cornelius Bliss went personally, in a closed carriage, from place to place around the lower end of the city. Late at night twenty or thirty checks were in Mr. Hanna's wallet, and a new phase commenced in the high regions of the party. The bluff of the Wall Street set had been called, in one day, and a number of men who'd been talking of the sums they had paid to the Republican campaign fund had now actually paid those sums. There was also a new tone in remarks made about the dunder-headed millionaire from Cleveland. "The feeling about

Mr. H.," William Beer writes on August 20th, to Mr. Harvey Fleming in Kansas City, "has changed. He has made a lot of these people see that he knows what he is doing. But there is a bad scare here. . . ."

Pastors, politicians, and even some gentlewomen broke out and raved against Mr. Bryan. The scare was bad. Behind the plunging orator in his private car was this wave of queer names and uncomprehended identities, Altgeld, the anarchist, Debs, the socialist, Populists, and Silver Republicans. Bryan was cursed grotesquely, in speeches violent with fear. And under the fear was a little justification. An element of the Populists did a good deal of vicious talking on street corners and in railroad yards. Threats were chalked on coaches of the fast express trains. A spell-binder named Fletcher dropped in a fit as he howled against the Rockefellers in a crowd at Coney Island on the first of September. Cartoons showed Hanna and McKinley discussing policies across a whisky bottle. Slander, to be sure, was so current that it moved into McKinley's own party. Men who knew the candidate were cornered and asked, confidentially now, if McKinley wasn't pretty much of a sot. And wasn't there something funny about his wife? <sup>18</sup>

<sup>18</sup> "I know that Mr. Hanna has refused to answer any of the libels as to himself. His position is sound. But I think this low campaign against Mr. and Mrs. McKinley ought to be dealt with. . . . The offices [of Wells, Fargo and Company] report that scandals are in circulation in Missouri and Kansas. Several men of some intelligence have asked me if it is true that Mrs. McKinley is an English spy. These reports prejudice a certain

The failure of Mr. Bryan's speech of acceptance in New York, introduced at unutterable length by the Governor of Missouri, did not cheer the men at Republican headquarters. On September 8th John Hay wrote to Henry Adams: "He [Mr. Bryan] has succeeded in scaring the Goldbugs out of their five wits; if he had scared them a little they would have come down handsome to Hanna. But he has scared them so blue that they think they had better keep what they have got left in their pockets against the evil day." On the same day he wrote to Mrs. Charlton Paull: "And are you going to join the grand exodus from our wayward native land that is to occur when the Goldbugs are squashed under the heel of Silver's champion? Many of my friends are saving their money for the purchase of suitable residences in Paris. Shall we next meet on the Place de la République?" He might be gay on paper, but he was privately rasped. He broke out, in that week, dashing a newspaper from him, "They dare

---

kind of second rate citizen intensely. . . ." Dudley Evans to William C. Beer, August 27th, 1896.

"Horrible stories are being told about McKinley's habits by Popocrats out here. He is called a common drunk and a deadbeat. A letter of Tom Johnson is circulated showing him up as a general reprobate who swindled the state of Ohio out of three millions on some deal for new poor houses. . . . You have no idea how the country brethren love free Silver. Tried to explain to one yesterday that 16 to 1 does not mean he will have 16 times the money he has now. He called me a liar. . . ." Nicholas Lemke, in Fresno, California, to William C. Beer, August 30th, 1896.

"We are all of the opinion that it would do harm to answer the slanders on Mrs. McKinley. My brother thinks it would make the matter worse. . . ." Howard Melville Hanna to Cornelius Bliss, September 1, 1896.

to call this mountebank a new Lincoln!" And this hurt, everywhere. It roused old generals of the Civil War; it disgusted a son of Robert Lee; it even made men shrug who had not loved Abraham Lincoln. Yet there it was, the image of the tall man from the West, the new folk-hero.

On the 9th of September William Beer was quietly summoned to the office of Cornelius Bliss in New York and there told that an idea, proposed to Mr. McKinley through Julius Whiting of Canton, had been approved at last by Mr. Hanna. Mr. Beer was to be allowed to conduct a special train containing some famous veterans of the Civil War through the West and middle West, making a demonstration for Major McKinley. Mr. Beer knew that his invention was already accepted by Hanna. Howard Hanna had sent him word from Cleveland that the generals were being collected. But he beamed on Mr. Bliss and said he was proud of the trust conferred on him by the party. He knew all the right things to say, by this time, for he had created an awkwardness at a luncheon by observing that some converted Democrats, speaking in the metropolis for Mr. McKinley on salary, were not worth what it had cost to convert them. This episode taught him that the inner mechanism of political conversion should not be mentioned abruptly. So he beamed at Mr. Bliss and reached for his hat. . . . The grandee twiddled a cigar on a brass tray, glancing at the shut door. As Mr. Beer had to report to Mr. Hanna in Chicago, would he

— er — deliver a list of suggestions made by some — well — important people to Mr. Hanna. Bliss allowed his flat mortification to appear. But these people were handsome contributors to the funds, and. . . Mr. Beer copied down a list of sixteen suggestions and told Mr. Bliss that he expected to be kicked out of Mark Hanna's office; the important people, vestrymen of correct churches and what not, were willing to turn the election into a religious feud. They had listened to anti-Catholic tattle. They proposed to antagonize every Irishman in the United States by hinting that Monsignor Ireland's support of McKinley was insincere, and accusing prominent communicants of the Catholic Church of secretly aiding Altgeld's "German anarchists." Nevertheless, the list must go to Marcus Hanna, since these were important people, as importance was judged in New York. One of them gave exigent balls and another had been an ambassador, and another saw himself in Mr. McKinley's cabinet as Secretary of War.

Mr. Hanna was checking over a new pamphlet on sound money when the bearer of this idiotic document came before him on September 13th. After reading the suggestions he said nothing, but Mr. Beer now discovered that Mark Hanna's eyes seemed yellow when he was angry. He threw the paper on his desk and asked in a chugging, metallic voice, "Who gave you that God-damn stuff?" When he was answered, this unpoetic man leaned back in his chair and spoke

in a figure. He was like a weight in the middle of a seesaw, he said, and both ends of the plank had damned fools on them. As for poor Bliss, he couldn't help himself. As for this lot of God-damn sheep in Wall Street, it would serve them right if Bryan kicked them to hell and gone. What were they made of? All you got out of most of them was a thousand dollars and a lot of condescension. If they had been allowed to nominate Tom Reed, Bryan would have walked over them. They could not even see the sense of his simple pamphlets against Free Silver. They couldn't see anything! Give him a farmer or a laboring man who wasn't a lunatic and he could make the man see the fallacy in Bryan's money scheme. "They'll read this stuff," he said, slapping the pamphlets. "It gets on to the table in the sitting-room and they read it!"

His breath gave out. He stopped. Then he was imperious. Mr. Beer was to tear up the list and not to talk about it. Mr. Hanna would send on some thoughts to New York. His ugly mouth relaxed. He began to laugh. It was a funny world! Here he was, with his name mud in the Democratic papers, trying to keep the country out of a panic! He saw the humor of his own position: the Red Boss was the friend of the plain people in this pass, and their champion was their enemy. An irony made the pragmatist outweigh the idealist and moralist. By God, it was a funny world! . . . He chuckled, and roared for his secretary, dictated a curt order to all the railroads, commanding

passage for General Alger and his party, and then rapidly told Mr. Beer how to get along with General Howard and General Sickles.<sup>14</sup>

The young amateur now entered on a crazy period of nights in a circle of cigars — he did not smoke — and heard the Civil War rehearsed in a purely professional way without compliments or sentiments. He dove from the train at all hours into towns still unknown to satire, hunting Bourbon whisky, bottled milk, liver-pills, and mineral waters for his convoy. He had to suppress the grandson of one hero who was learning to use a pea-shooter and tried its range on hats in Kentucky, where gray Confederate coats showed in the crowds alongside the halted train. He lay sleepless while a wooden leg which General Sickles sometimes wore got loose from its place in the darkness and rolled furiously down the line of berths as the train ascended slopes. He descended among the people with Republican pamphlets, or express agents sweated through the mob to meet him with fresh boxes of ammunition, buttons and leaflets and flags. He knew all the words of the speeches that would be made and blessed General Howard for an impromptu,

<sup>14</sup> This conversation is summarized from an extended report rendered by my father to the president of the National Surety Company. The report excludes any description of the questions submitted by the important personages to Mr. Hanna, which were naturally confidential as between Mr. Bliss and Mr. Beer. Mr. Hanna's order to the railroads is interesting, as it is dictated to the general managers of the lines, describing the railroads merely by initials, as "C.B. & Q." It was Mr. Beer's only authority for the transportation of the special train, but no manager questioned it, although the traffic of the roads must have been seriously distracted.



now and then. He fed bandsmen jujubes for their blasted throats and settled a quarrel between a cornet and a bugle over a wench in Nebraska. He struggled with the lunacies of reception committees and county chairmen. He played checkers with General Stewart, and ruined his palate for a day while testing a cocktail made by General Sickles. Outside the train a sentiment shouted, sometimes sobbed, as these old men spoke; inside it, Mr. Beer wondered why anybody ever went into politics. And were not unlimited monarchies the best governments, after all? And were not tribes in Africa happy without money, tariffs, and policies? He hated everybody on cold October mornings when General Sickles whistled an air from *Rigoletto* or General Howard spoke with feeling of the wronged Indians. But he was fighting Free Silver.

So the machine swept against the Democrats in an explosion of pamphlets, blue and gold emblems, placards, and voices. A dynamo whirled inside Mark Hanna's head. This man knew how to carry West Virginia? Send him speakers or money to hire them. Crowds in California liked a lot of music? Give it to 'em! . . . Two or three times in quiet rooms he let friends know his contempt for New York. It had taken Jim Hill and Bliss working like hell to make those sheep see what they must do for him. . . . But the top of the scare was passing. Money poured out of stately offices in New York and Boston. The oiled machine ran smoothly. On October 28th he thanked

Henry Adler for a check, but returned it, writing: "It is all over. Reports are satisfactory just where we wanted them most." He had broken Mr. Bryan's sorceries in the middle states. There was jubilation already among his workers because now the farmers were scared of a panic, if Silver won. He threw away an affidavit brought to him by Bruce Higginson proving that Democrats had bought promises of votes in Louisville. "What the hell of it? They're licked, anyhow!"

On Saturday before election day a parade of one hundred and fifty thousand men passed up Broadway in New York City. It included even the languid millionaire who'd been thinking of a *maisonnette* in Versailles. The city gaped at this monstrous production of so many well-dressed men, so many gilded elephants, so many buttons, so much colored bunting. It was mass production, politically applied, and it stunned watchers. . . . On the night of November 3rd the clubs in Chicago and New York were filled with men who never drank and were not drinking, but gabbled drunkenly among men who spilled champagne on the floors as they waltzed with each other, for it was over; McKinley was elected. Trains were creaking from the cities of Ohio toward Canton, loaded with Republicans who howled. . . . It was all over, but it did not seem true. A funny disbelief ran through the streets. Workmen who had been told that their jobs were lost if Bryan was elected were afraid

to go home, as if he might win yet should they leave the telegraph boards or the saloons. And some emotions cracked in the darkness, since the new Lincoln had been madly followed, insanely trusted with a violence which would not be believed today. . . . A little girl watched the bonfires from her father's steps in southern Ohio, sleepily pleased that he had been returned to a petty office in the town, and a German stumbled up to her, mumbling, "Cross — golden cross — cross. . . ." It was the Populist who had been defeated today by her father. She was not afraid. He was a simple, big, dull creature. But when he carried her into a toolshed behind the house, she was scared and began to scream, wailing so that some of the man's friends heard her as they looked for him, worried by his daze at supper. When they broke in the door of the shed, he had driven one nail through her left hand into the door itself: she was to be hung there, an expiation for her father's sins. This golden cross burned his poor mind. He was taken to an asylum. She grew up, and one day watched Mr. Bryan's eyes as he stared at the long scar trailing its purple down her hand on a balustrade in Florida. . . . There were suicides. Embittered men moved from towns to escape chaffing. Reactions showed. Democrats bragged of pleasure in the Silver Knight's defeat. Republicans denounced McKinley.

As for Mr. Hanna, he was now a huge grotesque, an image of mud stained with dollars in the cartoons. He

swelled into national legend as a figure of gross wealth, a fantasy of money's power and coldness. Some men had grown fond of him, as men grow fond of a man who will not be beaten, who shows himself resilient in the midst of bad news, hard in a pool of cowardices. But he had been little seen; he was a dot on McKinley's porch, a shape in an office or in a smoky room of some hotel. The sensitive John Hay stopped bantering and wrote his astonishment to a friend in Paris: "He is a born general in politics, perfectly square, honest and courageous, with a *coup d'œil* for the battlefield and a knowledge of the enemy's weak points which is very remarkable . . ." and there were other compliments. But members of a prim minority in the Republican party were much offended. They had not been consulted and, you see, they had not been flattered. The proprieties had not been respected. Mark Hanna simply unveiled the springs on which men are manipulated into greatness.

"He has advertised McKinley," Theodore Roosevelt told my father, "as if he were a patent medicine!"

This was Mr. Hanna's crime. He had openly made use of the full powers of propaganda. He had dealt with politics as if the birth of a company was being arranged. He had thrown a hundred thousand tons of advertising into the nation, against Mr. Bryan's voice, bad logic, and good intentions. He had won, but he had upset these men whose fortunes he had

## H A N N A

certainly saved from a renewed panic and the domination of inept governors. He had made a President, and he had done it visibly. It is hard to forgive such realism. And now they wondered what he would do next.

## CHAPTER IV

### POWER, OF A KIND

#### I

Count Cassini, ambassador of the Tsar, arrived in Washington ill-informed as to the political and animal life of the United States. When a little black and white beast minced from some bushes in a garden beyond Georgetown and ladies shrieked, the Russian advanced upon the peril affably and Senator Frye had to take his arm, explaining the inexplicable in frenzied words. Mr. Hanna picked up a pebble and aimed it at the skunk's shameless composure in the pathway.

"By God, he looks like an office-seeker!"

His pebble missed and the party retreated up the gardens. M. Cassini went on talking to a girl from Louisiana. He could not understand her prejudice against Mr. Hanna. Suppose, then, that the man had bribed town councilmen in Cleveland to renew franchises of his tramways? What else was expected of town councils? This was the habit of democracies; you put common people in power and they, of course, hunted bribes and chances of blackmail. *Cela se voit!* . . . Miss Le Blanc retorted that Mr. Hanna was head of a capitalistic conspiracy seeking to control the

United States. The foreigner shrugged. What of it? In a democracy the mob controlled or the rich controlled. There was no aristocracy to be responsible. There was no Church to advise. There was no *esprit de corps* of the educated. It came to a question between the mob and the rich. Mr. Hanna appeared to him the best solution of the case, and how amiable a bourgeois, and what a good host, and what a quick wit! Miss Le Blanc gave it up, here, and allowed some other lady to attract the diplomat.

This was the spring of 1899 and Mark Hanna, for two years, had been a Senator. He had lately scandalized a dinner-party by observing that all questions of government in a democracy were questions of money, thus preceding Oswald Spengler in the opinion by two decades.<sup>1</sup> He would presently announce that communism seemed to him a method of increasing incompetence by making sure that nobody had any incentive to progress. Life, he thought, was a matter of competitions. All the men around Lyman Gage's dinner-table had got there by being better at their jobs than someone else. Miss Le Blanc sniffed, and the Senator's brown eyes settled on her.

"This sculptor in Paris you went to see, this man Rod-dinn, now. . . . Did you go to see him because

<sup>1</sup> "What is here described as Civilization, then, is the stage of a Culture at which tradition and personality have lost their immediate effectiveness, and every idea, to be actualized, has to be put in terms of money. . . . Democracy is the completed equating of money with political power. . . ." *The Decline of the West*, Volume II, page 485.

he's the top of his profession or just because you wanted to have him say how good-lookin' you are? "

They sparred, the Southern girl declaring that Auguste Rodin had not come to fame through competition and the Northern plutocrat answering that the word "fame" itself implied a competition. But competition meant a hustle for prizes to her and she resented it, as she resented this society of hard diplomats and ladies always asking, "Who *was* she?" as if they were used to meeting people who, once, had been nobodies. And she recoiled from Marcus Hanna with a profound instinct of her vanishing kind; the daughter of a landholding society recognized an enemy of her traditions. Since the Black Death in the fourteenth century these burghers, wielding massed money as a club, had come pounding down doors and climbing walls into the sanctuaries of aristocracy. Etienne Marcel leading the guilds of Paris to slaughter the well-born councilors of the scared Dauphin was the prototype of this conquering class. There were now no sanctuaries left; she had seen that in Europe. Aristocratic society was at the mercy of the financier who permitted its existence as a river permits a congeries of water hyacinth to idle in a warm bayou; the landholders were not important enough to be destroyed, at the end of the nineteenth century. Land, once the title to all privileges of earth, had fallen to be merely one form of speculation. Mr. Hanna did not own fifty acres, although he was a stockholder in the use of



thousands of square miles. But that this powerful adventurer was just a stockholder made him an ogre to the descendant of a lady whose head was struck off in 1792 because she knew kings. All defensive fictions of the declining landed power established Mark Hanna in the wrong set. She looked at him with horror, and now wonders why.

Mr. Hanna was an exception rather than a full member of the plutocracy. He did not share the complete superstitions of his tribe. His provincial character exempted Hanna from some ideas of the metropolitan capitalist in Europe. He was, for instance, not an imperialist in the sense of European and English plutocrats. And he still failed to believe in revolutions. Instead of being high leader of the capitalists managing the United States, so far as they did, he was on poor terms with many of them and died suspected of gross treachery to capitalism by a lot of them. He did not hold with several of their schemes for controlling peoples. His troubles commenced while he was advertising William McKinley from his rented house in Georgia, in the spring of 1896.

As the decadent American sects took up fads, these were utilized by prudent financiers and industrialists to mask their own wishes. Miss Frances Willard could proudly indicate on the roster of contributors to her Christian Temperance Union names of great factory-owners. In March of 1896 Mr. Hanna had to face a committee of Prohibitionists with letters of introduc-

## POWER, OF A KIND

tion signed by a Baptist millionaire. McKinley's backer might truthfully report that he himself had never tried wine until he was forty years old and that his candidate drank almost nothing, but his interview with the committee became a matter of tactful evasions. To get rid of them he asked if they had heard President Cleveland's answer to a like committee: "If you suppress the use of alcohol in America, you must seize Canada or build a string of forts all along the Canadian border." This gloomy challenge made the Prohibitionists argue among themselves until it was time to catch their train. Mr. Hanna shook hands with them, having promised nothing in the way of support for their cause.<sup>2</sup>

But another sectarian influence was not so easily steered out of Mr. Hanna's reception room at Thomasville. The question of Cuban independence brought itself to McKinley's manager half a dozen times before Grover Cleveland ironically presented a war with Spain to his inaugurated successor. Here again was a fad among the religious in small towns and a topic of orators in meetings of the American Protective Association. When some Catholic country had to be cursed, Spain often served as a butt of Protestant indignation. Mr. Hanna would make no formal promise

<sup>2</sup> Another version of Mr. Cleveland's remark is: "If the United States is to be cured of drinking beer, you will have to make a pie-crust of forts on the Canadian border and grab all the ships of Europe," this latter version being made in 1895 to Mrs. Stewart Warner. Mrs. Warner may well have reached Mr. Hanna with this witticism, as she visited Cleveland in 1895 and met Mrs. Hanna in society.

of doing anything for the Cubans, but he was put strongly on warning that something might have to be done, and he talked to McKinley frequently on the choice of consuls in the Caribbean countries. Three autographs dated in January of 1897 show him refusing consular posts near Cuba and one of these, addressed simply to "Dear Jones," contains a closing sentence almost rhetorical. "God forbid," he wrote, "that the United States be called on to intervene on behalf of these miserable people but if that need should arise it is to be hoped that our representatives in the region of Cuba will all be able men. . . ." Dear Jones, whoever he was, cannot have liked this eloquence, as it implied a doubt of his friend Mr. Huntley's ability. Mr. Huntley — whoever he was — would not do for a consulate in the tropics. But, as Theodore Roosevelt testifies in his memoirs, Mr. McKinley did find able men for the posts in Latin America. One of them was fairly ordered by Mark Hanna to leave a more profitable job for the consular service. He could not afford it? Very well, Mr. Hanna would take charge of his small capital and get it invested so that he need not worry, and he has not worried since 1897.

But able consuls in the tropics would not put off this question of Spain and her bullied colony. Mr. Hanna morosely grunted in Cleveland that the United States must not have any damn trouble with anybody. His language in February was heated by a social event, for it pleased a rich ninny in New York to

give a ball so consummately advertised that it was talked of by positive princes abroad, while starving workmen fed at the bread-lines a few blocks from the hot hotel where ladies and stockbrokers sweated in their costumes, for one brilliant night. This did not gratify Mr. Hanna. His annoyance had, as so often, a doubled reason. He disliked the host of this entertainment, and the whole thing struck him as cruelly reckless. It would serve the New Yorker right if some terrorist heaved a bomb into the ballroom and blew dancers to spangles and red paste. "*Theory of a Leisure Class?*" he grunted, staring at a book in the library of Cushman Davis. "What's their theory? More damn fancy-dress balls?" The discipline of his mother's ancestors wrought in Mr. Hanna: men ought to work. Hearing that an agreeable young artist who sketched Mrs. Hanna that winter would paint perhaps only three portraits in six months, he rubbed an ear. What did the boy do with the rest of his time?

His own time was well taken up. Office-seekers had at him, now, in profusions of six or ten the day. The majestic tariff bill was being manufactured beforehand. The smart men rallied and came to call on Mr. Hanna with astonishing projects in mind, monstrous concessions of land to be dealt out by the government to the right people and quaintly arranged swindles of many kinds. Some of these propositions amused him so that he talked of them to his friends, and it can be certainly recorded that, about February of 1897, Mr.

Hanna was offered shares in a company which proposed to secure from the government the whole western seacoast of Florida, with Mr. Hanna's assistance. Yet most of his time was taken by the office-seekers, and, before any announcement of Mr. McKinley's Cabinet had been made from Canton, cold information circulated in the East: Hanna was not doing the right thing by the metropolis.

The Eastern magnates were placidly neglected in the formation of the new President's immediate council. It is true that Cornelius Bliss, of New York, was made Secretary of the Interior after the appointment had been refused by John McCook, and that Theodore Roosevelt was to be Assistant Secretary of the Navy. But Mr. Bliss was not warmly friends with the Republican machine of New York, and Mr. Roosevelt was an amusing oddity who could be jocosely presented to strangers as "our police commissioner" or as Douglas Robinson's brother-in-law. He had no profession; his father, a secondary financier of the last generation, had left the vivid young man an income. He was erudite, as these Americans understood erudition, but that did him no good in the clubs, and he wrote books, which seemed strange. . . . These two appointments were all that New York got from Mr. Hanna's friend. The Secretary of State was old John Sherman, of Ohio, and his first assistant was William Day, also of Ohio. The Secretary of War was Russell Alger, of Michigan. The Attorney General was a Cali-

fornian and, worse, a Roman Catholic. The Postmaster General came from Baltimore. The Secretary of Agriculture was a Scotch person out of Iowa, and — unbelievably! — the Secretary of the Treasury was a Democrat from Chicago, Lyman Gage. New York had certainly expected that Hanna would take the Treasury for his province. His own friends imagined that he might ask for the post. But he said to William Saunders, “Me in the Cabinet? All the newspapers would have cartoons of me selling the White House kitchen stove!”<sup>3</sup>

He had plenty of reasons for preferring to be a Senator. He must attend to the pruning of the tariff bill at close range. He knew that the power of declaring war lay with Congress. He liked the notion of being a Senator. So he became a Senator, filling John Sherman’s place by appointment. The appointment lagged; Mr. Asa Bushnell, Governor of Ohio, hesitated for some awkward days and had to be civilly advised by Senator Joseph Foraker that Mr. Hanna must be appointed. It was done. He arrived at Washington and was sworn in at once. His tall hat shimmered with all those other hats of solemn gentlemen behind Mr. McKinley and Mr. Cleveland on the platform as the new President took oath of office.

<sup>3</sup> Mr. McKinley did offer the Postmaster General’s place to Mr. Hanna in a preserved letter, and Hanna refused it. Several memoirs and notes on the period state that he wished to be Secretary of the Treasury, giving no authority for the statement. Mr. Croly makes no such point in the biography, and the testimony of Mr. Saunders, Mr. Leonard Hanna, and Mr. Joseph Kimball contradicts the legend.

## HANNA

The exaltation of John Sherman into the Cabinet was a privy scandal of Washington that spring. Distinction and experience had nothing to do with the case, in gossip. Mr. Sherman was hauled up to make place for Mr. Hanna in the Senate. But Mr. Sherman was offered the State Department before the tenth of January, and Mr. Hanna did not refuse a cabinet post until the middle of February. There was no question of a sudden lust for governmental powers on Hanna's part. A deeper motive was apparent to the retiring President. "I suspect," said Mr. Cleveland to Mr. Victor Ward, "that Hanna knows McKinley likes popularity too well." Mr. Hanna was placing himself where he could correct that tendency. Acts that might strain McKinley's will in case of a needed veto need never pass the Senate. His affection for the President was not blinding Mr. Hanna to some possibilities. He might be useful; he would be powerful.

Whatever he was to be, he was not noisy. Mr. Hanna appeared in the Senate and sat there, correctly sedate in his chair, much gazed upon by visitors in the gallery. He was obviously a plump man in his sixtieth year who seemed short unless he lifted his head to stare across the Senators at a speaker. The caricatured Hanna, described as a braggart in the journals, turned out to be a blunt, quietly amused personage at dinner-parties. He might get so enthusiastic as to say that Mr. McKinley's private secretary, George Cortelyou, was a fine boy or that he liked the Vice President's

wife. Still, his monotonous voice did not rise in the Senate and a lady who met Mark Hanna at the end of March 1897 remembers mostly his silence.

Timidly invading Washington, Miss Edith Sims and her mother managed to find an Episcopal church on the morning after they arrived at the capital, and sat observing this society. The widow and her daughter had been to Constantinople, to Abu-Simbel down the Nile, and to Copenhagen. But they had never explored the United States. A trip to Syracuse in 1870 convinced Mrs. Sims that she was not quite safe far from New York. She came to Washington because her eldest daughter's husband had been transferred to duty at the War Department and she wanted to be in the capital when Marion and the babies got there, escaping from wild San Francisco.

Miss Sims presently was watching a lady seated three pews away who looked back at her, or at her mother, with a familiar sort of smile. She was not young, but she was handsome, erect, and pleasant in a somber dress. She had a little the look of those German great ladies one saw at Baden-Baden, surrounded by mussy, shy daughters and dogs. She smiled, though, in a special fashion and the girl knew that her mother was watching the other lady's face. Kate Richardson and Lottie Rhodes hunted each other through the masks imposed by thirty-five years of living. When the choir boys had taken themselves down the aisle, Mrs. Sims and Mrs. Marcus Alonzo



Hanna met with the sentimental crash expected of such reunions in the latter nineteenth century. Miss Edith was duly kissed and was told — truthfully — that she looked like Mrs. Hanna's youngest. She is still sometimes asked on trains if she is not Mrs. Medill McCormick.

Senator Hanna was waiting for his wife at the hotel, with another white waistcoat and top hat beside his Sunday clothes. It was a thrill to meet Mr. John Hay, the new ambassador to England, and to be enveloped in his bantering conversation. Mrs. Sims had met him, somewhere in Europe, and he pretended with great skill to remember all about her.

Marcus Hanna pretended nothing. He was utterly silent, with his cigar. But Mr. Hay babbled agreeably. He had been dancing in and out of Washington for two weeks, talking to Mr. McKinley "around senators and through office-seekers," and now he must go to luncheon with John Sherman. He said to Mrs. Hanna, "Smile at me, Mona Lisa, as I go to this ordeal."

"John Hay, if you call me that again I'll be sorry Mark didn't let them have Whitelaw Reid made ambassador to England instead of you."

Senator Hanna grunted. Mr. Hay's eyebrows twitched. Mrs. Hanna colored and then whispered that she didn't think anybody heard her. The diplomat said it didn't matter, and went away. When she had her newly found old playmate upstairs in her rooms,

Mrs. Hanna chuckled. In Washington, she said, you had to pretend not to know what everybody knew, and, thank heaven, she was going home to Cleveland tonight for some days. Then she began to talk about the Senator.

"She was charming about him," says Miss Sims. "I wrote down some of her stories a few days later in an irregular diary I kept in those days. But she was so upset about his health. She asked us how we thought he looked. I am afraid we were not of much comfort to her, as we had never seen him before. She said candidly that she was afraid he would overwork himself trying to manage the Republican party in Cleveland and do his work in the Senate. My mother knew absolutely nothing about politics, and neither did I. One of us innocently asked if Senator Foraker could not help Mr. Hanna in the Senate. Mrs. Hanna had to explain that Mr. Foraker and Mr. Hanna were hardly on speaking terms and that Ohio was divided between their two factions. But she spoke very decently of Mr. Foraker. She said that Mr. Hanna had been rather tactless toward him at the Republican National Convention"—of 1888—"where their quarrel began. Then she quite broke out in regard to some of the politicians in Ohio. I find in my diary: 'Mrs. Hanna said that she regarded many of Mr. H.'s associates in politics as not worth his attention. They fawn on him for campaign expenses and ask for favors while they envy him. Interesting story: One of

these politicians asked Mr. H. to have a street in Cleveland paved past a certain point. Mr. H. refused. The man then said, "I have helped to have you granted franchises for your street-car lines and now you will do nothing for me." Mr. H. answered that it did not cost the city a penny to grant him a franchise, but that it would cost a hundred thousand dollars to pave this street, and that the man wanted it done to increase the value of some real estate. Mrs. H. said that this man had now turned into a bitter enemy of Mr. H. She does not believe he will be really happy in Washington, away from his friends in Cleveland.' She told several other instances of these enmities that had come about through the Senator's refusal of some political favor. I cannot pretend to recollect them. My mother asked her if Mr. Hanna had not great power over Mr. McKinley. Mrs. Hanna said that he had power, of a kind. I remember the qualifying phrase so distinctly. . . ."

Next day Miss Sims was taking snapshots of the White House from its gateway when Senator Hanna bustled past her. He said, "I'm Eliza crossing the ice, and there come the bloodhounds." He fairly trotted up the drive. The girl saw some gentlemen hastening toward her and was alarmed, although they did nothing when they got to the gate. They settled into a watchful group, strolling to and fro on the sidewalk as they waited for Mr. Hanna's outcoming; she had her first chance of observing the office-seeker's prowl.

that gait combining the hungry wolf's trot with the prouder pace of a righteous woman about to vindicate her claim to a new frock.

Mr. Hay, then, went to his duty in England and commenced the delicate performance of the task to which he had been appointed, while, in New York, men wondered why he had been appointed at all. Senator Hanna remained in Washington, beating off the bloodhounds and bluntly telling his private callers that the Dingley tariff was not an invitation to keep foreign goods out of the American market. "Mr. McKinley stands for protection, not exclusion," he told a furious maker of surgical instruments. The man yelled that he had contributed ten thousand dollars to McKinley's campaign fund, and this was how he was paid! Mr. Hanna's mouth became a line in his hot face. He shot a pointed finger at the loud person and shouted back, "Got your receipt? . . . You never turned in a cent!" His memory of fact was dangerous. A joke passed out around Washington. If you were claiming something from Hanna, you must have a receipt to show him.

The tariff was lifted. Mr. McKinley sent off his useless commission to Europe on behalf of bimetalism, as he had promised the Silver Republicans. There was a trivial improvement of business at large, but no boom. American confidence had been poisoned since 1890 and men of affairs did not brag of American opportunities as their fathers had bragged under

Grant. Wall Street showed its discontent all summer long and, in the autumn, there were rumors of protests made by big men to the President, although what they protested to Mr. McKinley is now obscure.<sup>4</sup> Then, in October, Mr. Asa Barnes, lunching in the region of Wall Street with an importer of tobacco, heard the voice of Mr. Edward Lauterbach proclaiming from a table close to him that Mr. Hanna was to be dispensed with. It had been decided between a boss in New York and a boss in Pennsylvania that Mark Hanna was no good. He had not got some bills passed in which big men took an interest. He had loaded all these Westerners into the Cabinet. It was risky, said the agreeable Mr. Lauterbach, because Hanna was not so foolish as he looked and might not take his removal in peace. But he was to be spanked and returned to his coal bins in Cleveland.

To Asa Barnes this was awful. Mark Hanna had always been his fancy of a terrestrial god. Mark Hanna gave him dollars when he was a little boy in Cleveland for carrying notes to Miss Charlotte Augusta Rhodes. Mark Hanna noticed him slaving in a bank and planted him on better pay in the office of a coal mine. In 1894, when his small business in New York was nearly gone, a check had come from Hanna and Company, at the dramatic last moment, with a message

<sup>4</sup> I judge from a note of Mr. Abner McKinley to my father that various steel interests had expected an enormous increase of the Navy to be made directly and that some representation of this kind was made to the President through Mr. Long, the Secretary of the Navy.

telling him to hang on. Mark Hanna had limped out of a group of dignitaries in the autumn of 1896 to cross the lobby of the Holland House and shake hands with Mr. Barnes, as though the partner in a mere tobacco shop was someone very grand indeed. So the voice of Mr. Lauterbach sent Asa Barnes to the nearest telephone. Mr. Hanna was not in his office at Cleveland, but on a train somewhere. The tale was told to Mr. Leonard Hanna, who answered, "So we hear!" and then spoke busily about Wall Street's politicians. Mr. Barnes felt better. Christmas passed. Then, after New Year's Day, newspapers flashed headings. There was a revolt at Columbus, Ohio, against Marcus Hanna. State senators and assemblymen pledged to return Mr. Hanna to the Senate, by election, had fused with Democrats and Silver Republicans. The Governor and the mayor of Cleveland were mixed up in the fuss. All Ohio was boiling. Mr. Barnes packed his clothes and fled on the next train to Columbus.

When practical politicians turn fantastic, they outdo poets. Mr. Robert McKisson was a practiced and affable politician who wanted to be a mayor of Cleveland and applied to Mr. Hanna for backing. Mr. Hanna declined him. He had no dislike of Mr. McKisson, but he preferred to back someone else. However, in 1895, Mr. McKisson got the Republicans of the big city to make him mayor, for the truth is that Mark Hanna's control of Cleveland was never so absolute as legend asserts, and Robert McKisson was then able

to begin the contrivance of a personal machine for his own ends. This man is now such a shadow that the fact of his next performance seems sheer lunacy. He came to Columbus in the first week of January 1898, and there told a meeting of Democratic state legislators that he would have to be a Republican "before the people," but that, if they would send him to the Senate in Washington, he would act upon the principles of the Democratic party as set forth in the Chicago convention of 1896. This turned the stomachs of three Democrats and they refused to vote for the providential secret convert to Democracy. But Mr. McKisson was handsome and his voice soothed the rest of the caucus. On Monday, January 10th, it was known that he was the candidate for Senator against Marcus Hanna.

The details of this political cinema are fully given in Mr. Croly's biography of Mark Hanna except one feature of the muddle which was plain only to men who knew the Republican party in New York. Items of the party's metropolitan machine appeared in bars and hotels at Columbus, but their function was not discerned by Mr. Hanna's volunteers from the East. As Mr. Asa Barnes and Mr. Walter Held ranged the streets, they encountered these strangers, but never at Mr. Hanna's rooms in the hotel, where he smoked among his friends. For the five days ending with January 11th these spectators were visible. They were not helping Mr. Hanna's supporters to keep the wobbling

assemblymen in line. They stood about. Mr. Held got in conversation with one of them and made nothing of his answers. He was just "watching the fight."

It was a fight. There are still five men living who tackled the legislators for Mr. Hanna, and none of these used money. Mr. Lee Durstine operated on an assemblyman from Union County by means of the waverer's son; Mr. Barnes cornered Silver Republicans in bars and raved at them; Mr. Walter Held and his brother were effective as men are effective who stand six feet three and have thundering throats; another survives who had a pious uncle in the opposition and found the old man reasonable when it was explained to him that Mr. Hanna did not drink whisky. As for the rest of the doubtful voters, they may have been paid to be loyal to their pledges, or they may have been cowed by another force. The voice of the people is at least the voice of the people. All that week the people sifted into Columbus, and Hanna's friends amazedly heard farmers and Silver Republicans of the small towns demanding that Mark Hanna, the Cleveland plutocrat, be returned to his seat in the Senate.

Why? It had happened in September and October. Senator Hanna came before the plain people not as a smiling image in a white waistcoat behind other speakers on a draped platform, but as a speaker. He could not make an oration. He stood barking out short sentences at the crowds, challenging anybody to prove



him an enemy of the labor unions or to deny that he paid the best wages in Ohio for a day's work. Farmers grinned when the Senator rubbed a fist on his red ear or said, "Wait a minute!" as he stooped to pull up a loose garter. He went on in this simple way: "Mr. Bryan said just one thing in his big speech at Chicago last year that strikes me as true. He said that farmers and workingmen are business men just as much as any banker or lawyer. Well, that's true. I like that. If you men will study business methods and learn how to look after your interests we won't have to hear any more wishy-washy stuff about how Wall Street's abusin' you. Now Johnny McClean went to the trouble to bring Mr. Bryan all this long way from Nebraska — hope he got a pass on the railroad for him — to tell you that Mark Hanna is a labor crusher and God knows what all. My brothers and I employ six thousand men. Some of them are here in this crowd. Let any of them come forward and say that he hasn't had a square deal from M. A. Hanna and Company and I'll shut up!" It was not oratory, but the people stood silent under it and applauded it. They had heard Mr. Bryan's rhythmic nobility a few days before, in these same towns. But here was this plain man's frank attack on their pride. Were they not business men? "Bryan's mistake," says a witness, "was a constant appeal to self-pity. Mr. Hanna sounded grumpy and pretty bored with the whole thing. It may be strange that this was effective, but I certainly think

it was. . . ." One must judge by the result. In the first week of January some of these plain people came to Columbus and cried in the streets that they wanted Mark Hanna sent back to Washington. Legislators heard, roared at them in the familiar speech of their town, that they had to vote for Hanna. This influence was unpaid, and it persisted through Monday, even after Democratic papers charged Mr. Hanna with attempting to bribe an assemblyman from Cincinnati through an agent.

Everything now had been done. A legislator had been kidnapped and drugged. There had been fights in bars and alleys. Armed guards were posted throughout the capital of Ohio. On Tuesday the legislators voted. A handkerchief waving from the steps of the State House announced to watchers in the street that Mr. Hanna was elected Senator, and the signal passed to his hotel. He said, "I'm too fat for a Juliet," staring down into the crowd. Then he sent word to Mr. McKisson that a baby in diapers would be able to beat him if he tried to be mayor of Cleveland again. Mr. John Farley, who defeated Mr. McKisson in the autumn of 1899, was no baby, of course, but the weakness with which the Republicans supported Mr. McKisson was notable, and Mr. Farley's friends had a lot of money to spend.

As to the spectators from New York, they had disappeared when Walter and Philip Held made a round of the hotels that evening, collecting some small bets.

Four or five days later Mr. Edward Lauterbach idled up to Cornelius Bliss, lunching at the Lawyers' Club in New York with certain friends, and told the Secretary of the Interior that he knew a couple of men who were worried for fear Uncle Mark had been hearing nasty stories about them.

"They might send him a bunch of violets and a pretty note," Mr. Bliss suggested.

"I told them not to do it," Mr. Lauterbach said, "but they wouldn't listen to reason."

"You might tell Tom Platt to send a hymn book and some cigars along," Bliss laughed.

Everybody grinned around the table, all these powerful men were amused, watching the machinery twinkle. Power had become their amusement, though journals talked of their mania for money. Some of them had no money at all, but they had power. They would spend a month of intrigue on finding a place in a governmental bureau for a widow out in Oregon, and the point was not that they liked the widow. They had never seen the woman. But it was something to do, a minute display of force and craft.

They had talents for other games than this. One of them sang well and collected rare ballads in all tongues; one of them had five thousand books on history, bound in old Spanish leathers or Indian brocades and spun a theory of civilizations in a lisping drawl; one of them fascinated Bryce and afterwards Lord Haldane by the gay agility of his talk. But America

## POWER, OF A KIND

did not summon them to show their power otherwise than among the machines erected as emblems of success. They worked. Leisure was for fools, women, and failures. And work, since they so believed, was their pleasure.

Their bodies thickened. They died at ages of fifty-two and fifty-three. They swooned on bright tables at meetings of directors and were lugged down to slick private cabs waiting on the slope beside Trinity Church. Courage might shred under the shock of a favorite daughter's death, and they were loaded on steamships for a weary trip abroad. They took no exercise and looked disapprovingly at John Jacob Astor sipping white wine at lunch while they tried to fire their energies with the alcohol of sweet food. They lurched off to Turkish baths where masseurs kneaded life into them before an evening conference in the yellow clubrooms beside Fifth Avenue. But this was their pleasure, although they did not live to explain it in memoirs. Few of them had time to make their wills, death was so importunate at the end, introduced by a secretary's scream or the rush of servants in some lobby to a tottering bulk in furs and a rolling hat. Wives shivered; doctors cursed them. They might drag out two months in Europe and be seen busy with American newspapers at Karlsbad or Vichy. But their game went on, a tangling movement of letters and messengers on the way to Washington or Cleveland or, later, to Oyster Bay. Most of them wanted nothing

out of it save this thrill of making a point, an official had been created, or a bill, about which they did not care, had been killed in Congress. "See," one of them shouted to Stuyvesant Fish, "I got my man in!" He had put a collector of customs at a trivial port, to spite Thomas Platt, after spending ten thousand dollars on mighty dinners in Washington. This was pleasure, to be able to wave a telegram at Stuyvesant Fish in a club.

One of them saw Hanna buried and then gave up the sport. He roamed, and came yearly to a dive in Budapest where gypsy violins told him stories while he sat out nights in the circle of his mistresses and their complacent husbands. One of them had a house in Spain and a room hung with photographs of the men he had put in office. And one of them, in much the finest, began to telephone one afternoon. His dry, Western voice sped through wires into offices, scaring old clerks as he summoned the dead to a meeting. A friend was told and brought a doctor in a closed car to the door on Broadway, then intruded and found the tall man raging. Some fool in the central at Cleveland was trying to tell him that Mark Hanna had died in 1904! But this was 1896! The machinery of his mind had reversed, as it broke, to the autumn of 1896. He could be persuaded that Hanna was in town, in rooms at the Holland House; the doctor and a hidden needle filled with sleep were waiting in the car. After three days he joined the rest of them. . . . But

## POWER, OF A KIND

they had a good time. This was their pleasure. They had power, of a kind.

### II

A superstition followed Mark Hanna from Cleveland into Washington; he was "lucky." His disasters turned out well. If a client of his ship-building company could not pay for six vessels built at great cost, it would prove that the client had an iron mine which Mr. Hanna could develop for him and get back his money in no time. That the matter of finding capital for the development of the mine in a panicky year was very difficult and that it took brains to do anything with the mine at all were ignored in Uncle Mark's legend. The "Hanna luck" saw him through anything, and this luck was communicable. He lent or gave money to displaced workmen on the streets of his town in the winter of 1894. Some of them saved a silver dollar or a dime from his gift for a luck piece. As he marched back into the Senate in 1898, elected to succeed himself, a Western Senator leaned out of his seat to touch the jinni's shoulder for luck. Men smiled, but Mr. Hanna held a reception in the Marble Room at the end of the day's business. The affair at Columbus had echoed back favorably to these legislators. Senator Hanna was now Senator by election, after an awful fight for it, and they heard truly that he had shown good humor and pluck. "People are glad to have Warwick back," Thomas Reed wrote to a lady. "We

need anybody divinely blessed with common sense at this time. . . .”

But Marcus Hanna needed something more than common sense, as the Congress assembled in 1898. He needed all those things possessed by Mr. William Jennings Bryan, arts of appeal and emotional display, the music of sentimental phrase, physical charm. He hadn't them. He was a business man in politics, able when it came to nursing the great tariff act along and a good persuader in conference. For once he wished to be an orator. He must try to head something back, cool a charge of the plain people, and every quality of his equipment was wrong. He had already been dramatized in the journals as Wall Street's man in Washington, and Wall Street was anxious to have no trouble with Spain, so the journalists declared. There was trouble with Spain already. Riots broke out in Havana; loyalists and a rabble of Spanish officials paraded the white streets yelling against McKinley, who dared to interfere in the island's government, and cheering General Weyler, who had established the reconcentrado camps, in which people died of starvation and stank of scurvy. Weyler had been recalled by the new premier, Sagasta, in the autumn of 1897 and hazy promises of autonomy were made to the Cubans, much too late. The insurgents would have full independence. Professor Sumner's outline of colonial history was filled: the island had been plundered and was now Spain's enemy.

## POWER, OF A KIND

Mr. Hanna read no foreign language and so was spared the exposition of European statesmanship which remains amply recorded in the journals and published correspondence of 1898. He was even able to maintain, up to the tenth of April, some belief in the good sense of Europe. His mind cut into the facts before the end of January. "I have just seen Mr. Hanna," Henry Adler wrote to his half sister, not knowing she had left Frankfort to join him, "and he seems to believe that a good deal of the sentiment against America is kicked up by financial elements in Germany and France. . . ." This was not what other Senators and members of the Cabinet believed. They believed and said that decadent, aristocratic Europe was trying to uphold monarchic Spain against American democracy. Under this babbling about chivalrous Castile they did not perceive plutocratic imperialists annoyed with America's invasion of a colonial question. The United States must not be allowed to trifle with empires; Spain's control of a colony must not be criticized. It was dangerous precedent. It was insolence. French royalists, Austrian landholders in debt to German banks, and the German highborn imperialists rallied to this whistle. The *Saturday Review* fell delirious in London and published marvels of that oddly vulgar invective which supplies the place of dialectic among English and American specialists in conservative refinement. But the continental show outglittered any British



invention and is still quite interesting in its evasion of the patent facts: Cuba was in a condition of anarchy, its agriculture and industry both wrecked and its people two thirds adjudged rebels.

What European observers did not care to know was that American morality had become emotional again. The music of the Abolitionists pulsed up, after years, and the infecting notion of rescuing the oppressed flavored sermons. Religion, or rather the Protestant sects, had gathered the suffering Cubans into a topic of sentimental value. The plain people stirred, in the West, as the awfulness of Catholic Spain was revealed with pungency to ladies in black silk who wanted to believe every word of it. Mr. Hanna could beat down a Bryan, but he could not cope with women who were asking the pastor to write to Mr. McKinley about Cuba, and making children sign petitions to be sent to Congressmen. "You have no idea," Abner McKinley wrote on January 26th, "of the pressure on William from religious people. . . ." <sup>5</sup> Letters from English Methodists reached the White House while an American squadron gathered at the tip of Florida, in the last week of January. Before the first of February, Mr. Hanna was denounced in a Baptist church of San Francisco for holding Mr. McKinley back. "By God," he said, "Christians are remarkable!"

Statesmen in Washington were also remarkable.

<sup>5</sup> Abner McKinley to Walter McCabe.

## POWER, OF A KIND

A candid war party existed in the capital and gained allies in the Cabinet. Simple jingos herded with humanitarians. Cuba must be free. They learned how to pronounce the phrase "*Cuba libre*" from officials of the Spanish ministry and mispronounced it at dinners. Henriette Adler heard it garbled in the heat of a reception on the night of February 9th as she backed from the active elbow of a burly gentleman until she was against a wall and could not escape his flailing sleeve. His teeth flashed and his eyeglasses sparkled. He was alarming in the force of his objection to Mr. McKinley's uncertainty. When his elbow ripped a silken rose and some gauze clean from Miss Adler's shoulder, she said, "*Mon dieu!*" and this verbal velocity was now aimed at her. He spoke in French with speed, abandoning all the nouns of his apology, but making himself clear. Miss Adler liked him.

A lady called Nannie had a pin. Senator Orville Platt and Senator Cushman Davis made themselves a screen for the committee on reparations. Mr. Hanna came trundling down the room to see what was being done to his guest, and then the talk was a vortex. Miss Adler saw that these men had a point to make with the Senator. The battleship *Maine* lay in the harbor of Havana, sent there to notify Spain that American citizens in Cuba were not to be molested. Senator Davis seemed to want Mr. Hanna to agree with him on the value of this move. The burly charmer with

the teeth thought it a bully idea to send the *Maine* to Havana. Mr. Hanna had no opinion, but stood with his chin sunk on his white tie, staring at the talkers.

They seemed queer to Miss Adler, chattering as though Europe did not exist for them. Surely they knew that France and Germany would resent any assault on Spain's imperial property? She said so, quoting what she had heard in Paris two weeks ago. It did not seem to impress the man with the teeth or the bald Senator from Minnesota. Let France and Germany resent it, said Senator Davis. The burly gentleman didn't think France serious in her talk. But Spain must be kicked out of Cuba.

"I hope to see the Spanish flag and the English flag gone from the map of North America before I'm sixty!"

Senator Hanna stared and drawled, "You're crazy, Roosevelt! What's wrong with Canada?"

The Assistant Secretary of the Navy had not said that anything was wrong with Canada except its English flag. But he was in a state of mind about the foreign flags on American soil just then and had written his prejudice that day to his friend Moore. Mrs. Hanna explained Mr. Roosevelt to her husband's guest as they drove across Washington. He was really amusing and his wife was one of the nicest women in Washington, but he did get violent about things. Mr. Hanna grunted behind his cigar that, thank God,

they hadn't put Roosevelt in the State Department, as Cushman Davis wanted done last year.

"We'd be fighting half the world," he brooded.

He sat with Miss Adler in the restaurant of the Arlington over a pint of champagne and asked questions about the feeling in Paris. Her half brother always spoke of Marcus Hanna as a comedian, but this grave, worried man of sixty said nothing funny. He had not liked the sending of the *Maine* to Havana. It was "waving a match in an oil-well for fun." And her reports of European opinion depressed him. We never got credit for our motives, he said. And what did she think of the French army? . . . They talked a long time.

"He was very frank, it seemed to me then. He said that Mr. McKinley had realized the possibility of this situation before he was elected and would do all he could to keep the peace. His own aversion to a war was very plain. He said the economic condition of the country was just beginning to improve and that a war was undesirable from every point of view. I have an impression that he hoped for an intervention of England, which was generally friendly, and some of the other Powers. Of course I had the European attitude in the question and thought that the reports of cruelty in Cuba were gross exaggerations. But Mr. Hanna assured me that reports of the American consuls and travellers bore out the worst statements. He was strongly in favor of getting the Cubans freed. But

he said five or six times, 'I hate the thought of a war. You don't know what a big war is like. Suppose the French come in with Spain against us?' . . ."

This notion of powerful allies joining Spain oppressed him. The *Maine* was destroyed on February 15th. On February 23rd Mr. Hanna's secretary telephoned to Mr. William Collins Beer, asking him to come at once to Mr. Hanna's office. The Senator abruptly ordered his caller to get back to New York and find out there what the biggest international bankers thought of the French sympathy for Spain. But Mr. Beer had come to Washington to pry, through the State Department, into the history of a bank in South America, for the New York Life Insurance Company. Very well, Mr. Hanna would wire the damn thing's pedigree to John McCall before Mr. Beer could get to New York. He did so. Three days later, Mr. Beer reported that J. P. Morgan and Company did not take the French newspapers seriously, but that something might be feared from Germany in the way of interference. . . . In this transfer of opinions it seemed strange that Mark Hanna, Wall Street's tool, had to appeal to capitalists for opinion through the attorney of an insurance company. Why had not Mr. Hanna telephoned to Mr. Morgan?

"Too many damn ears on the wire!"

Men came into the smoky room. War had started for some of these legislators. There was a terrific oration on the strength of the Spanish fleet. Mr. Hanna

listened, fiddling his cigar between his lips, until he had to grin.

“Bah! We can lick Spain in six months!”

But nobody believed that. The journals said differently. Mr. Hanna was left to his delusions and his cigar. The excited men walked down to the bar of the Raleigh, where it was argued that Mr. Hanna would force a war for the sake of his friends in the steel business. He gave out an interview, in two days, implying that he wanted no war just now. But next week Mr. Beer returned to Washington and found theorists in the Raleigh bar assuring each other that Mr. Hanna was trying to force a war for the sake of his friends in the railroad business. Then, in the first week of March, Mark Hanna became an unpatriotic demon trying to prevent a war in the name of Wall Street. He was nightly stealing into the White House and turning the President against the cause of freedom. He was willing to let Spain keep Cuba. He had not said any of this to anybody, but they were all sure of it in the Raleigh's bar.

Mr. Beer's head spun. He heard in Washington that Wall Street was solidly lined up against a war with Spain. He retired to New York and men grabbed his arm as he entered his club, asking what this insane Hanna meant by trying to head off the war? He noted that the solidarity of Wall Street was imperfect. John Jacob Astor wore a buttonhole of red, white, and blue flowers. John Gates, Thomas Fortune Ryan, William

Rockefeller, and Stuyvesant Fish all were sounded, before March 24th, and were found to be feeling militant.<sup>6</sup> On March 28th it was announced by George Walbridge Perkins that John Pierpont Morgan was to put his yacht at the service of the government and that the financier saw nothing to be gained by more talk of arbitration. The news spread in the Lawyers' Club at noon, and men thought of their grown sons.

But McKinley waited. Mr. Hanna smoked cigars and growled in his office. Let the damn brokers go war crazy if they liked, this thing could be stopped. England was friendly. The Powers ought to interfere. Then the Pope made an error in dealing with the United States. He appealed to Spain and her antagonist in hope that Eastertime would not be defiled by an outbreak between two Christian nations. Archbishop Ireland went to Washington to plead for peace. Protestant pastors at once saw a profound danger to American independence which they must avert, and came to Washington by dozens. "Well," said Mr. Hanna, "that just about settles it!"

On April 7th the ambassadors of six nations, led

<sup>6</sup> The great life-insurance companies made this inquiry. My father interviewed Colonel Astor and Mr. Ryan. It was his opinion that the steady opponents of the war among financiers were simply the life insurance men and small bankers. A carbon copy of a letter to his friend Dudley Evans of Wells, Fargo and Company, dated March 26th, 1898, says: "Nothing but war talk. Hill seems to be the only prominent railroad man who is fighting for peace. The Pennsylvania crowd say that nothing can be done to stop it since C. K. Davis and Alger are pushing the president. It can not be stopped from this end [New York] and I do not think Hanna can stop it in the Senate."

by Sir Julian Pauncefote, presented a vacuous note to Mr. McKinley, stating that they hoped further negotiations would restore order in Cuba and maintain peace. The President longed for something else, a deliberate offer of arbitration by a council of the Powers. He replied civilly, twisting and reversing the phrases of the ambassadorial twaddle, but presenting a counter comment on the note's evasion. Their excellencies, he hinted, had not observed that a humane cause was at stake in Cuba. This was rather hard on the English diplomat, who had prevented a much more insolent note, it is said, by informing the Continentals that England would not assent to an attempt at coercion of the United States. The nations now symbolically withdrew and Mr. McKinley waited for fresh news from the civilized Old World in the morning. None came. Europe washed its hands of him and his affairs, he must have thought. . . . About noon a letter arrived in Mr. Hanna's office, where Joseph Kimball watched the Senator playing solitaire on his desk. Hanna read the line from the White House and made a noise inside his mouth, a swallowed oath. He was beyond discretion. God damn the lot of them! Why wouldn't they offer to arbitrate?

Here a high statesman in the White House would have turned on Europe and called for arbitration, ignoring all the rant of the French and German newspapers, asserting the value of Cuba's case and forcing the tale of Spanish stupidity on a table in full light.



But McKinley had never been abroad. He sensed that Europe was trying to bully him, but he does not seem to have known that Europe could be bullied back. And he was an American. The will of majorities had a special meaning for him. War cries banged in his ears. Roosevelt had said across a dinner-table to Mark Hanna, "We are *going* to have this war!" Cushman Davis, Alger, Frye, a mob of Congressmen and officials, his own brother, and his friend Herman Kohlsaet were telling him to go ahead. He delayed. He would not send his message to the Capitol unless he heard that all Americans were out of Cuba. Then, on April 11th, he sent what it wanted to Congress, and so declined into an artist in popular government.

"If Congress had started this," Hanna said, "I'd break my neck to stop it." But his own President had let Congress have the lead. He sat glum in the Senate, approving when Teller of Colorado insisted that the Cubans must govern themselves when they were freed. He voted with the majority and walked through the Marble Room, staring at the floor, when the matter was concluded on April 19th. Reporters got some words out of him, but no sentiment worth print. A rumor lasted a few days. Hanna was said to have quarreled with Mr. McKinley. On May 2nd he was dining at the White House and the rumor wandered off in the herd of that month's lies.

He must have his say, though. "Now," he told some Senators and correspondents in the Marble Room,

"look out for Mr. Bryan. Everything that goes wrong'll be in the Democratic platform in 1900. You can be damn sure of that!" They broke out in hoots of laughter. Bryan? What about the Silver Knight? Why, the man was a cipher! Uncle Mark was crazy. Only Senator Spooner shook his head. He thought that Bryan wasn't dead just yet.

"But," said Henry Cabot Lodge, "will not the Democrats hesitate before offering Mr. Bryan the nomination?"

"Hesitate?" Hanna growled. "Does a dog hesitate for a marriage license?"

He left the group. Senator Orville Platt asked the correspondents not to print Mr. Hanna's remark. He might not want it known that he thought such a thing. A solicitude for Mark Hanna grew among the Senators. He made no speeches, even in debates on the tariff, but they began to be fond of him. He was loyal to his President, and obliging about little jobs for a client of some friend, and his passion for arbitrating a row was useful in that nervous spring. And then he was an encyclopedia, ready in awkward moments of debate. Questions whispered along to his chair brought back a scribble of the number of negroes in Arkansas, the depth of Mobile Bay, or the size of a railroad station in Chicago. He was a good neighbor in the Senate and he gave a good dinner at his hotel. So they did not want to humiliate him by having it known that he was still afraid of Mr. Bryan.

Plutocratic imperialism now displayed itself in the Orient. In the first week of May it had to be admitted that something serious had happened between the Spanish and American fleets in the bay of Manila. On May 7th it was known that Commodore Dewey's ships had mashed up the defending flotilla and that Manila was due to be taken whenever American troops arrived. Within ten days Joseph Chamberlain and Lord Salisbury uttered speeches broadly friendly to the United States, and Americans in clever Paris heard that the Philippines were to be sold to England as soon as the subsidized Yankees had possessed the islands. The German official press twittered that Yankee insolence ought to be suppressed.<sup>7</sup> On June 3rd Vice Admiral Von Diederichs arrived at Manila and commenced his grotesque abrasions of neutral etiquette, facing the English commander, Chichester, past the American fleet. The situation was plain even to an eighteen-year-old seaman in the American pay. "These Dutch," he wrote to his mother in Fort Wayne, Indiana, "are trying to see if they can get up a fight with the English boats by busting all the rules. If we have a fight with them they can start a fight with England out of it and grab all this country out here." Seaman George P. Smith was in tune to

<sup>7</sup> An editorial of the *Kölnische Volkszeitung* on May 7th is partly quoted in Peck's *Twenty Years of the Republic*. The files of this particular newspaper, from the moment of Dewey's victory to the signing of the peace with Spain, are luxuriously informative as to the quality of German propaganda, in 1898.

the operations of imperialistic statecraft, which are those of eighteen-year-old boys. The game of grab, as glimpsed through portholes of his hot navigating home impressed him for what it was. On August 13th, if he was watching, he saw the British vessels steer forward between the rear of the American fleet and the moving German ships. Von Diederichs chose to follow the Yankee cruisers and transports steaming toward the city in assault, and Chichester chose to interfere. This ended the matter, in 1898, and historians may go on talking of the precise intentions demonstrated for a long time yet.

But the tension at Manila was quietly known in New York. The American plutocracy began to worry, not at all caring to have the United States drawn into a monstrous world war. In June, John Pierpont Morgan sent an agent to Washington to represent to Mr. Hanna that a victory in Cuba might make Germany recoil. Mr. Hanna rudely answered that he was not in the victory business. Still, a victory was supplied. On July 3rd Admiral Cervera led his squadron in flight from the bay of Santiago and it was destroyed by the blockading ships. Santiago fell. The war was all over. Spain had been licked in less than Mr. Hanna's six months.

He had not liked the war. "Oh, God," he said, reading the list of deaths at El Caney, "now we'll have this sort of thing again!" On the news of Santiago's fall the Senator swung out his cane among the ladies

in a veranda and stood for minutes slowly stamping one heel on the floor. "Remember," he told a young girl, "that my folks were Quakers. War is just a damn nuisance. . . ." But in July he saw that the joke was on him, and he recognized the forces which had made his pacifism ludicrous. The United States had won something that could be assayed. European propaganda had vehemently advertised the wealth and the resources of the loathsome Yankee. Investors from France and Germany appeared in New York with notes introducing them to Mr. J. P. Morgan before the destruction of Cervera's ships. All summer Herr Otto Heinrich was summoned from his desk in Dresden and brought to explain American securities to grave clients of his uncle's bank. He clicked his heels before a widowed countess and promised her that the city of Saint Louis was habitable and its bonds good. So the Hanna luck held. Mr. Hanna's President was now the ruler of a nation which, said a French statesman, "has entered the council of the Powers and is entitled, despite its youth, to the consideration of an equal. . . ." Its gunners could shoot and its bonds were good.

For all this, Mr. Hanna had not liked the war. Yet it did things for his President beyond the Mississippi; the West was pleased by this adventure; for twenty years every other lounge on a station's platform would tell tourists that he had been a Rough Rider in Roosevelt's regiment at San Juan Hill, and would

## POWER, OF A KIND

accept a drink on the strength of that. The frontier was gone, and there was not much free land left to be parceled among sturdy men on the precedent of Mr. Lincoln's Homestead Act. Magnets which had drawn settlers to the West rusted out their attraction, but the strange thing called "earth hunger" still kept this Western population astir. A ghostly committee of Western legislators asked Mr. Hanna, in July, to find out whether Mr. McKinley would not keep the Philippine Islands.<sup>8</sup> The star of Empire was gone glimmering to its farthest and now beckoned from the Orient. Mr. McKinley had somehow led a war of expansion, without meaning to, and took the profits.

In the East, also, the war had done something unexpected. There was a new folk-hero born, and his rise amused Mr. Hanna, doubly. In the autumn of 1898 Senator Thomas Platt had to accept Colonel Theodore Roosevelt as the Republican machine's selection for Governor of New York State; an unlimited enthusiasm declared itself in the clubs while journalists caught up the commander of the Rough Riders into a glamour that ended by infuriating

<sup>8</sup> Mr. Joseph Kimball was with Mr. Hanna when they called on him. Mr. Warren Watson informs me, in a letter dated July 1st, 1929, that: ". . . [in] the very first letters I received in Manila after the troops took possession of the town in 1898 was a funny request for information about 'farming land' in the islands. The sender was a rolling stone whom I had occasionally helped with tiny loans when I was a clerk in Cheyenne, a typical ne'er-do-weel of the cow country. I do not care a straw what is now being said in histories of the period. The Westerners regarded the Philippines as a conquest and liked the idea of a colonial empire. . . ."

officers of regular troops which had also been employed in Cuba. Mr. Hanna was entertained so keenly that he laughed himself into an access of the cold indigestion that had troubled him ever since his typhoid fever of 1867. Why, the chilly metropolitans were like anybody else when it came to a military hero! They had made Roosevelt Governor of New York for charging a hill in Cuba. And how would Tom Platt get along with the crazy man? He wrote to Asa Barnes: "Mr. Roosevelt is really a very able man. He was a great help to Mr. Long last year in getting the navy ready for war. He took up a matter for me in a very effective way. . . .<sup>9</sup> I should expect to see him make a good record as governor unless he has bad times with Platt. He certainly did not 'shrink from office,' did he?"

Mr. Roosevelt unshrinkingly looked toward office and thus could not be sent to Paris with the commissioners as his friend Cushman Davis wanted when peace was discussed. Mr. Davis himself was selected and sailed with William Day, George Gray, and

<sup>9</sup> The case of the Gathmann torpedo, presumably. Mr. Hanna's passion for mechanics led him to interest himself in schemes offered to the Army and Navy in 1898. He rejected outright a grenade of the type afterwards used in the Great War, invented by a man named Vick Walter, of Chicago, saying that the cruelty of the device would damage American prestige! I have been shown a letter written by Secretary Long stating that Mr. Hanna had been of "inestimable help" in getting rid of "foolish inventions" tendered to the Navy Department. On the other hand, Mr. McKinley was rather given to clogging the department's work by sending along inventors and busybodies. Mr. Roosevelt complained of this in January of 1898 in a note to Jacob Riis.

William Frye to join Whitelaw Reid in France, remarking languidly that for once in his ill-spent life he would not be told by reporters how much he looked like the appalling Benjamin Butler. He was an obvious choice for this expedition, it seemed to Mr. McKinley, as he read French and Italian and, although Senator from Minnesota, suggested the heavy stateliness of an Eastern personage.

He was a personage, oddly forgotten by historians, a reformer, a jingo, an imperialist, and yet a critical patriot. It was Davis who launched an economist's demand for the control of big corporations in 1886, denouncing the theory of *laissez faire* as a profound economic fallacy spawned by Adam Smith. It was Davis who retorted in 1892 when a German imperialist invited the Reichstag to secure the decent dismemberment of the United States by planting colonies of civilized Europeans, commanded by their own ecclesiastics and speaking their own tongues, in yonder savage nation. The Senator from Minnesota thunderously told the Senate that this notion was less civilized than the political ideas of Confucius, and then was found by reporters in his office reading an unknown work named *The New Spirit*, by Havelock Ellis. He drawled that Dr. Ellis was a sort of improved Emerson and told the journalists where they could read the ideas of Confucius. The prose of *Moby Dick* moved him, but so did the rhythm of Tennyson's moral poems. He read constantly, slumped on a couch beside a box



of violent cigars, and dallied with essays on Madame Roland and the law in the plays of Shakspere. He thought of a volume on musical instruments, described a history of prostitution in America that ought to be written by somebody else, and collected Napoleonana. But the war had done for him, he yawned. He would never amount to a damned thing, after the excitements and maladies of soldiering in the sixties. It took another war to rouse him. He set off for Paris ready to laugh aloud when the Spanish commission proposed that the United States accept the debts of Cuba along with Cuba.

John Hay's gay voice, meantime, had succeeded the politic silence of William Day at the State Department. The new Secretary talked so frankly that he seemed wholly indiscreet to callers. Yes, he had worked hard in England to secure the United States an open hearing in the newspapers. It had cost a pile of money. Such things did. But the sinking fund of the department had been at his disposal. He shrugged . . . "*Ça vous étonne, monsieur?*" . . . The superior Englishmen were on our side, but a friendly propaganda for use among the people had been necessary, and English dinners were expensive things. One talked best to journalists over champagne. . . . He was talking with Mr. Hanna, at lunch, when a wonderful interview of Cushman Davis reached him on December 12th, 1898. The Senator had been expansive to an English reporter, and had let his mind

## POWER, OF A KIND

arrange a configuration of England, America, and Japan in the Orient, opposing the *Dreibund* of Germany, France, and Russia. To this marvel he added some thoughts on the utility of England as an ally, saying that the English had five hundred years of vigor left. "Heavenly union!" said Mr. Hay. "Davis has run amuck!" He hurried to the White House, paused in the telegraph room to tell Colonel Montgomery, "Do let me hear if Mr. Davis has another attack of statesmanship!" and then the door of Mr. McKinley's office shut on the Davis *Dreibund*.

The peace treaty was signed on December 10th, 1898 in Paris, and its terms promised Spain twenty million dollars for the Philippines. Now Mr. Hanna faced the revolt of the East. He knew that the West did not care, or favored keeping the islands. But only one Eastern Senator, Orville Platt, had been persistent in urging Mr. McKinley to hold the Philippines. Others had wavered and, outside the government, professors, editors, and a few bankers were protesting. They were not impressed by McKinley's prayers for guidance, and they did not know that Mrs. McKinley was charmed with the thought of Christianizing the Igorrotes.<sup>10</sup> Carl Schurz, William Sumner, Charles

<sup>10</sup> "Mrs. McK. talked ten to the minute about converting the Igorrotes. I hope you know how to spell the name of this tribe because your fond father does not. Anyhow she wants you and Alice to pray for the Igorrotes or Iggorotes. Tell your mother that Mrs. McK. asked for her. She was wearing a pink kind of dress with green spots. . . ." William C. Beer to his son, October 23rd, 1898.

of violent cigars, and dallied with essays on Madame Roland and the law in the plays of Shakspeare. He thought of a volume on musical instruments, described a history of prostitution in America that ought to be written by somebody else, and collected Napoleonana. But the war had done for him, he yawned. He would never amount to a damned thing, after the excitements and maladies of soldiering in the sixties. It took another war to rouse him. He set off for Paris ready to laugh aloud when the Spanish commission proposed that the United States accept the debts of Cuba along with Cuba.

John Hay's gay voice, meantime, had succeeded the politic silence of William Day at the State Department. The new Secretary talked so frankly that he seemed wholly indiscreet to callers. Yes, he had worked hard in England to secure the United States an open hearing in the newspapers. It had cost a pile of money. Such things did. But the sinking fund of the department had been at his disposal. He shrugged . . . "*Ça vous étonne, monsieur?*" . . . The superior Englishmen were on our side, but a friendly propaganda for use among the people had been necessary, and English dinners were expensive things. One talked best to journalists over champagne. . . . He was talking with Mr. Hanna, at lunch, when a wonderful interview of Cushman Davis reached him on December 12th, 1898. The Senator had been expansive to an English reporter, and had let his mind

## POWER, OF A KIND

arrange a configuration of England, America, and Japan in the Orient, opposing the *Dreibund* of Germany, France, and Russia. To this marvel he added some thoughts on the utility of England as an ally, saying that the English had five hundred years of vigor left. "Heavenly union!" said Mr. Hay. "Davis has run amuck!" He hurried to the White House, paused in the telegraph room to tell Colonel Montgomery, "Do let me hear if Mr. Davis has another attack of statesmanship!" and then the door of Mr. McKinley's office shut on the Davis *Dreibund*.

The peace treaty was signed on December 10th, 1898 in Paris, and its terms promised Spain twenty million dollars for the Philippines. Now Mr. Hanna faced the revolt of the East. He knew that the West did not care, or favored keeping the islands. But only one Eastern Senator, Orville Platt, had been persistent in urging Mr. McKinley to hold the Philippines. Others had wavered and, outside the government, professors, editors, and a few bankers were protesting. They were not impressed by McKinley's prayers for guidance, and they did not know that Mrs. McKinley was charmed with the thought of Christianizing the Igorrotes.<sup>10</sup> Carl Schurz, William Sumner, Charles

<sup>10</sup> "Mrs. McK. talked ten to the minute about converting the Igorrotes. I hope you know how to spell the name of this tribe because your fond father does not. Anyhow she wants you and Alice to pray for the Igorrotes or Iggorotes. Tell your mother that Mrs. McK. asked for her. She was wearing a pink kind of dress with green spots. . . ." William C. Beer to his son, October 23rd, 1898.

Francis Adams, William James, and Moorfield Storey were not infected by earth hunger. The Anti-Imperialist League was born at Boston in December of 1898. Senator Hoar and Thomas Reed headed the rebellion of Congress. Democratic legislators strayed, looking for a leader, when the treaty was sent to be ratified in January.

Leadership was bestowed. Mr. William Jennings Bryan appeared in the Marble Room and stood among the Democratic Senators, slowly gesticulating, beautiful, and still tanned a little by exposure in the camp of a volunteer regiment which he had peaceably commanded. His presence excited Mr. Hanna to telling Orville Platt that he'd like to see Mr. Bryan play Hamlet. Mr. Bryan's public performance had this purpose: the treaty must be ratified, to end the burden of war on the plain people. The people could then dispose of the Philippines by its sovereign will. He was well heard. On February 5th the treaty was ratified and an issue for the campaign of 1900 had been contrived. "This simple minded strategy seemed Machiavellian to him," says Charles Willis Thompson. "His idea was that if he could get the treaty ratified, the people would blame McKinley for it, because it was a McKinley treaty."

Mr. Hanna was not disturbed. On February 19th the New York Life Insurance Company learned, "He says that Bryan's trick can be discounted. McKinley consulted hundreds of people of all kinds on his trip "

## POWER, OF A KIND

(McKinley went to the Exposition at Omaha in October) "and finds western sentiment generally in favor of holding the islands. He implied that our good understanding with England would be damaged by letting Spain retain the Philippines, as they would be instantly sold to Germany. He said in a very forcible way, 'I wonder what these people in Boston would say if we listened to Hoar and turned the islands over to the natives. Why, Germany would take them in a month! Spain is selling all her other islands to Germany as it is. Hoar is crazy. He thinks Germany is just fooling.' He said a great deal more in the same strain. . . . I find a widespread feeling down here that Mr. Hanna is not much in favor of holding the Philippines but let himself be persuaded by Hay and [Orville] Platt. Hay is supposed to have practically pledged the country to keep the islands out of Germany's control.<sup>11</sup> Mr. Cortelyou had nothing to say on the matter. . . ." <sup>12</sup>

Now that Mr. Hanna had entered the sphere of conscienceless world-politics he dealt with it realistically. One's friends stood by one handsomely, in wars as in elections, and one paid them back. Let Hoar keep his

<sup>11</sup> Hay's letter to Mr. McKinley, dated August 2nd, 1898, states that "our English friends" would be disappointed if the United States relinquished the Philippines. Myron Herrick, in conversation with Mr. George Poldmann, in 1927, told him that Hay was obliged to argue Hanna into supporting the treaty. An autograph of Mr. Hay addressed to "Dear Hall," dated October 30th, contains the sentence, "I have had to talk Philippine business to Hanna for six hours."

<sup>12</sup> William C. Beer to George Walbridge Perkins, from Washington.

illusions. But he was moved by the old Senator's tirades, because he liked the Yankee and enjoyed hearing him make an oration. He esteemed these men who could get up on their feet and become periodically eloquent. He always sat out a speech of Joseph Foraker, listening to his rival from Ohio with every attention. Mr. Foraker was abler and abler, now that the showiness of his time as Governor of Ohio had peeled away from him. He talked simply, driving his sentences along in a pulsation of ready, sharp words, and men feared him. Even toughened journalists in the gallery would watch Foraker support a bill of the Administration on some trivial matter with an interest in his method. He was a lonely force in the Senate, an item of the machine, but a patent will, too little conciliating to be a leader and too cool to gather on himself the affection which came to Hanna or to Hoar and Orville Platt. And Mr. Hanna admired him, with detachment, since we have no other word for the mood in which the disbelieving mind observes the process of establishing belief.

Mr. Hanna believed in what Mark Hanna had done. His President was admired, and the business of the country swelled through 1899, fed by European investments, spilling manufactured products back into Europe. Industrialism's object was attained, and ever so comfortably. "The factories send up smoke; the workman's dinner-pail is full." Tariff had not brought revolution, and revolution did not come when the

Congress fixed gold as the standard of value in the United States. The country bankers called on McKinley, and said, "God bless you!" in sincerity. Wages went up. Mortgages were paid off. A flutter of social display was constant. The intake of insurance companies was so rank that their presidents awarded to themselves salaries of invincible tragedians without a protest from charmed stockholders, and express companies showered free turkey and tinned oysters on clerks at Christmas. It was grand.

But the jinni in the midst of this golden vapor had moments of speculation. Mr. Hanna's general attitude toward workmen wasn't unctuous. He never flattered his engineers, his street-car hands, and his ship-builders. He lent them money to set up a house or to send a promising boy to college, and he was not particular about being repaid. His life was wound in a series of personal relations. This series included friendships with common mechanics and servants, for his cook adored him, and the waiters in his clubs sent roses to his funeral. People were people. He liked them, or he didn't. But what was all this bosh he began to hear at dinners where Eastern owners of coal mines and railroads prosed about "the lower classes"? "You mean working men?" he asked a Philadelphian banker. "Or do you mean criminals and that kind of people? Those are the lower classes."

This was not a pose. Mr. Hanna held and said repeatedly that a certain sort of workman would never



get up in the world. God knew why, but they were born to be damn stupid folks. They stuck their fingers in machines, lost their pay envelopes and gabbled that the world owed every man a living when the foremen fired them. "I'll take back a drunk three times, but I won't have fools working for me. They get everybody in trouble." His mouth tightened when a young reformer, wiser since, protested an idea then afloat in social discussions, the castration of male morons. "It ain't pretty," said the pragmatist, "but what's the sense in increasing the supply of damn fools? We've got plenty." And what, he asked Jacob Riis, were you going to do with men who "won't save money, won't look after their kids, and won't stick to a job when they get one given to them?" So the mention of communism made him snort. What? Give all the damn fools in the world equal rights with good men? . . . By God, no!

But he detested this patter about the lower classes, so smoothly turned out by men who owned a coal mine or a railroad fed by coal mines. He viewed some of the great Pennsylvanian owners with a distinguished and rather visible contempt, not diminished by the fact that they rallied to his enemy Matthew Quay. "I hear," he said very suddenly to William Beer, "that there's going to be a revolution pretty quick, over in Pennsylvania. Heard of it?" Mr. Beer had, because a friend who happened to be president of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company was worried

by the talk in the coal country. "Hoh," said Hanna, "it'd serve 'em all right!" This was in 1899. When the strike of 1902 did come, Mr. Hanna grunted his challenge to the Pennsylvanians, "Serve 'em right if Roosevelt seizes the mines. Go and tell Baer I said so!"<sup>18</sup> And so they had been criticizing the Civic Federation and himself for trying to avert the strike? "All right! You tell them that if I hear any more of that kind of talk I will go to New York, hire Carnegie Hall, and give them something to talk about!"

If he could not stand the workman fool, he could not stand the employing fool. In final fact he had an aversion to fools. When Jacob Riis argued with him on the topic of the Civic Federation, alleging that it was fatal for the delegates of the labor unions to come in contact with the rich, who would be sure to overawe them, Mr. Hanna said, "But the union men aren't fools, young man!" and scowled at the journalist in plain offense. He was annoyed by the superfluous fools who came calling at the White House to take up the President's time. One day in May of 1899 he stood watching a deputation of some kind filing out of the place and said, "What would happen, do you think, if some crank got in there with a revolver in his pants?"

<sup>18</sup> Mr. Baer was the remarkable president of the Reading Iron and Coal Company, who believed or said that God had put the operators in control of the mines, and then told Mr. Roosevelt that coal-mining was not a religious business. I happen to have heard Mr. Baer talk several times, and he remains one of the most indurated specimens of the platitudinizing mediocrity I have ever met.

## III

In June he went abroad to see what Europe could do for his rheumatism. Before leaving Cleveland he told the men of his street railways that they would be so good as not to join the strike planned by carmen of other lines in Cleveland. He then sailed and drearily took the cure at Aix-les-Bains, dodged up into Germany to consult American consuls about the law excluding American life-insurance companies from doing business in the Kaiser's territories, and then came home, uncured, and outraged by the absence of the American flag on the seas. "It's just a shame!" he cried, at luncheon in New York. "Where the hell are our ships?" Mrs. Hanna put her hands on her ears and hurried the Senator off to Cleveland, where Maggie, his legendary and noble cook, waited to soothe him with baked hash, stewed corn, and hot biscuit. His carmen, of course, had not joined the savage strike which scared the city while Mr. Hanna was away. He had gold coins added to the pay in a thousand envelopes on the next Saturday and hustled down to Washington to look at his President, wearying Charles Nolan on the train by a lecture about a subsidized shipping for the United States. He saw the American flag drifting on ships as they passed through a canal cut across Nicaragua or the isthmus of Panama. He talked half through the night, shoving his cane back and forth on the floor of his state-

room. . . . Europe? Oh, yes! Pretty interesting, but about these ships — <sup>14</sup>

In October the Democrats of Ohio hopefully selected John McClean, a rich and practical politician, as their candidate for Governor. Mr. Hanna used, against this serious antagonist, some of his technic for national elections. There were many hired speakers, much propaganda, and ample checks for workers. "Hanna is buying the cities of Ohio," said Mr. Bryan. But it turned out that Mr. Hanna's candidate did best in the country or in little towns where Mr. Hanna made speeches, in the last weeks of October, while Mr. McClean's strength seemed to lie in the corrupt cities, not among the plain people.

In December some men in the lobby of the Raleigh at Washington were discussing McClean's defeat with Senator Richard Pettigrew of South Dakota. Mark Hanna was proving a good stump speaker. Mr. Warren Watson described the effect of Hanna's talks on the rural crowds in Ohio and praised the Senator's power of retorting offhand when he was heckled by Democrats in the audience. Mr. Pettigrew jeered; the Democrats were hired helpers from the Republican sty at Cleveland, he said, and Hanna drilled them

<sup>14</sup> He had proposed an act to subsidize a merchant marine in December of 1898. This became a mild mania with him for the rest of his life and he was admittedly tedious on the subject. A more interesting hobby was a notion of a governmental school for scientific research. He discussed this with Professor Langley and once with Henry Adams, at a dinner given by John Hay. Mr. Adams told Mrs. Charlton Paull that Hanna had quite an elaborate scheme for this school.

beforehand in what they were to say. Would a thief like Hanna risk answering a sincere question, impromptu? Here a mild stranger outside the group said, "But, Senator, you wouldn't call Tom Johnson a thief for planting people in an audience?" Mr. Pettigrew denied that the Democratic reformer would do such a thing. The mild stranger meekly said, "But, Senator, he did it all the time in New York when he was campaigning for Henry George," (in 1897) "and I know he did, because he paid me ten dollars a meeting for asking him questions." Mr. Pettigrew got up and walked away.

Mr. Pettigrew had never forgiven Mark Hanna for ruining Silver in 1896. He was a quiet man, but he quarreled easily and carried a grudge to the public extreme of loud speech. "See," he said to Mr. Watson in a theater, one night, "there goes Hanna's wife. Every diamond on her is bought with blood!" Mr. Watson chuckled at this rhetoric, and Pettigrew would not speak to him for months. He was feared in the Senate, because he specialized in personal attacks. Having deserted the Republican party in 1896, he seemed to loathe everybody in it, except Hoar and Mr. Wilson, the Secretary of Agriculture. He pushed a scene with Henry Cabot Lodge in the Marble Room, in the autumn of 1898, by sneering at Theodore Roosevelt on some forgotten ground. Nobody was safe from him. "Here comes pale malice," Cushman Davis said, when Mr. Pettigrew strolled near him. "My

God, Pettigrew," Senator Wolcott asked, "do you spit lemon juice?"

This character was perhaps a manufactured, defensive thing. Mr. Pettigrew could be kind, and his charities are remembered. He took care of poor relatives, bothered at home about sanitations and grain elevators in the small towns, and had friends who were appalled by his manner in the Senate. But his hatred of Marcus Hanna was acute, and it broke out once in December of 1899 before Oscar Underwood so flamboyantly that the gentleman from Alabama thought the Westerner mean and mad. They were standing on the steps of the Capitol, and close to them Mr. Hanna was comparing socks with Cushman Davis. A Yankee representative approached the jinni and put in a request of size, asking to have part of the Atlantic fleet sent to a port in Massachusetts for a celebration in the spring. Mr. Hanna thought and refused, rubbing an ear. The Congressman simmered and puffed steam. "You had a consul sent to Aix to doctor you! It doesn't matter what you get out of the government!" Mr. Hanna stiffened his neck and seemed tall. "It cost the government two dollars to send a cable. I paid his fare from Nuremberg to Aix an' back. Do you know what it'd cost in coal to send some battleships to this show? . . . No? Well, go and ask the Navy Department!" The Congressman was blown down the steps. But the gentleman from Alabama had to hear an oration by Richard Pettigrew

on privilege and corruption. "He made it sound as though the whole consular service in Europe had been corrupted," Mr. Underwood said in 1919, "and if it had not been so foolish, it would have been pathetic to see an intelligent man so excited. But it was downright lunacy. He raved at me."

Mr. Pettigrew then moved to his doom. He sat in the Senate just ahead of Mr. Hanna, but never spoke to him. On June 5th, 1900, while Hanna was answering a charge of the Democrats for the Republican National Committee, Senator Pettigrew began fiddling a printed report, flipping its leaves so that men noticed it in his hands. It was the report of a minority in the Senate's committee on Privileges and Elections, asking an investigation of the tale that one of the assemblymen had been influenced to vote for Mr. Hanna in 1898 by unfair means. It was an inconclusive, wandering business, and the Senate had not bothered with the thing, as there was no real evidence of bribery set forth. But Mr. Pettigrew attacked Hanna with this clumsy bludgeon for ten minutes. The Senators grinned. Pettigrew was at it again. When the Senator from South Dakota ended his performance and sat down, they expected nothing.

But the Senate rippled as Hanna jumped up, yelling, "Mr. President!" and men hurried in to hear. He pinned together long sentences, comma by comma, and slung them at Pettigrew's shoulders in a continuous war song. He announced by the tone of his

## POWER, OF A KIND

clattering words that he was sick of being lied about, caricatured, and called a knave, and a legend grew that he had cursed Pettigrew in the grandest manner, although he said little about the malignant orator. But he had found an eloquence. This was wrath. The indifferent god of the office-seekers had turned a new power loose. When he left the chamber, he pushed aside friends and went straight to the White House.<sup>15</sup>

He had not proposed to have this yarn spread in the campaign or to have it said that he was afraid to answer Pettigrew. In 1896 his friends persuaded him to keep still for McKinley's sake, when his son's lawyers had listed one hundred topics in an action for libel against Alfred Henry Lewis. But he was done with keeping still. He was going to "see about" this Pettigrew. When he had time, he would attend to the Senator from South Dakota. And there was Mr. Bryan. He would attend to Mr. Bryan. Immediately, he saw, there was a third case to be attended to.

Theodore Roosevelt, Governor of New York, went

<sup>15</sup> Colonel Montgomery told my father that Mr. Hanna's anger was cumulative in this case, as reports of Mr. Pettigrew's language about him had reached Mrs. Hanna, who was not well. Charles Willis Thompson in *Presidents I've Known and Two Near Presidents* points out that Mr. Hanna respected the dignity of the Senate. He disliked to hear personal attacks exchanged in the chamber. Mr. Pettigrew's speech reads unimpressively, as does Mr. Hanna's answer. The tones of the two men formed the melodrama of the episode. Mr. Pettigrew's feeling about the Silver issue was extravagant before 1896 and one of his relatives tells me that his hatred of Hanna was an obsession. He would believe anything said of Hanna, no matter how absurd.



into the West and made speeches. The plain people called him Teddy — not that Mr. Roosevelt cared for the trick — and thrust up placards lettered “Roosevelt in 1904!” from crowds at stations. A constant need of self-assertion in Roosevelt’s adolescence had given him a curious, unforgettable exaggeration of facial gesture and he had picked up from Senator Cushman Davis an excellent motion of the right hand in speaking. He drove forward whatever points he was making with a kind of stabbing thrust — the hand rose almost to the level of his shoulder and slanted down a trifle as the arm stretched. It was hypnotic and useful, for his voice was not pleasant when he spoke loudly, although its quality was charming in ordinary speech. Before New Year’s Day of 1900, there could be no doubt that Mr. Roosevelt at last was a national personality, and in February Senator Thomas Platt had decided to transfer Roosevelt from the governorship to the vice presidency, for the convenience of Thomas Platt. This project seemed wisest to Mr. Platt, since it would cost an ugly, open battle in the Republican party to stop a second nomination of Roosevelt for Governor. He allowed it to be known that Mr. Roosevelt’s friends were anxious to see him preside over the Senate.

Indubitably some of Mr. Roosevelt’s friends wanted just that, and Mr. Roosevelt, in March of 1900, surprised John McCook by asking at a dinner whether it might not be better for him to accept the vice presi-

dency rather than to disrupt the state's Republican party by a muddle over his reforming policies in New York's financial affairs. Colonel McCook advised him against the dull job in Washington, and then had a difficult hour with Mr. Platt when his advice was reported to the easy boss. But by May Mr. Roosevelt seemed to be set against the vice presidency and he appeared as the national Republican Convention collected itself in Philadelphia in June, with his mind made up: he would stay in his own state. Mr. Platt, however, had been busy. He had friends enough in the West to promote his wishes out there, and the natural feeling for the Rough Rider among the plain people was now solidified by some machine-made tacks and rivets. Western delegates got off the train at Philadelphia assured that dirty work was being done against Mr. Roosevelt, to cheat him of his dues. This feeling had caught even some of Roosevelt's intimate journalists, and they rushed about in the heat hunting Hanna to demand that he stop kicking their friend in the face, as one of them memorably put it. And in addition it proved that Mr. Matthew Quay had arranged a feeling for Roosevelt among the Pennsylvanian delegates.

"Our babe is in the manger," said Mr. Quay; "the kings have seen his star in the East and are come to worship him."

Mr. Roosevelt's sombrero passed among the Western delegations and the man under it assured his

friends that he wanted to stay in New York for another term as Governor. Cynics chuckled a little about the soft and conspicuous hat. It was an acceptance hat, said one of them to Arthur Dunn, and others wondered why Colonel Roosevelt wore this remembrance of the Cuban campaign. On Monday and Tuesday the hat was everywhere, and everywhere men pointed out to each other that Mr. Roosevelt's Eastern backers were very busy. On Wednesday morning Cushman Davis and Knute Nelson were hunting the Governor of New York, and Mr. Davis angrily bade Bruce Higginson tell Roosevelt that the Westerners would not stand for much more of this. The party wanted him to be vice president; the party might stop wanting anything of him, if he flouted its wishes. . . . Platt saw an advantage; messengers pounced on Mr. Roosevelt in the lobby of the Hotel Walton and drew him upstairs into Senator Platt's rooms. He rejoined Frederick Holls an hour later and said, nervously, that he must not disappoint his Western admirers.<sup>16</sup> That afternoon Charles Dawes telephoned to the President, reporting the tension in Philadelphia, and late that night Mr. Hanna invited

<sup>16</sup> Mr. Kohlsaas's account of this episode differs trivially from a note of Frederick Holls to my father, written on Wednesday. In *From McKinley to Harding*, Mr. Kohlsaas quotes Nicholas Murray Butler as an authority and states that Mr. Roosevelt was obdurate until Platt sent for him. Mr. Holls wrote: "He was getting weak on Tuesday night. Platt sent [Lemuel] Quigg to find him. We happened to be standing in the Walton, with N. M. Butler. Roosevelt came to see me after an hour and seemed very nervous and strange. He said he had given in to prevent trouble with the western crowd."

the convention to nominate Mr. Roosevelt for the vice presidency unanimously. This was done.

"All right," said Mr. Hanna to a powerful man from New York; "all you gentlemen wanted Roosevelt out of New York. You've done it. Now, who's going to win your campaign for you?"

For three days there was an unreported, hot panic among the great powers. Hanna would not run the campaign, someone told someone. On June 21st Senator Thomas Platt was telephoning to most obscure men who were supposed to be on good terms with Hanna, asking the news. This one remark of the fetish had caused such a rumpus among hardened, middle-aged capitalists and politicians. Everything would be lost without Uncle Mark. But on June 23rd there was sweetness blowing. Mr. Hanna had guffawed when he was directly asked if he wasn't going to play. The machine was being polished and oiled. Uncle Mark would do the right thing.

The incident did Mr. Hanna an indirect service. Journalistic certainties weakened a bit. It was plain, absolutely known, that Hanna had objected to Roosevelt's nomination, when that nomination was wanted by the chiefs of finance. Finance and the Westerners had forced Roosevelt on the Administration. Perhaps, then, the link between Hanna and Wall Street was not absolute? For the three years left to him, Mark Hanna was allowed to be fairly independent by some of

friends that he wanted to stay in New York for another term as Governor. Cynics chuckled a little about the soft and conspicuous hat. It was an acceptance hat, said one of them to Arthur Dunn, and others wondered why Colonel Roosevelt wore this remembrance of the Cuban campaign. On Monday and Tuesday the hat was everywhere, and everywhere men pointed out to each other that Mr. Roosevelt's Eastern backers were very busy. On Wednesday morning Cushman Davis and Knute Nelson were hunting the Governor of New York, and Mr. Davis angrily bade Bruce Higginson tell Roosevelt that the Westerners would not stand for much more of this. The party wanted him to be vice president; the party might stop wanting anything of him, if he flouted its wishes. . . . Platt saw an advantage; messengers pounced on Mr. Roosevelt in the lobby of the Hotel Walton and drew him upstairs into Senator Platt's rooms. He rejoined Frederick Holls an hour later and said, nervously, that he must not disappoint his Western admirers.<sup>16</sup> That afternoon Charles Dawes telephoned to the President, reporting the tension in Philadelphia, and late that night Mr. Hanna invited

<sup>16</sup> Mr. Kohlsaas's account of this episode differs trivially from a note of Frederick Holls to my father, written on Wednesday. In *From McKinley to Harding*, Mr. Kohlsaas quotes Nicholas Murray Butler as an authority and states that Mr. Roosevelt was obdurate until Platt sent for him. Mr. Holls wrote: "He was getting weak on Tuesday night. Platt sent [Lemuel] Quigg to find him. We happened to be standing in the Walton, with N. M. Butler. Roosevelt came to see me after an hour and seemed very nervous and strange. He said he had given in to prevent trouble with the western crowd."

the convention to nominate Mr. Roosevelt for the vice presidency unanimously. This was done.

"All right," said Mr. Hanna to a powerful man from New York; "all you gentlemen wanted Roosevelt out of New York. You've done it. Now, who's going to win your campaign for you?"

For three days there was an unreported, hot panic among the great powers. Hanna would not run the campaign, someone told someone. On June 21st Senator Thomas Platt was telephoning to most obscure men who were supposed to be on good terms with Hanna, asking the news. This one remark of the fetish had caused such a rumpus among hardened, middle-aged capitalists and politicians. Everything would be lost without Uncle Mark. But on June 23rd there was sweetness blowing. Mr. Hanna had guffawed when he was directly asked if he wasn't going to play. The machine was being polished and oiled. Uncle Mark would do the right thing.

The incident did Mr. Hanna an indirect service. Journalistic certainties weakened a bit. It was plain, absolutely known, that Hanna had objected to Roosevelt's nomination, when that nomination was wanted by the chiefs of finance. Finance and the Westerners had forced Roosevelt on the Administration. Perhaps, then, the link between Hanna and Wall Street was not absolute? For the three years left to him, Mark Hanna was allowed to be fairly independent by some of

the reporters. But when he died, the necessities of dramatizing him conveniently caused journalists to forget what they had learned at Philadelphia in 1900.

Mr. Bryan duly swarmed into sight, talking against imperialism, advocating more free silver, and, of course, anxious to preserve the nation from the Trusts. He was to be curiously supported, this time, by Richard Croker and Tammany Hall, while several inland bosses worked for him with a fair enthusiasm — nothing headlong or lavish, but a pleasing warmth. Mr. Hanna's machine then functioned, and the campaign went on smoothly and economically. Mr. Roosevelt was shot into the West and minor speakers were flicked into towns just after Mr. Bryan had made an oration or just before. Many babies were named for Mr. Roosevelt, but Mr. Bryan scored over him, in one instance. Mr. William Bryan Wells was born in the crowd listening to Mr. Bryan's remarks and, he is told, in a temperature of ninety-seven degrees. Roosevelt never had the effect on women which was at Mr. Bryan's constant disposal. In this campaign a Yankee virgin of seventy spent incalculable money, buying and sending through the mails photographs of the Silver Knight to public schools and Sunday schools. On the other shore of the continent a widow of greater wealth paid the whole cost of the Democratic campaign in a city of twenty-eight thousand. Everywhere the female mind was at this orator's serv-

ice; he was a religious symbol to uncounted lonely creatures.<sup>17</sup>

In September an unbelievable rumor crept; it was said that Senator Hanna was to go out on a tour of the Northwest, making speeches. This caused another unreported little panic at New York, where the wise men saw at once that Hanna would be murdered by some Populist if he set foot in Michigan or Wisconsin. New Yorkers could sit amused in cabs on Union Square listening to immigrant Socialists and even to Terrorists denouncing Wall Street, but, out there on the map, beyond Buffalo, there were terrible people ready to slay. Deputations addressed the President, saying that Mr. Hanna's life ought not to be risked. Mr. Henry Cabot Lodge put forth a special kind of pleading. He begged Senator Proctor of Vermont to use his large influence with Mr. McKinley to keep Mr. Hanna out of sight lest the good done by Theodore Roosevelt's speaking trip be lost to the party. The Senator from Vermont was tickled and didn't keep this plea to himself. It reached Mr. Hanna on October 3rd. He tossed Mr. Proctor's note to a caller and

<sup>17</sup> It was my father's task in 1900 to find out for the Republican campaign committee just what the real capabilities of applicants for funds were. Hanna was determined to waste as little money as possible. In consequence Mr. Beer heard a good deal about the private mania for Mr. Bryan. He always asserted that people who had no connection with the Democratic campaign committees lavished enough money on Bryan to bring up the expenses of the orator's campaign in 1900 to the level of the Republican expense. In 1900 Mr. Hanna remarked to Mr. Walter Stoeffel that he knew of a widow in Cleveland who had spent a hundred thousand dollars on Bryan.



asked, "Isn't it nice to be told that you're not fit for publication?"

The President sent the Postmaster General to discourage Mr. Hanna, with tactful oral messages. But the Senator sent back word that God hated cowards, and went off to attend to Richard Pettigrew and William Jennings Bryan in a private car, with assistants and a secretary, and nine reporters. He was announced by telegraph. If anybody was going to shoot him, he said, there was time to get the guns well loaded. "By heaven," said Tom Johnson, in Cleveland, "he has sand!" For all Americans are credulous about other Americans. As they had arranged a seraglio for Roscoe Conkling, delirium tremens for Grover Cleveland, originality and sincerity for William Bryan, and inhuman valor for Theodore Roosevelt, so they now built up an unqualified loathing of Marcus Hanna among the plain people. Dramatically, this should be.

The plain people was interested to look at Mr. Hanna. Wives fried breakfast at two in the morning and the buggies and spring wagons set off, filled with sneezing children, to the tracks eight miles away. Proletarians clotted at stations and piled on the roofs of freight houses, waiting for a mountainous brute in clothes splotted with dollar marks. Dollar Mark, the Red Boss of Cleveland, the fat brother of the Trusts in cartoons, was now to appear. An old man in a gray suit came limping out on the brazen balcony

of the last car and told them that protected industry meant "more wages for the working man, more money in the banks, better prices for your crops." Smart lads swallowed twice and yelled questions at him, over the heads. What about the Trusts? "Well, what about 'em? All you boys have got foolish reading the papers. You'll see that big combinations of capital end up by forcing down prices. Why's one wagon company sell your dad his wagon ten dollars cheaper than the next one? That's what comes of these big combinations, in the long run. . . . Any old Grangers in the crowd, here? . . . Good morning. . . . I ask you this. Didn't the Grangers combine to run prices up, so's your families could live comfortably, and didn't you fight the railroads like — like Sam Hill, to get rates regulated? Of course you did! It was sound business and good practice. Anybody abusin' you people now? All right, combine and smash 'em! . . . Combination is the life of business, and of politics, too! . . . Huh? Yes, I believe in capitalism. Set something up against it as a better system for promoting prosperity and I'll believe in that, when it works. . . . And now listen to Mr. Dolliver a minute. He makes a lot better speech than I do."

News returned to Washington. Nobody had shot the Senator, and crowds bigger than those greeting Mr. Roosevelt came to hear him. He meandered through Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota. Then he swept

into Nebraska one morning. At Lincoln the crowd was immense. Mr. Hanna pounded his little fists on the railing and shouted at Mr. Bryan's neighbors that the Silver Knight's latest statement of Republican corruption was "false as hell." He had promised himself to denounce Bryan as a demagogue in his own town, and here he was doing it. Having done it, Hanna beamed at the crowd and blew his nose before resuming his graceless remarks. He was giving himself a good time.

Out in the press William McCready's old Populist father turned on his son and said, "But he don't look like he ought to, Billy!" The human image asserted itself against the cartoon, and in South Dakota it asserted itself at close range, since a law of the state forbade orators to speak from trains. Mr. Hanna trundled two hundred feet to a platform and spoke thence, while boys crept so near that they could smell his cigars. The demon pock-marked with dollars vanished in this sunlight; it was just a man standing there, without diamonds on his thumbs. . . . So Mr. Richard Pettigrew was defeated by a Republican candidate on election day, and the state of Nebraska was disloyal to Mr. Bryan.

Cushman Davis was dying all this while. When they told him that Mr. Hanna had started for a tour in South Dakota he said, "Captain Ahab is after his White Whale!" Mr. Hanna did not understand the allusion to *Moby Dick* and it had to be explained to

him that a man named Herman Melville had written a tale of a crazy captain who chased a whale which once had hurt him. Oh? That reminded him. In 1896 some bookish person asked if he wasn't related to that Herman Melville, on account of his brother's name, and he had answered, "What the hell kind of job does Melville want?" Melville was dead, was he? That was why the bookish person laughed so. The Senator laughed, then talked on about Cushman Davis, and his eyes filled with tears. . . . Davis died. The newspapers forgot his speech against *laissez faire* in 1886 and twaddled about his books and a quarrel of his second wife with another legislator's lady in Washington. The man who had written twenty thousand letters to secure a law regulating capital's powers, who had done all he could to give the West its war in 1898 and then all he could to give imperialism its place among American policies, now vanished, and is nothing but a footnote in the memoirs of Theodore Roosevelt.

Senator Hanna rested, in his manner, at Cleveland and played bridge in the Union Club. He seemed, for the first time, an old man when Henry Adler made his last call at the house beside the Lake. Some rust now showed on the human machine. But the Senator was gay; his chase of Pettigrew had delighted him and he felt nothing but good humor toward Mr. Bryan. The orator always did the wrong thing. Free Silver was dead, and the people didn't care a damn

whether the government kept the Philippines or set them free.

"And do you?"

"Not much," said Mark Hanna.

But why had he made such a kick against mentioning a canal through Nicaragua in the Republican platform? Mr. Hanna would not answer until he was pressed. His mouth hardened. He hadn't wanted McKinley committed to a canal through Nicaragua or through the isthmus. But why not? Oh, he hated being bulldozed into a thing, whether he wanted it or not.

"Look," he said, "I've had enough things forced down my throat in this campaign!"

It was whispered about, in 1900, that a faint coldness grew between the Senator and his President. Mr. Hanna was no longer the minister without portfolio, distributor of federal jobs, but the leader of the Republican party in the Senate. This power, he told a friend, he would not exchange for the presidency. He was proud of it. He existed in the chamber and the Marble Room utterly apart from William McKinley. He had woven himself into the life of the Congress. And how? Well, he was a business man in politics. Let some Congressman run to him with the case of a shipmaster bullied down at Buenos Aires by the venal authorities of the port, and Mr. Hanna's telephone clicked. The Senator was speaking to the State Department, to Mr. Hay himself. Let some Democrat approach him in the Marble Room wailing that Hay

and the President were dragging the United States into world-politics too far by demanding an open door for the trade of all nations in China, and people drew close to hear Hanna retort, for American trade. Nothing was too small to interest the Senator from Cleveland. He adjusted anything that was business. An engine driver had been crippled while helping to unload supplies at Tampa for the Army? All right! He would look after that, for Mr. Oscar Underwood, and he lectured the tall gentleman from Alabama on the dignity of engine drivers. "I've talked to hundreds of 'em. Never met one fool, either. . . ." A cargo of mahogany, the sorrows of an insurance company shut out of Germany, the complaint of a lady whose son had been reprimanded at Annapolis for trying to invent a new torpedo, the inefficiency of a laundry machine in a government hospital—bring him anything that touched his instinct of a trader or that had to do with a machine, and Mr. Hanna's voice would be loud for the persons in trouble. A thousand such obligations bound Senators and Congressmen to Uncle Mark. He rose, in this simplicity, by his usefulness, and superstition clustered on him. He was luck, he was force—and there was something else. Dazedly and unwillingly, people came to see that the old jinni loved his country, as he queerly loved his city and his state. It was unaccountable, almost indecent, when he had been dramatized as a mere plunderer. But there it was. "I won't have an American

abused," he growled, in the State Department, "and I don't give a damn if he has a jail record and ain't got a cent! You get those Swiss to let him loose!"

He towered. When there were rumors of descending tariffs and perhaps some regulation of business itself in the summer of 1901, men at New York were afraid to ask the jinni questions. They heard how he had yelled in a shop at Washington when an American glove split on his hand that the manufacturers were "squatting behind the tariff like a lot of God-damn rabbits" and cheating the public with bad goods. His paternalism might swell out and grow critical, unless something was done about him. Suppose he started his own war on protected industry? The alarmed millionaires began to woo him with invitations and elaborated blandishments.

Then the murder of Mr. McKinley at Buffalo tossed all calculations in a heap. Men who had wanted Roosevelt out of New York because he intruded on matters of franchise and seemed likely to regulate everything financial remembered Hanna's outcry to Arthur Dunn at Philadelphia, "Don't you understand that there is just one life between this crazy man and the presidency if you force me to take Roosevelt?" Now they had something worse than a reforming governor on their charts of possible accident. All tendencies of political criticism in America latterly drifted toward a notion of central reform; the people were to look to Washington for redress of the balance

between financial weight and popular weight. Finance had lifted Mr. Roosevelt's uncomfortable quality from the small area to the grand map. It was known that he thought a President entitled to powers of this critical kind. Now, what?

Of course he would discard Hanna, at once. Hanna had opposed his nomination at Philadelphia and Hanna would pay for that. The dramatization was swift. They didn't ponder enough on the new President's singular relation to the Congress. Withushman Davis dead, Mr. Roosevelt had no spokesman in the Senate. There was Lodge, but the Yankee scholar was not a leader. Who was to be leader of the party at the Capitol? A story wandered loose in which Mr. Roosevelt and Mark Hanna were supposed to have come to terms on the train bringing McKinley's body from Buffalo, but that was sure to be a fake. No. Hanna was all over.

Late in November an agent went down to consult the Secretary of State for an insurance company on the case of a mess in China, with suspicion of European influence. Mr. Hay read the statements and then was evasive. Perhaps the President should see this. Could he arrange an appointment with Mr. Roosevelt?

"Certainly," said John Hay; "come along. The President and I are both lunching with Mr. Hanna."



abused," he growled, in the State Department, "and I don't give a damn if he has a jail record and ain't got a cent! You get those Swiss to let him loose!"

He towered. When there were rumors of descending tariffs and perhaps some regulation of business itself in the summer of 1901, men at New York were afraid to ask the jinni questions. They heard how he had yelled in a shop at Washington when an American glove split on his hand that the manufacturers were "squatting behind the tariff like a lot of God-damn rabbits" and cheating the public with bad goods. His paternalism might swell out and grow critical, unless something was done about him. Suppose he started his own war on protected industry? The alarmed millionaires began to woo him with invitations and elaborated blandishments.

Then the murder of Mr. McKinley at Buffalo tossed all calculations in a heap. Men who had wanted Roosevelt out of New York because he intruded on matters of franchise and seemed likely to regulate everything financial remembered Hanna's outcry to Arthur Dunn at Philadelphia, "Don't you understand that there is just one life between this crazy man and the presidency if you force me to take Roosevelt?" Now they had something worse than a reforming governor on their charts of possible accident. All tendencies of political criticism in America latterly drifted toward a notion of central reform; the people were to look to Washington for redress of the balance

between financial weight and popular weight. Finance had lifted Mr. Roosevelt's uncomfortable quality from the small area to the grand map. It was known that he thought a President entitled to powers of this critical kind. Now, what?

Of course he would discard Hanna, at once. Hanna had opposed his nomination at Philadelphia and Hanna would pay for that. The dramatization was swift. They didn't ponder enough on the new President's singular relation to the Congress. With Cushman Davis dead, Mr. Roosevelt had no spokesman in the Senate. There was Lodge, but the Yankee scholar was not a leader. Who was to be leader of the party at the Capitol? A story wandered loose in which Mr. Roosevelt and Mark Hanna were supposed to have come to terms on the train bringing McKinley's body from Buffalo, but that was sure to be a fake. No. Hanna was all over.

Late in November an agent went down to consult the Secretary of State for an insurance company on the case of a mess in China, with suspicion of European influence. Mr. Hay read the statements and then was evasive. Perhaps the President should see this. Could he arrange an appointment with Mr. Roosevelt?

"Certainly," said John Hay; "come along. The President and I are both lunching with Mr. Hanna."

## CHAPTER V

### *JINNI*

#### I

When Lord Pauncefote died, in 1902, the President ordered the flag of the White House brought down to half-mast and thus presented Count Cassini with a topic. The Russian sat at luncheon and purred to his guests that Roosevelt had so honored the death of an English ambassador from a profound envy. Mr. Roosevelt would like nothing better than to be a great landholder, with horses and dogs. "*C'est son paradis laiteux. . .*"

One of the guests laughed. Hadn't the President and the Attorney General just brought an action against the Northern Securities Company which must end in dissolving James Hill's huge combination of the northwestern railroads? Did that look as though he envied wealth? "You 'ave vulgar ideas," said the Count. Who had spoken of wealth? He was sipping brandy. After a little brandy the Russian ambassador did not care what he said about anybody. He smoothly chewed all Washington, the Cabinet, the insufferable Senators and their fat wives, those enraged cows the idiotic journalists, even dear friends of a handsome

girl who was hostess at his embassy, and spat out the pulp. . . . Who had spoken about wealth? Bah! The President's milky heaven was to be such a creature as Cromwell, a false aristocrat who roused the filthy people to follow him. Had one not heard Mr. Roosevelt make a case for Cromwell? And then all that reverent babble about his mother's family in the South, with the lands and the hunting dogs! . . . He saw offended eyes, and turned the talk to himself, gayly deploring that he soon would be promoted. He would be minister of foreign affairs in Saint Petersburg, or maybe prime minister. "Then will come the dirty moujik with a bomb — and I shall go to heaven!" He faced the calamity, with a smile. They laughed. The Count was so amusing.

He came near to a sharp statement of Mr. Roosevelt's position in 1902. The plutocrats and their imitators had for some years busied themselves with a decoration of country-houses, gardens, horses, kennels, and bastard oak paneling. Ladies in 1902 were trying to use the word "bitch" technically without flushing; stockbrokers fitted on pink coats and fell off tall hunters in great quantity. Mr. Roosevelt seemed to be a shape in this movement. His horse on the driveway of the White House and his pleasant, simple estate on Long Island were possessions that tuned in this music of fresh wind. Abject journalists assured inland women that Mr. Roosevelt sprang from "two of the most aristocratic families in the

United States . . .” and old ladies in New York or Georgia took that news as they might. An exacerbating dandy, who had lived within a quarter mile of Mr. Roosevelt all their two lives without meeting him, told James Huneker in the lounge of the Metropolitan Opera that the President was quite decently English. He shot and rode and all that, he'd taken a regiment to Cuba just as the best Englishmen took regiments to South Africa, and so he was quite all right. .

This suggestion of the country squire rose wholesomely among moneyed men whose photographs betray hepatic insufficiency and social leaders in whose portraits faces seem masks of rosy dough stretched on wire. His other attributes soon made Mr. Roosevelt a sun god to many differing groups of Americans. He was antiplutocratic to weary theorists. His orthodoxy soothed clergymen worried by the swell of free thought. He was a model of sportsmanship useful to schoolmasters busily prodding introspective lads away from the amusements of intellect toward the baseball field. To the fathers of such lads he was the holy gospel of action, since nothing in the President's advice to the young could be construed as an encouragement of dreaming. He was food and light to sentimentalists; his qualities could be identified with almost any wish. The cult increased. He was advertised as a patent medicine, a panacea, until in his last phase youngsters went to call on Mr. Roosevelt well prepared to hate him.

They met a personage, charmingly alert, whose egotism floated in a tidal sweep of conversation which billowed twenty gayeties in an hour. If they were tactful they found that one must not argue when the Colonel happened to call Goya, Flaubert, or El Greco morbid rubbish, pronounced the renovated psychologies of Jung and Adler nonsense "and such dirty nonsense," or approved paste nymphs and useless flowers of his friend Saint Gaudens as "bully," in the nicest tone. They discovered, if they had a sense of likeness, that they were hearing merits of Dickens, Thackeray, and Mr. Dooley extolled by their own father. He was genially adverse to enigmatic discords of high art as was your uncle George. But they must not make a mistake in speaking of history. The man in riding boots could snap out the date of Louis the Fat, recollect after one blink the name of a peerage conferred on Nell Gwynn's brat or the number of men at arms seasick in the fleet of Medina Sidonia. He could extensively report the language of Oliver Cromwell to the silly Parliament. You found that history, to Mr. Roosevelt, consisted somewhat largely of facts in the lives of great popular leaders, and that most great leaders were popular leaders. Civilization was an eventual absolute and not a recurrent state in races. Morals were not customs of some period, but eternal verities, just as they were to your father. . . . And you could not hate him, especially when he told you, one fist pelting into the other

palm, that what the nation needed was action. Action!

Action was assertion. He was not impulsive, sane men saw, while fond journalists represented the President as a prancing kitten. But from resolve to effect, with Roosevelt, was a streak of exerted emphasis, and that this emphasis was right must not be questioned. "Why did you call Mr. Hill a fat spider, sir?" he was asked in 1902, when that epithet sent a friend of the railroad builder furious out of the White House. "But that's *my* way of putting it, Montgomery!" And this way of putting it was to annoy sensitive men who keenly wanted to admire Mr. Roosevelt, until January 6th, 1919, because it recalled too much of Roscoe Conkling and was too like those homely moments of Mr. Bryan's roadside invective when the orator forgot elegance and merely yelled names at his oppressors. Lenient psychiatrists tell us that this necessity of assertion was a survival, in the strong man, of the myopic, sickly child who had to feed his thin self-confidence by doing everything violently, so as to be sure of his heroic existence. Less friendly observers took it for a condescension to tricks of the ordinary political moralist who puts his enemy in the wrong by exploding him. Anyhow, it was a bully effect.

The trouble was that these effects began to influence Mr. Roosevelt's minor prophets when he was Governor of New York. "Forgive this short note," William

James wrote, in 1900; "I have just escaped from an insufferable admirer of Mr. Roosevelt after a terrible trouncing. My offence was that I made a small objection to something in his last book. I am now in the condition of the feline caught too near the cream-jug. . . ." <sup>1</sup> As the cult expanded, an ugly swagger grew among the faithful. What T. R. did was right, what he said was bully, and what he was said to have said was sacred truth, even when he said in print that he had not said it, and so God damn you if you doubted anything. "Just two classes of Americans are not Roosevelt enthusiasts, fools and crooks!" The cheapness of that, its mendacity and its inferior bravado, sickened the scrupulous, as they were driven to note that Mr. Roosevelt, who had deprecated Hanna's advertisement of McKinley, did not seem to mind this sort of thing. No doubt it bored him, but he was engaged as virtue's impresario and perhaps he felt that his prima donna excused these flowers. The fine English art of bragging by indirections is not transplanted in America. Americans brag of themselves and their heroes with the simplicity of children and French patriotic statesmen. The damaging factor, in Mr. Roosevelt's case, was that a man of genuine sensibilities appeared to swallow this coarse diet and to thrive on it. "Remember Disraeli's advice about using a

<sup>1</sup> Unprinted, to a lady on her private affairs, October 11, 1900. I am permitted to quote a striking sentence at the close of the letter: "And so I have given you no real advice, as advice is never a realistic thing but a form of friendly hallucination which rises from the ego of the giver. . . ."



trowel," said the Attorney General, in 1903, taking a friend into the White House.

But, against all these semblances, Mr. Roosevelt was not immodest. He asked advice; he gallantly pointed out where he had accepted advice from his ministers; he would permit, up to a point, any amount of argument. Yet in these arguments his own sense of a conflict's violence led him to strain statements. Early in 1903 he strolled with several guests from his office into the dining-room of the White House and sank in his chair at the table, announcing, "I have just had the most *ter — rible* scene with Aldrich over the new act." Men who had been listening to a chat between the President and the Senator from Rhode Island over the draft of the Expedition Act stared at him. "But it was clearly evident," says one of them, "that Mr. Roosevelt really thought there had been a scene. Mr. Aldrich was in the best form possible. I cannot recall that he even put up a strong argument. Mr. Hanna winked at me across the table, without closing his eye. . . . Can it not be that Mr. Roosevelt imagined a kind of malevolence in his opponents and that it affected his thought during such scenes? . . ." <sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> On the other hand, a real scene displayed Mr. Roosevelt at his best. Just after the settlement of the coal strike in 1902, Colonel Montgomery wrote to my father: "He came through it like a bird. We are all proud of him. He was justified in throwing chairs at them" — the operators — "but he even made excuses for Baer after it was over. They bullyragged him as badly as the war crowd bullied McKinley before the declaration in '98. I had to be in the room three times. It truly made me sick to listen to those men. . . ."

Something affected the thought of Mr. Roosevelt's friends. McKinley hadn't been buried two months before a situation was arranged in melodrama between the White House and the Arlington Hotel. A hero confronted a purple demon; the President met the leader of his party almost daily and horrid battles took place. Details were known in the bars. Chairs had been upset; oaths hurtled. George Cortelyou was forced to drag the raving Hanna out of Mr. Roosevelt's office. "I have not heard such silly talk," said old Senator Vest, "since the boys had it that Mr. Cleveland was horsewhipping his wife every night." When Mr. Taft had become President a lady standing with Philander Knox at a reception asked if he, as Attorney General, had witnessed any of Hanna's rows with Mr. Roosevelt. "Yes," said Mr. Knox, "I did. Hanna got into an argument about the old Granger movement with Roosevelt. Roosevelt thought the Grangers were a lot of maniacs and Hanna thought they were useful citizens. They both got pretty hot. . . . And now," he concluded, glancing into the Blue Room, where Mr. Taft loomed amiably, "let us go in and eddy around the President. . . ."

But the boys had it that Roosevelt was fighting Hanna for permission to bring an action against James Hill's Northern Securities Company, the trust which would have placed all the railroads of the Northwest in the control of Hill and Morgan. Mr. Roosevelt had not to ask any permission for this

action, and asked none. The Senator grunted, very coldly, in his parlor at the Arlington, "I warned Hill that McKinley might have to act against his damn company last year. Mr. Roosevelt's done it. I'm sorry for Hill, but just what do you gentlemen think I can do?"

Still, Mr. Hill's friends were aggrieved. The jinni ought to raise some smoke or waft away the papers of the action brought at Saint Paul. It began to be said in New York that he was selling out his friends to the President in exchange for federal jobs; he was trading loyalties for a postmastership or a clerical place in the Treasury. He ought to do something, something final to stop all this. The exaggeration of what Mr. Roosevelt was doing leveled to the fantasy of what Mr. Hanna could do. For a superstition of the nineteenth century held all these talkers. They were like the credulous critics who established painters and novelists as unlimited, permanent qualities. Against the pullulating mediocrities created in literature and public life by the force of journalized sentiment, a man of any real power rose, in that century, to a tremendousness. Since Mr. Hanna made Presidents and governors, and led the Senate, he could do this other something. He somehow could abolish the presidential will.

Mr. Hanna laughed, turning the talk. A fortune teller had told him he would lose the rest of his hair and another tooth in 1903, he said. Or had his callers seen Maude Adams in her new piece? And what did

they think of Clara Morris's yarn about McKinley in this magazine? And if they would excuse him, he had to drive over to see the President. But what was he going to do? . . . The Senator drew himself up and was tall in the smoke. "The Senate passed the Sherman Anti-Trust Law," he said; "how can I take it off the books?"

Meantime he had found a new plaything, although it was not advertised. Having sported with money, with a city and a state, with extraordinary powers and dangers, he came to one of his last amusements. A few people noticed it, but not his own wife. A sentence would be shed with ash from his cigar, between two rambling jokes. Quentin Roosevelt had said a good thing for a baby, the other day, or Mrs. Roosevelt didn't like the baby to have too many toys, or the small boy was in a gloom because a pony had a sore foot. He walked up the driveway of the White House with men whispering at his other side and listened to the garrulous child that hung to his cane. "This is important, gentlemen. . . . I'll talk to you in a minute. Go on, bub."

Otherwise he did not cultivate elderly sentiment. He boasted that he would not build a dog kennel to his own memory. He yawned when a lady tendered him plans of a new orphan asylum, with a pavilion to be named for Marcus Alonzo Hanna. "Mr. Bryan would say I was corrupting the orphans, ma'am. . . . How would a check for five hundred do you?" This living

body of Mark Hanna was all that he cared for as Mark Hanna. No monuments were to report his power. Wouldn't he look grand in a pair of wings sitting on the roof of an asylum named for him? Wow! And there was his check.

He had always given without any system, to a pair of begging nuns, or to a wretched old reporter, to a waiter at his hotel who had an ailing daughter, to anybody needing some money. In the autumn of 1902 while Hanna was helping Roosevelt to secure the committee of arbitration in the coal strike, he rolled up yellow bills, sitting in a cab on Pennsylvania Avenue, and tossed them into the hat of a man begging for the strikers. Let 'em have it. Some time or other there would be no more strikes. Business would be too finely adjusted to permit such waste. And coal would one day lose its value. There was enough water power in the one state of Pennsylvania to drive plants by electricity along the whole Atlantic seaboard. The government ought to take that up, some time. Enough would come back from even the lowest rates charged for this power to pay half the federal salaries — why the hell shouldn't the government run power plants? <sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup> While revising this passage I have been privileged to listen to a redoubtable lawyer employed by a great coal-mining company as he expounded the horrors of "socialism" in an argument against the use of American water power as Hanna and a dozen others have prophesied it will be used. The gentleman talked through three cocktails about the nefariousness of governmental power plants. He is also in favor of Prohibition as a benefit to the laboring man, he has written strongly against the possible decrease of protective tariffs, and he hopes to be elected to Congress soon.

## JINNI

He was closely noticed, in these years. People who had not to talk to the Senator about a job or an act of Congress pondered him. One of them found that this pragmatist did not know of William James, save as one of those anti-imperialists up in Boston, but that he had studied out a difference in human natures for himself, much as James saw it. "See," he told a girl, "make your father find your brother something to do that's not real business. *The boy's all inside himself*. He can't run folks. He'll be a failure in business." Advice was wasted on a good, hard-headed man enamored of strenuous living for everybody in trousters. His eldest son must get out in the world and "do a man's work." There is a horripilent diary left to record the effect of a man's work on the young fellow at whom Mr. Hanna looked twice or so. The machinery of the strenuous life shook him for fifty months, and then all his notes on Kant and the diary's cover in the open drawer of his desk were stained by the discharged blood and the exploded brain.

But the extravert intelligence was happiest when he could deal with men who went in for achievements of fact. John Rockefeller, he said, was a kind of economic super-clerk, the personification of ledger-keeping. Coal Oil Johnny would never have been any good in Jim Hill's shoes, for instance. Charles Francis Adams was no business man at all, but a man who ought to have gone in for politics. John Hay, now, had found the real job of his life. The Lord had always

## H A N N A

meant Hay to be a Secretary of State. He was openly proud of having sent Hay to England in 1898. . . . As for the Lord, it seemed that this remote character had the function of an assembling engineer, who fitted the machine of destiny together and set it going. But what men did was of their own will or their peculiar folly. If they were idiots enough to excuse their failures by blaming God, it showed they were bigger fools than Mr. Bryan and Tom Johnson. Predestination? Swill! . . . Roosevelt was President by accident, wasn't he? "If you don't believe things happen accidentally, you're crazy as an Anarchist, by God!"

He admired Roosevelt's drive. The little cuss could work like a nigger, persuading and hinting until he got his way. He knew how to handle people. So did Mr. Hanna, and in 1902 the team of powerful men took all those malleable things at the Capitol and arranged them to their own wishes. They had come by power and used it. Both materialists, they made a double point in that high materialism which delights poets five hundred years after its force has thrown up that vastness of a wall against a flood, that roof, that pyramid. They chose to build.

## II

Otto Paul Heinrich left his young wife in a milliner's shop at Aix-les-Bains and ran out to stare at a profile, a cigar, and a white waistcoat in a victoria passing him. He had stopped being a journalist in 1896, when

he permanently left America, but a craving roused again in August of 1899. He set off after the carriage and tracked it to a big hotel. Monsieur 'Anna would see no callers. Mr. Heinrich wrenched the neck of his conscience and threw the corpse away. He wrote on his card, "A friend of Hermann Kohlsaas" and sent it in to Senator Hanna. Doors flew open. Trying to remember the appearance of the editor whose name he had used, Mr. Heinrich came before Mark Hanna and demanded an interview.

Mr. Hanna would not be interviewed for any newspaper. Mr. Heinrich assured him that this was just a case of taking notes for a book on the United States. He had lived three years in America and meant to tell his experiences. Mr. Hanna hoped it would be a better book than Paul Bourget's *Outre-Mer*. He thought that about the wishy-washiest slop he had ever read. Mr. Heinrich agreed. He would have agreed to anything just then, with the Senator defenseless before him.

MR. HEINRICH: You do not care a great deal for books?

MR. HANNA: Not as a rule. I like some of Mr. Howells's, and I used to read Thackeray. But most of these popular books seem pretty thin to me. I don't like *Ben Hur* or Mrs. Grand's book [*The Heavenly Twins*], or things like that.

MR. HEINRICH: I suppose you prefer the newspapers?



MR. HANNA: I don't think much of most newspapers. They waste a lot of time. The editorials are too long. Editorials only ought to be printed about twice a week. I guess I am spiteful about newspapers because I made a fool of myself trying to run one.

[He then interviews his interviewer on German newspapers, down to the details of printing and the pay of typesetters. Mr. Heinrich struggles and changes the topic.]

MR. HEINRICH: I suppose you consider Mr. W. J. Bryan a very dangerous person.

MR. HANNA: Why should you think that? My friend Mr. Dawes has known Mr. Bryan for a long time. Dawes says that Mr. Bryan is a good fellow. I do not go around saying that people are bad because they oppose my friends in politics.

MR. HEINRICH: Still, you would have been afraid to see Bryan elected in 1896.

MR. HANNA: Of course. You know how bad the financial condition was in 1893, and from then on to the war. We are just getting back into shape now. Mr. Bryan's election would have brought on a panic.

MR. HEINRICH: I believe that is so. You believe that it is the business of your government to protect industries?

MR. HANNA: Yes. So does your Emperor.

[More conversation which was not written down. Mr. Hanna interviews Mr. Heinrich on the limita-

tions of the Emperor's powers, or something of the kind.]

MR. HEINRICH: But I do not understand why Americans find the government ownership of railways so objectionable.

MR. HANNA: I will tell you. [His reserve, as usual, is breaking down under an agreeable presence.] I have been in the Senate for two years. You cannot understand how hard it is to get legislation pushed through Congress. Suppose that the government took over the railroads. We have just about one half of the mileage in the United States that is needed. If the government owned the lines it would be an awful job to get systems extended. Any fat-head [rendered as "sheep's head" in Mr. Heinrich's German], from Maine would be unwilling to see a new line put out in Minnesota. Senator Davis would have to fight for years to get such an act. Our nation is too big for one part to know what another part needs. Then, in case of strikes, what a terrible situation you would have! You know what Mr. Pullman's foolish friends made him do in 1894. Now, everybody blamed Mr. Pullman for refusing to arbitrate that strike. I blamed him myself. But it was one of his big stockholders who caused the trouble. Let us suppose the government owns the railroads. The unions ask for more pay. The case comes to Congress, and some silly Congressmen refuse to listen to reason. What have you got? Revolution!

HANNA

MR. HEINRICH: But the people should not be allowed to strike.

[Mr. Hanna roars. He is then lectured on the rights of the State and the profound indecency of opposing the State, for quite a time.]

MR. HANNA: That may be true in Germany. But it would not do in the United States. Our working people are not so weak as that. I have been dealing with labor for thirty-five years. I should not be surprised to see the government take over the railroads in forty or fifty years. At this time it would be a bad thing. You forget how big our country is. The people in the East know nothing about it. That is why Mr. Reed would have made such a bad President. But he is a very clever [he probably said "smart"] man.

MR. HEINRICH: You mean that the provincial feeling of the various states makes legislation difficult? Enterprises are not nationally felt?

MR. HANNA: Yes. Now, as an instance, there are two good propositions in which Mr. McKinley takes a great interest. One is to do something for the irrigation of the dry states in the Southwest and the other is to have a serious discussion of a canal from the Caribbean to the Pacific. Senator Davis is opposed to a canal through Nicaragua. He may be right. He has studied the matter a great deal. But you cannot get a serious discussion of these matters from most of the members of Congress. [He then draws back into his reserve.] I am not criticizing Congress. The

trouble is what I just said. It is the size of the country.

MR. HEINRICH [diplomatically]: I understand. Would you be opposed to legislation determining the hours of daily labor?

MR. HANNA: Yes, if it was a case of national legislation. That is a matter to be fixed by the states. A great deal of the legislation proposed for the good of the working man is a case for the state and not for Congress. Conditions differ according to locality.

MR. HEINRICH: Did you ever read Henry George's book *Progress and Poverty*?

MR. HANNA: Yes, of course. Everybody was reading it when it came out. There is some good writing in that book. But I do not believe in Mr. George's principles. I do not think the single tax would do what he says it would. The final value of property is not in the land, but in the uses of the land. I have talked this over with many people. Mr. George's proposition is bad.

MR. HEINRICH: I agree. But you do not believe in most of the social reforms that are proposed?

MR. HANNA [very slowly]: No. They seem to end up in producing some kind of condition just as bad as anything we have on our hands now. Take the proposition of making all incomes equal, with the government owning all property. Now—[Mr. Heinrich interrupts to ask what party among the Socialists believes this.] But that has been talked of. You must

have heard that. I heard John Ellsler talking about that many years ago.

MR. HEINRICH: Did Herr Ellsler write this in a book?

MR. HANNA [bored]: No, no. I mean the actor John Ellsler. [He is suddenly bitter.] He is one of the men I am supposed to have ruined. It was when he was producing a play of Mr. Howells's [October 25, 1878]. He was a very smart man, a great theorist.

MR. HEINRICH: A Socialist?

MR. HANNA: No! But he liked to talk theoretically. . . . But do you see where this proposition would end?

MR. HEINRICH: It appears to be like some of the English propositions. [They discuss the idea. Mr. Heinrich forgets to take notes for a while.]

MR. HANNA: That seems to me the defect. I know people who will do good work for nothing. But most people are not like that.

MR. HEINRICH: You think that special talent ought to be rewarded specially?

MR. HANNA: Of course I do! Suppose they had said to Henry George that he would not be paid more than a boy on a newspaper gets for writing his book! [He discovers that Mr. Heinrich is translating his words into German.] I wish I could speak some foreign languages —

But that was all. Mrs. Hanna came into the room, telling the Senator he was supposed to be taking a nap. Mr. Heinrich fled. His holiday was over. He and his pretty wife went back to their pretty apartment in Dresden, and Herr Heinrich attended to the English correspondence at the bank while Frau Heinrich worked on prophetic little shirts or practiced the piano. Only, a restless nibbling affected Herr Heinrich. He spun the commercial globe in his office, and the United States seemed a patch of raw, bright, alluring bulbs. He read over and over a copy of Rudyard Kipling's American notes picked up in a café. . . . In September his wife shed tears on the pier at Bremen. Otto had gone mad, her parents thought. He, so well placed at the office of his rich uncle, to return to that desolate and uncivilized Chicago! It was lunacy. The young man could not explain just why he wanted to go back. Something had taken him, while the Senator talked in the smoke of the cigar at Aix. In this way Mr. Hanna, without knowing anything about it, contributed a tall young machine gunner to the armies of his nation, in the year 1917.

This scant, unfinished interview raises two points. Mark Hanna's interest in the irrigation of the Southwest does not appear in Mr. Croly's narration of his life. But he had shown an intelligent willingness to raise this topic during McKinley's presidency. In the spring of 1897 he brought his follower Joseph Kimball to the White House and made the President listen to

the shy little man for an hour while the Westerner explained, stammering, the possible location of high dams and reservoirs. In the autumn of 1898 he had the visit repeated. Mr. Kimball stayed to luncheon, but the meal was interrupted by one of Mrs. McKinley's epileptic seizures. In 1899, before he had learned to use his voice in the Senate, he suggested an irrigation scheme to be introduced by Senator Spooner and turned Mr. Kimball loose on the clever man from Wisconsin. Other people were now attracted. Articles in magazines and newspapers were frequent.

"The thing is coming along," Mr. Hanna wrote to Mr. Kimball, in September of 1901. "I should not be surprised if it was seriously discussed in the short session. I would advise you to have a talk with Mr. Roosevelt when you come to Washington. He can do a good deal with some of the New York men if he wants." Mr. McKinley's death disheartened Mr. Kimball; he fancied that the power of Mark Hanna ended here, and was startled in the spring of 1902 when Mr. Elmer Dover wrote to him from Senator Hanna's office, saying that things were shaping for the passage of an act and that Mr. Roosevelt was working vehemently in its behalf.

The great proposal enchanted the new President, and all his dazing resources of conversation were behind the movement that took form in the Newlands Act. He summoned legislators; he dazzled callers; he wrote to powerful editors. But toward the end of May

there was a hitch, a flare of provincialism. Some Senators and Representatives saw no sense in irrigating the deserts; the Southwest was too far from their embarnacled, Yankee perception. Mr. Roosevelt came, flushed and sweating, down the hallway, arguing with a pair of these parochial statesmen and, twenty minutes later, tired callers in the outer office watched Mr. Hanna pass through. Mr. Roosevelt had opened the brazen bottle, evoking the jinni of the Arlington. His agitated falsetto broke out as the Senator approached.

"Uncle Mark, do you think you could explain to some of these *complete* idiots that there is such a place as Arizona?"

Uncle Mark thought it possible, and did it in his own manner. He roamed into the New Willard Hotel and summoned the bloodhounds of the lobby from their chairs with a motion of his cane. He could command these men because they liked him, feared him, or might need something from him. They had their orders promptly. They were to go to work on Senator This and Mr. That. "Bring in some scalps," said the Senator, "and make it fast." The bloodhounds wagged their frock coats and did what they were told. On June 17th the Newlands Act, creating a huge reservoir of money from the sale of public lands, became a law.

Mr. Hanna took no part in the debates, and journalists wondered if he was not secretly in opposition to the measure, until Mr. Roosevelt set them right by a word to Arthur Dunn. He had fulfilled his function



in the unspoken treaty between the White House and the Arlington Hotel, and the credit could fall where it might. "People have not paid much attention to this business," he said, "but I tell the lot of you that it's a damned big thing."

So it was. It came on one man as a final release from a course of action to which he had bound himself when he was a boy. Mr. Joseph Kimball packed his modest trunk and vanished forever from the city of Washington, where he had spent months of each year since 1886, lurking in grand offices with his black hat and his roll of plans spread on his knees. Too shy, too gentle for the task he had set himself, he had been obscure or ridiculous in this pale city. He was pointlessly insulted by Thomas Reed and laughed at by reporters who knew, from some profundity of their trade, that it was impossible to irrigate the deserts of the West. Until Mark Hanna took him up, he had been without a powerful friend, a gray, slim figure in ante-chambers, a person known to secretaries and clerks as the fellow with the irrigation scheme. But he had hung around, timidly badgering Congressmen and Senators, and now other men triumphed for him. Mr. Hanna took him to the White House to thank the President. Mr. Newlands sent him a cordial line. He went back to his deserts and soon died. His name means nothing, save to his children, written here, but it is written to remind a few that a nation has — sometimes — unselfish servants who are not paid. . . .

Mark Hanna's interest in a canal connecting the Atlantic Ocean with its neighbor by way of Central America is a more definite set of facts. He had periodically talked of this since the Civil War. He bored his guest Henry Adler by a long discussion of the matter in October of 1890, at the Union Club in Cleveland. The affair presented itself to him then merely as a technical topic. His mechanical intelligence was entertained by the possibility of cutting such a canal. In the spring of 1896 he was talking of the canal again, this time to Mr. Theodore Hamill, a youngster of twenty who had lived in South America. In the autumn of 1897 Mr. Hamill appeared before him more seriously, full of an agreeably piratical scheme of seizing the isthmus at Panama for the United States, confiscating the property of the French canal company, and building a canal. Mr. Hanna must have enjoyed this project in patriotism, because he gave Mr. Hamill a note to Cushman Davis and sent him across Washington to call on the Senator from Minnesota, who laughed outright, but kept the boy talking about the geological structure of the isthmus for some hours. Yet, however lightly Mr. Hanna took this chatter, he thought enough about Panama to address a letter in his own script to Senator Allison, asking what his real opinion of an interoceanic canal was. "I have recently," he wrote, "heard a lot about the Panama route which impresses me favorably. Mr. Henry Villard and some other solid business men in New York have been

interested in the French concession. You know that Davis has no use for the Nicaragua route. He has been into the business very thoroughly and is dead against that project. I should like to hear from you on this point.”<sup>4</sup>

Then, in 1900, he showed the frankest reluctance when Mr. McKinley was eager to include an inter-oceanic canal among the show pieces of the Republican platform. His objection was double. Mr. Bryan, he told one friend, was likely to grab at the plan as another gesture of imperialism. And he said to Myron Herrick, “It is bad business playing poker with other people’s chips.” The phrase echoed a long way, as did many of Mr. Hanna’s rough figures. You must remember that his babyhood and young boyhood had been passed beside the ruinous canal at New Lisbon, the ditch into which so much money had been thrown. Age was not making Mr. Hanna cautious, but his President would be blamed if the canal through Nicaragua or the one through Panama, favored by Mr. Herrick, proved a failure.

He begged and ordered speakers to go easy on the topic. Neither the lordly contribution of the Panama company’s American attorney to the campaign fund nor the pleasure of stealing a Democratic plank for his own party’s platform made the canal dear to Mr. Hanna in 1900. He thought it bad politics, and said

<sup>4</sup> Mr. Oswald Villard tells me that his father had no interest in the French company, but that his name was used to promote sales of stock.

so, and his subsequent performance has thus been described by professorial historians as flagrant opportunism. But, in the technic of politics, he was fully right in 1900. The canal was dangerous, although not much attacked by the anti-imperialists, and the hazy condition of the whole scheme made it more ticklish still. It haunted him, though — this gashing of mountains and blending of seas. McKinley was not six weeks dead when an engineer chatting with some friends about the route through Nicaragua, in the Holland House, found a page offering him a card; Senator Hanna wanted to know if he might join a conversation that had drifted across the hallway to him where he sat with his cigar. It is not unlikely, after all, that Daniel James Mason's denunciation of the Nicaraguan scheme, fifteen minutes long, did as much as anything to harden the Senator in favor of Panama. "You are," he said, "a damned sight more enlightening about this business than anybody I've listened to on it."

But he had heard a great deal about the business. In the flux of easy satire since 1920 it has become strangely necessary to regard an American man of business as a jackass. To assert the contrary for a number of dead men of business, some of whom had no favor among the sanctimonious, is an exploit, here undertaken with a certain nervousness. Quite independent of Myron Herrick, Nelson Cromwell, and Colonel Bunau-Varilla, a quantity of political

business men in New York and Chicago moved in favor of the Panama Canal. They were not only independent of the French Panama company, but they were independent of each other. A few of them, such as John McCall, George Perkins, and Thomas Fortune Ryan, directly approached Mr. Hanna. Others approached Mr. Roosevelt. The feasibility of this canal and its advantages over a canal through Nicaragua were discussed at luncheon and dinner by men whose pockets would not swell by a dime's width if the canal was built. It interested them; they liked to talk about it. John McCook drew Edward Harriman into a chat, in 1901, and found that the dark collector of Western railroads was not at all averse to a Panama canal. Far from damaging his California properties, he said, a canal would help them. "People would get so sick of looking at water on the way out," he explained, "that they'd come home by rail." To this selected audience, then, it was exciting to hear privately, in March of 1902, that Mr. Hanna might oppose the measure languidly debated in Congress, empowering the President to arrange the construction of a canal by way of Nicaragua. But when Mr. Hanna appeared in the Senate on the fifth of June and spoke for Panama, his act bewildered half of the journalists and most of his friends.

He came into the Senate, followed by his secretary, whose hands were full of pamphlets, and commenced his conversational support of Senator Spooner's

amendment to the Hepburn Bill before a scant gallery. Ten minutes passed; telephones had jingled and people hurried to the Capitol. Soon rules were broken. Ladies and diplomats, reporters, agents of the powers, all jammed the gallery's aisles. This plain old person in a dull gray suit was doing something and a drama heaped itself in the warm chamber while he drawled along, explaining this investment to the Senate without an eloquent phrase. He talked, glancing at two shreds of note paper scrawled with figures, as if some client had asked his advice about a sale of bonds. It seems the driest speech, and yet it thrilled. Up among the witnesses the Russian envoy began to murmur, "*Mais il est formidable!*" and this, they say, is true. Mark Hanna was formidable: he stood talking of costs and labor and convenience, the foreman of an age. Machinery spoke; the blue prints of engineers and the coal in bunkers found a voice here. A monstrous, docile power made itself heard. This was a job that the nation could take on, if it wanted to do it. This was work. He stood drawling, and another mood overcame people hearing him. He had spoken for an hour. It was seen that his ailing legs were stiffened under him as his face grew yellow, damp with effort. A woman gulped, so that Senators heard her, "Oh, do make him sit down!" and Senator Frye twitched in his chair. But he talked on. His report was not yielded; he would be heard out. His knees sagged at the last, and when he dropped into his chair a gasp of true

business men in New York and Chicago moved in favor of the Panama Canal. They were not only independent of the French Panama company, but they were independent of each other. A few of them, such as John McCall, George Perkins, and Thomas Fortune Ryan, directly approached Mr. Hanna. Others approached Mr. Roosevelt. The feasibility of this canal and its advantages over a canal through Nicaragua were discussed at luncheon and dinner by men whose pockets would not swell by a dime's width if the canal was built. It interested them; they liked to talk about it. John McCook drew Edward Harriman into a chat, in 1901, and found that the dark collector of Western railroads was not at all averse to a Panama canal. Far from damaging his California properties, he said, a canal would help them. "People would get so sick of looking at water on the way out," he explained, "that they'd come home by rail." To this selected audience, then, it was exciting to hear privately, in March of 1902, that Mr. Hanna might oppose the measure languidly debated in Congress, empowering the President to arrange the construction of a canal by way of Nicaragua. But when Mr. Hanna appeared in the Senate on the fifth of June and spoke for Panama, his act bewildered half of the journalists and most of his friends.

He came into the Senate, followed by his secretary, whose hands were full of pamphlets, and commenced his conversational support of Senator Spooner's

amendment to the Hepburn Bill before a scant gallery. Ten minutes passed; telephones had jingled and people hurried to the Capitol. Soon rules were broken. Ladies and diplomats, reporters, agents of the powers, all jammed the gallery's aisles. This plain old person in a dull gray suit was doing something and a drama heaped itself in the warm chamber while he drawled along, explaining this investment to the Senate without an eloquent phrase. He talked, glancing at two shreds of note paper scrawled with figures, as if some client had asked his advice about a sale of bonds. It seems the driest speech, and yet it thrilled. Up among the witnesses the Russian envoy began to murmur, "*Mais il est formidable!*" and this, they say, is true. Mark Hanna was formidable: he stood talking of costs and labor and convenience, the foreman of an age. Machinery spoke; the blue prints of engineers and the coal in bunkers found a voice here. A monstrous, docile power made itself heard. This was a job that the nation could take on, if it wanted to do it. This was work. He stood drawling, and another mood overcame people hearing him. He had spoken for an hour. It was seen that his ailing legs were stiffened under him as his face grew yellow, damp with effort. A woman gulped, so that Senators heard her, "Oh, do make him sit down!" and Senator Frye twitched in his chair. But he talked on. His report was not yielded; he would be heard out. His knees sagged at the last, and when he dropped into his chair a gasp of true



relief whirled through the Senate before the applause began.

Gossip and rancor exploded in the hotels that night. This had to be explained away by Mark Hanna's enemies. They must find a dirty trick in it somewhere and, literally, by midnight men were assuring one another that Mr. Hanna was wrecking any hope of a canal by causing a deadlock between the Senate and the House. He was doing this, of course, on behalf of the railroads. If that story was not suitable, another served: he had been bribed by the attorney of the French Panama company to cause the United States to buy its worthless properties. In fact, everybody had been bribed. The Secretary of State, the Cabinet, Mr. Hanna, Mr. Spooner, the President's elder daughter, and Leonard Wood were sharing sums of thirty and forty million among them. "It is the most expensive lying since the Civil War," said Mr. Hay. "But where is the money supposed to be coming from?"

Meanwhile, at the Arlington, Mr. Hanna was tirelessly receiving callers. His control of his project was not complete. He could count certainly on the strong and utter opposition of Thomas Platt and Matthew Quay in his own party, and the perpetual protector of the Nicaraguan scheme was the agreeable Senator Morgan of Alabama, one of the most popular Democrats. As Hanna startled the public on June 5th, he now stunned experts by his private management of the affair. Congressmen and their friends were sum-

moned to his rooms. The lobby took its orders. He promised nothing. No favors were to be doled out in exchange for obedience. "He has his campaign face on," said Senator Frye. On June 13th a Western journalist canvassed the House of Representatives and knew in advance that Nicaragua was done for. Mr. Hanna had won; it would be a Panama canal.

But he was paying for his conquest. Mrs. Hanna fretted and one of her friends found her quietly weeping on the afternoon of June 14th. He was killing himself, she said. He just would not realize that he was an old man now, and nobody could make him behave, not even Ruth. And the strain was extraordinary. He himself tried to slacken it, dashing along the Potomac in a fast launch one night, and stamping into John Hay's library one Sunday morning, saying that he was two thirds crazy, he was so tired. Mr. Hay was entertaining Daniel Hoyt Marvin with some literary gossip and Mr. Hanna listened to the talk a while. It recalled something he had meant to investigate.

"What about this poet Walt Whitman?"

"He's still dead," said Mr. Hay.

Mr. Hanna knew that. But someone had told him that a Postmaster General discharged Walt Whitman for writing a book. Mr. Hay handed him *Leaves of Grass* and the Senator examined it for half an hour silently, as if it were a report of bankruptcy proceedings. He put it aside with a snort.

"The Postmaster General must have been a fool."

He rode back with Mr. Marvin to the Arlington and took this stranger into his confidence on the subject of automobiles. His wife was the only person in the family who believed that automobiles would ever amount to a thing. His brothers and his son were skeptical. But he saw the automobile revolutionizing commerce. "It was just like reading," says Mr. Marvin, "one of those articles you see twenty times a year about what the automobile has done, but with everything put in the future tense. His only error was that he counted on a long war between the steam motor and the gasoline motor. He said that someone would put a motor on the market at prices suitable to small farmers and change the whole nature of life in the country. I did not believe a word of it, of course. This was my only encounter with Mr. Hanna, and I thought he was going into softening of the brain. . . ."

On June 19th he had his triumph. The Senate voted. Mr. Hanna stood in the Marble Room with Senator Spooner receiving compliments. Reporters and diplomats pressed on him. He grinned with a particular sweetness when Thomas Platt came up to make a chilly speech, for he knew that Mr. Platt and Mr. Quay had been deviling the President behind his back. They wearied Mr. Roosevelt until he arranged one of his amiable duplicities: Colonel Montgomery was summoned and stayed beside the desk while the President importantly scribbled nonsense on a dozen telegraph blanks, the names of his children or sentences from

headlines of a newspaper. The bosses tired of his polite inattention and left. Mr. Roosevelt lay back in his chair and asked with violence, "Montgomery, does the spectacle of human imbecility ever alarm you?"

This was known to Mr. Hanna, and his grin must have burned Thomas Platt. But he triumphed affably. He said to some Democratic Senator, "I'm sorry you wouldn't vote with us. You'll find that we've sold the boys the best horse." It was a business man's victory; he had sold the nation the better of two propositions. It was victory on his own terms, a solid argument of costs and convenience against oratory and scandalous hints. "We've saved the Treasury about seventy millions," he said, limping down the room on Mr. Spooner's arm; "so let the dogs howl!"

The dogs howled and are howling yet, in cheap biography and histories. This act so admired by men who hated Hanna's power is slobbered with conjectures as to his motive. He did what he chose. But in America we have reversed Goethe's saying, "The doer is conscienceless; no one has a conscience except the spectator. . . ." Through all that June of 1902 spectators played the conscientious, linking the President and the Senator in a flurry of conscienceless accusations. Large things had been done, and they must be diminished by many little breaths; the quality, the fine heat of performance, must be cooled down. Gentlemen of the press and the bars knew all about it. The President had enormous holdings of dry land

## HANNA

in the Southwest, or he had friends who had dry acres. Hence the Newlands Act. And Mr. Hanna was putting millions in his banks by this trick of Panama. So the dogs howled. But the hills were cleft and the oceans joined, where Hanna willed. Ships sail through this ditch of Panama, guarded by young Americans in badly fitting uniforms. High dams spill down their harvested flood for a harvest's good upon those plains where, when I was born, a horned skull might glimmer on unending dust, challenging man, and the train jarred past a ruinous house swept out by heat, past orchards murdered by the sun. These are facts, these achievements that once were dreams or trickeries of politicians. There could be no canal, it was innumera- bly proved in bars and drawing-rooms, and watering the desert was a child's notion. But the great ditch and the rills among trees all panoplied with fruit are facts. Let the dogs howl.

## III

Hanna had fulfilled himself. It was felt. Anecdotes thickened on the Senator, envious or flattering. He was a national possession while reforming journalists raked him with the rest of the muck; he was a national disgrace while ambassadors and touring celebrities wanted interviews. He was more than ever the fetish of luck and force. His chuckling secretary showed privileged callers letters written in obscure houses and towns of the West, wheedling the Red Boss of 1896 to

get a pension granted or to find an office. Mothers asked advice on proper books for growing boys, and to one such matron the old man wrote: "I have never been a great reader. When I do read it is generally something pretty practical. If your boy is a serious fellow, would suggest that you try to get him a very good book of Baron Humboldt. Either the book is named *Cosmos* or that is the first essay in it. You probably know this was a favorite essay with Mr. Lincoln. I admired it a great deal when I was a young fellow. I do not mean," he admitted, "that Mr. Lincoln and I grew up just the same way. . . ." But legend will have it that Mr. Hanna did not know the meaning of the word "*cosmos*," and legend prevails, in these cases. He will remain, then, a coarsely ignorant person, without intellect, yet, somehow, a consummate manager of men, a comic *arriviste* at whose table members of the Cabinet, authors, scientists, actors, and painters cheerfully mingled. Oh, come!

Hanna talked plainly, but he talked honestly, and this honesty somehow accorded with a revolt of the sensitized against an insipid society. The old Senator spoke his mind. To people who did not confuse intellect with an appreciation of paper roses his mind was an interesting thing enough. He recognized the absurd in the creation of all these great fortunes; he did not gape at money, as money. One Western millionaire, he would say, had a bank slide on his head and sat up

in the clay to see lumps of ore twinkling at him, and the anecdote is true. Another had a mother who gleaned a third of her boy's pay from his pockets by night, and returned him five thousand dollars of it when she heard of a good investment in stock of a steamship company, on the Lakes. He divided these goats from the sheep; the millionaires by chance did not rank with those banking or industrial plutocrats who knew what they were doing and deliberately did it. Also he disliked fussy shams. There was a giggle at Senator Spooner's house one night when Mr. Hanna glanced at an ideal restoration of the Roman Forum and said it looked a "second-rate World's Fair." Proper æsthetes shuddered when he called the rococo Library of Congress a German wedding cake, or they stared as politely as they could when he said his brother's new motor launch was a damned sight more beautiful than a lot of these foolish statues you had to look at. Everything hard, limbered, and strong pleased the Senator's mechanic eye; the prow of a motor boat, his slim daughter on a lean horse among the pines at Thomasville, the high line of a mast bearing a flag. Finally, he defended the interior economy of money-making itself, for one night in John Hay's library talk turned to intellectual achievements. Elihu Root and a lady survive from the group that laughed when the Senator claimed the invention of double entry book-keeping as a grand feat of intellect. Hanna growled, "Well, it is!" and went away with the Secretary of War. But

Henry Adams roused in his corner and murmured to a woman, "Goethe thought it was, too . . ." and the murmur stays with her.

The Senator was not afraid of women. He dared even to scold one, if he liked her. The daughter of a friend came gleaming into Washington with ten trunks filled at Paris in the spring of 1903. Hanna took the girl, encased in a wonderful frock, to a dance given by naval officers. The Roosevelts appeared. Many partners said pretty things, and ladies purred over the French gown. Her exhilaration lasted until Mr. Hanna wanted to leave and led her down the room. She asked him confidently which was the best-dressed woman in the place. His head jerked sideways to a simple black robe in a knot of blue and gold uniforms. The girl saw nothing stunning about Mrs. Roosevelt's costume. It was just a nice frock.

"That's all that a lady has to have, sis."

A lady was a lady to the political pragmatist; the minister without portfolio was sedulous for the dignity of the lady in the White House. The harmless son of a military official was bouncing a tennis ball in the gutter of Dupont Circle one day of April in 1903 and the plaything hopped into a passing victoria. Quentin Roosevelt tossed the ball back, and its owner stood alarmed, wondering if this would cost his father a promotion, although Mrs. Roosevelt had smiled. Then a cane smote the end of his spine, and Mr. Hanna furiously grunted, "You! You ought to take your hat off



when any woman speaks to you. When Mrs. Roosevelt speaks to you, keep it off a week!"

He was old. People saw it or would not see it, because his vivacity continued and his pace down to his chair in the Senate was stiffly competent. Seen from the gallery, Mr. Hanna was not unlike a finely adjusted toy in motion. His world amused him still, and he would dodge off on trips to look at things. Workmen in the plant of the Otis Elevator Company at Yonkers did not know the stout man in gray quietly poking among them, asking sensible questions about weights and slides, as if he was a graduated workman himself. But he was old. He talked, sometimes, a little wonderingly about other people's memories. His own was unimpaired. It puzzled him that Mel and Leonard should forget their father's swooning fit on election day of 1860. The whole family had been in the house, and how frightened they all were! But his brothers forgot that. . . . It distracted him, at breakfast in the Arlington, with men watching his lips. They had come down to head him against Mr. Roosevelt, but he would only talk to them of memory through the meal. . . . Take the nomination from Roosevelt in 1904? Rubbish! He was leaving for Saint Louis with the President in a day or two, to open this Fair. . . . He wanted to talk of his past.

Exasperation rose in New York. What did the old devil mean by speaking for the commercial treaties with Cuba, instead of against them, and why didn't

he fight Roosevelt openly from the floor of the Senate on all these damned reforms? He had not stopped the act giving precedence to governmental actions in Federal courts, or the act punishing receivers of rebates, or the creation of the Department of Commerce and Labor.<sup>5</sup>

A simple explanation was, of course, that the leader of the Republican party thought Cuba entitled to reciprocal aid from the United States and believed some reform to be inevitable. But that was too simple. Perhaps — John Hay's ill health was known — Hanna wanted to be Secretary of State in a second Roosevelt administration. Edward Lauterbach had another theory: Hanna was turning State Socialist. That was what his Western irrigation scheme, his prophecy of power plants owned by the government, and his chat about the vanished Grangers with their ideas of co-operative grain elevators, co-operative banks, and sternly regulated freight rates, summed up to.

"To think," said a sporting banker, "that we sent this man to Washington to look out for us!"

"We did nothing of the kind," John Pierpont

<sup>5</sup> In fact he pushed the creation of the new department. It had been discussed with McKinley in 1899 and 1900. It was favored in New York by John McCall, Darwin Kingsley, George Perkins, and several other less-known financiers. Howard Melville Hanna claimed in 1904 that his brother invented the department. Senator Hanna, in a letter to Mr. Jacob Bennett, dated October 1, 1900, says: "There should be a department capable of handling such situations as this [the threatened coal strike averted by J. P. Morgan and himself], and the matter is being put up to the President in a very strong way. . . ."

Morgan answered; "he sent himself. We did not know how to spell his name in '96." <sup>a</sup>

It had taken much persuasion to make financiers know that Hanna was not McKinley's ruler, but an adoring, diffident friend. They were human financiers and doubted all sentiments except their own sentiments. Three of them had tried to have Whitelaw Reid made ambassador in 1897 to the court of Saint James, because they were fond of him. Mr. Morgan himself, striding on toes of clients in his waiting-room in a hurry to embrace a son just landed at New York, was a human and rather moving sight. But they could not credit that Mark Hanna had become fond of Theodore Roosevelt. . . . There must be something else he wanted. Meanwhile, why didn't he stop the President's uncomfortable activity?

"Can't you realize," a dry, Western voice asked, "that hundreds of men you all know and eat lunch with have been urging Roosevelt to enforce the Anti-Trust Law and the Interstate Commerce Law?"

They knew it, but did not want to know it. They were major capitalists. They sulked away from believing that hundreds of little rich men rejoiced quietly when the President moved to dissolve the Northern Securities Company. One of them certainly knew that the clumsy laws regulating commerce and the formation of trusts had been passed with the backing of minor capital at the end of the 'eighties. Little

<sup>a</sup> *Ipsissima verba*, in latter March 1903.

money had long fought big money, with the engines of democracy.

A phase of law ended in the United States. Capital was not at war with law, on the plan of Sumner and Spengler, but trying to maintain the elder code taken over from England in the eighteenth century, because old laws were too narrow to control capital, this floating, detached mass of power which illusively seemed free of the earth. Those old laws were written by learned clerks and abbots to whom money was just rent due proper landlords or tokens of gold exchanged by traders and merchants. This wealth in the hands of men without traditions and bound to no caste was not anticipated. The dead judges of the King's Bench could not bind a casteless nation large as all Europe. Democracy created American capitalists, as American capital once had created democracy.

Money had stirred with every movement of the colonists westward, always gaining strength. As the expansionist policy it had caused democracy to build its railroads, and as democracy it had urged the Homestead and all like acts granting free land in the West. As democratic morality it destroyed the slaveholders of the South. As producing industry it had granted itself subsidies, acts for the purchase of silver, tariffs and protections. As international finance it had beaten down Free Silver in 1896. As "manifest destiny" it had, a little haltingly, approved imperialism. Its private wars were clouded in moral issues and

equivocations; its will speaks through shapes as various as William Bryan, John Rockefeller, or the dreary Henry Ford. It would make war soon on pleasure, learning, and criticism. For what does demos care about aloof gayeties of the mind, scholarship, frail dreams? Demos poor is wronged, and demos rich is demos powerful to wrong demos. Money does not rule democracy. Money is democracy.

Mr. Roosevelt, then, put the refreshed laws in force against democracy, and democracy applauded. For eighteen months the financiers were highly disturbed, but, by the autumn of 1904, they had sensed Roosevelt's limitation. He wasn't imaginative, after all. They spent money on his campaign for the next presidency in such bulk that his feeble opponent accused Roosevelt of blackmailing the great corporations, which was untrue and superfluous. Still, in the spring of 1903, rich men worried, and some of them gave out solemn interviews. It was at once discovered that a conspiracy against Mr. Roosevelt existed in Wall Street. The word "conspiracy" was a bully effect.

For theatrical reasons Mr. Hanna had to be part of this conspiracy. He was towering. He had smashed a heavy attack of the Democratic party in Ohio, in the autumn of 1902. His popularity puffed. A lady strolling with Matthew Quay along Pennsylvania Avenue noted a huge truck filled with envelopes and wondered what the load was.

"It's just Hanna's mail going up to the Capitol," said Mr. Quay.

Mr. Quay knew precisely the situation between the White House and the Arlington Hotel. There need be no trouble if the President's satellites and Hanna's gang would let the two men alone. But the dear boys, he told Mrs. Warner, wanted to make a fight of it. He had warned the President that the regional big-wigs and little politicians who lunched with him one day would be hunting an invitation to breakfast next morning at the Senator's hotel. But there was going to be trouble, and soon.

"We have two Executive Mansions, and the President's friends don't like that."

Here, for six weeks, everything becomes misty, conjectural. It was heard in New York by the third week of April that a new leader of the Republican party had been found, in the person of Senator Joseph Benson Foraker. Prudent magnates sent messengers to conciliate and inquire what that meant. Mr. Hanna grinned. Mr. Foraker seemed "cold, and not in the least interested" to examining agents. Roosevelt was touring the country, explaining himself to the plain people. On May 3rd an obscure, clever man, secretary to a dull, rich Senator was beckoned by the Attorney General to enter his carriage on S Street. Mr. Knox observed gently that they were both indebted to Mark Hanna for great kindnesses. He wondered, staring away from his acquaintance, if Hanna knew that some

"irresponsible people" were trying to bring about a breach between Mr. Roosevelt and himself. It would certainly be disastrous and it ought to be prevented. . . . Good morning.

Foraker's perplexity, on May 14th, shook some words out of him. He was "expected" to get the Ohio State Republican convention to approve Mr. Roosevelt's presidency and to pledge itself to support his candidacy in 1904. He was "in a tight box." He pried off the lid, on May 23rd, by giving an interview in which he adroitly stated that Mr. Hanna's own retinue had raised the question of endorsing Mr. Roosevelt's candidacy in 1904 and, now that it was raised, the convention must endorse the President or refuse to endorse him.

Next morning the obscure secretary breakfasted with his Senator and the paragraph in the newspaper took the old Western adventurer's eye. Oh, so it had started! Well, Foraker would be appointed Chairman of the Republican Campaign Committee, if he could swing this trick for the Roosevelt faction. The President's friends were to repeat Hanna's performance of 1896. They would appear at the convention of 1904 with so many states pledged to the President that there could be no other candidate. Foraker would nominate Roosevelt and would mechanically be made Chairman. . . . This was being said everywhere. Washington was alert by noon. The President had struck at Mark Hanna. The jinni was

to be cased in the brass bottle and chucked into the sea.

Hanna did not believe that. He assumed the trick to be Senator Foraker's invention and said so, in Cleveland. He had been joking with Roosevelt in March about the efforts of his own friends to get him to declare himself a candidate in 1904 and the President had asked to be a guest at the wedding of Ruth Hanna to Medill McCormick in June. Roosevelt would not do this to him. The men about him saw that his power of campaigns, its excitement and tension, was dear to Hanna. "By God, he can't mean to have Foraker run a campaign for him! . . . By God, I don't think he's in this!" He gave out an interview denying, for the fourth published time, that he wanted the presidency, and opposing Foraker's suggestion. Now he telegraphed to Roosevelt, in Seattle.

"The issue that has been forced upon me in the matter of our state convention this year endorsing you for the Republican nomination next year has come in a way which makes it necessary for me to oppose such a resolution. When you know all the facts, I am sure that you will approve my course. . . ."

Mr. Roosevelt was not interested in the facts at all. Strategically remote from this incident in practical politics, he handled it with ideal cleverness. He proposed to be endorsed by the Ohio Republicans. He answered:

"Your telegram received. I have not asked any



"irresponsible people" were trying to bring about a breach between Mr. Roosevelt and himself. It would certainly be disastrous and it ought to be prevented. . . . Good morning.

Foraker's perplexity, on May 14th, shook some words out of him. He was "expected" to get the Ohio State Republican convention to approve Mr. Roosevelt's presidency and to pledge itself to support his candidacy in 1904. He was "in a tight box." He pried off the lid, on May 23rd, by giving an interview in which he adroitly stated that Mr. Hanna's own retinue had raised the question of endorsing Mr. Roosevelt's candidacy in 1904 and, now that it was raised, the convention must endorse the President or refuse to endorse him.

Next morning the obscure secretary breakfasted with his Senator and the paragraph in the newspaper took the old Western adventurer's eye. Oh, so it had started! Well, Foraker would be appointed Chairman of the Republican Campaign Committee, if he could swing this trick for the Roosevelt faction. The President's friends were to repeat Hanna's performance of 1896. They would appear at the convention of 1904 with so many states pledged to the President that there could be no other candidate. Foraker would nominate Roosevelt and would mechanically be made Chairman. . . . This was being said everywhere. Washington was alert by noon. The President had struck at Mark Hanna. The jinni was

to be cased in the brass bottle and chucked into the sea.

Hanna did not believe that. He assumed the trick to be Senator Foraker's invention and said so, in Cleveland. He had been joking with Roosevelt in March about the efforts of his own friends to get him to declare himself a candidate in 1904 and the President had asked to be a guest at the wedding of Ruth Hanna to Medill McCormick in June. Roosevelt would not do this to him. The men about him saw that his power of campaigns, its excitement and tension, was dear to Hanna. "By God, he can't mean to have Foraker run a campaign for him! . . . By God, I don't think he's in this!" He gave out an interview denying, for the fourth published time, that he wanted the presidency, and opposing Foraker's suggestion. Now he telegraphed to Roosevelt, in Seattle.

"The issue that has been forced upon me in the matter of our state convention this year endorsing you for the Republican nomination next year has come in a way which makes it necessary for me to oppose such a resolution. When you know all the facts, I am sure that you will approve my course. . . ."

Mr. Roosevelt was not interested in the facts at all. Strategically remote from this incident in practical politics, he handled it with ideal cleverness. He proposed to be endorsed by the Ohio Republicans. He answered:

"Your telegram received. I have not asked any

man for his vote.<sup>7</sup> I have nothing whatever to do with raising this issue. Inasmuch as it has been raised, of course, those who favor my administration and my nomination will favor endorsing both, and those who do not will oppose."

The telegram was published instantly by the Associated Press. Experts differed as to its merits. Mr. Roosevelt's backers were thrusting him forward a year ahead of the convention which should endorse his candidacy. If Hanna now let him be endorsed it would impress the public as a deliberate plan to shut off any other choice in advance, and it would be taken as an act to strengthen Hanna's own candidacy for the senatorship in this year of 1903. Hanna refused fight. He telegraphed to the President, withdrawing his objection, on May 26th, and delicately added: "I have given the substance of this to the Associated Press."

His duller admirers saw that Mr. Roosevelt had planted one straight on the jaw of the Wall Street gang and had forced Hanna himself to approve his candidacy in 1904. That he had not published Hanna's telegram to him was another sound stroke in the game. They cared no more for the facts than the President

<sup>7</sup> " 'I have not asked any man for his vote! ' Hurrah for George Washington! " Henry Watterson to Davison Weeks, May 26, 1903.

"I was terribly sorry to see Theodore descend to such an amazing quibble as his telegram to Hanna. You know I have no reason to love M. A. H. But he has been very dignified in his attitude since this happened. He knows perfectly that Theodore has been asking us all for our support next year. If Hanna had chosen to answer, his only course open would have been to say that he (Roosevelt) meant individual delegates in the Ohio Convention." John J. McCook to William C. Beer, undated.

cared at Seattle. His friends in Washington rejoiced. Senator Foraker, Henry Cabot Lodge wrote to the President, admired the telegram tremendously. Senator Foraker's way of showing this was odd.

"The whole business has been intensely disagreeable to me, for a number of reasons," he said in the first week of June.

His reasons he kept to himself. He stood on the stairs of his fine house in Washington and stared at a mosaic of Guido Reni's Aurora on the wall of the upper hallway, as he slapped the handrail. He rasped out that Mr. Roosevelt hadn't asked him to do anything. His callers watched him scowling until his small son decided to slide down the rail and gracelessly ended the serious conversation by bumping into the Senator. So ambassadors merely found that Mr. Foraker did not like the results of all this. As usual, they had seen Foraker in the yellow hallway of his house; one never got upstairs in this man's life. He received embassies on his doormat, and this embassy had been a failure. Foraker would not be reconciled with Marcus Hanna, and that was all. He led his child up the stairs. Agents of the most powerful banker and the biggest insurance company in America walked out of the house and reported that nothing could be done.

But much had been done. His brother Howard furiously circulated Mark Hanna's telegram to Mr. Roosevelt, advertising that Roosevelt had replied as

to another kind of message. An impression grew among men who admired the President that he had been unscrupulous, and some said so, vigorously, in New York and Washington. They would not see Foraker or Lodge succeed Hanna as executive head of the party in the campaign of 1904. "And you can tell the President that," said Senator Orville Platt to Philander Knox, "as loudly as I am saying it to you in this room!"

On May 29th the President wrote an emollient letter to Mr. Hanna, a cadenza of soothing and smooth suggestions. "I thank you for your letter which gave me the first gleam of light on the situation. I do not think you appreciated the exact effect that your interview and announced position had on the country at large. It was everywhere accepted as the first open attack on me, and it gave heart, curiously enough, not only to my opponents but to all the men who lump you and me together as improperly friendly to organized labor and to the working men generally. . . . No one but a really big man — a man above all petty considerations — could have treated me as you have done during the year and a half since President McKinley's death. I have consulted you and relied on your judgment more than I have done with any other man. . . ."

This note bored the Senator's son. Two years later he wrote to Henry Adler: "Mr. Roosevelt soon sent father a conciliating letter, of which you will find a

copy enclosed for your private file. But he does not explain why he did not give out the substance of Mr. Hanna's telegram correctly to the Associated Press when he published his own answer to it. The truth is that he had no explanation ready. He knew perfectly what Mr. Hanna's situation in regard to Mr. Foraker was. But he wanted to make a Rough Rider speech about his enemies in Wall Street to the crowd out in Spokane and he took this occasion to do it at Mr. Hanna's expense. Of course he had no time to learn the effect of Mr. Hanna's interview on the public. He answered father's telegram within a day of receiving it. He was consulting with two men who hated Mr. Hanna at the time, and admitted it in a letter he wrote to H. C. Lodge three or four days later. . . ."<sup>8</sup>

Mr. Lodge was rather indiscreet in the first week of June. Information passed from a brokerage in Boston to New York that the President's scholarly intimate claimed this whole arrangement of telegrams and challenges as his idea. The information was untrue, but Mr. Lodge did talk a good deal. An alarmed Attorney General began to send out rings of oil on

<sup>8</sup> " . . . I thought the matter over a full 24 hours, consulting with Mellen, Byrnes and Moody. . . . I accordingly sent him my answer, and as you doubtless saw, made a similar statement for the public press, of course not alluding to the fact that Hanna had sent me the telegram — my statement simply going as one made necessary by Hanna's long interview in which he announced that he would oppose my endorsement by the Ohio Convention. . . . I made it" — the speech at Spokane — "particularly with reference to having a knockdown and dragout fight with Hanna and the whole Wall Street crowd. . . ." Theodore Roosevelt to Henry Cabot Lodge, May 27, 1903.

the torn water. "Mr. Knox says the whole affair is a pure misunderstanding. He is doing his damndest to patch it up. Lodge has been talking too much in Boston and some kind of letter he had from the President is being passed around. I am very sorry about the whole thing. . . ." <sup>9</sup>

Meanwhile in Cleveland it was raining daily. There were bets. The Hanna luck was to break: rain would fall on Ruth Hanna's wedding day, and the President would not appear. Magnates swarmed into the city under umbrellas on the ninth of June. Ladies prepared to wear a second-best gown. Then the sun rose decorously next morning and the rain ceased. The President's teeth shimmered on the veranda of the big mansion by the Lake and he said "Damn!" audibly, catching his cuff in a twisted ornamentation of the newel post in the hall. Out under a tent on the lawn old Charles Foster lifted champagne to his lips, whispering, "To the next President — whichever one it is!" The conspiracy of business men to defeat Roosevelt in 1904 had learned of its own existence in March, but it was growing real. Senator Hanna bowed his most distinguished guest out of Cleveland, and the game continued.

<sup>9</sup> Benjamin Montgomery to William Collins Beer, June 7, 1903.

A quotation from an official on duty in the White House should not be made without explanation. Colonel Montgomery wrote frequently to my father, but he scrupulously refrained from saying anything of business current unless the matter had become public property, such as the meal taken by Booker Washington with Mr. Roosevelt. He was warmly attached to Mr. Roosevelt, but viewed some of the President's maneuvers with regret.

"A case of Wall Street versus Theodore Roosevelt," said the Attorney General, in July, "has been made out. I think it can be settled out of court. But . . . it might be wished that the defendant would . . . exercise a little reticence."

The conspiracy took the form of daylight conversations openly carried on in clubs and restaurants by all kinds of men. But conspiracy was still the word at the White House. "I thought," mused a shrewd journalist from Kansas City, Harvey Fleming, "that conspiracies happened in dark rooms and nobody knew who was in them." Still, conspiracy was the appointed word. The President was having a knockdown and dragout fight with Hanna and the Wall Street conspirators.

"I'm the person who's going to be dragged out," said the Senator. "Don't these fools in New York know I'm sixty-six years old?"

These fools who wanted Hanna to take the nomination from Mr. Roosevelt in 1904 were mostly stockholders, not the major financiers. They were men more angered by some attitudes of the President than by anything he had done, against finance. "I have known Theodore for twenty-five years," one of them wrote to a son in Oxford, "without knowing that he hated corporations and wanted to see Wall Street smashed wide open."<sup>10</sup> But I should like to know what

<sup>10</sup> This wish was reported into New York from Cheyenne, Wyoming, in June. It was widely quoted in private.



excuse he has for hating corporations. His father made money out of them and he lives on it. . . . But what disgusts me, in this exhibition he has made of himself, is that he cries before he is hit. He has taken a leaf out of Bryan's book. It may please the Populists and the cowboys to see a President of the United States make a fool of himself talking cant. Could he name one real threat made against him by any responsible person? . . . Mr. Hayes was abominably libelled through his whole administration. But he behaved himself as a gentleman should. I cannot say as much for Theodore. Every decision and every piece of legislation is announced as if he had been obliged to enter the Senate and plead to have it passed. . . ."

Here the injustice is plain. Mr. Roosevelt's satellites were supplying their hero with airs of martyrdom. Horrible things were being done to the President by his enemies. Hill, Morgan, the Rockefellers, the steel-makers, and the grand butchers were "saying things." When the Republic of Panama appeared so suddenly in November, and Mr. Roosevelt caused it to be recognized, a plaintive journalist assured the world in the bar of the Raleigh that Hanna had forced this action on the President, a sentimental invention which annoyed Mr. Roosevelt into many words on November 22nd.<sup>11</sup> But what Mr. Roosevelt really said, about

<sup>11</sup> During the composition of this book I have been told at least ten times that Mr. Hanna forced the President to recognize the Republic of Panama. Mr. Roosevelt specifically denied, on November 22, 1903, that Hanna had even advised him to recognize the Republic. He said in 1911 to

Wall Street and about his hypothetical enemies, was constantly whelmed and distorted in grotesque lies. "This wordy city," John Hay wrote to Mrs. Charlton Paull in the first of October, "poisons men, who might be friends, against each other. . . ."

The conspiracy of finance was formless enough until December, but the Senator had no peace from his proponents all through the autumn. They were at him in a hundred ways. Myron Herrick was asked to plead, and would not. John McCall, who was truly liked by the Senator, declined to bother him, saying that Hanna was too old to be made President. But loud conspirators would not be silent. They must have a yes.

"Conspiracy," Mr. Hanna said, in his rooms at the Arlington, "is the right word for it! They want to kill me!"

He growled that he was selling securities "to save Dan and the girls the bother." The whole business amused him. As soon as he told his bank to sell one famous stock, his very lawyers wanted to know if he was getting rid of this corporation's shares so as to be able to face the plain people with clean hands. That was pretty funny, wasn't it? . . . Well, he was off for Cleveland tonight. His campaign was booming. He was electing himself Senator and taking the

---

Mr. Charles Deshler at San Francisco, "Hanna did absolutely nothing to hinder me or to force our hand in that business." His denial in 1903 was made to a lady who sympathized with him on Mr. Hanna's reported brutality.

governorship of Ohio for Myron Herrick. Tom Johnson was out on the stump already, shouting for municipal street railways and revised taxations. Johnson, he yawned, would stay mayor of Cleveland. That couldn't be helped. But the Democratic reformer's candidate for Governor would be wiped out. Herrick would sweep the state. . . . He limped downstairs and stood in the doors of the hotel. The funeral of a notable nothing was passing the Arlington.

"Drive him fast to his tomb," said my father.

"That from Shakspeare, Beer? "

No, it was from *A Tale of Two Cities*. The old man nodded, repeating the words. Yes. He had not thought of the book in a thousand years. He stared after the funeral.

"Drive him fast to his tomb? That's where a lot of 'em want to see me!"

His maintained power had grown irksome to many people. Weak men and strong men both resent this amusement called success, and he had plangently succeeded for so long, and was succeeding still. His victory in November was sharp. Letters and telegrams from thousands demanded that he make himself President. Petitions came, signed by all these people in the South and West. It tickled him, and it seemed to puzzle him. He could understand those bully boys up in New York thinking they wanted him for President, but the Westerners? "I don't see that so well," he said, and lit his next cigar.

Be President? No! The answer went back to New York, perhaps twice a week in December. A committee up there was gathering pledges and money. Pity to waste their time so. No! . . . Meanwhile it all amused him, the messengers, the lickspittle orators, the embassies of Mr. Roosevelt's worried friends. He got tired, he told George Cortelyou, of going to the White House and being sworn in. His tonsils were calloused with telling damn fools he was too old, too fat to be President. Say, though, some of these gentlemen had corns on their tongues from lying to Roosevelt about him! . . . His eyes snapped. He marched into the Senate and grinned at the funny world. He was committing his last offense against the propriety of romantic politics: he disdained solemnity.

At the White House there was something going on for Hanna's diversion. Practical politicians called and lunched with Theodore Roosevelt. Rural editors were bobbing in and out. A boss from Cincinnati showed his gay eyes. Herman Kohlsaas grew exasperated, hearing the gossip in Chicago. Roosevelt must stop hunting delegates for 1904. He came to Washington and boldly lectured the President, declaring that Hanna was too old and too ill to be a candidate and that Mr. Roosevelt was making himself ridiculous by his nervousness. The President gave out word that he would not see men who had been brought to confer with him. Kohlsaas returned to Chicago. But, on December 20th, Senator Foraker exploded to a friend,

"Root and Knox and Wayne McVeagh and I have all told him that Hanna does not mean to fight him. But he is writing too damned many letters!"

For power begets superstitions. Something about this old man, with his stiffened legs and his sagging throat, worked on the President. He would not be satisfied. A terrible legend of force hung around Hanna. This same day the President thrashed up and down his office, talking trivial stuff to Mr. Beer. The question flashed after half an hour.

"Have you seen Senator Hanna since you came down?"

"Yes. On the street, for a minute."

"Did he say anything about the next convention?"

"No, sir."

"Oh. . . ."

But Mark Hanna's wife could not laugh. Her long training made her tactfully mute when questioners came at her. No, she would say, the Senator was not thinking of the presidency. He had said so. It was absurd for people to talk in this way, and so humiliating for the President! After Christmas she made one outcry, on the cold veranda beside the Lake. Wind hurled flakes upon her furs, and her friend shivered. But if she could only stay here! She would rather freeze in Cleveland than be at Washington. And those awful people were trying to kill him. And he would have to lead the campaign next summer! . . . But she came back to Washington and appeared at recep-

tions, placidly correct, kindly, and so tired. She was not well and the Senator was ill. They went out together, to long dinners and the play. Talk swelled as the old man passed through murmurous alleys of hotels. . . . There he was. That was Mark Hanna!

Mr. Lytton Strachey, cleverest of biographers, patented a device at the end of his story about Queen Victoria, fancying thoughts that wheeled in the mind of the dying Empress, her life reversing until she came to the trees and grass of her babyhood at Kensington. Mr. Strachey did this much too well. Since his old Queen died so, a dozen famous men have died in print to the same music, or have passed a final, measured paragraph reviewing their own times. Henry James, Nathaniel Hawthorne, the great Swift, and François Villon have been displayed on Mr. Strachey's patent, and perhaps someone will set the needle on the plate of Marcus Hanna's memories. But it is true, not fancied, that his youth came back to him on the last night of January as he lurched into his rooms at the Arlington.

There was a dinner of newspaper men. He must dress. He left his train downstairs. It was not known yet that typhoid colored his face. The white-haired woman seated with his wife stared at him entering and saw by the flush and the blaze of his eyes that he was sick.

"Mrs. Balch is afraid you won't remember her, Mark."

He did not remember, yet. He stood looking at her, and his eyes, as in 1865, seemed to go through her. She stammered that he had known her when she was a child and walked toward this being in his yellow, splotched mask of sickness, with his slim fingers thickened at the joints by rheumatism. As she came, he altered. His memory caught at her gait, or her voice, and he shouted, "Sawdust!"

He grew tall and glowed. He was her Mr. Mark again who killed the rat on the wharf with his walking-stick and gave her hearts of maple sugar from the tin box on his desk. He babbled at her, question upon question. How was — What was her brother's name? — Orion? And did she live in California? Oh, and she should see the rat the Roosevelt kids had at the White House! It would scare her stiff. . . . She was crying. He held her hands, and his fingers burned her. A sense of death on his hands made Juliet Araminta choke. But she tried to talk.

"And you've got your canal now."

"Was I gassin' about canals back then? Honest? You remember that? . . . How long ago it is!" he panted.

Men poured in, gentlemen dressed to dine. The child who had loved him, and loved him now again, looked at them with hate and heard suave voices urging Uncle Mark to dress at once. He was swept away, and in half an hour the Senator tottered into his chair at the gay dinner of the journalists. She must leave for

New York at midnight, hurrying to a nephew sick at West Point. But she had promised to come back.

On the ninth of February she read that Senator Hanna's illness had proved serious. The great Dr. Osler was in consultation, and the family had been summoned. Next morning she was back in Washington, one of the people drifting incessantly to stare at the unresponding face of the Arlington.

Hotels jammed suddenly, and on Friday night the yellow hall of Foraker's house was pungent with cigars. Furs were sumptuous huddles on a bench and the Senator sometimes stroked a black collar's softness with his light fingers as he stood coldly listening to the cool flatteries of the powerful men, and coldly answering. The pastime must go on. These lofty children played with power because they were used to the game, and it was dear. Some of them came afterwards to think that Hanna had been more conscionable than were they. He had played in a dream of advancing industry, of men and wealth in one blend, a smooth pulsation of a grand machine. He had adored, and had been loyal, when they played for the barren sake of egoism, to be known as strong. So they spoke of him, afterwards, and perhaps were not wrong.

Just then they must find a fresh alliance, and they had come to make peace with Hanna's enemy, for the news was bad at the Arlington. A courtly chatter ran in the group, an insolent palaver about nothings. They had been brought to call on Mr. Foraker, and that



was all. And that was to be all. They were told so, as they stood there.

A fair little boy strolled down the stairs and considered these men with a child's impeccable contempt. It was time for dinner, and the phonograph was broken, and an older boy set to amuse him on the landing was a weary bore. He was tired of this gathering. He yawned at them and leaned his blue clothes on the rail, waiting for them to have the sense to go.

"Well, Arthur, we're going to make your dad leader of the party."

"Mr. Roosevelt says there aren't going to be any more leaders when Mr. Hanna dies," said the child.

But outside in the city people stopped one another to ask what the news was at the Arlington. He had grown familiar to the simple, and it was known that he had open hands, that he was a kind father and the best of friends. A blankness hung in Washington as Sunday passed. He was fighting; there might be a chance. Pages from hotels and messengers on bicycles threshed before the Arlington that night, waiting. Reporters stamped cold feet and whispered that the big marine over there was an outpost of the White House, stolidly attending the death of Marcus Hanna. He stayed until midnight and then tramped out of their sight.

Monday was gray. The Senate idled. Lads ran in to mutter in some ear that it had not come yet. Senators walked out in the midst of speeches and found

a telephone. Many dinners were canceled and a ball postponed before dusk, and at six o'clock watchers spread below the Arlington. The big young marine stood with his hands in his pockets close to Juliet Balch and may have seen that she was pale and weeping.

"Know him?"

"Yes. Are you a messenger from the White House?"

"No," he said. "Mamma wired me to be here. He was good to my folks out home."

Minutes marched. A new lad would come on his clicking bicycle, and a new cigar would glow among the reporters. Everything waited for the news. Carriages stopped and drivers bent down to ask if it was done. To his last he commanded a world's attention. People must wait and wait. It was half past six. It was twenty minutes to seven. A figure came through the brilliant doors and raised a hand. The young marine took off his cap and turned away. These living bodies separated and disappeared into the night.



## APPENDIX



## APPENDIX

No terminal essay in condemnation or defense of Marcus Hanna was designed for this book. I must admit that the morals of leaders in a democracy do not interest me. If one man chooses to get in a dubious margin of votes for his candidate by handing a check of five thousand dollars to some discreet assistant and another rouses the same voters by yowling from the end of a train that his opponents are victims of a "seared moral sense" and yet a third assures dwellers in small towns that his heart is wrung when he hears the people of small towns criticized, I find no difference in morality among the methods displayed. Morality is an exaltation of personal taste, and taste is something usually sacrificed by leaders of mankind in the mass. The materials in condemnation of Mr. Hanna hugely exist in any large public library, written by men of unquestioned and also unexplored veracity. "Let the virtuous people stick to describing vice — which they can do well enough."

A current theory of history advises us that beyond democracy lies Cæsarism, the control of the ineffectual mass by the strong individual. My dissent from the theory has been twice implied in this sketch of Hanna's







## APPENDIX

No terminal essay in condemnation or defense of Marcus Hanna was designed for this book. I must admit that the morals of leaders in a democracy do not interest me. If one man chooses to get in a dubious margin of votes for his candidate by handing a check of five thousand dollars to some discreet assistant and another rouses the same voters by yowling from the end of a train that his opponents are victims of a "seared moral sense" and yet a third assures dwellers in small towns that his heart is wrung when he hears the people of small towns criticized, I find no difference in morality among the methods displayed. Morality is an exaltation of personal taste, and taste is something usually sacrificed by leaders of mankind in the mass. The materials in condemnation of Mr. Hanna hugely exist in any large public library, written by men of unquestioned and also unexplored veracity. "Let the virtuous people stick to describing vice — which they can do well enough."

A current theory of history advises us that beyond democracy lies Cæsarism, the control of the ineffectual mass by the strong individual. My dissent from the theory has been twice implied in this sketch of Hanna's

## APPENDIX

times. Democracy and Cæsarism seem to me coexistent. Democracy yearns for leadership and accepts many clever dictators before a Julius Cæsar declares the ideational ruin of the republic and sits on the wreckage to await his assassins. The characteristic of Hanna's bloodless adventure in government is this Cæsarism, and his charm lies in the candor of his approach to the mass. He appealed to materialists as a materialist; his pragmatism was not draped in virtuous pretenses. He grinned.

### NOTES

#### *Chapter 1*

The episode of Hugh Jones is taken from a statement of his grandson, Mr. H. J. Tessman.

Leonard Hanna's cruise on the Lakes does not appear in Mr. Croly's study of Marcus Hanna, but is authenticated by a conversation of Mr. Hanna with Mr. Henry Adler in 1899.

There are several versions of Schuyler Colfax's conversation with Mr. Lincoln on the day of the assassination. Mr. Ernest Harvier, who had his information directly from Mr. Colfax, told me that Mr. Lincoln mentioned the immigrants particularly. Mr. Colfax saw the President before the Cabinet met in the morning, and again a little after seven at night.

#### *Chapter 2*

The intrigue which disposed of George Pendleton in 1868, at the Democratic convention in New York, is said to have been managed by Tammany Democrats under advice from Jay Gould and his associates. Mr. Peter Daly and Mr. George Grannis, who witnessed the convention, both told me that the

## APPENDIX

swing to Seymour was contrived by the constant work of a man named Ledbetter, operating from the Saint Nicholas Hotel.

Hanna's performance at Warsaw was reported in New York by Mr. Conkling's friends as a deliberate trick of Garfield to cause Mr. Conkling to recognize him, Mr. Hanna acting as Garfield's agent, and in Charles Foster's version of the episode Mr. Hanna is said to have promised Garfield that he would ask General Grant to call at Mentor. I have adhered to Mr. Croly's narration of the matter.

### *Chapter 3*

Mrs. McKinley's interest in the Orient peculiarly impressed my father and Mr. Charles Deshler, as well as Mrs. Saxton. Colonel Montgomery boldly declared that her incessant talk on the conversion of the islanders influenced the President to retain the Philippines. On the other hand a person as closely associated with the presidential family as William Day did not notice the obsession.

The accusation of having ruined John Ellsler always gored Mr. Hanna. Mr. Ellsler's daughter is not aware that her father ever borrowed money from Hanna. The Euclid Avenue Opera House was bought by Mr. Hanna at public auction, its sale being forced by Mr. Ellsler's creditors. Ellsler remained in charge of the theater to the end of the season, accepted a benefit at the last performance, and appeared as Mark Hanna's guest at a supper afterwards. Myron Herrick and James Dempsey, two men who knew Hanna intimately, both characterized this yarn as a complete invention.

The messenger who informed Monsignor Ireland's friends in Saint Paul that the American Protective Association was trying to procure promises from the Republican party is Mr. Walter Stoeffel. Monsignor Ireland was not aware that the information came from Hanna. Mr. Stoeffel was sent with a note signed by Myron Herrick to the house of an eminent Catholic lawyer in Saint Paul, received an answer, and returned to Saint Louis.

## APPENDIX

### *Chapters 5 and 6*

From 1896 to 1904 my father was constantly employed by the New York Life Insurance Company and by George Perkins, of J. P. Morgan and Company, as a political observer. Copies of his long reports on events in which Mr. Hanna was concerned are filed among his papers. He was by no means intimate with Mr. Hanna and only grew to like him in the last years of their acquaintance. He often told me that Mr. Hanna's curious honesty about the shabbiness of some of his assistants was the first thing that gave him an affection for the Senator. Mr. Hanna would point out that a common politician was wholly scrupulous in his private life and wholly reliable when he was given money to spend. In the very intense campaign of 1899 in Ohio, for instance, Mr. Hanna gave several thousand dollars to a man of no character whatever to be spent on hire of carriages in rural districts on election day. The man afterwards presented all the livery bills, receipted, to the Senator, with five dollars in change. His accounts were precise to the penny. Mr. Hanna paid him two hundred dollars. The hanger-on immediately got drunk, and died of pneumonia in a public hospital.

Mr. Beer was incessantly in Washington, after 1896, and it would have been possible to fill this book's latter chapters with wild gossip and perhaps some odd facts written to him by men of consequence or journalists. He aided in the lobbying for the Newlands Act, at Mr. Roosevelt's request, and was borrowed by Mr. Hanna for the battle over the Panama Canal. In the autumn of 1902 he was sent by Mr. J. P. Morgan to attempt the settlement of the coal strike through one of the more reasonable operators. He reported his failure to Mr. Roosevelt in person on the night of the old soldier's call described in the third chapter of this sketch. In the spring of 1903 he was used as an agent in the forlorn movement to reconcile Hanna and Foraker, and for the rest of the year seems to have spent most of his time informing various gentlemen in New York that

## APPENDIX

Senator Hanna did not want to be President of the United States. It happens that he took me to Washington with him on the 9th of February 1904, and I am now the only person alive who heard Mr. Arthur Foraker's comment when George Perkins told him that his father was to be made leader of the Republican party.

In order to exhibit Marcus Hanna at close range I have added one of Mr. Beer's reports to this appendix. The campaign of 1899 in Ohio was bitter and costly. The Democrats and the independent Republicans spent enormous sums. A clerk in the office of Wells, Fargo and Company was offered one hundred dollars to vote the Democratic ticket. Unsigned private letters were circulated against McKinley and Hanna and sent particularly to clergymen. Mr. Hanna afterwards told my father that he regarded this as the most open use of money by the Democrats he had ever seen in Ohio, and that he suspected several eminent Republicans of assisting the opposition.

My indebtedness to Mrs. Henriette Adler Meyer for the use of her brother's papers, to Miss Alice Jayson for research, to Mr. David Saville Muzzey for some heartening advice, and to Mr. Charles Deshler and Mr. William McCready for most valuable information is here specially mentioned. Mrs. Henry Villard, Mrs. Juliet Balch, Colonel Benjamin Montgomery, and Mr. Oscar Underwood have now no use for my thanks.

### REPORT OF WILLIAM C. BEER TO JOHN MCCALL

NEW YORK, November 11, 1899.

MY DEAR MR. MCCALL:—

In obedience to your orders, I left New York on Tuesday evening, October 31st, for Ohio.

On Wednesday, in accordance with a suggestion from the White House, I stopped off at Pittsburg and

called on President McCrea, of the Pennsylvania Lines West of Pittsburg. He, unfortunately, was out of town, but his representatives supplied me with transportation on all the Pennsylvania lines in Ohio, and also gave me a good idea of the situation, politically, from the railroad standpoint. Leaving Pittsburg Wednesday afternoon, I saw friends along the line and arranged for information and help in Columbiana, Mahoning and Stark, Trumbull, Wayne, Richland, Crawford, Ashland, Defiance, Williams and Lucas and several other counties. I stopped off at my father's home in Bucyrus, Crawford County, between trains, and ascertained from him the attitude of the Gold Democrats of the State,—he being in close touch with all of the leaders of that wing.

I went on the same day to Lima, Allen County, where I had arranged to meet Senator Hanna in the afternoon (Thursday). I found him at the supper table, at the Lima House, in company with Congressman Dalzell, of Pennsylvania, and several distinguished Ohioans. As soon as Mr. Hanna finished his meal, he sent his party out and came over to where I sat. I said, "President McKinley is anxious about Ohio." He answered, vigorously, "He has — good reason to be!" I then informed him that, by your orders and at the request of President McKinley, I had come to Ohio, being directed to report to him, Hanna, and do whatever I could in any direction, but principally among my friends in the various transpor-

tation companies. He emphatically assented to this suggestion, and having given me a general idea of the situation, he went off to the reception which had been arranged for him prior to the evening meeting, and told me to occupy his room in his absence, and start to work in any way I thought best. I thereupon wrote, by hand, twenty-two letters to railroad presidents, general managers, superintendents &c., and to Senator Platt and other prominent express officials. The letters were supposedly from Senator Hanna, and urged upon those gentlemen the extreme necessity of doing everything in their power to get every vote out among their employes and shop-men on election day.

On the Senator's return from his meeting, about 10:30, he read over the letters and signed all, except one to J. P. Morgan and a couple of railroad men whom he had seen personally, and with whom he had arranged that very matter, viz., the Lake Shore and the Nickel Plate Presidents. As soon as he signed the letters, I sealed them with sealing-wax and directed them, under private cover, to each man. After that was finished, the Senator leaned back and sketched to me, rapidly, the situation in the State. He said: "Please tell President McCall that I have had a very hard fight. I feel almost certain that we shall carry the State by a safe plurality. It has been hard work, and has nearly worn me out. We are having trouble in Cincinnati and some in Cleveland. Tell him that

wherever I have been in the smaller towns and cities, I have called together the leaders, and have personally given out the necessary funds, one hundred dollars here, one hundred dollars there, and so on. I have seen to this matter myself in as judicious a manner as I could, and it has been placed as wisely as I could judge. You have noticed that I am to speak in Sandusky City to-morrow night. Well, I am going to leave here to-morrow morning at 8 o'clock and go to Toledo first. I have quietly summoned all our leaders there, — you know them, — George Waldorf and the rest, — and am going to follow the same tactics which I used to beat Jones in the Convention, — to get the delegation, — you remember? They sent word to me a few days ago that if they had five thousand, they could buy up all of Jones' lieutenants, — his head men. Those fellows understand perfectly well that Jones can't be elected Governor, and that there will be no offices, and they are not in this for their health. They convinced me as to the scheme, and I sent them a thousand dollars yesterday, and will give them the rest to-morrow."

After some further chat on the subject of my duties, the attitude of various railroad men, &c., I asked the Senator point-blank what he thought the result would be. He looked at me for several seconds before replying, and looked rather queer, but, finally, said he thought the State would go about 25,000 or 30,000 for Nash. This did not agree with his first remark to me



when I met him at the supper-table, and I knew he was giving me "taffy." I did not press him, however, and bidding him good-night, left his room with the letters, and, in company with the Senator's body-guard, Post Office Inspector Gaitree, went to the Lima Post Office and mailed the letters about midnight. I then took the C., H. & D. train for Toledo about two hours afterwards, and arrived at Toledo at 7:30 Friday morning, procured a little information there, and left for Cleveland at 8:30 A.M.

You will remember I met you as I left my train, at 11:25 A.M. and immediately went uptown to the railroad and express headquarters' buildings. I had a letter from the Vice-President of Wells Fargo & Co., Col. Dudley Evans, to his Ohio Superintendent, Mr. T. M. DeWitt, and we spent part of the afternoon together, going around from place to place. We learned that the feeling in Cleveland among business men was very cheerful and sanguine for Republican success. They felt there was no particular doubt as to Ohio. Thought Cuyahoga County would give a safe Republican plurality, thought Jones would not have many votes in Cleveland, and the feeling was, in general, that the state was safe, and that Mr. McKisson and his friends would not poll a very heavy vote in Cleveland, as they would probably stay away from the polls.

Friday night, Superintendent DeWitt and I started

## APPENDIX

for Cincinnati, as your orders were to take in the big cities first.

Mr. DeWitt told me that he felt confident most of his employes were safely for the Republican ticket, and went on to relate how they *could not* be otherwise, because Wells Fargo & Co. used them so well, and the men were fully conscious of the prosperity of the country and, consequently, of their Company; how the custom of the Company is to give each man a big turkey and a can of oysters and a bunch of celery on Christmas day, and this little custom made them all seem like one big family, &c., &c.

When we arrived in Cincinnati the next morning, we went immediately after breakfast to the General Agency of the Express Company, and taking the General Agent aside, inquired as to the attitude of the men. (There are over a hundred employes of Wells Fargo & Co. in Cincinnati.) Mr. Earle replied in rather an embarrassed manner, "Well, Mr. DeWitt, I think there are a very few for the Republican ticket, but most of them are for McLean, and a good many are for Jones." DeWitt was astounded, but after a hasty consultation, he arranged to have the men gotten together by Earle, so that he could address them in bunches and individually. While Earle was getting them together, Mr. DeWitt and I went over to call on our friend, the General Manager of the Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton System, Mr. C. G. Waldo. We found that Mr. Waldo had already heard

## APPENDIX

from the letter which I wrote to President Woodford at Lima, (signed by Senator Hanna). He was very cheerful, however, and said that his men were all right, but that in deference to President Woodford's wishes, he had communicated with their route agents, superintendents, &c., but thought there was no cause for alarm. I convinced him to the contrary, and he set to work immediately. After he had finished dictating his telegrams, Superintendent DeWitt having left us, Mr. Waldo and I went over to call on President M. E. Ingalls, of the C., C., C. & St. L. R'y Co. (Big Four). Of course, you are well aware of President Ingalls' high standing in Sound Money circles. Like yourself, he has been a life-long Democrat. In Ohio, however, Mr. Ingalls has, among the best citizens, a reputation for "shiftiness."

As we had a most remarkable experience with him, I take the liberty of setting it out in full as nearly as I can recall his exact words. He said: "No, I am not taking any part in politics this year. I did in 1896, as everybody did. I have been rather sorry for it ever since. I spent over one hundred thousand of my own money, and devoted nearly all of my time to speaking and working for McKinley, and what was the good of it all? Why, afterwards when I recommended to them not to take sides with this old fellow Deboe over here in Kentucky, they wouldn't listen to me, and although I went to Washington and told the Pre—the Administration what I thought of it, my protest

## APPENDIX

was unheeded, and they did as they pleased. No, I am not going to take any part, and they can get along the best they know how. Yes, there are a good many Republicans voting for McLean now, in fact nearly all my friends are for him, and I think he will carry the State. It is true there are a good many workingmen for Jones — why, my private secretary, Davie, who has been with me for many years, and has been a Republican always, is off this year. I said to him the other day, ‘Davie, who are you going to vote for?’ He answered, ‘Well, Mr. Ingalls, I am a Republican, but I can’t vote for Nash, and I won’t vote the Democratic ticket; I guess I will vote for old man Jones.’ You see how it goes? Yes, a good many of our men are for Jones and McLean; I guess nearly all of them. My son, up in Cleveland, who is a good deal brighter fellow than his father, keeps me posted every day, and he says Hanna is going to lose the State sure this time, that everybody is for McLean up there. And, by-the-way, speaking of Hanna, now I like Hanna personally. He is a good fellow and all that, but I will say to you, — and this must not be told either to McKinley or Hanna, not at least until after election day, — the people are sick and tired of Hanna. They are sick and tired of Cox down here in Cincinnati, but the people of Ohio have revolted against Hanna, and I guess he is done for. They do not like his methods. McKinley is a nice, pleasant fellow, and I guess the people like him, but they want to show him this time, inasmuch

## APPENDIX

as Hanna is running the campaign personally, that they wont have any more Hanna, and I guess they will do it. Yes, the State is going for McLean," and here he turned and shook his finger at me significantly, "and that means the jig is up with McKinley. He won't be nominated next year. You'll vote for Dewey next year, young man!"

Mr. Ingalls did not, of course, know that I came in any way from President McKinley. I had merely repeated verbatim your message to him as one Sound Democrat to another, and General Manager Waldo had been careful to introduce me as a New York Life man — a messenger from President McCall. At the conclusion of his address, Mr. Ingalls, after a moment's thought — apparently remembering whom I represented — said, "I am sorry I can't see my way clear to comply with President McCall's wishes. I haven't said to anybody how *I* will vote. Perhaps I shan't vote at all, but you can tell him I am not working this year."

Mr. Waldo, remembering President Ingalls' great influence in Cincinnati, left very much discouraged, but got his second wind, shortly afterwards, and started in with renewed vigor to get his men in line. He promised me to see, individually, every general manager in Cincinnati within twenty-four hours.

After leaving Mr. Waldo, I went to the Hotel and telephoned to an old-time friend, Mr. H. P. Boyden, formerly editorial writer for the "Cincinnati

## APPENDIX

Commercial Gazette," now Comptroller of the City of Cincinnati, elected to that office by the Mugwump Republican-Democratic Fusion of two years ago. Mr. Boyden is perhaps the most intimate personal friend of President Ingalls. He had no idea of my errand in the city. To him I was merely a New York Life agent in the city on business. I invited him to dinner at the Grand Hotel, and after discussing other subjects of mutual interest, alluded to the political questions in Ohio, and asked him for some light on the subject, as I being a New Yorker, was rather out of touch with the situation. Upon this theme Mr. Boyden spoke about an hour, and gave me the Mugwump Democratic side of the question in full. He said, in part, "It means that the people of Ohio are through with Mark Hanna. They have had all they want of Hannaism and Coxism. No, I have nothing against President McKinley. He is my personal friend. I like him. We all like him, but he has got to learn he must cut loose from Hanna, and, of course, we long-suffering Cincinnatians have decided that George Cox must understand that *he* has reached the end of *his* string. We have stood him as long as we are going to, and this alliance between the better class of the Republican party in Cincinnati and the Democratic party, has come to stay until Cox retires from politics. No, I do not feel that there will be disastrous consequences to the party in a national way. This is our own little fight in Ohio, and it had to come sooner or later. We

## APPENDIX

may as well settle it right now, so as not to interfere with the success of the National ticket next year. Well, if it means disaster to McKinley, although I do not think so, let it mean so. It is a case of self-preservation. The party in Ohio must be purified. We have deliberately shut our eyes to the consequences. We shall carry Hamilton County against Hanna and Cox by 10,000 plurality, perhaps more, but certainly 10,000."

After leaving Boyden, I went to the headquarters of the U. S. Post Office Inspectors, to see how our case against the crank (Ireland) who is writing letters to Mr. Edward W. Scott, of the Provident Savings, was getting along. I found they had had some difficulty in finding the man, but finally learned that he is a "curbstone" broker. He has no fixed abiding place, so the Inspector says, and they have had hard work to run him down. It so happened that on the very day I was there, the Chief Inspector had located him, and had sent a man out to find him and give him a scare. He expected that within twenty-four hours at least, he would be able to prepare a final report on the case to be sent to the 4th Assistant Postmaster-General, who will, in turn, send it to us.

Afterwards I hunted up George Cox.

Cox said: "We shall carry Hamilton County certainly by 6,000, and if we can only get some money from Headquarters, we will carry it by more. The trouble has been here that we are fighting the

## APPENDIX

Democrats, the Jones men and the Fusion Republicans in our own party, but we will win sure. We have been greatly hampered by lack of funds. There are 10,000 ex-city employes, all good, loyal Republicans, who have been walking the streets since the Fusion victory two years ago, and they are dead sore. You can't blame them. They have families dependent on them, and they haven't been able to find work. I guess a good many of them will work for McLean and Jones, if they can get something for doing so. Well, I cannot blame them, but if I had the money, I should at least induce them to stay away from the polls and not vote at all, if they did not vote with us. I have sent to Chairman Dick three times asking for money, but I haven't had a cent. They sent a man down here last week to help us collect money from our own citizens, and how much do you think he collected? Three hundred dollars. Our Committee was only able to collect seven hundred and fifty, and the Post Office employes here all hid themselves behind Civil Service, and how much do you suppose we got from that building? One hundred and fifty-two dollars and fifty cents. We have had some more in driblets, but nothing like what we ought to have, and I am fighting McLean's bar'l that way! If I had ten thousand, I would contract with Mr. Hanna to give him 10,000 majority from Hamilton County. If he will send me seventy-five hundred, I will give him 7,500 or 8,000 plurality. I will take whatever they send me, and be glad to get it. The more they



· APPENDIX

send me, the better work I can do. Why, here we haven't got a daily morning paper in the city. McLean has bought the Republican paper, — The "Commercial Gazette." The only papers we have are evening papers. I sent to Dick and asked him to let me have twenty-seven hundred dollars to get out a morning paper for one day only, to-morrow, Sunday morning, the Sunday before election, and I would get out at least 5,000 copies and have them handed to the passers-by on the corners by boys. They would have been read by everybody, and would have done lots of good. But do you suppose he would give me a cent? No sir! How much do I think the State will go Republican? Well, it is a hard matter to say. Ninety per cent. of the railroad employes here are for Jones and McLean. The C., H. & D.? *Why*, I ought to know what they'll do. I am the largest individual stockholder in that railroad. I told you that ninety per cent. of those men are for Jones, and it is nearly the same with all the other railroads. What about the Big Four? Why, those men would follow Ingalls any where he would lead them. How is Ingalls this year? Why, for McLean sure. He is working day and night for John R. McLean. All his men are doing the same, too. As to the State? Well, of course I am paying more attention to Hamilton County than to the State, but I hear a good deal from up there. Of course, everybody comes to Cincinnati, and they tell me that we will pull through. How much do I think? Well," after a

## APPENDIX

moment's hesitation, "I should say perhaps 10,000, or may be 12,000, along there, perhaps 15,000."

As this was Saturday night preceding election day, I deemed it my duty to acquaint Senator Hanna with the state of affairs in Cincinnati at once. Cox intimated very plainly that he thought Dick was deceiving Hanna, and that the State was in such bad condition, that he wanted to keep the knowledge of it from Hanna, if possible. He said he thought Dick had not transmitted to Hanna one single message from him, Cox, and that as he, Cox, had not seen Hanna for several months, Hanna could not, of course, know how bad Cincinnati really was. Therefore, I left for Cleveland Saturday night within two hours after seeing Cox.

The next morning, Sunday, I drove out to the Lake Front, where the Senator lives, and was with him from 10:30 A.M. to nearly 1:30 P.M. I reported to him the situation in Cincinnati fully, and related the conversations I had had with Ingalls and Cox and Boyden as nearly verbatim as I could. He seemed greatly disturbed, and said that a week before that time, he had made out a check for five thousand, had mailed it to Chairman Dick with explicit instructions to send it to George Cox, and he supposed it had been done long ago. He said that he could not spare any more money. That he had used up all he had had from various sources, and now was drawing on his own funds. He

## APPENDIX

said that his brothers had contributed six thousand, and that other public-spirited Republicans in Cleveland had done nearly as well. He denounced Cincinnati as a stingy city, and said what a shame it was, with the vast wealth of that place, they had the cheek to ask outside help, but that it had always been so. Said he would telephone to Cox immediately and to Chairman Dick. As to Ingalls, Hanna used some very forcible language in refuting that gentleman's statements. He said: "If Ingalls said he contributed one hundred thousand of his own money, or anybody else's, he is a liar. The fact of the matter is that in '96, Ingalls came to me with a cock-and-bull story about being able to carry Virginia, if we would help him. You remember what I did for him. How we poured the money into that State, and you know what good it did! Nothing!!! *He* contributed one hundred thousand? I'll bet he didn't contribute one hundred cents. Well, you can't expect anything more from Ingalls. His action is consistent with his character. Time and again he has come to me asking for favors, and I have done them always, and this is the reward. Why, not long ago, he came to me asking for a great, big favor from the Attorney General's Office—a very delicate matter, too—you know how peculiar our Attorney General is sometimes, and I went there in person and saw Griggs. I accomplished what Ingalls wanted, and now—!!! How much does George say he will give us from Cincinnati? Is that all? How

## APPENDIX

much does George think we will carry the State by? 10,000?!"

The Senator went off into a brown study when I told him Cox's figures. He was silent for some time, but finally said, "I do not believe it. I think we will do better than that. We have a fine organization through the State in the rural districts, and will get out every vote. Wherever I have gone in places like Youngstown, and towns of that size, I have helped out the Committees with five hundred here and a thousand there, and I have neglected no place. Of course, all our money is gone now, and I wont ask Mr. McCall for any more. Of course, if you could get, say, twenty-five hundred more from some other sources in New York, it would be a great help, and I would send it right down to George Cox. He would use every cent of it right, and I believe it would be a good thing to do. I can't let him have it myself. I have told Dick that in cases where it is absolutely necessary, he should give the proper parties a reasonable amount, and keep account of it and let me know. I will take care of it here, and we will settle it up somehow when I come to New York. I do not want to strain my friends in New York too much, because I am going to ask them to help once more next year. I can't ask John McCall for another cent. It wouldn't be fair. He is too good a fellow. There is nobody else like him in this country. There is only one John McCall. But if you could telephone there and have your folks see somebody that

## APPENDIX

would help us out to the extent of twenty-five hundred it would do a great deal of good. The Catholic vote is with us. All the head men in the Catholic clergy are working with us, and John Farley, whom I helped in that mayoralty fight, although he is a Democrat, has great influence with the Catholic clergy, and they are all with us, and so is he. John is a good fellow. He is all right."

The Senator instructed me to try to communicate with Vice-President Kingsley by telephone, and to let him know, without fail, what answer I received, but to be very sure to let Mr. Kingsley understand that if any money was forthcoming, he did not wish it to be from the New York Life, but only to be *collected* by Mr. Kingsley from such other sources as he might see fit.

As you are probably aware, I followed the Senator's instructions, and received a reply next morning from Mr. Kingsley to the effect that, being unable to communicate with you, he did not see his way clear to comply with the Senator's request. I met Mr. Hanna at the door of his office, as he was going down to what he called the Ore Dump to speak to a meeting of workingmen, mainly composed of iron moulders, ore shovellers &c. He was in the centre of a group of brawny foremen and bosses, with all of whom he appeared on intimate terms. They were acting as a sort of body-guard to him, as he anticipated a little trouble, perhaps, at the meeting. On receiving Mr. Kingsley's

## APPENDIX

message, he replied quite cheerfully that it was all right, that he thought Cox could get along with the five thousand he sent him, and which had left Columbus by a special messenger Sunday night, in compliance with his telephonic instructions. He said: "Give my kindest regards to President McCall, and tell him I will see him when I come to New York."

I left Cleveland Monday afternoon, and went through Lorain and Huron and Seneca counties. I was glad to find that in the smaller places the voters were true blue, and the prosperity of the country was a greater argument for the Republican ticket, than anything which could be said against it by the traitors among the Republicans, or the followers of Jones or McLean. The farmers thought that the high prices of wool and wheat were better arguments than any cry of anti-Hannaism or anti-Imperialism. There were no voters for Jones in the smaller towns, except a very few of the riffraff, and perhaps one or two Anarchists that are to be found in every community.

During Sunday and Monday, the Republican farmers took a great brace. Election day was bright and clear, and almost to a man, the Republican and Sound Money Democratic farmers hitched up their buggies and started for town. The Republican organization, and for that matter the Democratic organization, had apparently ample means for livery hire, and the full vote was gotten out. Nobody was missed. The results were:

## APPENDIX

FIRST — Republican gains through all the country districts. Thus proving that our strength lies outside of the big cities, and that the farmers of Ohio, if the present conditions continue, can be safely relied upon to carry that State for us next year, with an equally large plurality.

SECOND — That Ingalls and Boyden, and their friends, made good their threats against Hanna and Cox in Hamilton County, but they were able to do so only because of the large vote for Jones in Cincinnati. If Cox had been able to swing his customary vote with the laboring classes, he would have made good his promise. It is also my opinion that if he could have had five thousand dollars more than he had, he would have gotten a small plurality in spite of Jones and McLean.

THIRD — The disaster in Cuyahoga County is accounted for by the Republican leaders in the State, whom I saw after election, in just one word, "McKisson." This gentleman worked his game very cleverly and quietly, but it is evident now that his whole force voted for Jones by a pre-arranged plan. McLean ought to be more disappointed than Hanna about Cuyahoga County, for on Monday there were two headquarters opened in Cleveland for disbursing McLean's money. It was free as water. All in bright, new one dollar bills, fresh from the U. S. Treasury, and the word was given out that any respectable Democratic worker could have a reasonable amount of it. One of

## APPENDIX

these headquarters was at the Weddell House, where I stopped, and all day long there was a ceaseless procession of "workers" up the stairs to that room and down again with smiling faces. The bar did a big business afterwards, and yet McLean came out third in the race.

FOURTHLY — It is evident to the Republican leaders that the campaign next summer must be in the country, in the district school-houses, or wherever a cluster of farmers can be gotten together to listen to bright, energetic, young Republican orators. There is in the big cities a deep-rooted conviction in the minds of most of the voters, that Hanna has certain methods which are wrong. No such feeling as this exists among the farmers, and to them George Cox is only a name. None of them have ever seen him, and they neither know or care anything about him.

I will say, in conclusion, that I reported daily, by letter, to President McKinley, addressing my letters to Col. B. F. Montgomery, at the White House. At the conclusion of my visit with M. E. Ingalls, I called up Col. Montgomery on the telephone (having been requested to do so, if anything startling should happen), and informed him fully as to that conversation.

After election day, I spent a day with my parents, part of another day in Canton with the President's friends, part of another day reporting to President



## APPENDIX

McCrea, of the Pennsylvania Railroad, in Pittsburg, and then came directly to New York.

If I were to estimate the value of the results accomplished directly and indirectly by your assistance and agencies in the Ohio election, I should say, in all candor, that you supplied the margin of plurality.

Chairman Dick is not popular. Mr. Hanna is decidedly unpopular in the cities and county seats; but the effective aid given directly by you, and furnished by reason of your influence with corporations, is beyond estimate. Wells Fargo and Co.'s men all came over, and there are a thousand of them in the State. There are many more C., H. & D. employes. The express and railroad employes run into the thousands. The results of those letters were immediate. President T. C. Platt, of the United States Express, sent telegraphic orders to his superintendents, and it is quite easy to see where 25,000 votes were changed from Jones and McLean to Nash, within the week before election day.

I attach an informal note received to-day from General Manager Waldo, of the C., H. & D.

Very respectfully,

The Honorable

John A. McCall,  
New York City.



## INDEX

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>Adams, Charles Francis, 39-40, 211-12, 249</p> <p>Adams, Henry, 157, 219, 273</p> <p>Adams, Maude, 246</p> <p>Adler, Alfred, 241</p> <p>Adler, Henriette, 193, 195, 196, 197-8, 305</p> <p>Adler, Henry, 77, 124-5, 127, 128, 129-30, 132, 163, 193, 197, 233-4, 261, 284, 302, 305</p> <p>Alcott, Louisa May, 52</p> <p>Aldrich, Nelson W., 244</p> <p>Alger, Russell, 161, 174, 200, 202</p> <p>Allison, William, 73, 113, 146, 147, 149, 261</p> <p>Altgeld, John Peter, 134, 153, 156, 159</p> <p>Ames, Oakes, 70-1, 72-3</p> <p>Andrews, Benjamin, 72</p> <p>Arthur, Chester, 34, 95, 96</p> <p>Astor, John Jacob, 189, 199, 200</p> <p>Austen, Jane, 88</p> | <p>Baer, 217, 244</p> <p>Balch, Mrs. Juliet, <i>see</i> Smith, Juliet Araminta</p> <p>Baldwin, John, 143, 146, 147</p> <p>Barnes, Asa, 26-7, 28, 182-3, 184, 185, 209</p> <p>Barrett, Lawrence, 129</p> <p>Beecher, Henry Ward, 27, 38, 83, 90</p> <p>Beecher, Mrs. Henry Ward, 75</p> <p>Beer, Thomas, 6, 7-8, 31, 75, 109, 136, 137</p> <p>Beer, William Collins, 41, 110, 121-3, 135-40, 142, 143, 144, 145-6, 147, 152, 156, 157, 158, 159-62, 165, 182, 198-9, 200, 211, 213, 216, 223, 229, 244, 282, 286, 290, 292, 303, 304-25</p> <p>Beer, Mrs. William C., 89</p> <p>Belknap, —, 36</p> <p>Belknap, William Worth, 83</p> <p>Bennett, Jacob, 275</p> |
|--|--|

# INDEX

- Blaine, James, 62, 68, 83, 91,  
93, 95, 99, 110, 116  
Bliss, Cornelius, 152, 155,  
157, 158-9, 160, 161, 162,  
174, 188  
Booth, Edwin, 5  
Booth, John Wilkes, 3-4, 5,  
14-16, 41  
Booth, Junius Brutus, 4  
Bourget, Paul, 251  
Boyden, H. P., 313-15, 318,  
323  
Brown, John, 39, 49  
Bryan, William Jennings, 58,  
82, 115, 116, 120, 132, 141,  
147-8, 149-50, 151, 152,  
153-4, 155, 156, 157, 160,  
163-4, 165, 186, 192, 194,  
203, 212, 219, 223, 228-9,  
230, 232, 233, 242, 247,  
250, 252, 262, 278  
Bryce, James, 188  
Bunau-Varilla, Philippe  
Jean, 263  
Burne-Jones, Sir Edward,  
126  
Bushnell, Asa, 175  
Butler, Benjamin, 65, 68, 209  
Butler, Nicholas Murray, 226  
Butterworth, Benjamin, 113  
Byrnes, 285  
Cameron, 97  
Cannon, Joseph G., 145, 146  
Cassini, Count, 167, 238  
Cather, Willa, 118  
Cavour, 32  
Cervera y Topete, Pascual,  
205, 206  
Chamberlain, Joseph, 204  
Chase, Kate, 68  
Chichester, Sir Edward, 204,  
205  
Chidsey, 57  
Clemens, Samuel L., 60, 70  
Cleveland, Grover, 82, 108,  
112, 113, 132, 133, 171,  
175, 176, 230, 245  
Cleveland, Mrs. Grover, 113,  
245  
Colfax, Schuyler, 45, 51, 73,  
302  
Confucius, 209  
Conkling, Roscoe, 62, 64, 68,  
83, 86, 87, 91, 93-5, 96, 97,  
98-100, 230, 242, 303  
Cooke, Jay, 73, 74  
Corbett, Boston, 15  
Cortelyou, George, 176, 213,  
245, 291  
Culdock, Charles W., 5  
Cowles, Edwin, 125  
Cox, George, 312, 314, 315-

# INDEX

- 18, 319-20, 322, 323,  
324  
Croker, Richard, 228  
Croly, Herbert, 113, 175,  
184, 257, 302, 303  
Cromwell, Nelson, 263  
Cromwell, Oliver, 239, 241  
Custer, George, 83  
  
Daly, Peter, 302  
Dalzell, John, 306  
Davie, 312  
Davis, Cushman, 57-8, 87,  
173, 195, 196, 197, 200,  
202, 208-11, 220, 221, 224,  
226, 232, 233, 237, 253,  
254, 261, 262  
Dawes, Charles, 226, 252  
Day, William, 174, 208-9,  
210, 303  
Deboe, 311  
Debs, Eugene, 156  
Dempsey, James, 303  
Depew, Chauncey, 53, 146,  
148, 152  
Deshler, Charles, 289, 303,  
305  
Dewey, George, 4, 204, 313  
DeWitt, T. M., 309-10  
Dick, Charles, 316, 317, 318,  
319, 320, 325  
Dickens, 241, 290  
Diederichs, Otto Von, 204,  
205  
Disraeli, Benjamin, 243  
Dix, Mrs., 52  
Dolliver, Jonathan P., 231  
Douglas, Stephen, 26  
Dover, Elmer, 53, 258, 270  
Dufferin, Lady, 104  
Dunn, Arthur, 116, 226, 236,  
259  
Durstine, Lee, 185  
  
Earle, 310  
Ellis, Havelock, 209  
Ellsler, Effie, 303  
Ellsler, John, 4-5, 6-7, 8,  
136, 256, 303  
Ellsler, Mrs. John, 5  
Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 5,  
85, 209  
Evans, Dudley, 156-7, 200,  
309  
  
Farley, John, 187, 321  
Farragut, David, 40  
Fish, Stuyvesant, 190, 200  
Fisk, Jim, 36-7, 38, 75  
Flaubert, 241  
Fleming, Harvey, 156, 287  
Fletcher, 156

# INDEX

- Florence, William, 126  
 Foraker, Arthur, 296, 305  
 Foraker, Joseph Benson,  
     110, 111-12, 113, 142,  
     146-7, 175, 179, 214, 279,  
     280, 281, 283, 284, 285,  
     291-2, 295, 304, 305.  
 Ford, Henry, 278  
 Foster, Charles, 98, 110, 113,  
     286, 303  
 Frémont, John Charles, 37  
 Frye, William, 167, 202, 209,  
     265, 267
- Gage, Lyman, 168, 175  
 Gaitree, 309  
 Garfield, James, 56, 57, 85,  
     95, 96, 97-8, 99, 100, 103,  
     107-8, 115, 140, 303  
 Garretson, Hiram, 20  
 Garrett, Jack, 14, 15  
 Garrett, Will, 14, 15  
 Garrison, William Lloyd, 65  
 Gates, John, 199-200  
 Gaylord, Erastus, 27  
 George, Henry, 134, 220, 255  
 Gillis, Ira, 145, 146  
 Gleed, Charles, 144, 149  
 Goethe, 269, 273  
 Gould, Jay, 75, 93, 302  
 Goya, 241
- Grand, Sarah, 251  
 Grannis, George, 302  
 Grant, Ulysses S., 14, 47-8,  
     51, 52, 53, 58, 61, 65-7, 68,  
     69, 70, 75-6, 86, 94, 95, 97,  
     98, 99, 100-1, 115, 182, 303  
 Gray, George, 208-9  
 Greco, El, 241  
 Griggs, 319  
 Grimes, James W., 31  
 Gwynn, Nell, 241
- Haldane, Lord, 188  
 Halstead (Chicago photog-  
     rapher), 29  
 Halstead, Murat, 87  
 Hamill, Theodore, 261  
 Hamlin, Hannibal, 51  
 Hancock, Winfield, 105  
 Hanna, Benjamin (grand-  
     father), 18, 19  
 Hanna, Charlotte Augusta  
     Rhodes (wife), 10, 13, 17,  
     25-6, 27, 28, 54, 113, 125,  
     171, 173, 177-80, 182, 196,  
     218, 220, 223, 257, 267,  
     268, 292-3  
 Hanna, Daniel Rhodes  
     (son), 53, 54, 76, 77,  
     78-9, 80-1, 82, 125-6, 223,  
     268, 284-5, 289

# INDEX

- Hanna, Howard Melville, (brother), 13, 25, 130, 157, 158, 268, 274, 275, 283-4
- Hanna, Leonard (brother), 128, 130, 135, 175, 183, 268, 274
- Hanna, Leonard (father), 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 127, 274, 302
- Hanna, Marcus Alonzo: ancestry, 18-22; birth, 22; boyhood, 20, 22; suspended from college, 22, 23; as employee of Hanna and Company, 9-10, 12-14, 16, 17, 23-5; courtship and marriage, 26-8; business ventures, 54, 55; support of Grant, 53, 55; devotion to Ohio, 53-4, 69; entrance into politics, 69, 70; and trouble in the coal fields (1874-6), 76-80, 91; at the Philadelphia Centennial (1876), 80-1, 82; at the Saint Louis Exposition (1903), 81-2; and the presidential campaign of 1880, 92, 96-9; admiration for McKinley, 110, 111; and the Euclid Avenue Opera House, 111, 124-7, 136, 303; at the Republican convention of 1892, 122-4; leaves Hanna and Company, 135; and the presidential campaign of 1896, 135-40, 141-8, 151-66, 181; as senator, 168-74, 175-88, 191-203, 205-23, 226-37, 245-76, 278-97; and the Spanish American War, 171-2, 192-203; as a stump speaker, 185-7, 219-20, 229-32; and the Philippines, 207, 211-14, 234; trip to Europe (1899), 218-19, 221, 251-7; and the Panama Canal, 218-19, 234, 254, 261-7, 268-70, 288; speech in the Senate on the canal, 264-6; and the presidential campaign of 1900, 226-32; and irrigation in the Southwest, 254, 257-60; and the presidential campaign of 1904, 280-9; last illness and death, 295-7; characteristics, 24-5, 82-3; memory, 55, 274,

# INDEX

- 293-4; and books, 46, 251,  
255, 267, 271
- Hanna, Robert (uncle), 9,  
13, 20, 21, 22, 24
- Hanna, Ruth (daughter)  
(Mrs. Medill McCormick), 125, 178, 267, 272,  
286
- Hanna, Samantha Con-  
verse (mother), 22-3, 24,  
25, 27
- Hapgood, Norman, 40
- Harriman, Edward, 264
- Harrison, Benjamin, 108,  
110, 111, 120, 123
- Harvier, Ernest, 302
- Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 49-  
51, 59, 85, 88, 293
- Hay, John, 48-9, 103, 152,  
157, 178, 181, 210, 211,  
213, 234-5, 237, 249-50,  
266, 267, 272, 275, 289
- Hayes, Rutherford, 78, 83,  
84-8, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93,  
94, 101, 111, 115, 288
- Hayes, Mrs. Rutherford,  
91
- Hearst, William Randolph,  
124, 136
- Heinrich, Otto Paul, 206,  
250-7
- Heinrich, Frau Otto, 250,  
257
- Held, Philip, 185, 187
- Held, Walter, 184, 185, 187
- Herold, David E., 14-15
- Herrick, Myron, 132, 137,  
138, 139, 144, 213, 262,  
263, 289, 290, 303
- Higginson, Bruce, 144, 163,  
226
- Hill, James J., 155, 162, 238,  
242, 245, 246, 249, 288
- Hoar, George Frisbie, 212,  
213-14, 220
- Holls, Frederick, 226
- Holt, James P., 58
- Holt, Jennie, 9, 17
- Holt, Jim, 17
- Holt, Orion, 8
- Hoover, Herbert, 73
- Howard, Oliver Otis, 161,  
162
- Howells, William Dean, 92,  
251, 256
- Humboldt, 271
- Huneker, James, 240
- Huntington, 73
- Huntley, 172
- Ingalls, M. E., 311-13, 314,  
318, 319, 323, 324



# INDEX

- Ingersoll, Robert, 83
- Ireland, —, 315
- Ireland, Monsignor John,  
80, 143, 154, 159, 200,  
303
- Irving, Sir Henry, 125-6
  
- Jackson, Andrew, 18
- James, Henry, 60, 293
- James, William, 212, 243,  
249
- Jayson, Alice, 305
- Jefferson, Joseph, 126
- Johnson, Andrew, 43
- Johnson, Tom, 140, 146, 157,  
220, 230, 250, 290
- Jones, —, 172
- Jones, Hugh, 3, 302
- Jones, Samuel M., 308, 309,  
310, 312, 316, 317, 322,  
323, 325
- Jung, C. G., 241
- Justice, 38
  
- Kant, 249
- Kent, Silas, 149
- Key, David, 87
- Kimball, Joseph, 137, 138,  
175, 201, 207, 257-8,  
260
- Kingsley, Darwin, 275, 321-2
  
- Kipling, Rudyard, 257
- Knox, Philander, 245, 279-  
80, 284, 285-6, 287, 292
- Kohlsaatt, Herman, 202, 226,  
251, 291
  
- Langley, Samuel P., 219
- Lauterbach, Edward, 144,  
182, 183, 188, 275
- Le Blanc, Miss, 167-9, 170
- Ledbetter, 303
- Lee, Robert, 158
- Lemke, Nicholas, 157
- Leo XIII, Pope, 200
- Lewis, Alfred Henry, 223
- Lewis, James, 126
- Lewis, Lloyd, 34
- Lincoln, Abraham, 3, 4, 7, 8,  
10, 11, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23,  
24, 26, 28-9, 30, 33-5, 36,  
38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44-5,  
57, 62, 108, 115, 153, 158,  
207, 271, 302
- Lincoln, Mary Todd, 3, 29,  
45, 52
- Lodge, Henry Cabot, 89, 144,  
146, 203, 220, 229, 237,  
283, 284, 285, 286
- Logan, John, 97, 99
- Long, John Davis, 182,  
208

# INDEX

- Longworth, Alice Roosevelt,  
266
- Louis the Fat, 241
- Macaulay, 134
- Madison, James, 106
- Marcel, Etienne, 169
- Marvin, Daniel Hoyt, 267,  
268
- Mason, Daniel James,  
263
- Maspero, 46
- Mather, Samuel, 134
- Maury, Reuben, 143
- McCabe, Walter, 194
- McCall, John, 137, 138, 152,  
198, 264, 275, 289, 305,  
307, 313, 320, 322
- McClean, John, 186, 219
- McClellan, George Brinton,  
39, 49, 61
- McCook, Anson, 40
- McCook, John J., 40-1, 148,  
152, 154, 174, 224-5, 264,  
282
- McCormick, Medill, 281
- McCormick, Mrs. Medill,  
*see* Hanna, Ruth
- McCrea, 53, 306, 324-5
- McCready, Nicholas, 121
- McCready, William Dunn,  
116-20, 121, 122, 124,  
132, 147, 232, 305
- McKinley, Abner, 102, 109,  
182, 194
- McKinley, Ida, 102, 105
- McKinley, Ida Saxton (Mrs.  
William McKinley), 102,  
103-6, 150-1, 154, 156,  
157, 211, 258, 303
- McKinley, Katie, 102, 105
- McKinley, William, 42, 79-  
80, 84, 91, 101, 102-4, 105,  
106, 107, 108-10, 122-3,  
129, 130, 135, 136, 137,  
138, 141, 142, 144, 145,  
147, 148, 150-1, 154, 156,  
157, 158, 163, 164, 165,  
170, 171, 172, 174, 175,  
176, 178, 180, 181, 182,  
192, 194, 195, 197, 199,  
200, 201, 202, 203, 207,  
209, 211, 212-13, 214, 215,  
217, 218, 223, 229, 230,  
234, 235, 236, 237, 243,  
244, 245, 246, 254, 257-8,  
262, 263, 275, 276, 284,  
303, 305, 306, 311, 312,  
313, 314, 315, 324
- McKisson, Robert, 183-4,  
187, 309, 323
- McLean, John R., 310, 312,

# INDEX

- 313, 316, 317, 322, 323,  
324, 325  
McVeagh, Wayne, 292  
Mellen, 285  
Melville, Herman, 47, 209,  
232-3  
Merriam, William, 144  
Montgomery, Benjamin, 113,  
114, 115, 211, 223, 244,  
268, 269, 286, 303, 305,  
324  
Moody, William H., 285  
Moore, F. C., 196  
Morgan, John Pierpont, 53,  
56-7, 114, 133, 198, 200,  
205, 206, 245, 275-6, 288,  
304, 307  
Morgan, John T., 266  
Morny, Duc de, 61  
Morris, Clara, 6, 247  
Morton, Levi, 146  
Murchison, 143  
Muzzey, David Saville, 305  
Myer, Mrs. Henriette Adler,  
*see* Adler, Henriette  
Myers, Gustavus, 72  
  
Nash, George K., 308, 325  
Nelson, Knute, 226  
Nesbitt, 73  
Newlands, Francis G., 260  
  
Nolan, Charles Clery, 54-5,  
72, 112, 113, 218  
Norwood, Roberta, 4  
  
Odell, Benjamin, 81  
Osler, William, 24, 295  
  
Parton, J. C., 105  
Paull, Mrs. Charlton, 157,  
219, 289  
Pauncefote, Lord, 201, 238  
Peck, Harry Thurston, 34,  
153, 204  
Pendleton, George, 53, 56,  
59, 302  
Perkins, George Walbridge,  
200, 213, 264, 275, 304,  
305  
Pettigrew, Richard, 142, 145,  
219-23, 230, 232, 233  
Phillips, David Graham, 150  
Phillips, Wendell, 68  
Platt, Orville, 195, 203, 211,  
212, 213, 214, 284  
Platt, Thomas, 99, 100, 110,  
113, 141, 142, 144, 188,  
190, 207, 208, 224, 225,  
226, 227, 266, 268, 269,  
307, 325  
Pleasanton, Alfred, 39  
Poland, Luke P., 72

# INDEX

- Poldmann, George, 213  
 Proctor, Redfield, 144, 149, 229  
 Pullman, George, 132-3, 253  
 Pushkin, 88  
  
 Quay, Matthew, 113, 141, 142, 147, 216, 225, 266, 268, 278-9  
 Quigg, Lemuel, 226  
  
 Reed, Thomas B., 106, 110, 123, 130-1, 141, 146, 160, 191-2, 212, 254, 260  
 Reid, Whitelaw, 178, 209, 276  
 Reni, Guido, 283  
 Rhodes, Daniel, 13, 25-6, 27-8, 53, 54, 55  
 Rhodes, James Ford, 54, 78  
 Rhodes, Robert, 55  
 Riis, Jacob, 216, 217  
 Robertson, Nicholas, 130  
 Robinson, Douglas, 174  
 Rockefeller, John D., 125, 249, 278, 288  
 Rockefeller, William, 155, 199-200, 288  
 Rodin, Auguste, 168-9  
 Roland, Madame, 210  
 Roosevelt, Edith Carow (Mrs. Theodore Roosevelt), 176-7, 196, 247, 273, 274  
 Roosevelt, Quentin, 247, 273  
 Roosevelt, Theodore, 81, 82, 108-9, 114, 115-16, 130-1, 139, 148, 154-5, 165, 172, 174, 195-6, 197, 202, 206, 207-8, 217, 220, 223-7, 228, 229, 230, 231, 233, 236, 237, 238, 239-42, 243, 244, 245-6, 247, 248, 250, 258, 259, 260, 264, 266, 268-70, 274, 275, 276, 278, 279, 280, 281-5, 286, 287, 288-9, 291, 292, 296, 304  
 Root, Elihu, 272, 292  
 Ryan, Thomas Fortune, 199-200, 264  
  
 Sagasta, Praxedes Mateo, 192  
 Saint Gaudens, Augustus, 241  
 Salisbury, Lord, 204  
 Saunders, William, 175  
 Saxton, Mrs., 104, 303  
 Schurz, Carl, 211-12  
 Scott, Edward W., 315  
 Scott, Harvey, 149  
 Seymour, Horatio, 58, 59, 303

# INDEX

- Shakspere, 83, 210, 290
- Sherman, John, 43, 44, 45,  
70, 86, 92-3, 94, 95, 110,  
112, 113, 174, 175, 176
- Sherman, William Tecum-  
seh, 52
- Sickles, Daniel E., 161, 162
- Simons, 38
- Sims, Edith, 177, 178, 179-81
- Sims, Kate Richardson,  
177-9
- Smith, Adam, 209
- Smith, George P., 204-5
- Smith, Juliet Araminta  
(Mrs. Balch), 8, 9-11, 12-  
14, 16, 17-18, 293-5, 297,  
305
- Smith, Nathan, 8, 11-12, 13,  
14, 16-17
- Smith, Mrs. Nathan, 8, 9,  
10-12, 14, 16, 17
- Smith, Orion James, 8, 9, 10,  
11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 294
- Spengler, Oswald, 47, 168,  
277
- Spooner, John C., 203, 258,  
264-5, 266, 268, 269,  
272
- Squire, Andrew, 139
- Stevens, Thaddeus, 68
- Stewart, General, 162
- Stoeffel, Walter, 229, 303
- Stokes, Edward, 74-5
- Stone, Amasa, 28
- Storey, Moorfield, 212
- Strachey, Lytton, 293
- Sumner, William, 108, 116,  
192, 211-12, 277
- Swift, Jonathan, 293
- Taft, Charles, 3
- Taft, William Howard, 245
- Teller, Henry M., 142, 145,  
146, 202
- Tennyson, Alfred, 209
- Tessman, H. J., 302
- Thackeray, 241, 251
- Thompson, Charles Willis,  
149, 212, 223
- Tilden, Samuel, 83
- Tillman, Benjamin, 134
- Twain, Mark, *see* Clemens,  
Samuel L.
- Underwood, Oscar, 221-2,  
235, 305
- Vanderbilt, 38
- Vest, George G., 245
- Victoria, Queen, 293
- Villard, Henry, 261-2
- Villard, Mrs. Henry, 305

# INDEX

- |                                   |                                     |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Villard, Oswald, 261              | Wells, William Bryan, 228           |
| Villon, François, 293             | Western, Lucille, 5                 |
| Waldo, C. G., 310-11, 313,<br>325 | Weyler y Nicolau, Valeriano,<br>192 |
| Waldorf, George, 308              | Whistler, James, 128                |
| Ward, Victor, 176                 | White, Henry, 152                   |
| Warmington, George, 55, 78        | Whiting, Julius, 158                |
| Warner, Mrs. Stewart, 171,<br>279 | Whitman, Walt, 267                  |
| Washington, Booker, 286           | Wilde, Oscar, 129                   |
| Washington, George, 282           | Willard, Frances, 170               |
| Watson, Prudence, 58              | William II, Emperor, 252-3          |
| Watson, Rutledge, 34              | Wilson, Henry, 51                   |
| Watson, Warren, 207, 219,<br>220  | Wilson, James, 220                  |
| Watterson, Henry, 282             | Wolcott, Edward O., 220-1           |
| Weeks, Davison, 282               | Wood, Fernando, 107                 |
|                                   | Wood, Leonard, 266                  |
|                                   | • Woodford, 311                     |
|                                   | Wormser, 38                         |

At a very early age Thomas Beer found a lavishly illustrated edition of *Salambo* among his grandfather's books of which he says, "By an accident, the illustration in the novel which showed the Carthaginian General Hanno taking a bath in the blood of slaughtered slaves, had a real likeness to Mark Hanna. I was considerably worried about this in the campaign of 1896 while my father under Mr. Hanna's direction was steering a carload of dilapidated Civil War generals through the middle west making speeches on behalf of McKinley." Thus, Mr. Beer's interest in his subject is of long standing and picturesque inception. Throughout his boyhood came other and more material contacts with the politicians of the day and considering the period, Mr. Hanna, and Mr. Beer, it can be seen that this book was long ago destined to take its inevitable and brilliant place among the latter's literary achievements.



- *This book was set on the linotype in Caslon, electrotyped, printed and bound by The Plimpton Press, Norwood, Mass. The paper was made by Curtis & Brother, Newark, Delaware.*





## PREFACE

**I**N order that readers may not be disappointed in their expectations, let me say at the outset that this is a personal biography and not a political history. The time has not yet come when it would be proper to give the names of all witnesses and to cite by direct reference the official documents, as is required in a formal history. There is also much material in the State Archives of England, France, Germany, and Russia, which may not be available for publication for a long time to come, if ever. So I have endeavored to let John Hay tell his own story, wherever this was possible. Being in many respects an ideal letter-writer, he recorded his impressions so freshly and so vividly that he never leaves us in doubt as to what he thought of persons, political affairs, or life's experiences. My part has been to sketch in a sufficient background to render intelligible each episode or situation, so that Hay's relation to it would be clear almost at a glance.

Shortly after Mr. Hay's death, Mrs. Hay assembled a considerable mass of his letters to his more intimate correspondents, which she edited with selections from his Diaries. She had a few copies of these

memorials printed privately for distribution among friends. Her volumes form the basis of the present biography; but I have drawn from a still larger store of material, including Mr. Hay's letter-books, documents in the Department of State, and files, not only of his own letters, but also of those of his official colleagues and friends. In addition, many persons who knew him in his middle and later life have kindly given me their recollections of him.

Wherever the actual form of word or phrase seemed to require exact reproduction, I have printed it as he wrote it; but in many cases he used abbreviations, and in his Journals even short-hand symbols, which I have not hesitated to expand, always taking care, however, not to change his meaning. For the convenience of the reader should be kept in view, when it does not involve the sacrifice of essentials. In those volumes which Mrs. Hay edited she scrupulously substituted capital letters or dashes for all the proper names. I have been unable in several cases to recover the original letters which she used and so I have been obliged to rely upon the version which she printed. This will explain the appearance here of capital letters and dashes where I could not identify the original names; but occasionally I too have suppressed names where it seemed advisable to do so.

It is not possible for me to mention here, as I should like to do, all those persons who have assisted me in the preparation of this work, but I cannot close without making grateful acknowledgment to Mr. Hay's daughters, Mrs. Whitney and Mrs. Wadsworth; to the Honorable and Mrs. Charles E. Hay; to Mr. Samuel Mather; to President Theodore Roosevelt; to President William H. Taft; to Senators Henry Cabot Lodge and Elihu Root; to the Honorable Henry White, late Ambassador to Italy and to France; to the Honorable Joseph H. Choate, late Ambassador to Great Britain; to General John W. Foster, former Secretary of State; to the Honorable Charlemagne Tower, late Ambassador to Germany and to Russia; to the Honorable Alvey A. Adee, Francis B. Loomis, and William Phillips, Assistant Secretaries of State; to the Honorable Herbert W. Bowen, former Minister to Venezuela; to Professor Harry L. Koopman, Librarian of the John Hay Library at Brown University; to Professor Brander Matthews; to Admiral and Mrs. F. E. Chadwick; to the Honorable Wayne MacVeagh; to Mr. William D. Howells; to Mr. James Ford Rhodes; to Dr. W. W. Keen; and to Miss Helen Nicolay.

Needless to say, the responsibility for all statements and opinions rests with me, except in cases where my informants have authorized me to give their names.

As I have been prevented from revising the final proofs, I shall be grateful to readers who will notify to me any errors they may find.

The index has been made by Mr. George B. Ives, to whom I am also indebted for many suggestions.

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS,

*August 1, 1915.*

## CONTENTS

I. BEGINNINGS	I
II. LIFE AT BROWN UNIVERSITY	23
III. THE POET IN EXILE	52
IV. THE NEW LIFE	74
V. FIRST MONTHS IN THE WHITE HOUSE	90
VI. WAR IN EARNEST	115
VII. ERRANDS NORTH AND SOUTH	149
VIII. THE GREAT COMPANION	184
IX. THE ROVING DIPLOMAT—PARIS	221
X. WASHINGTON DURING RECONSTRUCTION	245
XI. THE ROVING DIPLOMAT—VIENNA	274
XII. THE ROVING DIPLOMAT—SPAIN	314
XIII. JOURNALISM	329
XIV. AUTHORSHIP	353
XV. FRIENDSHIPS	383
XVI. POLITICS	418

## ABBREVIATIONS

*Addresses* = *Addresses of John Hay*. New York, 1907.

N. & H. = *Abraham Lincoln: A History*. By John G. Nicolay  
and John Hay. 10 vols. New York, 1890.

*Poems* = *Poems*. By John Hay. Revised Edition, Boston, 1890.

*Poet in Exile* = *A Poet in Exile. Early Letters of John Hay*. Edited  
by Caroline Ticknor. Boston, 1910.

## ILLUSTRATIONS

### LINCOLN AND HIS SECRETARIES, JOHN HAY AND

J. G. NICOLAY (*photogravure*)

*Frontispiece*

From a photograph, by L. C. Handy, of the original picture in the possession of Miss Nicolay in Washington. The original is a photograph retouched and with a background painted in watercolor under the direction of Mr. Nicolay.

JOHN HAY'S BIRTHPLACE, SALEM, INDIANA	16
UNIVERSITY HALL, BROWN UNIVERSITY	32
JOHN HAY WHEN A STUDENT AT BROWN UNIVERSITY	48
SCHOOLHOUSE AT WARSAW, ILLINOIS	152
JOHN HAY'S EARLIEST HOME AT WARSAW	152
PAGE OF HAY'S DIARY RELATING TO THE CONSE- CRATION OF THE MILITARY CEMETERY AT GET- TYSBURG, NOV. 19, 1863	206
DESCRIPTION OF NAPOLEON III IN HAY'S DIARY	236
CLARA STONE HAY	352
JOHN HAY AT THE TIME OF HIS MARRIAGE IN 1874	388





# THE LIFE AND LETTERS OF JOHN HAY

## CHAPTER I

### BEGINNINGS

**D**URING the second half of the nineteenth century, the vicissitudes in the personal fortunes of Americans were so swift, and yet so common, that contemporaries took them almost as matters of course. In truth, however, not since the Elizabethan age, and then on a much smaller scale, had anything similar been seen. It was as if, in the animal kingdom, not merely individuals but whole varieties should change their nature so rapidly as to become scarcely recognizable after the lapse of a single generation. The children of privation grew up to be masters of untold wealth. An obscure rail-splitter became President of the United States, wielding a power surpassing that of Europe's absolute monarchs. And as if the natural expansion over a vast continent did not offer sufficient opportunities for individual development, there intervened a Civil War which served as a ladder for talents which lie dormant in peace.

Among the many who were a part of this process of transformation was John Hay. Born the son of a frontier doctor, in a small dwelling on the edge of the Western wilderness, he lived, as Secretary of State, in a palace at Washington, in the midst of a world crisis which his counsel helped to direct.

Hay himself, at a dinner of the Ohio Society of New York, on January 17, 1903, summed up in pleasant fashion the contrasts in his career. "When I look back on the shifting scenes of my life," he said, "if I am not that altogether deplorable creature, a man without a country, I am, when it comes to pull and prestige, almost equally bereft, as I am a man without a State. I was born in Indiana, I grew up in Illinois, I was educated in Rhode Island, and it is no blame to that scholarly community that I know so little. I learned my law in Springfield and my politics in Washington, my diplomacy in Europe, Asia, and Africa. I have a farm in New Hampshire and desk-room in the District of Columbia. When I look to the springs from which my blood descends, the first ancestors I ever heard of were a Scotchman, who was half English, and a German woman, who was half French. Of my immediate progenitors, my mother was from New England and my father was from the South. In this bewilderment of origin and experience, I can only put on an aspect of deep hu-

mility in any gathering of favorite sons, and confess that I am nothing but an American." <sup>1</sup>

An American he was, on both sides of his house, but with an heirloom to which theorists in heredity might attribute his cosmopolitan affinities. For although the Hays had their roots in Scotland, one of them, in the early part of the eighteenth century, took service under the Elector Palatine. What rank he held in the Elector's army I do not know, nor whether he himself emigrated with the swarm of Germans who came over from the Palatinate to Pennsylvania about 1750. Certain it is, however, that of his four sons John, the eldest, settled at York, Pennsylvania, while Adam went on to Berkeley County, Virginia, and made his home at the bottom of the Shenandoah Valley. Adam probably did his share of Indian fighting; possibly he enlisted in the Revolution; at any rate, his son John remembered being patted on the head by General Washington. This John, born February 13, 1775, growing restive under his father's severe treatment, struck out for himself at the age of eighteen, joined a party of Virginians who tramped across the mountains into Kentucky, and found an abode at Lexington. There he married Jemima Coulter, who bore him fourteen children. For thirty-five years he helped to upbuild

<sup>1</sup> *Addresses*, 219-20.

that town, which boasted of its refinements and intellectual interests; and he had for a neighbor, Henry Clay, another Virginian, who also sought his fortune in Kentucky soon after John Hay's arrival. Hay became one of Clay's followers, hated Andrew Jackson and detested slavery.

The latter antipathy led him in 1830 to cross the Ohio River into the free State of Illinois, where he established himself at Springfield. That same year Abraham Lincoln moved into Sangamon County, and as time went on he and the elder Hay were friends. Old John Hay died May 20, 1865, having lived "to watch Lincoln's funeral pass his windows."

Among his many children, Charles, born February 7, 1801, in Fayette County, Kentucky, was educated at Lexington, where he received the diploma of doctor of medicine, and being, like his father, a hater of slavery, he crossed into Indiana in 1830, and began to practice his profession in the village of Salem, a few miles north of the Ohio River. The following year, on October 13, 1831, he married there Helen Leonard,<sup>1</sup> three years his junior, a young woman of pure New England stock, come into the west from Middleboro, Massachusetts, to live with her sister. The husband of this sister, John Hay

<sup>1</sup> Born at Assonet, near New Bedford, Massachusetts, February 7, 1804.

Farnham, was the leading lawyer of Salem and its neighborhood.

Thus, after four generations of wanderings out of Scotland to the Rhenish Palatinate, and thence to Pennsylvania, Virginia, Kentucky, and Indiana, the Hay line met that of the Leonards, who had emigrated from England to Massachusetts in the days of the Stuarts.

At Salem, John Milton Hay, the third child <sup>1</sup> of Dr. Charles and Helen Hay, was born October 8, 1838, in a small one-storied brick house, symbolical of the straitness of pioneer existence. But out of those border cabins, like oaks out of acorns, sprang many a man whose life became a part of the nation's history.

In 1834, Dr. Hay, in partnership with Royal B. Child, issued the *Salem Monitor*, a newspaper of approved Whig views, to which he contributed articles. During the Harrison campaign of 1840 he wrote the

<sup>1</sup> The other children were: *Edward Leonard*, b. Nov. 9, 1832; d. Oct. 8, 1840. *Augustus Leonard*, b. Dec. 2, 1834; served in the Civil War, and became captain of the Ninth U.S. Infantry; d. Nov. 12, 1904. *Mary Pierce*, born Dec. 17, 1836, married Captain A. C. Woolfolk, U.S.A., in 1863; he was later a circuit judge, and died at Denver, Colorado, in 1880; she died March 21, 1914. *Charles Edward*, b. March 23, 1841; served during the Civil War in the Third U.S. Cavalry and on General Hunter's staff; was subsequently Mayor of Springfield, Illinois; married Mary Ridgely, May 10, 1865. *Helen Jemima*, b. at Warsaw, Illinois, Sept. 13, 1844; married Harwood O. Whitney in 1870; died June 19, 1873. Dr. Charles Hay died at Warsaw, Sept. 18, 1884; his wife died there Feb. 18, 1893. •

political leaders, which show, according to a local writer, that he had a thorough knowledge of the issues of his time and "handled them in a masterly manner."

Either because Salem offered too narrow a field for an energetic young doctor, or because Charles Hay was impelled by the desire, common to the Western settlers of his time, to move on in search of better conditions, he took his family in 1841 to Warsaw, Illinois. This frontier settlement, perched on the banks of the Mississippi, at the head of the navigation of the great river, and opposite the mouth of the Des Moines, counted then only a few hundred inhabitants; but the surrounding country was fertile, its climate was healthful, and its outlook on Missouri and the boundless possibilities of the Far West seemed to promise that it would become an important distributing center.

Many years later Hay wrote to a friend: "Towns are sometimes absurdly named. I lived at Spunky Point on the Mississippi! This is a graphic, classic, characteristic designation of a geographical and ethnological significance. But some idiots, just before I was born, who had read Miss Porter,<sup>1</sup> thought Warsaw would be much more genteel, and so we are

<sup>1</sup> Jane Porter (1776-1850) published, in 1803, *Thaddeus of Warsaw*, a romance which had a great vogue for half a century.

Nicodemussed into nothing for the rest of time. I hope every man who was engaged in the outrage is called Smith in Heaven." <sup>1</sup>

At Warsaw, the young Hays passed their childhood. Their father's practice, which he pursued on horseback, led him far up and down the river and inland through the neighboring counties. Life was undeniably hard. It provided the material necessities, but few luxuries; it called for enterprise, courage, resourcefulness, versatility. The husband must be a jack-of-all-trades; the wife must not only perform the duties of cook and maid and housekeeper, but nurse and rear the children, and, outside of the small towns, she must spin and make the family clothing. During the forties of the last century, the cruellest hardships of the pioneer days had been outgrown in the Western Illinois settlements, but the railroads had not yet brought the conveniences of civilization, or opened the way to Eastern markets, or quite dispelled the brooding sense of isolation which, no matter how bravely self-reliance may face it, prevents a well-rounded life.

John Hay himself, in writing of Lincoln, traversed the notion, spread by a few survivors, that the pioneers enjoyed a glorious existence. "They see it," he says, "through a rosy mist of memory, transfigured

<sup>1</sup> Hay to Miss H. K. Loring, June 30, 1870.

by the eternal magic of youth. The sober fact is that the life was a hard one, with few rational pleasures, few wholesome appliances. The strong ones lived, and some even attained great length of years; but to the many age came early and was full of infirmity and pain. If we could go back to what our forefathers endured in clearing the Western wilderness, we could then better appreciate our obligations to them." <sup>1</sup> And he cites a letter from Lincoln who, at the age of thirty-nine, calls himself an old man.

We must not, however, confuse the pioneers who blazed their way into Indiana, Illinois, and the Northwest Territory with the successive waves of immigrants from Ireland, Southern Italy, Hungary, Poland, Greece, and Western Asia which latterly have at times threatened to submerge our institutions. The Irish bog-trotter was as illiterate and bigoted as the Calabrian peasant or the Russian serf; while the pitiable offscourings of the European capitals surely planted the slums in our own cities and rendered honest and efficient municipal government an almost insuperable task. The pioneers of Indiana and Illinois, on the other hand, whether they came from Virginia through Kentucky, or from Pennsylvania down the Ohio, or from New England direct, had been nourished on certain common principles.

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., I, 68-69.



Whether they traced their descent from Covenanters, Roundhead, or Cavalier, they believed in political and religious liberty. They respected trial by jury and those other safeguards of the individual, which were the cornerstone of Anglo-Saxon justice. Their fathers, North and South, had fought in the Revolutionary War to uphold the proposition that there should be no taxation without representation, and they themselves placed passionate trust in popular government. The New Englanders brought with them the town meeting and the country school. Whoever would might read the Bible unforbidden by priest and unprevented by illiteracy. Even among the 'poor white trash' from the South there lingered, however dimly, traces of the Anglo-Saxon tradition. Young Abraham Lincoln, as bereft of opportunity for culture as any lad in the country, had access to the Bible and "The Pilgrim's Progress," Æsop's "Fables," and "Robinson Crusoe," and, a little later, to Shakespeare, Burns, and Blackstone's "Commentaries." With the English Bible and Shakespeare one may inherit not only the Anglo-Saxon tradition, but also the world's supreme achievements in prose literature, in poetry, and in religion.

No doubt the settlers, men of energy and initiative, were too busy developing the new country to

pay much heed to books; but they recognized the need of education in technical concerns, and they had not wholly lost the respect for learning as an ideal which had come down to them from their British forebears. To them the spoken word was the living word.. Lawyers, politicians, preachers, lecturers flourished among them. Politics, which involved the interpretation of the Constitution and fundamental conceptions of morals and humanity, became their vital interest. Should Slavery be allowed in the new communities? If not, where draw the line of restriction? If the South persisted in slaveholding, how long could the nation survive, half bond, half free? Was not the preservation of the Union more important than the welfare of the negro?

However unequipped with the refinements of civilization, a people which, besides conquering for itself a home in the wilderness, was earnestly confronting such questions, could not be charged with stagnation.

These were the general conditions, material and intellectual, which formed the background of John Hay's boyhood; and as his father, grandfather, and uncle made their homes in towns which New Englanders had settled, he grew up in an anti-slavery atmosphere.

Among the Hay papers the earliest I find are two

letters from Charles Hay at Salem to his parents at Springfield. They are yellow, time-stained documents, folded and wafered as was the custom before the days of envelopes, without postmark, and with the postage, 18 $\frac{1}{2}$  cents each, written in ink on the cover. Letter-writing was a costly pleasure then.

The first letter reads as follows: —

SALEM, Nov. 25th, 1832.

DEAR FATHER AND MOTHER —

I presume before this time that you have received my former letter and although I have not received an answer to it I deem it proper to write you another. I hope before this time that you are comfortably settled and perhaps nearly or quite ready for business. I shall be gratified to hear from you soon, and to hear as much as possible in regard to every particular. My chief motive in writing this letter is not to inform you that I have received a legacy or a fortune in any other way, but to receive that which poor people are much more certain of. On the 9th of the present month we had a son born which is of course your first grandson; he weighed 7 $\frac{1}{2}$  pounds the day after he was born and is a very thrifty fellow. Now I suppose you would like to know what your first grandson looks like and I must endeavor to tell you. When Helen asked me first whom he looked like

I said he looked like John; when Cornelia was asked whom he looked like she answered like the Dr.'s brother John without knowing what had been my opinion; his eyes are quite black and his head is covered with more hair than I have usually seen [on] children at 3 or 6 months old, both he and his mother are doing well. His name is Edward Leonard after Helen's deceased brother. It would have been John had it not been for the circumstance [of] her brother's death who was a great favourite in the family. I hope you are all enjoying health and contentment; you may all expect to have the blues more or less for a while but courage will overcome all difficulties. I will close my letter and wait till I hear from you again.

Your affectionate son

CHARLES HAY.

JOHN HAY  
JEMIMA HAY

How much a single letter like that brings with it!

The only other letter in this earliest packet is dated, "Salem Indiana Dec 4th 1834" and addressed to "Dear Father and Mother."

"I have of course something to write, you will think; true. Well, what is it; nothing dreadful of course or I would not write it; well what is it you will say. Why it is simply this that on the morning of the

2nd inst a stranger came to visit us; and who was it? Why he could not tell his name although he was neither deaf blind nor dumb; but he has tarried with us and for convenience we have agreed to call him John Augustus Hay. What, another boy you will say. Well, be it so. His weight with the little trappings about was nine pounds and three quarters full 2 pounds more than the first born. Well done, say you. And both mother and son are doing well in every way. . . .

"I am still in hopes that you can let one of the boys come to live with us. I am not sanguine in promises to myself or others but I think I could get one of them into tolerable good business here before long if he would come. Clerks in stores are often wanted and there are few native hoosiers who are fitted either by education manners or habits for the business. Of course merchants are often disappointed or compelled to take these entirely unfit for the business. Even a good overseer in a factory, should their feelings incline that way, is often wanted, and good wages given. But I will wait till your next letter before I say more on the subject. Mr. Farnham's children are still with us and will stay till spring; perhaps then they may go to Boston. Our town is healthy and business prosperous generally.

"Yours affectionately."

The firstborn son, Edward, lived only a few years: the second — who was named Augustus Leonard, and not John Augustus, as the parents first intended — was the hero, in youth, and through life, of John Hay, the statesman. When he died, on November 12, 1904, the younger John, then Secretary of State, and nearing the end himself, told his grief in a noble letter to President Roosevelt. It can best be placed here, because it gives what, after half a century, he remembered as the brightest aspects of his boyhood.

Nov. 16, 1904.

DEAR THEODORE —

I cannot talk about it — so I will write you a word. My brother was my first friend and my best. I owe him everything. He was only four years older than me, but he had a sense of right and of conduct which made him seem much older. He was always my standard. He was not so quick at his books as I was, but far more sure. He taught me my Latin and Greek so that I made better recitations than he did, and got higher marks — which was a gross injustice. But he took more interest in my success than in his own. He made many sacrifices for me, which, with the selfishness of a boy, I accepted as a matter of course. He fought my battles. It was ill for the big boy whom he caught bullying me. Once I dreamed

we were Christians thrown to the beasts in the Coliseum. He stepped between me and a lion and whipped the great cat with his fists, then seized me and dragged me through a subterranean passage till we came out on the Appian Way. Years afterwards when I went to Rome, I looked about to see where all that had taken place. It was as clear and vivid as any real action of my life.

He was my superior in every way but one — the gift of expression. His scholarship was more exact than mine. He had wonderful skill with his hands; could make better balls, bats, kites, fishing-rods, etc., than could be bought in the shops. Once he gathered up all the pamphlets in the house, and bound them neatly though he had never seen a book-bindery. He was — as I have been told by those who served with him — the best company officer and the best adjutant in the army. Yet he had no luck in promotion. His rigid sense of duty forbade him to seek advancement, and he sternly forbade me ever to mention his name at headquarters. I obeyed him because I knew he would have refused a promotion which came through the solicitation of his friends.

He was the chief of my tribe, in birth as well as in mind and in character. We were not a handsome family, the rest of us — but he was unusually good-looking, tall and straight and brave.

Now he has left us, and I never had a chance to get even with him for all he did for me when we were boys. My uncertain health, the weather, and other futilities have even kept me away from his funeral. I feel remorsefully unworthy of him.

Yours affectionately.

Happy the older brother who could inspire such memories! happier still the younger, with such a capacity for hero-worship!

Of Hay's childhood and youth at Warsaw there is little to record. He attended the public school, taught by a Mr. Holmes, and joined in the play of his companions. Great affection bound the family together. Dr. Hay prospered, according to the measure of prosperity of country doctors in the West. Above all, both he and his wife saw to it that their children should enjoy every procurable means of improvement, and the Doctor himself helped John in his Latin.

From our earliest glimpses of him, John Hay appears as an imaginative child. His oldest sister remembers that, when he was still a little boy, he had "the habit of stringing words together into rhymes." His brother Charles tells this incident, which dates back to John's sixth or seventh year. One morning John came and sat down beside him on a log in front of the new brick house their father was building, and





JOHN HAY'S BIRTHPLACE, SALEM, INDIANA



presently John said: "'I have seen the end of the world.' I asked him: 'What did you see there?' He replied: 'Nothing, only trees and flowers and some birds.' Later on he mentioned this himself, and we then understood that it was only the wild forest land beyond the reservation, on which had been built, in 1814, Fort Edwards on the bank of the Mississippi River."

Other anecdotes furnished by his brother Charles help us to a further acquaintance.

"When he was a small boy, a German of education called on my father to ask assistance in forming a class for the study of German. John listened with a great deal of interest and whispered to his father, 'I would like very much to study German.' This pleased both my father and the German professor, and John became a student with the others, who were all men grown. John was so small that he would occasionally fall asleep during the evening, but he surprised them all by showing he had learned his lesson and could recite equally well with the best of them when awakened."

The following recollection, like a flash of lightning in the night, reveals one of the tragic possibilities of life on the borderland of slavery.

"When we were both quite young," Mr. Charles Hay writes, "he [John] told me he was in the base-

ment of our house, and he heard a ghost, which spoke to him and said: 'Little Master, for the love of God bring me a drink of water.' John said he was so frightened he hurried upstairs and went to his room. The next day my father told at the table that three runaway slaves had been overtaken by a party of officers from Missouri and the slaves had resisted arrest, and one was captured and taken back, one of the other two was fired upon and killed, and the third had been badly wounded, but escaped, leaving blood tracks in the wood. I saw my brother John staring at me across the supper table, but saying nothing. After the meal, he told his father about the voice he heard in the basement. My father, John, and I went down to investigate, and on a pile of kindling wood was the appearance of some one having used this for a bed; but there was a stain of blood nearly eighteen inches in diameter. This was probably the blood shed by the runaway slave who had escaped capture. What became of the slave afterward, I never heard. Fully forty years afterward I asked John if he remembered this occurrence, and he replied: 'I will never forget it, and that incident has given me a greater horror than anything I ever heard or read about slavery.'"

From the primary school, John, with his older brother Leonard, was placed under an Episcopal

clergyman, the Reverend Stephen Childs, who conducted a private school at Warsaw. There he began Latin and Greek; and perhaps it was Mr. Childs who referred to him as "honest and efficient," — praise which greatly pleased the boy. "I feel my character has been established — 'honest and efficient,' —" he confided to Charles: "this is my pride for my after life." "I am glad to hear that John is progressing so well in his study of Latin," his brother Augustus writes from St. Louis, on July 17, 1851, to Mr. N. W. Bliss, at Warsaw; "but as regards Greek, I expect he is somewhat 'lazy'; however, I hope he will soon get over that and go ahead."<sup>1</sup> In 1851, John was sent to a private academy at Pittsfield, the county seat of Pike County, a town which emigrants from Pittsfield, Massachusetts, had settled and stamped with genuine Yankee ideals. In that seminary, kept by Mr. and Mrs. John D. Thomson, he met John George Nicolay, a Bavarian by birth, his elder by six years, who was destined to have a decisive influence on his career. "We all remember John Hay at that time," one of his boyhood companions wrote in 1898, "as a red-cheeked, black-eyed, sun-

<sup>1</sup> For this letter, I am indebted to Mr. Nelson Thomasson, of Chicago. He says that Mr. Bliss tutored John for Brown University, and subsequently became eminent at the Chicago bar. Augustus Hay seems in 1851 to have been employed in an apothecary's shop in St. Louis.

shiny boy, chock-full of fun and devilment that hurt nobody. . . . He spoke German like a native, having picked it up, just as he gathered an inexhaustible repertoire of 'river slang' from the Mississippi River steamboatmen, which served its turn later on in the 'Pike County Ballads.'"<sup>1</sup> In 1852, Hay went on to the college at Springfield—a promotion which might well seem to the lad as the introduction to a larger world. For Springfield was the capital of Illinois, and when the legislature sat, the town bustled with politicians, attorneys, lobbyists, and business men. The boom in railroad-building had begun. At all times visitors were coming and going on legal or judicial errands. In the streets you might meet men of more than local reputation: Senator Stephen A. Douglas, the "Little Giant," who was trying, with apparent success, to serve the God of Freedom and the Mammon of Slavery; or gaunt, lanky Abraham Lincoln, with his backwoods manner, and strange, sad eyes, and the gifts of humor and direct speech which already made him a prominent figure throughout the State; or Lyman Trumbull and David Davis, leaders in Illinois, whose eyes were turned to the national stage in Washington.

For such a quick-witted youth as John Hay, a

<sup>1</sup> W. E. Norris, in the *Pike County Democrat*; quoted in the *Century Magazine*, N.S., vol. LVI, p. 449. Norris's recollection of the color of Hay's eyes is inaccurate.

small capital like the Springfield of those days was more educative than a great city could be. A young community, where individuals stand on their own merits, and society is not yet stratified into classes, comes very soon to form an accurate estimate of character; and Springfield also laid open before him the machinery of a republic in operation. Presumably, he listened occasionally to debates in the legislature, or went to the court-house when some sensational trial was up, or applauded the anti-slavery stump speakers during the political campaigns; for he had a healthy curiosity to see how the world was run, and to watch those who ran it. He must have known the celebrities, at least by sight, and he must have heard his uncle and grandfather utter candid opinions about natives and strangers alike.

In the college at Springfield, which was really no more than a preparatory school, Hay studied so well that, by the spring of 1855, he began to think of going to a university. His schoolmates envied his capacity for "getting his lessons without apparently any study." An unusual memory enhanced his innate brightness. He was "bookish," in that he devoured books for pleasure, but he was no grind. Full of life and spirits, he entered eagerly into the modest gayeties — the "sociables, picnics, and dances" — of Springfield.

So Hay went back to Warsaw, his schooling over, to discuss with his parents his future career. As the "scholar" of the family, all agreed that he must continue his education at a university. The New England tradition imposed that, even if Dr. and Mrs. Hay had had other intentions for him. They decided that he should go to Brown University, at Providence, Rhode Island, where Mrs. Hay's father, David Augustus Leonard, had graduated as Class Orator in 1792. His uncle, Milton Hay, who had paid for his education during the past four years, promised to support him through college, and accordingly, towards the end of the summer, John journeyed eastward in order to matriculate at Brown when the first term of the academic year began, on Friday, September 7, 1855. He still lacked a month of being seventeen years old.



## CHAPTER II

### LIFE AT BROWN UNIVERSITY

THERE is a story that John Hay planned to enter Harvard, as being the oldest, most distinguished, and best equipped of American universities; but that when he stopped over at Providence to visit Brown, the attractions of that institution, and the recollection of his grandfather Leonard's career there, so impressed him that he went no farther. From a letter he wrote at the time, however, we can separate fact from legend.

BROWN UNIVERSITY, September 30, 1855.

DEAR FRIENDS: —

As I am now all completely settled and arranged for the term, I proceed to give you notice of this important fact and to let you know I still am an inhabitant of earth. I had a whirling, hustling time on the way here, but at last arrived without any accident on Tuesday evening, safe and sound in everything, except my eyes, mouth and ears were full of cinders and dust. Saw nothing on the way so remarkable as the miserable soil of Michigan and a part of Canada and Massachusetts. To one foot of soil there were

about three feet of cobblestones and in the clacks weakly, consumptive-looking corn was struggling for life. Such corn as a sucker farmer would cut down and hide for fear it would hurt the reputation of his farm. In Canada I noticed a great profusion of bull-headed Englishmen, free negroes, and Indian turnips.

I came into Boston about four o'clock in the afternoon Tuesday. Bought a mince pie for three cents and a cake for two, and feasted royally. Taking the cars for Providence, arrived there in a couple of hours. Went to a hotel, and after supper walked up to the college, found Billy Norris and moved my traps up forthwith. The next morning was examined, admitted and commenced my studies, which are Chemistry, Rhetoric, and Trigonometry. The first two are by lectures which we are required to take down as they are delivered and recite the next day. We also have exercises in speaking and writing essays.

My room is a comfortable and conveniently furnished one on the second floor of the college, costing about 50 dollars. My chum is a young man from the State of New York, steady, studious, and good scholar, so I stand a chance of doing a good deal of hard study this winter. It is not here as in Springfield. Here I am acquainted with no one in the city

and have no inducements to leave the college, while in Springfield my circle of acquaintance was far from limited, and entirely too agreeable for my own good.

I shall wish often this winter, that I could light in Springfield for a few hours and then evaporate, but so mote it *not* be, and I do not know whether I will come back to Illinois next summer or not. That is too far ahead to look at present.

My best love to all, Grandpa, aunts, uncles, cousins, and cats and all inquiring friends.

J. M. HAY.

Tell Aunt Deniza that while I was passing through Canada I looked for the handsome features of Josiah Condell at every station, but, to my great regret saw them not.

Somebody write soon — soon — do you hear?  
SOON.

To an imaginative youth, come from the mushroom communities of the West, Brown University, founded nearly a century before, seemed venerable; and Providence, with about fifty thousand inhabitants and a past stretching back to 1636, was both ancient and robust.

The city ranked second in size among those of New England. It possessed thriving industries, rail-

ways, and steamboats, and a general high level of material well-being. The defects of industrialism had not yet pushed menacingly to the front; nor had immigrant labor swarmed in, bringing its indigestible alien survivals. How uniformly American the population was, appears from the fact that out of the fifty-three churches in the city only six were Roman Catholic. Providence alternated with Newport as the seat of the capital of Rhode Island — an honor which added political importance to her commercial prestige.

But not on the material side only was Providence fortunate. The place itself, which Roger Williams had chosen to be the home of the first settlement in America dedicated to toleration, was by nature very beautiful. Narragansett Bay, freshened by the breezes of the Atlantic, ended there in a partly enclosed harbor which divided the city; and several small rivers flowed seaward through the hills which, ranged in an irregular semicircle, formed the background. The residences of the well-to-do and rich rose amid luxuriant foliage along the slopes or on the crests. There was evidently great prosperity, but little display. No amount of wealth could dim the luster of the old families, many of which were themselves rich; and the presence of the college teachers served as an intellectual leaven for society and possibly as a rebuke

to vulgar extravagance. The well-stocked Athenæum Library, supported by private subscription, was a favorite resort; while here and there some person had begun to collect rare books, or paintings, or prints, or to regard it as his duty to contribute to the expansion of the University.

In a word, Providence was at that happy stage when it still preserved its individuality, and had not become for travelers merely a railroad junction between New York and Boston. It displayed a lively civic consciousness, and it felt both the buoyancy which belonged to communities then in the heyday of industrial development, and the sense of satisfaction which comes when material prosperity has not yet dulled respect for spiritual and intellectual ideals. Providence was large enough to put forth and sustain the organs through which a community enjoys in some measure a varied civilization; but not so large as to lose its social solidarity, much less its identity. Like Portsmouth, Worcester, and two or three other provincial centers of old New England, it seemed a microcosm of many of the New England characteristics.

Although a Baptist institution by origin and direction, Brown University adhered to that provision of its charter which forbade religious tests and declared that all its members should "forever enjoy

full, free, absolute, and uninterrupted liberty of conscience." In 1855 the students numbered only two hundred and twenty-five, and the faculty only nine, but smallness did not mean lack of vitality. The students came from all parts of the country, and some of the professors ranked among the best of their generation. With classes of from thirty-five to sixty-five members, a student must have been a hopeless mollusk who did not know all his classmates, and, indeed, most of the men in the University. The conditions favored wide acquaintanceship, close common interests, and intimate friendships: and between the students and some of their teachers friendly relations sprang up outside the classroom.

The curriculum provided by Brown aimed at what used to be called a liberal education. It laid stress on Latin and Greek and mathematics, but it recognized the French and German classics and the modern sciences — chemistry, physiology, geology, and political economy — which were crowding their way to the front in spite of their treatment as parvenus by the classicists. The term for Master of Arts was five years, for Bachelor four years, and for Bachelor of Philosophy three years. The last course, designed for those students "who are intended for the pursuits of active life," hoped to "confer a high degree of intellectual culture, without the necessity

of studying the Ancient Languages.”<sup>1</sup> Clearly, Brown University, like the other American colleges of that period, wished to make “scholars and gentlemen,” not specialists in any narrow field of research, or engineers, doctors, or lawyers. It accepted as its task the furnishing of those essentials without which the specialist is doomed to remain an uncultivated man.

John Hay took up his quarters in Number 19, University Hall, with Wallace W. Corbett<sup>2</sup> as roommate. Being admitted to advanced standing, he escaped most of the usual trials of a Freshman. At his arrival, he attracted attention by being out of style in his clothes and appearance: the fellows dubbed it “Western.” He wore his thick shock of brown hair long, cut horizontally like a Roundhead’s, and coiled about his ears. His features had not taken on their mature definiteness: the slightly turned-up nose, the pouting lips, still suggested the lad; but his forehead was already large and deep, and his hazel eyes at once arrested attention. “They were eyes,” one of his intimates of those years tells me, “which you could look into for a mile, and they looked through and through yours.” They were the eyes of the young man who sees visions, of the bud-

<sup>1</sup> Brown University Catalogue, 1855-56.

<sup>2</sup> From Bridgewater, New York.

ding poet rapt by the beauty his imagination unfolds to him.

One other letter pertaining to Hay's first year in college deserves to be quoted entire.

BROWN UNIVERSITY, November 28th [1855]

MY DEAR FRIENDS: —

To-morrow is Thanksgiving. We have no lessons this week and many of the students have gone home. I thought that when this time came I would have plenty of time to catch up with my correspondence and make some excursions to the surrounding country. But here half the week is gone and I have done nothing at all. The fact is, I am so much occupied with my studies that when a few days of release come I cannot make a rational use of my liberty. You know I entered the Junior Class behind the rest, and consequently have several studies to make up before I can be even with them. And as the prescribed studies are about as much as I can attend to, I do not know whether I can finish the course, with justice, in two years. I think I can *graduate* in that time, but will not stand high, or know as much about the studies as if I had been more leisurely about it. Again, if I go through so hurriedly, I will have little or no time to avail myself of the literary treasures of the libraries. This is one of the greatest



advantages of an Eastern College over a Western one.

This matter, however, I leave for you and Pa to decide; but you may be assured that whatever time I remain here I am determined to show you that your generous kindness has not been misapplied or ungratefully received. I am at present getting along well in my class. The Register tells me that I stand in the first class of honor, my average standing being 18 in 20. The life here suits me exactly. The professors are all men of the greatest ability, and what is more, perfect gentlemen. They pursue a kind and friendly course toward the students as long as they act in a manner to deserve it, but any violations of the rules of the institution are strictly punished. There have been several expulsions and suspensions since I came here.

I have no acquaintances out of the college, consequently know very little of the city. There is not much excitement here on any occasion, except Thanksgiving and Training-Day, and then it is a quiet Yankee excitement as much as possible unlike the rough, hearty manner of the West.

I heard Oliver W. Holmes deliver a poem here last week, which [was] a splendid thing; also a lecture by Professor Huntingdon. Thackeray will be here before long and I expect to hear him lecture.

It is getting very late and I close this excuse for a letter with my best regards for all the family and all my friends in Springfield.

P.S. *Thursday morning.* — I have just received and read with pleasure Aunt D.'s and Cousin S.'s letter. Augustus has only written once to me since I have been here. I am anxious to hear from him.

P.P.S. Please remit at your earliest convenience some of "the root of all evil," alias, "tin," alias, pewter.

P.P.P.S. Some one write soon and I will answer likewise.

P.P.P.P.S. I will return good for evil and answer Cousin Sarah on a whole sheet, instead of a few lines at the end of this.


P.P.P.P.P.S. I received a letter from Dad lately.

P.P.P.P.P.P.S. That is all.

Yours truly,

J. M. HAY.

Hay's family saw the wisdom of not forcing him to rush through his college education in two years; and as the generous uncle pledged the necessary



UNIVERSITY HALL, BROWN UNIVERSITY (BUILT IN 1770)

The cross indicates the room occupied by Hay



support, he soon settled into the Class of 1858 as a Sophomore, with leisure to read and also to play, as fancy dictated.

If some of the "intellectual bullies" were inclined at first—as a contemporary reports—to heckle the awkward Westerner, they soon learned that they could neither intimidate him nor rouse his anger, and that he was quite their match in wit. Good-natured by temperament, he held himself somewhat reserved except with those whom he knew well. His intimates remembered his flashes of fun, his cozy friendliness, his brilliance as a talker, his moments of exhilaration followed by fits of depression, which recurred throughout his life. That he had little money to spend, did not shut him out from comradeship; nor did his studiousness, which was that of the dilettante and not that of the pedant. Without any ambition to head the rank list, he stood well in his classes. The person who knew him best in those days says that he was "very humble." Perhaps he was already contrasting his unfledged talents with the soaring achievements of the masters whom he worshiped. The college library, where he browsed at will, "meant more to him as an undergraduate than all the rest of the college." And no wonder, for the college instruction, even in the elective courses, was conducted wholly by recitation, and what that

was can be inferred from this reminiscence furnished me by Hay's classmate, the Reverend J. H. Gilmore:—

“The method of studying English literature which existed at Brown fifty-odd years ago was not one which tended to stimulate literary enthusiasm. We had six pages of advance, six pages of immediate review, and six pages of back review in Spalding's ‘English Literature,’ to be recited every Friday afternoon throughout the Junior year; and there you were. Why, one of my classmates, who graduated *summa cum laude*, told me that he had never read one of Shakespeare's plays, and that he had ‘never consciously read a line of Tennyson's,’ although ‘In Memoriam’ was published in 1850.”

To a youth who was feeding his imagination on Shelley, those weekly exercises in Spalding's treadmill could not have been inspiring. At least one of his teachers, however, Professor James B. Angell, — subsequently, President of the University of Michigan, — both stirred Hay's enthusiasm and recognized his ability. They read together several of the great French and German masterpieces, and Hay proved the best translator Dr. Angell ever had in his classes. Marks give only an uncertain indication of capacity, especially when we do not know the interaction of teacher and pupil which determines

marks; but it is odd to discover that Hay stood highest in political economy (under Professor William Gammell), which he elected during his last half-year in college. As his standing improved year by year, we infer that his growing zeal in interests outside the classroom did not cause him to scamp his studies.<sup>1</sup>

But from first to last Hay was evidently one of those youths whose college career cannot be summed up by marks. His fellows quickly discovered his unusual qualities of wit and good-nature and thoughtfulness. At the first Freshman dinner, the toastmaster, after calling on everybody who wished to speak, summoned Hay to his feet. "We don't want anything dry," a youth shouted. "Hay that is green can never be dry," the unfashionable stranger from Illinois retorted; and then he poured out a sparkling speech, which delighted his enthusiastic hearers and made his reputation. How suddenly those college

<sup>1</sup> I am indebted to the Registrar of Brown University for the following record of Hay's standing. — 1855-56. *First semester*. Chemistry, 19.50; mathematics, 17.01; rhetoric, 18.65. *Second semester*. 3 Latin, 19.37; physics, 19.21; rhetoric, 18.79; 3 Greek, 18.90. — 1856-57. *First semester*. French, 19.00; German, 19.32; moral philosophy, 14.93; declamations, 19.00. *Second semester*. Moral philosophy, 17.25; French, 19.12; German, 19.83. — 1857-58. *First semester*. History, 19.83; intellectual philosophy, 19.45. *Second semester*. History, 19.64; moral philosophy, 19.35, political economy, 19.95. These are half-yearly averages, based on a possible total of 20 for each study. Hay's rank for the three years admitted him to the Phi Beta Kappa Fraternity.

reputations shoot up under the influence of song and wine and comradeship!

That was the era when Greek letter fraternities ran riot in American colleges. Among undergraduates the rage for secret societies seems to be as incurable as is falling in love. Who does not remember the preliminary suspense, the weeks or months in which you wondered whether you would be chosen, and, if chosen, by which fraternity? You heard that Brown "was sure" of the A.B.C., that Green had been approached by the D.E.F., that Gray's grandfather had been president of the X.Y.Z., that White had a cousin in the Tiger's Claw or the Shark's Skull. Although you lacked any similar favoring connection, yet you could not help feeling that you were quite as desirable as Brown or Green or Gray. At last an emissary came to sound you for the X.Y.Z. Genuinely surprised, you accepted with fervor, while protesting that you knew you were not worthy of so great an honor.

Then followed the preparations for your initiation and your dread lest you might flinch during the terrible ordeal; until you comforted yourself by the reflection that if Timkins, notoriously puny of body and feeble of will, had gone through, you might hope to do likewise. Of the initiation itself, — the frightful tortures, the harrowing tests of endurance, the



oaths more awful than those uttered in the courtroom or at the altar, and the sense of omniscience which permeated you when you heard the meaning of the mystic Greek letters, — these are matters never to be revealed to the profane. How joyfully you called each fellow member "Brother," and how suddenly you discovered all sorts of attractions in even the most commonplace of them! What a thrill passed through you when you tried the grip on an upper classman, and he responded, and you fell to exchanging confidences as if you had been babies together! However modest you were, you could not doubt that the whole college must see at a glance that a great change had been wrought in you overnight, and that, although you wore no visible halo, you were indubitably one of the elect.

Looking backward, after many years, you smile at the exaggerations of that experience; but your smile is wistful and tender, rather than satirical, for you recognize that the secret society was but one of the forms of glamour by which you were led from adolescence into manhood. The glamour passes; the sweetness of the memory of youth itself abides. And you reserve your sarcasm for those silly dotards who in after life hold their society pins more sacred than wife and children, or leave the room if any outsider whispers the name of their society. The best of

fraternities have serious drawbacks, but they have also compensating positive benefits, the chief being opportunity for friendship.

Coming to Brown as a stranger, John Hay had not been pledged to any of the competing fraternities. But we learn that "his sterling worth" soon gave the "intellectual bullies" pause. "Nor had he been long matriculated," says his fraternal biographer, "before Brothers Burdge and Simons, looking deeper into character, saw in him the future development of a strong nature." Accordingly, those discerning brothers "made it their study to place before Hay the great advantages over all other societies which were to be found under the protecting ægis of the Theta Delta Chi Fraternity."

Hay was persuaded, and was initiated at a ceremony of extraordinary solemnity, which Brothers French and Taylor attended from Tufts College, and Brother Alexander L. Holley ("who had already become famous"! ) from New York. A right royal Theta Delta supper followed at the "What-Cheer," where Pond and French made their happiest speeches, — Depew "never equaled them," — and Brother Hay responded to everybody's satisfaction.

"The next morning," continues Brother Stone, the chronicler, "imagine the horror (yes, that word exactly expresses it) of the members of the rival

fraternities when they saw Hay come into chapel, escorted by Burdge and myself, wearing the *Shield* with the emblematical letters ΘΔΧ, emblazoned on its sable field! Notwithstanding the awful presence of President Wayland and the august professors, an universal and audible howl went up from the opposition, which evoked a corresponding cheer from our side. The triumph was complete; and Dr. Wayland, pushing his spectacles up from his nose onto his brow, was constrained to stand some moments till the commotion had subsided, before offering up his interrupted orisons."<sup>1</sup>

So vividly, after the lapse of half a century, did the recollection of John Hay's capture by Theta Delta Chi lie in the memory of Brother Stone — an indication of the importance attached by undergraduates to their societies and clubs! Hay proved himself a loyal Theta Delt. His wit enlivened the meetings and suppers; he wrote verses abundantly, — one of his poems being sung at every reunion;<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> W. L. Stone in *The Shield*, XXI, 319-20 (September, 1905); organ of the Theta Delta Chi Fraternity.

<sup>2</sup> The final stanza of this is: —

"And if, perchance, one sadder line  
May mingle with the strain,  
For those, the lost, whose loving voice  
We ne'er shall hear again;  
Let this rejoice the heavy heart,  
And light the dimming eye;  
The Gates of Eden are not closed  
To Theta Delta Chi!"

and he formed lifelong associations with many of the brothers. A few years later, while serving as Secretary to President Lincoln, he saved from undeserved execution two Theta Delts; and afterwards, when he had risen to a position of great influence, he never forgot the claims of members of his fraternity.

But Johnny Hay — as his intimates called him — was too healthy-minded to be puffed up or spoiled even by the honor of election to Theta Delta Chi. During his first winter vacation, he writes his mother: "I am enjoying myself very well here, reading the newspapers, etc., writing a batch of letters, and loafing around in the city reading-rooms, varying these amusements with a quiet game of dominoes with Ed Morris.<sup>1</sup> . . . Certainly one of the greatest advantages of an Eastern college is the society into which a student is thrown. We live in a perfectly independent way, choose our own associates and our own mode of life, and if we belong to a secret society we have never any need of friends. Our society embraces many whom I shall be proud to know in after life, and whose friendship I now consider a 'feather in my cap.'"<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Edgar R. Morris, of Quincy, Illinois.

<sup>2</sup> Hay to his mother, February 6, 1856. This seems to be one of the few early letters of Hay to his family that have not been destroyed. Not long before his death he burned all his home letters that he could find.

The more we see of him at Brown, the more we find him normally sensible, — if, indeed, to be sensible be normal. Possessing a good mind, with a natural hunger for literature, and especially for poetry, he read with zest; having also the desire to write, he used his pen freely, joyously, and with such success that he earned the reputation of being the best undergraduate writer in college. Over his admiring intimates his conversation cast a spell. With uncritical but pardonable enthusiasm they hailed him as “a young Dr. Johnson without his boorishness, or a Dr. Goldsmith without his frivolity.” But while he inclined to intellectual pursuits, and perhaps got his keenest pleasure from them, he took part gladly, as has been hinted here, in undergraduate fun.

“‘In those days, all text was memorized,’ Mr. Norris relates; ‘and it was the general opinion that Hay put his book under his pillow and had the contents thereof absorbed and digested by morning, for he was never seen “digging,” or doing any other act or thing that could be construed into hard study. His quick perception, ready grasp of an idea and wonderfully retentive memory, made a mere pastime of study. His enthusiasm was boundless, and his love for and appreciation of the beautiful in nature and in art was acutely developed. If he was smitten with

the charms of a pretty girl, he raved and walked the room pouring out his sentiment in a flood of furious eloquence. He would apostrophize a beautiful sunset till the last glow had expired. I remember being called out of bed by him one night to witness a beautiful display of Northern Lights. The display was gorgeous, but the night was cold, and after stating my view of the situation, I retired to my room leaving him with chattering teeth and eloquent language addressing Aurora B.'"<sup>1</sup>

His college life meant more, however, than could be reckoned by marks in the classroom, or by his chats and frolics with his companions. Even his admission to the fraternity, which may have seemed, at the time, to be the turning-point in his career, was unimportant compared with some of the deeper stirrings within him — signs not merely of growth, but of capacities in himself which he had hardly suspected. Residence in an old community was quietly transforming him. If he could have analyzed the process he would have found that those traits of the Westerner, which his comrades supposed to be of his very essence, were, on the contrary, mere accidents. Like the noble's child who, stolen by gypsies and forced to lead their squalid life, on being restored

<sup>1</sup> A. S. Chapman, "The Boyhood of John Hay," *Century Magazine*, LVI, 450.

in manhood to his own people, quickly falls into their ways, so John Hay returned in Providence to the culture which he had vaguely craved all his days. Civilization in the making, as he saw it in Illinois, did not satisfy his instinctive longing for the finished product. Providence, though no Edinburgh or Florence or Athens, spoke to him of culture. Providence looked toward Europe and the East, the cradle and home of the ideals of the white man's race. Warsaw, Illinois, faced westward, upon the wilderness, which the imaginative little boy had mistaken for the end of the world.

In Providence there were some men and more women who not only understood what you meant by learning and literature and arts and the things of the spirit, but who actually had time to cherish them, and believed that neither making money nor any other material concern could equal them in importance. Outside of the academic circle, Providence boasted of a literary set, which encouraged lectures, welcomed passing authors, discussed the latest and read the standard books, and created that intangible thing which artists of all kinds hanker after — an atmosphere.

"In the summer of 1845, while passing through Providence, Poe had seen a lady among the roses of her garden in the moonlight. He had learned that

she was a poetess — Mrs. Sarah Helen Whitman";<sup>1</sup> and ten years later, when Hay went to Brown, this poetess held a place apart, both on account of her own productions and of her brief engagement to Poe. Although Mrs. Whitman, well on toward the middle fifties, might seem rather a dowager-like muse, she still kept alive the embers of passion, and she had the art of impressing those who knew her as an unusual person. It certainly was not genius, it might well be talent, that distinguished her. Handsome in youth, she kept her good looks into old age, and she let slip no means which might heighten the indefinable quality that attracted even strangers to her. She dressed always in white, and she appears to have sprinkled her garments with ether, instead of cologne or other perfume, which shed a fragrance suggestive of a neurotic condition in the wearer.

Toward the end of his college days, Hay was privileged to know Mrs. Whitman. She evidently appreciated his winning nature and lively wit, and looked very kindly upon his verses: but she was not an injudicious flatterer. She criticized frankly, and he accepted the criticism gratefully; for he was "very humble" in the presence of those whom he regarded as his superiors; and Mrs. Whitman's interest seemed to him an almost incredible favor. In his

<sup>1</sup> G. E. Woodberry, *Edgar Allan Poe* (Boston, 1909), II, 265.



letters to her after quitting Brown, he addressed her simply, "Mrs. Whitman," as if he dreaded to appear familiar or presuming. His reverence for her was unfeigned. "If I had had the honor of knowing you earlier," he writes, "I would have had less to regret in my collegiate course."<sup>1</sup>

The so-called romance of Mrs. Whitman's middle life — her bizarre relation with poor Poe, already ruined by drink and laudanum — added to her impressiveness; but Hay had probably read Poe's poems and tales before he met her. Among his undergraduate pieces several show Poe's influence. The following stanzas, unpublished so far as I know, might easily pass for one of Poe's pot-boilers: —

"In a glimmering Kingdom of woe  
On a plain demon-haunted I lie,  
And the specters that glide to and fro  
With their wings blot the joy of the sky.

"Let thy spirit shed o'er me the light  
That it gained from the Father above,  
And my soul shall come out of the night  
To the sunshine of Infinite Love."<sup>2</sup>

His acquaintance with Mrs. Whitman, the "priestess" at whose feet he sat, came at the end of Hay's Senior year. Almost at the same time he knew Nora

<sup>1</sup> From an unpublished letter in Brown University Library.

<sup>2</sup> MS. in Brown University Library.

Perry, a young poetess who had achieved local fame when the recently established *Atlantic Monthly* printed two or three of her poems. She, too, was drawn to the sympathetic youth, with his sparkling gifts. Although she was only five or six years his elder, he treated her with almost pathetic deference.

"The very fact of your writing to me," he says, in reply to a letter from her, "proved that you had an opinion of my powers which I might vainly strive to justify; and when I read the poems which you added, I was still more embarrassed in view of my situation. I despair of ever carrying on a correspondence on terms in any degree approaching equality with one whose mental plane is so far above my own." <sup>1</sup>

We hear of a Dr. Helme and other congenial members of the literary coterie, and of that erratic Celt, and future eulogist of Walt Whitman, William Douglas O'Connor, with whom Hay had cordial relations. Among his teachers, he found a hospitable welcome from Professor Angell, and when the students presented a cradle to the professor's first-born child, Hay wrote some lively verses, loaded with puns, which they sang to the tune of "Cocachelunk" and to the satisfaction of the Angells. Brown had two literary societies, of which the Philermenian elected

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, p. 17; October 12, 1858.

Hay its vice-president. He was an editor of the *Brown Paper*, an undergraduate journal, and to its first number (November, 1857) he contributed "Sa! Sa!" a parody on Emerson's "Brahma," beginning: —

"If the hazed Freshman thinks he's hazed,  
And that he's passed his hazing pain;  
He's sold — too high his hopes are raised,  
The Soph'more goes but comes again."

Hay's parody, like many another, tickled brains that had never quite understood the original.

His classmate, Gilmore, relates an incident which illustrates Hay's inquiring disposition: —

"On one occasion, at least, his enthusiasm for literature was carried to excess. 'The Hasheesh-Eater' had recently appeared (1857); and Johnny must needs experiment with hasheesh a little, and see if it was such a marvelous stimulant to the imagination as Fitzhugh Ludlow affirmed. 'The night when Johnny Hay took hasheesh' marked an epoch for the dwellers in Hope College. It's fifty-six years ago; but I remember it well."


During his Junior and Senior years, Hay roomed at 44 Hope College. His life with his cronies grew more delightful term by term. His class recognized his ability by electing him Class Poet. Every one thought of him as of a fellow who would neither do

a mean act nor tolerate it. As an indication of the happy memory his college contemporaries had of his chivalry, the story went the rounds, not long before he died, that at Brown he had rescued a Freshman named Gordon who was being smoked out by Sophomores. On being appealed to for the facts, Hay, then Secretary of State, replied that he did n't remember. "But," he added playfully, "my recollections of everything in those far-off days is dim, and heroism was my daily habit. I could n't sleep nights if I had n't saved somebody's life. Now I only save a nation now and then." <sup>1</sup>

So the last months of his college life glided happily by. Secure in his classmates' good-will and esteem, he enjoyed also the deeper satisfaction of being admitted to the group of literary men and women who lisped the language of his ideals. The only cloud that hung over him was the realization that he must soon renounce all this and go back to the West, which he had learned to loathe. There are also hints of a love-affair which made parting still harder.

On Class Day, June 10, 1858, he read his poem at Manning Hall to an audience which was enchanted by it. "His theme," says one reporter, "was 'The Power of Song,' [and] was marked by a fertility of conception, a depth of sensibility, and a power of

<sup>1</sup> *Brown Monthly*, February, 1906; pp. 141-42.



JOHN HAY WHEN A STUDENT AT BROWN UNIVERSITY



poetic expression, which we have rarely heard equaled, and never surpassed, at any of our literary anniversaries. It was agreeably enlivened by passages of keen wit and of pleasing humor, and was, in every respect, a most scholarly and brilliant performance." According to another hearer, "the effort caused tears and deafening applause to succeed each other during its delivery." The recollection of Hay's triumph lived on, and to-day it has become a tradition at Brown that no class poem ever matched his. Old men can still recite for you its concluding lines, which he cast in a stately and sonorous metre: —

"Where'er afar the beck of fate shall call us,  
 'Mid winter's boreal chill or summer's blaze,  
 Fond memory's chain of flowers shall still enthrall us,  
 Wreathed by the spirits of these vanished days:  
 Our hearts shall bear them safe through life's commotion;  
 Their fading gleam shall light us to our graves;  
 As in the shell the memories of ocean  
 Murmur forever of the sounding waves."

That evening there was a Class Supper at Humphrey's; then, packing and good-byes. Hay always kept a loyal and even affectionate regard for his classmates, but he came back only once to their reunions, and many years elapsed before he revisited Brown. He received the degree of Doctor of Laws in 1897.<sup>1</sup> For the centennial of the University in

<sup>1</sup> His degree at graduation was Master of Arts.

1864 he wrote the ode, but his duties in Washington prevented him from reading it in person. He never ceased to be grateful to his *alma mater* for the windows on life she had opened to him; and his friendship for his classmates, although he saw them very seldom, did not die out. Toward the end of his life, he wrote to Mr. Henry Adams: "Why don't you go to your class anniversaries and get lionized and handshook and interviewed? I know why *I* don't — because I am an ass and a *dégénéré*, whose initiative is dead."

A few months later, looking back upon the three years he spent there, he wrote Miss Nora Perry: —

"If you loved Providence as I do, you would congratulate yourself hourly upon your lot. I turn my eyes Eastward, like an Islamite, when I feel prayerful. The city of Wayland and Williams, that smiles upon its beauty glassed in the still mirror of the Narragansett waves, is shrined in my memory as a far-off, mystical Eden, where the women were lovely and spirituelle, and the men were jolly and brave; where I used to haunt the rooms of the Athenæum, made holy by the presence of the royal dead; where I used to pay furtive visits to Forbes' forbidden mysteries (peace to its ashes!); where I used to eat Hasheesh and dream dreams. My life will not be utterly desolate while memory is left me, and while



I may recall the free pleasures of the student-time; pleasures in which there was no taint of selfishness commingled, and which lost half their sin in losing all their grossness. Day is not more different from night than they were from the wild excesses of the youth of this barbarous West.”<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 22-23.

## CHAPTER III

### THE POET IN EXILE

JOHN HAY did not linger in Providence to receive his diploma at the Brown Commencement, which came in September, but he journeyed home by slow stages, stopping here and there to pay visits. That he had made an unusual impression on his college mates and teachers cannot be questioned, although a biographer must be on his guard against the natural tendency to magnification which the contemporaries of an illustrious man fall into when they look back. Hay's Class Poem and enough of his other verses remain to show that he fairly earned his distinction at Brown; and, although we cannot fail to regret that he destroyed his youthful letters home, we can surmise from other fragments and hints how his inner life was unfolding. He returned to Warsaw transformed from an expectant lad into a young man who believed that he had discovered his mission. Unfortunately for his peace of mind, that mission was as unadapted to his surroundings as a "rainbow to Wall Street."

Wanting to be a poet and a man of letters, Hay felt within himself the capacity therefor; and he

dreamed that laurels awaited him. Whether they did or not, he knew that any other achievement would be empty compared with the satisfaction of serving the Muse. But although Illinois was pouring millions into the lap of many a business man or "rail-road magnate," it would not then have furnished a daily mess of porridge to either a Milton or a Byron.

Hay's family welcomed him with joyful pride; yet even their affection could not supply what his enkindled nature now craved. His thoughts were fixed continually on the "good-bye lande" he had left. The change was too sudden, the contrast too bitter. He felt that he had been transplanted from a congenial soil and climate, to a land where winds were bleak and the earth was poor. He saw no hope for the future. To the youth capable of lively emotions, it seems inevitable that to day must be always.

As a foil to these introspective shadows of the young poet himself, we have the following letter, written by his father to his uncle, Milton Hay, to whom the youth owed his college education.

WARSAW, ILL., Sept. 6th, '58.

MY DEAR BROTHER, —

. . . John is now at home, and I am somewhat undecided as to what course I will advise him to pursue. Augustus and his mother both protested

against his becoming a schoolmaster in Warsaw — at least ere entering upon the study of a profession. So I did not put in his claims before our board of education at the reëlection of teachers which took place before John's return. I am not certain that a berth of that kind would be a pleasant one for him in Warsaw, and at all events the vacancies were so far filled before his return that I suppose his position now would have to be one so subordinate, perhaps, that it would neither suit his self-esteem nor his pecuniary wants. I have some reasons too for not wishing to place him in a law office in Warsaw, which it is unnecessary to name here now, and some further reasons for not wishing him to remain at all in Warsaw through the winter.

In the meantime some of his friends urge him to turn his attention immediately to the law, while others, especially some valued ones at the East, advise him to turn his attention at once and wholly to literature. I wish him, of course, to have some profession upon which he can fall back, or rather rise upon, while he is rising, *higher*. Upon what terms can he enter your office and spend twelve months as a student? His board bill I would endeavor to render account for with the girls and sisters. He is restless and wishes to know his destiny, although he expects me to decide for him entirely. Augustus,

with his native ambitious aspirations, would have him set out on a splendid career at once. That is, if his purse were long enough he would have [him] return as a resident graduate to Brown, read extensively, and write for Eastern periodicals until a time and opening offered for taking a high position *somewhere*. But the purse is not full and will not be shortly at all events. I feel that I would do wrong not to encourage him to acquire a profession at once, and then do the best afterwards in that profession until a surer and better opening was apparent in some other direction. He thinks now that he cannot make a speaker, but I believe in the maxim of old Horace, "*Poeta nascitur, orator fit.*" The Poet is born, but the orator is made by cultivation. I will wait your answer before I make up my final decision as to what course he will be advised to this winter.

Hay passed through a long period of melancholy. How fully his family were aware of it, I have not learned. Outwardly, he meant to keep up a smiling front, so that perhaps they attributed any gloom they detected to his constitutional fits of depression. He had scarcely reached Warsaw before he referred to his life at Providence as a "happier state of existence," and he looked forward to "the solitude of a Western winter" with foreboding. His letters, few

in number, to Miss Perry and to Mrs. Whitman, are truly representative. To those sympathetic ladies he revealed what he hid from others. Poets themselves, they would understand a poet.

On October 12, 1858, he writes to Miss Perry: —

“I shall never cease to congratulate myself upon the acquaintances I formed during the last few months of my stay in Providence. I found among them the objects for which my mind had always longed, true appreciation and sympathy. It is to their own goodness and generosity that I render all the kindness which I met with, and not to any qualities of my own; for it is the highest glory of genius to be quick in sympathy and prodigal of praise. But now when I am removed to a colder mental atmosphere, and the hopes and aspirations that gilded the gliding hours of my last year at college are fading away, I still can console myself with a dream of the possibilities that once were mine, and soothe my soul with the shadowy *Might-have-been*.

“In spite of the praise which you continually lavish upon the West, I must respectfully assert that I find only a dreary waste of heartless materialism, where great and heroic qualities may indeed bully their way up into the glare, but the flowers of existence inevitably droop and wither. So in time I shall change. I shall turn from ‘the rose and the rainbow’

to corner-lots and tax-titles, and a few years will find my eye not rolling in a fine frenzy, but steadily fixed on the pole-star of humanity, \$!

“But I am not yet so far degraded that I cannot love poetry and worship a poet. So let me implore you to ask a favor of me as often as you possibly can — whatever it is, it is granted as soon as asked, if you will only acknowledge it as you did the last. If you will so far favor me, your letters will be a thread of gold woven into the dusky texture of a Western life.

“With unalloyed pleasure I copy that delicious ‘La Papillon,’ but are you not ashamed of your unnatural neglect? I would take the bright wanderer and claim it for my own if I dared. But it would look in my household like the last hope of Persia in the hovel of a cobbler of Bagdad.”<sup>1</sup>

The highly literary quality of this letter need not lead us to suspect the sincerity of Hay’s feelings. Addressing a poetess, he naturally indulged in the Parnassian dialect.

To Mrs. Whitman he wrote less exuberantly, but in the same vein: —

“I very much fear that if I remain in the West, I will entirely lose all the aspirations I formerly cherished, and see them fading with effortless apathy.

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 17-19.

Under the influence of the Bœotian atmosphere around me, my spirit will be 'subdued to what it works in,' and my residence in the East will remain in memory, an oasis in the desolate stretch of a material life. So before the evil days come on I cling more and more eagerly to the ties which connect me with Providence and civilization, and only hope that those whose genius I have long admired and whose characters I lately learned to love, may not utterly cast me off, but sometimes reach me a hand in the darkness to raise and console."

Whatever tragedies of dashed hopes, thwarted ambitions, and mordant regrets were being enacted in John's distempered heart, he seemed fairly normal to those around him. From the next letter, which he wrote to his Uncle Milton, we infer that the young man was not only willing but eager to have the decision made which should put an end to his perplexity and self-searchings.

WARSAW, ILLINOIS, Jan. 28th, 1859.

MY DEAR UNCLE, —

Although I have very little to say, I write according to your request to let you know how I am getting along. I am not making the most rapid progress in the law. I have, as you advised, read all of Hume consecutively, and, to speak with moderation,



remember *some* of it. I would then immediately have made an attack upon Blackstone, had I not been prevented for a while by the general worthlessness induced by the distemper that has troubled me more or less all the season. During the last few weeks I have been occupied in making preparations for a lecture before the "Literary Institute" in this town. I delivered it last Saturday evening to the best house I have ever seen in Warsaw. I think it was well received. People did not expect much from a boy, and so were more than satisfied. I have been asked to write again but shall not. It is too great an expenditure of time for no pay but nine days' glory.

It has had one effect, at least. It has convinced my very pious friends in this place that there is no sphere of life, for me, but the pulpit. I have been repeatedly told by lawyers here that I will never make my living by pettifogging. This is, of course, very encouraging, but I think, if my manifest destiny is to starve, I prefer to do it in a position where I will have only myself to blame for it. I would not do for a Methodist preacher, for I am a poor horseman. I would not suit the Baptists, for I dislike water. I would fail as an Episcopalian, for I am no ladies' man. In spite of my remonstrance, however, I am button-holed in the street daily, and exhorted

to enter into orders. Our minister here has loaded me with books which he innocently expects me to read — as if my life was long enough. I find it the easiest way to agree with everything they say and to follow the example of the shrewd youth in the parable, who “said, ‘I go,’ and went not.”

I have a quiet room here to myself in which I can do as much as I could anywhere, alone. I suppose that I miss the personal superintendence of a preceptor, but hope that I can make up for that loss hereafter. If you think, at any time, that I can engage in anything profitable, either to myself or others, by coming to Springfield, I am ready to come. You spoke of a possibility of my succeeding, in case of a vacancy, to a berth in the Auditor’s office. That would be especially pleasant, as I suppose it would give me free access to the libraries in the State House. However, I am very easily contented, in whatever sphere I may be placed, and can always wait for the tide of circumstances without any inconvenience. Meanwhile, I will go on and read Blackstone at home. It is as pleasant as possible in Warsaw now. . . . I send you what our paper has to say about my lecture.

Please remember me to all the family, especially to Grandfather.

JOHN M. HAY.

The newspaper clipping says that Mr. J. M. Hay's lecture, upon the "History of the Jesuits," was "a very able and eloquent effort, indeed, considering the age of the speaker — being not yet twenty years of age. . . . The church was crowded with listeners, many of whom were unable to get seats. . . . His voice was strong and clear, and his manner of delivery excellent — far surpassing that of any person we have before heard in our city. . . . Being raised in this city, of course many turned out to hear him, and not one have we heard who was not well pleased with his effort, and who does not accord to him all praise for historical research, and for the fine flights of eloquence of which he delivered himself at intervals throughout the lecture. The parents of this young man may justly feel proud of him, as do the citizens of our city, for his intelligence and manly bearing. He has the talents, and if he does not make his mark in the world as a bright and shining light, the fault is with himself."

John Hay lived to read many eulogies on his writings, but perhaps he rarely felt a more genuine thrill than when he saw this first certificate to local fame. His letter to his uncle reveals his inner nature not less certainly than do his dithyrambic effusions to Miss Perry and Mrs. Whitman. Especially noticeable is the trait, which clung to him through life,

of reluctance to push himself forward. This was due not to self-distrust, but to a shy fastidiousness.

On December 15, 1858, Hay writes again to Mrs. Whitman: ". . . It may seem little to you to give a few words of generous praise to a moody boy or to send an exile in the West stray glimpses of the pleasant world he has left forever." He then refers to her description of Niagara Falls, which reminded him "of thoughts that came dimly to me as I stood in the spray of that infinite torrent, and which seemed to me unutterable. And is not this the office of Genius? to set in clear and intelligible forms of beauty the vague and chaotic fancies that flit across the minds of the multitude? Is not the poet rather an Interpreter than a Creator? It is almost the same in effect. All the Greeks thought Olympus majestic till some shepherd-poet peopled it with gods. Those fancies which in the common mind are the wild and restless float of the waves, become embodied in the mind of the poet, in the perfect beauty of Aphrodite hanging forever in god-like loveliness above the tumultuous waste of the unresting seas." He excuses his silence of several months. "I have been very near the Valley of the Shadow. I felt the deprivation keenly in the fall, when the woods were blazing with the autumnal transfiguration, and the night slept tranced in the love of the harvest moon.

I am now as well as ever." But he despairs of going East to live. "A few months of exile has worn the luster from my dreams and well-nigh quenched all liberal aspirations. I do not see how I could gain either honor or profit by writing, so I suppose the sooner I turn my attention to those practical studies which are to minister to the material wants in the West, the better it will be. . . . It is dangerous for me to write the names of Eastern friends. It makes me discontented with my surroundings." <sup>1</sup>

On January 2, 1859, Hay writes to Miss Perry, whom he ventures to address as "Nora," and congratulates her on her ideal position. "The world must be very fair as seen through the rosy atmosphere of luxuriant youth and maidenhood." He, on the contrary, is called to the "barbarous West," yet he accepts "calmly, if not joyfully, the challenge of fate. From present indications my sojourn in this 'wale of tears,' as the elder Weller pathetically styles it, will not be very protracted. I can stand it for a few years, I suppose. My father, with more ambition and higher ideals than I, has dwelt and labored here a lifetime, and even this winter does not despair of creating an interest in things intellectual among the great unshorn of the prairies. I am not suited for a reformer. I do not like to meddle with

<sup>1</sup> From an unpublished letter in Brown University Library.

moral ills. I love comfortable people. In the words of the poet Pigwiggen . . . 'I know I'm a genius, 'cause I hate work worse'n thunder, and would like to cut my throat — only it hurts. . . .' There is, as yet, no room in the West for a genius. . . . Impudence and rascality are the talismans that open the gates of preferment. I am a Westerner. The influences of civilization galvanized me for a time into a feverish life, but they will vanish before this death-in-life of solitude. I chose it, however, and my blood is on my own head." In conclusion, he encloses as an offering to Mrs. Whitman, two poems, "Parted" and "In the Mist." <sup>1</sup>

Throughout the autumn and winter, Hay experienced that disenchantment with the world which often overshadows alike the artistic and the devout in their first serious encounter with life. Common though the disillusion is, each of its victims supposes that he is the first to suffer under it. In Hay's case, it coincided with one of his periodic fits of melancholia. He desired to be a poet. His recent happy years in the East had not only developed his poetic talents, but they had also brought the confirmation of the persons whose judgment he trusted. If Nora Perry, if Mrs. Whitman encouraged him in his ambition, how could he doubt?

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 22-25.

But "being a poet" is such a different matter from what the young aspirant imagines! Primarily, because the world, with its hard common sense, cares in the long run for only good poetry; and since it often requires a generation to sift the bad from the good, the poet may be dead before his work is accepted. By what seems a sardonic decree, poets — privileged beholders and describers of the ideal — are locked up in human bodies, which must be fed, clothed, and housed: and the unrecognized bard, if he have only his poems to pay for the necessities, will go hungry and naked. Instead of charging Fate with cruelty, however, we ought to perceive that this provision automatically saves the world from being overrun by third-rate poetasters: and we may even argue that Fate is on the side of the good poets. The Muse is as jealous a mistress now as ever she was; and when any of her young devotees dreams that he could worship her best if he had a sufficient bank account, he reveals that he is either unworthy or callow.

Through those bitter months Hay ruminated on these things. Having set his heart on the brightest, he learned that the cosmic laws would not be changed for his benefit. When a youth discovers that special favors are not accorded to the virtuous, he feels as Job, the just man, felt, that he has been

betrayed by the moral scheme in which he confided, and he asks himself whether it is worth while to go on living in a world capable of such treachery. Hay drank his cup of wormwood to the bottom. How deeply he suffered appears in the following letter.

"I have wandered this winter in the valley of the shadow of death," Hay wrote Miss Perry in the spring. "All the universe, God, earth, and heaven have been to me but vague and gloomy phantasms. I have conversed with wild imaginings in the gloom of the forests. I have sat long hours by the sandy marge of my magnificent river, and felt the awful mystery of its unending flow, and heard an infinite lament breathed in the unquiet murmur of its whispering ripples. Never before have I been so much in society. Yet into every parlor my Dæmon has pursued me. When the air has been fainting with poisoned perfumes, when every spirit thrilled to the delicate touch of airy harmonies, when perfect forms moved in unison with perfect music, and mocked with their voluptuous grace the tortured aspirations of poetry, I have felt, coming over my soul, colder than a northern wind, a conviction of the hideous unreality of all that moved and swayed and throbbed before me. It was not with the eye of a bigot, or the diseased perceptions of a penitent, that



I looked upon such scenes; it was with what seemed to me —

“Thus far I wrote, and turned over the page and wrote no more for an hour. You have had enough of that kind of agonized confession, have n’t you? An open human heart is not a pleasant thing. I only wanted to tell you why I had not written. It would have been easier to say it was simply impossible.”<sup>1</sup>

Again we note the premeditated, literary quality of his personal confession, and we wonder whether the suffering could be genuine which he described in such nicely balanced, rhetorical sentences. But we must remember that he was consciously trying to write up to what he assumed to be Nora Perry’s ethereal plane; that he had been feeding on the works of the Romanticists then in fashion; and that, as is the way with young authors absorbed in their own emotions, he dramatized himself.

Poet he wished to be, and if his wit had had the compulsion of genius, neither poverty, nor hardships, nor the world’s neglect would have restrained him. He would have managed somehow to sing in Warsaw, Illinois, as validly as Robert Burns did in Mossiel, Scotland. He gave up his career reluctantly, with poignant regret, but without any after effects of cynicism. First love may be sweetest, but it is not

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 41-42: Springfield, Illinois, May 15, 1859.

the deepest; and although Hay could not forsake all else to follow the Muse, still he never lost his enthusiasm for her; and up to the end of his life, when any emotion stirred him greatly, he sought a vent for it in verse.

Hay's family recognized his literary achievement at Brown, and were proud of it, and they allowed him ample time to choose his life-work. When he had convinced himself that his poet dream could not be realized, and had canvassed and discarded various suggestions, — including the ministry, — he finally settled upon the law. "They would spoil a first-class preacher to make a third-class lawyer of me," he is reported to have said. His father would have been glad to have one son follow his own profession: but John and his brothers had seen too much of the laboriousness of the life of a country doctor to care to undertake it. The law, on the other hand, held out special inducements: for John was to enter the office at Springfield of his Uncle Milton, who stood among the leaders of the Illinois Bar. Might not the law be regarded almost as a literary profession? Had not the ranks of men of letters been recruited from the lawyers? At that very moment did not James Russell Lowell's case prove that, given the right endowment, one might mount from apprenticeship in the law to the sphere of poetry and belles-

lettres? A successful lawyer might earn a sufficient fortune to retire from practice and devote himself to literature while he was still young enough to win fame therein: just as middle-aged men sometimes marry the sweethearts of their youth — with the happiest results.

Disappointed, but not cast down, John Hay accordingly began his legal training with his Uncle Milton in the spring of 1859. Before we describe his new life, however, we will conclude his self-revelations to Miss Perry.

He wrote the glowing letter just quoted, after he had settled in Springfield. "I am now at work," he added. "In work I always find rest. A strange paradox — but true. If my health returns, I do not question but that I shall work out of these shadows. If not, there is a cool rest under the violets, and eternity is long enough to make right the errors and deficiencies of time."<sup>1</sup>

Here is the familiar note which youth utters when bereavement or self-abnegation or contrition sweeps over it. "I can bear — but I am sure to die soon," says the young self-pitier, unaware that vanity and not fortitude is speaking, and that grief has its luxury which must be checked. In Hay's case, there seems no doubt that he suffered from poor health

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 42-43.

that winter; possibly he thought that he had a disease which would soon carry him off: but his yearning for rest under the violets is so common among youths who take Fate's rebuffs sentimentally that we need not be alarmed by it. Nevertheless, we must not belittle the burden of misery which a nature like his actually feels under such conditions.

Nearly a year later, although he was then outwardly wrapped up in his new career at Springfield, he replied regretfully to a letter from Miss Perry: —

"I hope you may never be placed in a situation where you will be able to sympathize with my present habits of mind, or appreciate the feelings of grateful delight occasioned by a kindness like your last.

"When, in the midst of my laborious and intensely practical studies, the current of my thoughts is changed by a reminder of a state of existence so much higher than mine, I feel for a moment as a pilgrim might have felt, in the days when angels walked with men, who, lying weary and exhausted with his toilsome journey, has heard in the desert silence faint hints of celestial melody, and seen the desolate sands empurpled and glorified with a fleeting flash of spiritual wings.

"The splendor fades, but the ripples of memory still stir the stagnant waters of the soul, and life is less dreary that the vision has come and gone.

"It is cowardly in me to cling so persistently to a life which is past. It is my duty, and in truth it is my ultimate intention to qualify myself for a Western lawyer, *et præterea nihil*, 'only that and nothing more.' Along the path of my future life, short though it be, my vision runs unchecked. No devious ways. No glimpses of sudden splendor striking athwart. No mysteries. No deep shadows, save those in my own soul, for I expect prosperity, speaking after the manner of men. No intense lights but at the end. So my life lies. A straight path — on both sides quiet labor, at the end, Death and Rest.

"Yet though I know all this, though I feel that Illinois and Rhode Island are entirely antipathetic, though I am aware that thy people are not my people, nor thy God my God, I cannot shut my friends out of my memory or annihilate the pleasant past. I cannot help being delighted to receive a letter from you, and to know that the Doctor [Helm] sometimes remembers me. When I read 'After the Ball,' and when, going into the State House, the Secretary of State said to me, 'Hay, have you read the last *Atlantic*? there is the prettiest poem there this month it has ever published!' I could not help feeling a personal pride that I had heard it read, alive with the poet's voice and warm from the poet's heart.

"What more can I say than to confess that my friends are necessary to me, to ask you to give my love to the Doctor, and to write to me as soon as you will. How glad I am that the world is learning to love Mrs. Whitman as much as those who have sat at the feet of the revered Priestess." <sup>1</sup>

In this letter, even more than in the earlier, we perceive that Hay treats himself as he might any one else, whose plight he tries to describe in the finest literary style. He is not insincere; he is simply the artist, using his own emotions as stuff for his story. When this tendency becomes a habit, spontaneity gives way to artificiality: as in the case of Robert Louis Stevenson, who would spit blood in his handkerchief, and a few minutes later seize his pen and write—in a private letter intended for the world to admire—an account of the affair, so vivid, so correct, so "faultily faultless," that teachers of English might hold it up as a model to illiterate Freshmen.

Hay, however, was still far from this pitch of artistic self-intoxication, and, thanks to happy influences, which came to him in the disguise of disappointment, he never reached it. He believed that what he wrote to Miss Perry depicted, however faintly, the anguish of his soul. He wished also to free himself from the suspicion of having cravenly

<sup>1</sup> *Poet in Exile*, pp. 44-46: Springfield, Illinois, March 4, 1860.

deserted the ideal life. He still loved the Muse best, and only under the duress of necessity was he embarking on the worldly profession of the law. But though he might prosper,—and he expected “prosperity, speaking after the manner of men,”—his heart would remain true to the ideal.

Just as a new life, of amazing inspiration, was opening for him, he thus pledged his devotion to the old: and he never wavered in it. Long afterward, when he appeared to strangers an accomplished man of the world, or when he staggered under the burdens of statesmanship, he heard again, and thrilled to hear, the poetic voices which captivated his youth. So, at certain seasons, dwellers on the Breton coast hear the pealing of the bells of the city which the waves submerged long, long ago.

## CHAPTER IV

### THE NEW LIFE

IN March or April, 1859, John Hay went to Springfield, to read law with his uncle, who was head of one of the oldest and most successful firms in the West. Fifteen years before, when Stephen T. Logan directed it, Abraham Lincoln had been a partner, and, besides him, two of his associates became Congressmen. Under Milton Hay, the office continued to be a nursery "for cradling public men":<sup>1</sup> for law and politics interlocked so closely that they often seemed merely two aspects of the same profession. It required far less acumen than young John Hay possessed to discern that the road to fortune lay through that office. The material expansion of Illinois — its railroads, its industries, its rapidly growing cities and towns, with the consequent fixing of titles and contracts and the adjusting of claims — made the lawyer the one indispensable member of the community. Men might manage to shift without the doctor, because Nature herself sometimes

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., I, 214, n. 1. "John M. Palmer and Shelby M. Cullom left it to become Governors of the State, and the latter to be a Congressman and Senator."



worked a cure; and at a pinch they could do even without the minister, taking their chances as to the hereafter; but they needed the lawyer at every turn, and it was only a step from applying the laws to framing them. So Hay might hope, with reasonable diligence, either to prosper at the bar, or, if he preferred, to enter public life, which offered fame as well as fortune.

Far more important was it to the young apprentice, however, that both his position and his occupation threw him into relations, sometimes very friendly, with the leading men of Illinois. Such a privilege could come only in a community which, although small numerically, held the keys to vast enterprises. The material development of Illinois was at that period of incalculable significance. But it involved far more than the building up of the State itself; for the growth of the new Northwest and the exploitation of transcontinental projects were affected, directly or indirectly, by the attitude of Illinois, and this, in turn, depended upon the lawmakers at Springfield. And just at this time political concerns had begun to overshadow material. The crisis which had been preparing since the formation of the American Union could no longer be avoided, either by compromise, or threat, or ignoble subservience. In this crisis Fate summoned Illinois to play a

pivotal part; and it happened that the providential man, not only for Illinois, but for the American Union, and for the maintenance of Anglo-Saxon civilization in the United States, occupied a shabby law office alongside of Milton Hay's, and practiced his profession during those intervals when politics did not utterly engross him.

This was Abraham Lincoln, now in his fiftieth year, who only recently, by his joint debates with Judge Stephen A. Douglas, had sent his reputation beyond the borders of the State. Even his neighbors and acquaintances, of whom he counted hundreds in central and northern Illinois, did not fully understand the genius which inspired Lincoln in that campaign. His speeches, whether in attack or rebuttal, stand alone in modern oratory: we must go back to the Socratic dialogues to find their parallels. He spoke so simply, he met his enemy's points so honestly and demolished them so easily, that his hearers, though entirely convinced, discovered nothing unusual in his performance. Eloquence still meant to them the Olympian dignity and the deep, sonorous voice of Daniel Webster, and the tidal ebb and flow of his periods, and the polish of his diction; or it meant the forceful declamation of Calhoun, or Wendell Phillips's invectives gleaming like bayonets in the sun.

Lincoln differed from all these. He had neither Webster's imperial presence, nor the rich, supple voice, nor the polished diction and gestures of the model orator. He breathed no echo of Burke or Chatham, no reminder of Cicero or Demosthenes. He was plain Abraham Lincoln, addressing crowds in the prairie towns as naturally as he would have talked to them one by one on his front porch. He had a power rarer than intellectual keenness or the zealot's fervor, or than intoxicating eloquence—the power to penetrate to fundamental principles. He saw the simple bases on which slavery and abolition, union and secession, finally rested; and in every debate he quickly stripped away confusing details and laid bare the essentials, which he presented so simply that they had the settled quality of scientific formulas. But he clothed his arguments in some parable or picturesque figure which everybody understood, and could not forget; and he spoke so sincerely that it was evident that he set truth above a political victory. Where Douglas evaded or straddled, Lincoln stood on principle; he resorted to no devices and wasted no time on quibbles, but squarely dislodged Douglas from one perch after another. Lincoln's good-nature, his humor, his wit, and large-hearted charity were as conspicuous as his trenchant logic—indeed, they sometimes blinded his hearers to the

extraordinary skill with which he upheld his cause. We see now that while he was ostensibly working for the success of the Republican Party in the next election and his own choice as senator, he was really proclaiming the impossibility that the nation should continue half-bond, half-free, and he was restating the fundamental principles without which civilization sinks into barbarism.

In November, 1858, the Republicans outvoted the Douglas Democrats: when the legislature met, however, Douglas beat Lincoln for the senatorship by eight votes; and while he went in triumph to the United States Senate, Lincoln returned to his law office in Springfield. But the triumph was brief. The Little Giant's prestige withered under the effect of Lincoln's remorseless criticism, and although he lived barely three years, at his death he had already outworn his influence. History will not forget him, however much he might pray to be forgotten; because he is as indissolubly bound up with Lincoln's immortality as Brutus is with Cæsar's. He remains as a warning to men of good intentions, much vanity, and no solid morality, who, in a national crisis, when the differences between conflicting principles stand out as uncompromisingly as life and death, insist that it is only a matter of shading; that by calling "black""white" and "white" "black" you

can make them so; that, after all, there are no immutable *things*, but only *adjectives*, which can be transposed or varied, like a girl's ribbons, to suit your fancy.

Abraham Lincoln believed that there are certain eternal distinctions between right and wrong, and he shattered Douglas's makeshifts as the Matterhorn shatters the troops of clouds which drive against it from one direction to another. And even though they should hide the mighty peak for a day, they never can be more than clouds, unsubstantial and evanescent, whereas the Matterhorn is granite and endures.

"I am glad I made the late race," Lincoln wrote to a friend. "It gave me a hearing on the great and durable questions of the age, which I could have had in no other way; and though I sink out of view, and shall be forgotten, I believe I have made some marks for the cause of civil liberty long after I am gone."<sup>1</sup>

To another correspondent he replied: "The fight must go on. The cause of civil liberty must not be surrendered at the end of one or even one hundred defeats. Douglas had the ingenuity to be supported in the late contest, both as the means to break down and to uphold the slave interest. No

<sup>1</sup> Lincoln to Henry, November 19, 1858.

ingenuity can keep these antagonistic elements in harmony long. Another explosion will soon come."<sup>1</sup>

When John Hay<sup>2</sup> began his career in his Uncle Milton's office, he found this strange figure next door, and it could not have been long before he, like every one else, was listening to Lincoln's stories and was feeling the indefinable fascination of his homely wit and moral fervor. Not that Hay at twenty-one suspected the heroic possibilities in the sad-eyed, ungainly pioneer, who uttered parables in language that might have been taken from the New Testament, or indulged in coarse jokes, or drew vivid word-portraits of the Western notables, or stated political issues with masterly clearness. Young Hay, still regretting his parting from the Muses, and still surreptitiously seeking their inspiration, could not be expected to recognize in Lincoln their substitute.

But even casual association with "Honest Abe," as his fellow citizens called him, could not fail to affect the impressionable youth. By nature sanguine and social, Hay was not of those who can nurse a life-long sorrow. His heart required time in order to be reconciled to the surrender of its poetic dreams, but his head acquiesced, and, acquiescing, took an eager

<sup>1</sup> Lincoln to Asbury, November 19, 1858. Both in N. & H., II, 169.

<sup>2</sup> On leaving Brown, Hay dropped his middle name, "Milton."

interest in the men with whom he was thrown; and his intellectual curiosity kindled by degrees into passionate zeal.

Indeed, only a creature as emotionless as pumice-stone could remain torpid in that crisis, when the conflict — foreseen, dreaded, dodged, smothered, for as far back as men could remember — was bursting into flame. To be neutral then was to be an out-cast. Every one must choose his side, for or against slavery and secession. The question was complicated, however, because a man who detested slavery might honestly believe that the preservation of the Union, even with slavery allowed to continue in the Southern States, was the chief concern. To destroy the Union would not free the slaves, but would set up two hostile republics instead of one which, although then torn by sectional differences, seemed intended by destiny to remain one.

Brought up in a family which never swerved in its devotion to freedom, John Hay absorbed his political opinions, as a boy does, from listening to his elders. Owing to the loss of his early correspondence, we have no means of tracing his opinions on national affairs; but this can hardly matter, because he did not begin to think for himself until after he returned to Springfield. He makes only one reference to politics in the letters which I have seen.

Writing to his mother from Providence on February 6, 1856, he says: "Banks is Speaker. There is very little enthusiasm here. But they rejoice in a quiet Yankee sort of way. How 'all-overish' I felt one evening when Mrs. Hunt was flaying the Abolitionists alive. Southern Chivalry is in the ashes at present. '*Sic semper Tyrannis*' says the North."<sup>1</sup>

Three years later, when Hay was studying law, the recently formed Republican Party had become powerful as the successor of the old Whigs and, still more, as the party of the zealous young men in the North, who were resolved to prevent further encroachments by the Southern slaveocracy. It was at that happy stage in the development of an institution when its ideals, unsullied as yet by selfish desires, justified the enthusiasm of its supporters. Its principles had the compulsion of religion; and rightly so, because they aimed at carrying out in the sphere of public life the behests of private conscience.

Despite his avowal that he was not suited for a reformer, and that he did not like to meddle with moral ills, John Hay enrolled himself as a Republican, and we cannot doubt that he soon felt the moral

<sup>1</sup> Unedited letter. On February 2, 1856, N. P. Banks, of Massachusetts, the Republican candidate, was elected Speaker of the House of Representatives by 103 votes to 100 for Aiken, of South Carolina.



stimulus of working with a party dedicated to human liberty. His sense of humor, always alert, kept him from being blind to the crudities of political action; but his zeal was stronger still.

Little record has come to hand of his apprenticeship in law. His uncle's office, which transacted all sorts of legal business, offered every opportunity for a thorough and well-rounded training. Hay was spasmodically diligent, and having made up his mind to be a lawyer, he exerted himself, as was his fashion, to succeed. In due season — February 4, 1861 — he was admitted to the bar.

On the surface, however, Hay appeared to his associates at this time as a young man of varied rather than serious interests. Quick at repartee and puns, lovable in disposition, he was a favorite at every social gathering. The girls delighted in him, and he in them; but, as one of the survivors writes me, "he found safety in numbers." They took French lessons together; they went to sociables and church fairs; they attended sermons and lectures and political rallies. Hay shone not only as the wittiest of the younger set, but as the winner of unusual distinction in an Eastern college, and as a reader and poet. His opinions on books made the rounds. His verses, sparkling or sentimental, were treasured by their recipients, and quoted. Even his sedate friend John

Nicolay, who had settled in Springfield to edit a newspaper, was enlivened by Hay's example.

Two specimens of Hay's fun, though but trifles, may be cited. The first is a note, sent to three sisters "with a huge bunch of wild, blue phlox, 1860":—

"I am lamentably ignorant as to whether Goldsmith is one of your favorite poets, but if he is, you have doubtless admired his beautiful and merciful lines: —

"No Phlox that range the valley free  
To slaughter I condemn;  
Taught by the power that pities me,  
I learn to pity them."

Another is from an invitation from Hay to one of these sisters to go with him to hear Lincoln speak in Cook's Hall. Like several of his notes, it is written in a French which gives it a more comical touch: —

"Je suis bien heureux que je puis annoncer a vous que M. Lincoln, l'honnête vieux Abe, va faire un discours a la salle du Cuisinier demain au soir. Voulez vous rappeler votre promesse et m'accompagner? J'espère d'entendre beaucoup de les choses bonnes, qui reposent comme Lazare après son mort, en 'Abraham's Bosom.'" <sup>1</sup>

Whatever regrets Hay buried in his heart, he faced the world so buoyantly that we may assume

<sup>1</sup> Printed as written.

that the wounds of disappointment were healing sooner than he imagined.

In the retrospect, even Warsaw gleamed with charms for him. On November 29, 1861, he wrote to a dear young friend there: —

“Warsaw dull? It shines before my eyes like a social paradise compared with this miserable sprawling village [Washington] which imagines itself a city because it is wicked, as a boy thinks he is a man when he smokes and swears. I wish I could by wishing find myself in Warsaw.

“I am cross because I am away from Warsaw. I believe honestly (if it is possible for me to believe anything honestly) that I shall never enjoy myself more thoroughly than I did that short little winter I spent at home. It was so quiet and so still, so free from anything that could disturb or bore me, that it seems in the busy days I am wearing out now like a queer little dream of contentment and peace, when I so obstinately and persistently left the dear old town that rainy, tearful, doleful Monday afternoon. I never before was so anxious to see Warsaw, or so reluctant to leave it. It is a good thing to go home. I seem to take a new lease on life; to renew a fast-fleeting youth on the breezy hills of my home. I feel like doing a marvelous amount of work when I return, and the dull routine of every-

day labor is charmingly relieved by vanishing visions of green hills, grand rivers, and willowy islands that float between me and my paper." <sup>1</sup>

By the spring of 1860 he took a keen interest in the political campaign. The Republican State Convention, which met at Decatur on May 9 and 10, nominated Lincoln as Illinois' candidate for President. Then occurred that picturesque scene which illustrates how mankind is often more impressed by symbols than by what the symbols stand for. Before the vote was taken, word came from outside that an old Democrat had something he wished to give the convention. Presently, in came Lincoln's cousin, John Hanks, bearing two rails, and a banner with the inscription: "Abraham Lincoln, the rail candidate for President in 1860. Two rails from a lot of 3000 made in 1830 by Thomas Hanks and Abe Lincoln — whose father was the first pioneer of Macon County." Amid a rush of enthusiasm the convention voted for the "Rail-splitter," and this nomination the Republican National Convention confirmed at Chicago a week later, when, on the third ballot, Lincoln distanced Seward, New York's favorite son, and was declared the unanimous choice of the party (May 17, 1860).

From that moment, Springfield, Illinois, loomed

<sup>1</sup> *Century*, LXI, 453.

up in national importance. During the summer and autumn it seethed with politics. Every Republican politician, every friend of Lincoln, and even lukewarm partisans who, notwithstanding, desired to see him elected for the honor of the city and the State, joined in the campaign. The young men threw themselves into the cause with the ardor of Crusaders. Among them was Hay, who worked to enroll supporters and spoke at meetings as enthusiastically as if he had not deplored, in his letters to the poetesses at Providence, the hopeless materialism of the unshorn men of the prairies. He began to be electrified by the ideals which underlay the Republican movement. Nicolay served Lincoln as secretary in the campaign, and Hay helped Nicolay.

After his election as President, on November 6, Lincoln appointed Nicolay as his private secretary. His duties increased to such proportions that, although he was one of the most industrious of men, they soon exceeded his capacity, and he suggested that Hay be employed as assistant secretary. "We can't take all Illinois with us down to Washington," the President-elect said, good-humoredly; and then after a pause, as if relenting, he added: "Well, let Hay come."

Thus Fortune opened her door to the young man of twenty-two. Instead of condemning him to per-

petual banishment in the "West," she led him to the East, to Washington, to the White House, to be the confidential helper of "the most sympathetic among all Americans, living or dead," at the most exciting national crisis since the American Union was founded. Hay knew that this experience could not fail to be a stepping-stone to whatever he might do later. President Lincoln, we may believe, saw more in him than a clerical assistant — clerks could be had anywhere: he saw the fresh, easy-mannered, sunny companion, who might relieve the tedium of routine life; the youth who, apparently understanding by intuition the ways of the world, might on occasion smooth over social roughnesses which the President himself would hardly have noticed. Nicolay, too, prized the frank nature and quick intelligence of the friend of his boyhood. It meant much for him to have an assistant who was at once congenial and willing and versatile.

So John Hay said good-bye to his uncle's law office, and to the young women who cherished his verses, and to his parents and friends at Warsaw; and on February 11, 1861, he started with Lincoln and the presidential party on their roundabout journey to Washington. The President's last words to his neighbors, before the train steamed out of Springfield, were full of sadness and affection, as became

one who realized the weight of the burden he was going away to take up, and the quick alternations of life and death. What young Hay thought at that moment we are not told; but it would be strange if he were not thrilled at the prospect of plunging into a new world, of unknown and alluring possibilities. Like many another poet in embryo, he was soon to feel the exhilaration which comes from doing after dreaming.

## CHAPTER V

### FIRST MONTHS IN THE WHITE HOUSE

**A**BRAM LINCOLN read his Inaugural Address at the Capitol on March 4, 1861. Since Washington's Farewell, no presidential utterance had moved the country so deeply as that, and of Lincoln's many stirring passages in it none equaled his concluding lines: "I am loth to close. We are not enemies, but friends. We must not be enemies. Though passion may have strained, it must not break our bonds of affection. The mystic chords of memory, stretching from every battlefield, and patriot grave, to every living heart and hearthstone, all over this broad land, will yet swell the chorus of the Union, when again touched, as they surely will be, by the better angels of our nature."<sup>1</sup> When John Hay's friends and classmates read that paragraph, they believed that he wrote it — so high was their estimate of his poetic talents and so little as yet did they discern the literary genius of Lincoln.

From that day Nicolay and Hay lived in the White House, within a moment's call of the President. The

<sup>1</sup> The passage was written by Lincoln, who transmuted Seward's suggestion into pure gold. N. & H., III, 336, 341 n.



small chambers assigned to them were shabby and scantily furnished; but the secretaries were young and used to roughing it, and they were soon too busy to heed passing discomforts. Nicolay had charge of the more official correspondence. Hay, who often took his share of this burden, wrote letters, saw callers, went on errands to the Departments, kept in touch with personages political, military, and social, and, in case of need, escorted Mrs. Lincoln when she drove out, or amused the Lincoln boys on a rainy day. He made himself very quickly a member of the family; and Lincoln, the most unconventional of men, welcomed his young, versatile, and trustworthy assistant, whose willingness and common sense could always be depended upon.

During the first weeks of the Administration, suspense prevailed in the White House and throughout the Government. Men realized that the relations with the Southern States were growing worse, not better, but they still regarded with incredulity the likelihood of a civil war. Lincoln had pledged himself not to be the aggressor: many anxious Northerners still hoped that even fanatical Secessionists would stop short before striking the irrevocable blow. News of the firing on Fort Sumter in Charleston Harbor, on April 12, and of its evacuation by the Union commander on April 14, dispelled

the last doubt. On April 15 President Lincoln issued his call for 75,000 volunteers.

Three days later Hay records in his Diary: "The White House is turned into barracks. Jim Lane<sup>1</sup> marshaled his Kansas warriors to-day at Willard's and placed them at the disposal of Major Hunter, who turned them to-night into the East Room. It is a splendid company — worthy such an armory. Besides the Western Jayhawkers it comprises some of the best *material* in the East. Senator Pomeroy and old Anthony Bleecker stood shoulder to shoulder in the ranks. Jim Lane walked proudly up and down the ranks with a new sword that the Major had given him. The Major has made me his aid, and I labored under some uncertainty, as to whether I should speak to privates or not.

"The President to-day received this dispatch, 'We entreat you to take immediate measures to protect *American* Commerce in the Southern waters and we respectfully suggest the charter or purchase of steamers of which a number can be fitted from here without delay.' Signed by Grinnell Minturn and many others of the leading business men of the place. The President immediately sent for the Cabinet. They came together and Seward<sup>2</sup> answered the

<sup>1</sup> James H. Lane, of Kansas, border-fighter, United States Senator, and brigadier-general of volunteers.

<sup>2</sup> William H. Seward, Secretary of State.

dispatch in these words: 'Dispatch to the President received and letter under consideration. W. H. Seward.'

"All day the notes of preparation have been heard at the public buildings and the armories. Everybody seems to be expecting a son or a brother or 'young man' in the coming regiments.

"To-night, Edward brought me a card from Mrs. Ann Stephens expressing a wish to see the President on matters concerning his personal safety. As the Ancient<sup>1</sup> was in bed I volunteered to receive the harrowing communication. Edward took me to a little room adjoining the Hall and I waited. Mrs. Stephens who is neither young nor yet fair to any miraculous extent, came in leading a lady — who was a little of both — whom she introduced to me as Mrs. Colonel Lander.<sup>2</sup> I was delighted at this chance interview with the Medea, the Julia, the Mona Lisa of my stage-struck days. After many hesitating and bashful trials, Mrs. Lander told the impulse that brought her. Some young Virginian — long-haired, swaggering, chivalrous, of course, and indiscreet friend — had come into town in great anxiety for a new saddle, and meeting her, had said that he and half a dozen others, including a daredevil guerrilla from Rich-

<sup>1</sup> One of the pet names which Hay and Nicolay gave the President.

<sup>2</sup> A popular actress of that generation.

mond, named F., would do a thing within forty-eight hours that would ring through the world. Connecting this central fact with a multiplicity of attendant details, she concluded that the President was either to be assassinated or captured. She ended by renewing her protestations of earnest solicitude mingled with fears of the impropriety of the step. Lander has made her very womanly since he married her. Imagine Jean M. Davenport a blushing, hesitating wife.

"They went away, and I went to the bedside of the Chief *couché*. I told him the yarn. He quietly grinned.

"Going to my room, I met the Captain. He was a little boozy and very eloquent. He dilated on the troubles of the time and bewailed the existence of a garrison in the White House 'to give *éclat* to Jim Lane.'

"Hill Lamon came in about midnight saying that Cash. Clay was drilling a splendid company at Willard's Hall and that the town was in a general tempest of enthusiastic excitement; which not being very new, I went to sleep."

If John Hay had been able to continue during the succeeding four years to write day by day the White House Chronicle as amply as this first day of actual war preparations, he would have left not only the

most complete, but the most varied and picturesque of records.

April 19 also was filled with business and alarms.

"Early this morning" (Hay writes), "I consulted with Major Hunter as to measures proper to be taken in the matter of guarding the House. He told me that he would fulfill any demand I should make. The forenoon brought us news of the destruction of government property at Harper's Ferry. It delighted the Major, regarding it as a deadly blow at the prosperity of the recusant Virginia.

"I called to see Joe Jefferson and found him more of a gentleman than I had expected. A very intellectual face, thin and eager, with large, intense blue eyes, the lines firm, and the hair darker than I had thought. I then went to see Mrs. Lander and made her tell the story all over again 'just by way of a slant.' Miss Lander the sculptor was there.

"Coming up, I found the streets full of the bruit of the Baltimore mob and at the White House was a nervous gentleman who insisted on seeing the President to say that a mortar battery had been planted on the Virginia heights commanding the town. He separated himself from the information and instantly retired. I had to do some very dexterous lying to calm the awakened fears of Mrs. Lincoln in regard to the assassination suspicion.

"After tea came Partridge and Petterbridge from Baltimore. They came to announce that they had taken possession of the Pikesville Arsenal in the name of the Government — to represent the feeling of the Baltimore conservatives in regard to the present imbroglio there — and to assure the President of the entire fidelity of the Governor and the State authorities. The President showed them Hicks<sup>1</sup> and Brown's<sup>2</sup> dispatch, which [read]: 'Send no troops here. The authorities here are loyal to the Constitution. Our police force and local militia will be sufficient.' Meaning, as they all seemed to think, that they wanted no Washington troops to preserve order, but, as Seward insists, that no more troops must be sent through the city. Scott<sup>3</sup> seemed to agree with Seward, and his answer to a dispatch of inquiry was: 'Governor Hicks has no authority to prevent troops from passing through Baltimore.' Seward interpolated: 'No right.' Partridge and Petterbridge seemed both loyal and hopeful. They spoke of the danger of the North being roused to fury by the bloodshed of to-day, and pouring in an avalanche over the border. The President most solemnly assured them that there was no danger. 'Our people are easily influenced by reason' (said he). 'They have

<sup>1</sup> Thomas H. Hicks, Governor of Maryland.

<sup>2</sup> George W. Brown, Mayor of Baltimore.

<sup>3</sup> General Winfield Scott, commanding the United States Army.

determined to prosecute this matter with energy, but with the most temperate spirit. You are entirely safe from invasion.'

"Wood came up to say that young Henry saw a steamer landing troops off Fort Washington. I told the President. Seward immediately drove to Scott's.

"Miss Dix called to-day, to offer services in the Hospital branch. She makes the most munificent and generous offers."

Events followed one another so rapidly that the White House had no repose, night or day. Alarmists, cranks, wiseacres beset the hall and corridors and strove to reach the President's office. The Potomac, according to rumors, was infested by suspicious-looking craft. Every one asked whether Washington could be held, in case the Secessionists should make a sudden dash upon it. Anxiety lest the mob should capture the White House itself and carry off the President, kept cropping up. Hay had under his special care the protection of both Mr. Lincoln and the Executive Mansion. "About midnight," he says, "we made a tour of the house. Hunter and the Italian exile, Vivaldi, were quietly sleeping on the floor of the East Room, and a young and careless guard loafed around the furnace fires in the basement. Good-looking and energetic young fellows, too good to be food for gunpowder, — if anything is."

The next day he "went up to see the Massachusetts troops quartered in the Capitol. The scene was very novel. The contrast was very painful between the gray-haired dignity that filled the Senate Chamber when I saw it last, and the present throng of bright-looking Yankee boys, the most of them bearing the signs of New England rusticity in voice and manner, scattered over the desks, chairs, and galleries, some loafing, many writing letters slowly and with plough-hardened hands, or with rapid-glancing clerkly fingers, while Grow <sup>1</sup> stood patiently by the desk and franked for everybody."

The mobbing of the Sixth Massachusetts Regiment on its passage through Baltimore, on April 19, and the break in that city of communications between Washington and the loyal North, caused feverish agitation for several days. Unless the Union troops could come through, the State of Maryland might not only fall into the control of the Secessionists, but might send an invading force against the Capital. If this were joined there by an attacking column from Virginia, how could the town be saved? Only when the Northern volunteers began to arrive by roundabout routes did the alarm subside.

Through it all Lincoln seemed unruffled, though

<sup>1</sup> Galusha A. Grow, of Pennsylvania, Speaker of the House of Representatives.



inwardly he was in great distress. A deputation of leading citizens of Baltimore waited on the President and begged him not to persist in sending troops by way of their city, because the mob was unmanageable; but these "whining traitors," as Hay calls them, promised that the loyal regiments should cross the State unmolested if they would avoid Baltimore. In the interest of conciliation, the President consented; but he declared that he would not again interfere with the war measures of the army.

Secretary Seward, more excited and less conciliatory, felt sure that the New York Seventh Regiment could cut their way through three thousand rioters; and he protested "that Baltimore *delenda est*, and other things," Hay adds with characteristic humor. But before Baltimore could be deleted, the Government must have at its disposal the very regiments to which Baltimore barred the way.

Old General Spinner, too, "was fierce and jubilant" at the news which seemed to him to hold out the pleasure of destroying traitors everywhere. "No frenzied poet," writes Hay, "ever predicted the ruin of a hostile house with more energy and fervor than he issued the rescript against Baltimore. . . . He was peculiarly disgusted with the impertinence of Delaware. 'The contemptible little neighborhood, without population enough for a decent country village,

gets up on her hind legs and talks about armed neutrality. The only good use for traitors is to hang them. They are worth more dead than alive.' Thus the old liberty-loving Teuton raged."

At length, on April 25, the blockade was raised. The Seventh New York came through to the Capital without damage, and on the next day Massachusetts and Rhode Island troops arrived in large numbers. "Those who were in Washington on that Thursday, April 25," writes Hay, "will never during their lives, forget the event."<sup>1</sup> From that time on the transportation of Northern regiments across Baltimore ceased to be opposed.

On the 25th, Hay records that the President, who "seemed to be in a pleasant, hopeful mood," said: "I intend, at present, always leaving an opportunity for change of mind, to fill Fortress Monroe with men and stores; blockade the ports effectually; provide for the entire safety of the Capital; keep them quietly employed in this way, and then go down to Charleston and pay her the little debt we are owing her."

The President would not, however, countenance severity until conciliation had failed. Witness this memorandum, also dated April 25: —

"General Butler has sent an imploring request to the President to be allowed to bag the whole nest of

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., IV, 156.

traitorous Maryland legislators and bring them in triumph here. This the Tycoon, wishing to observe every comity even with a recusant State, forbade."

Hay's hurried pen-portraits of the actors in this strange drama, as the examples I have cited show, possess the life-likeness of latter-day snap-shots. He has not only the knack of drawing vividly with a few strokes, but also a store of humor, which he sprays over them like a fixative. Thus, after calling on Governor William Sprague, of Rhode Island, he records: "A small, insignificant youth, who —— his place; but who is certainly all right now. He is very proud of his company, of its wealth and social standing."

Carl Schurz, the German Liberal, who sought refuge as an exile to the United States, and in a few years was transformed into one of the most genuine American patriots of his time, is often referred to by Hay, who writes on April 26: "Carl Schurz was here to-day. He spoke with wild enthusiasm of his desire to mingle in the war. He has great confidence in his capability of arousing the enthusiasm of the young. He contemplates the career of a great guerrilla chief with ardent longing. He objects to the taking of Charleston and advises foraging on the interior States. . . . The Seventh Regiment band played gloriously on the shaven lawn at the south front of the

Executive Mansion. The scene was very beautiful. Through the luxuriant grounds, the gayly dressed crowd idly strolled, soldiers loafed on the promenades, the martial music filled the sweet air with vague suggestion of heroism, and C. Schurz and the President talked war."

On April 29 we have this entry: "Going into Nicolay's room this morning, C. Schurz and J. Lane were sitting. Jim was at the window, filling his soul with gall by steady telescopic contemplation of a Secession flag impudently flaunting over a roof in Alexandria. 'Let me tell you,' said he to the elegant Teuton, 'we have got to whip these scoundrels like hell, C. Schurz. They did a good thing stoning our men at Baltimore and shooting away the flag at Sumter. It has set the great North a-howling for blood, and they'll have it.'

"'I heard,' said Schurz, 'you preached a sermon to your men yesterday.'

"'No, sir! this is not time for preaching. When I went to Mexico there were four preachers in my regiment. In less than a week I issued orders for them all to stop preaching and go to playing cards. In a month or so, they were the biggest devils and best fighters I had.'

"An hour afterwards, C. Schurz told me he was going home to arm his clansmen for the wars. He has

obtained three months' leave of absence from his diplomatic duties, and permission to raise a cavalry regiment. I doubt the propriety of the movement. He will make a wonderful land pirate; bold, quick, brilliant, and reckless. He will be hard to control and difficult to direct. Still, we shall see. He is a wonderful man."

A fortnight later, while the Marine Band played on the south lawn, Schurz sat with Lincoln on the balcony. "After the President had kissed some thousand children, Carl went into the library and developed a new accomplishment. He played with great skill and feeling, sitting in the dusk twilight at the piano until the President came by and took him down to tea. Schurz is a wonderful man. An orator, a soldier, a philosopher, an exiled patriot, a skilled musician! He has every quality of romance and of romantic picturesqueness."

The evident spell which Carl Schurz cast over John Hay was not accidental. Schurz, though less than ten years older than Hay, had seen and done many things. Uprooted from his native soil, he was flourishing in the land of his adoption. He embodied versatility, carried beyond the stage of the dilettante to that of the master; he was a cosmopolite. To be versatile and cosmopolitan were instincts which Nature had planted in John Hay at his birth —

ideals toward which he had been unconsciously groping since his earliest boyhood.

So Schurz fascinated him: but the person who dominated him from his first day in the White House was Lincoln. At the outset, the President's homeliness, which was, in fact, primal simplicity, must have amused him: for Hay had a keen eye for social distinctions and was already well versed in the lore of manners which opens doors that neither birth, wealth, nor genius can unlock. That the former rail-splitter should occupy a position in which, among his other functions, he was head of the official society of the Capital of the Nation, must have tickled Hay's sense of the comic. But soon Lincoln's great qualities — his patience and love of justice, his readiness to listen, his fortitude — impressed the young secretary. Lincoln's supreme naturalness, too, could not be resisted by any one who looked below the surface. Hay loved humor, and here was Nature's master humorist of that age; Hay loved wit, and here was a mind of singular penetration and clearness, which saw right to the heart of principles and could state them in language that a child understood. One by one, the best minds in Washington came into contact with Lincoln; he met them squarely and seldom failed to expose their fallacy, if there were one, or to uphold his own decision, if he approved it, by a phrase

or story not to be forgotten. The speeches of the famous orators at the Capitol have faded; Lincoln's remain. Thanks to his corrections, the State papers of the elegant Seward are still read; and Sumner also, the chief academic orator in Congress, might have profited if he had condescended to take the untutored Westerner for a schoolmaster.

Hay and Nicolay, drawn to Lincoln by his unusual geniality, little suspected at first that he was destined to be, through his unique combination of character and ability, the savior of the Republic. To each other they referred to him familiarly as "the Ancient," or "the Tycoon": and Hay, at least, though full of veneration, sometimes made merry over the Chief's oddities. The Diary abounds in glimpses of Lincoln during the critical month of April.

If ever a ruler had an excuse for showing anxiety, Lincoln had at that moment. Fort Sumter fell on April 15; on the 17th, Virginia seceded; on the 18th, the Union troops retired from Harper's Ferry and its arsenal; on the 19th, the Sixth Massachusetts was mobbed in Baltimore, and then followed the destruction of the railroad bridges and the cutting of the telegraphs; on the 20th, Robert E. Lee, whose appointment as commander of the Northern Army was pending, went over to Virginia, and drew a large number of army and navy officers with him to the

South; on the 20th, also, the Gosport Arsenal had to be abandoned. Yet in public Lincoln kept up his usual manner, and so successfully that strangers thought him either indifferent or shallow. Only once, in his private office, after peering long down the Potomac for the ships which were to bring the troops, believing himself to be alone, he exclaimed, "with irrepressible anguish, 'Why don't they come! Why don't they come!'"

The next day, when some battered soldiers of the Sixth Massachusetts called on him, he "fell into a tone of irony to which only intense feeling ever drove him: 'I begin to believe,' he said, 'that there is no North. The Seventh Regiment is a myth. Rhode Island is another. You are the only real thing.'" <sup>1</sup>

The young secretary, who overheard Lincoln's cry of anguish and was present at this interview, began to divine the depths of the President's nature.

In a few days, the tension being relieved, Hay writes: "Three Indians of the Pottawatomies called to-day upon their Great Father. The President amused them greatly by airing the two or three Indian words he knew. I was amused by his awkward efforts to make himself understood by speaking bad English; e.g., 'Where live now? When go back Iowa?'"

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., IV, 152-53.



Northern newspapers began to scold at the incompetence of the Administration, and the New York *Times* advised the immediate resignation of the Cabinet and warned Lincoln that he would be superseded: but that sort of hostility never worried him, and he joked about the *Times's* proposal to depose him.

On May 7 Hay writes: "I went in to give the President some little items of Illinois news, saying among other things that S. was behaving very badly. He replied with emphasis that S. was a miracle of meanness; calmly looking out of the window at the smoke of two strange steamers puffing up the way, resting the end of the telescope on his toes sublime."

Hay referred to Browning's suggestion that the North should subjugate the South, exterminate the whites, set up a black republic, and protect the negroes "while they raised our cotton."

"Some of our Northerners seem bewildered and dazzled by the excitement of the hour," Lincoln replied. "'Doolittle<sup>1</sup> seems inclined to think that this war is to result in the entire abolition of slavery. Old Colonel Hamilton, a venerable and most respectable gentleman, impresses upon me most earnestly the propriety of enlisting the slaves in our army.' (I told him his daily correspondence was thickly inter-

<sup>1</sup> Senator James R. Doolittle, of Wisconsin.

persed by such suggestions.) 'For my own part,' he said, 'I consider the central idea pervading this struggle is the necessity that is upon us of proving that popular government is not an absurdity. We must settle this question now, whether, in a free government, the minority have the right to break up the government whenever they choose. If we fail, it will go far to prove the incapability of the people to govern themselves. There may be one consideration used in stay of such final judgment, but that is not for us to use in advance: That is, that there exists in our case an instance of a vast and far-reaching disturbing element, which the history of no other free nation will probably ever present. That, however, is not for us to say at present. Taking the government as we found it, we will see if the majority can preserve it.'"

This statement, spoken offhand to his secretary, reveals the foundation of Lincoln's judgment on the War of the Rebellion: there was at stake something more precious than the preservation of the Union, something more urgent than the abolition of slavery, — and that was Democracy. Two years and a half later, in his address at Gettysburg, he put into one imperishable sentence the thought of which this is the germ.

Occasionally Hay jots down Lincoln's literary

preferences. One evening, he reports, there was much talk between him and Seward on Daniel Webster, "in which the financial *sanssoucism* of the great man was strikingly prominent. Seward thought he would not live, nor Clay, a tithe as long as John Quincy Adams. The President disagreed with him, and thought Webster will be read forever."

The President's unfashionable habits come in for playful mention. On hearing that the Honorable Robert Bourke, son of the Irish Earl of Mayo, was about to visit Washington, Hay writes to a friend: "I hope W. will find it out and, by way of showing him a delicate attention, take him to the observational settee whence, on clear afternoons, is to be seen, windows favoring, the Presidential ensarking and bifurcate dischrysalisizing." (August 21, 1861.)

It was well that Hay gave vent to his humor; because the burden of his work soon became oppressive, and before the summer was far advanced Washington, which, despite its unpaved streets and shanties and unhidden squalor, had been a holiday city, took on a gloomy air. Regiments poured in from all parts of the North and camped in the open spaces. Troops marched to and fro. Munitions and provisions were collected and despatched. On the Potomac naval preparations went forward. Civilians in government employ were actually busy, and their

superiors, cabinet officers, and heads of bureaus, began to look careworn. With the heat, the fashionable residents, and the families of officials, fled as usual to Northern watering-places. At last the American Capital gave itself up in earnest to the grim business of war.

And yet, many persons still doubted whether the conflict would be either general or long drawn out. Optimists predicted that at the first reverse the Southern Confederacy would collapse; and, accordingly, influential newspapers clamored for action, while self-constituted advisers belabored the President with suggestions and berated him for not following them. The Administration had a foretaste of what a free press is capable of in time of war. The editor of a metropolitan daily would probably shrink from telling a dentist how to fill a tooth, and even the omniscient reporter of a country weekly might hesitate to instruct a surgeon in an operation for cancer; but both these gentlemen, and most of their neighbors and fellow citizens, feel wholly competent to direct lifelong experts in the highly specialized and intricate art of war. Yet it must be said that, as experts were few in 1861, advice had to come largely from novices; and why should the average man, who beheld the editor or politician of yesterday given command of a regiment to-day, consider his own

opinions on the conduct of the campaign as worthless?

Side by side with the importunities of amateur strategists went the nagging of political wiseacres. Happy Alexander and Cæsar and Hannibal, happy Marlborough and Napoleon! Conducting their campaigns before the days of railroads or telegraphs, they were not required to change their plans from hour to hour in order to keep pace with the hysterical fluctuations of the public at home. In the American Civil War this malign influence marred the military plans to an extent till then unprecedented. That such meddling was inevitable, however, seems to be John Hay's opinion; for he approved, if he did not actually write, the following lines:—

“Historical judgment of war is subject to an inflexible law, either very imperfectly understood or very constantly lost sight of. Military writers love to fight over the campaigns of history exclusively by the rules of the professional chess-board, always subordinating, often totally ignoring, the element of politics. This is a radical error. Every war is begun, dominated, and ended by political considerations; without a nation, without a government, without money or credit, without popular enthusiasm which furnishes volunteers, or public support which endures conscription, there could be no army and no

war — neither beginning nor end of methodical hostilities. War and politics, campaign and statecraft are Siamese twins, inseparable and interdependent; to talk of military operations without the direction and interference of an Administration is as absurd as to plan a campaign without recruits, pay, or rations.”<sup>1</sup>

In this forcible statement Hay filed his caveat against the censure, which has been widespread and weighty, of the direction of the Union campaigns from Washington and of the sensitiveness of the Administration to political exigencies.

Both these conditions sprang up as soon as the volunteer regiments were ready for service. General Scott, the veteran head of the regular army, proposed his “anaconda plan,” of blockading the coast and establishing a cordon of garrisons down the Mississippi, a device by which he thought the Confederacy might soon be strangled. He also counseled delay till the autumn. The North, however, clamored for action. It felt the sting of the humiliation of Sumter and Baltimore and of more recent rebuffs: it believed that the Government was now strong enough to crush the Rebellion; it remembered that the term of the ninety-day men would soon run out.

Lincoln recognized the need of keeping public

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., iv, 359-60. This passage seems to me to bear Hay's impress.

opinion enthusiastic, and, having made every military provision for a successful movement, he ordered an advance. Union General McDowell was to engage Rebel General Beauregard at Manassas, while Union General Patterson crushed Rebel General Johnston at Winchester. On July 21 the battle was fought at Bull Run; but the incompetent Patterson had allowed Johnston to slip by him, and McDowell, being confronted by both Beauregard and Johnston, was utterly beaten. His undisciplined troops, seized by panic, scampered as best they could through the darkness and the rain for Washington, nearly thirty miles off.

Hay describes how the President passed that eventful Sunday; he was anxious from the first, but reassured when frequent telegrams reported continued success. After dinner the President went to General Scott's office, only to find that burly old gentleman taking his afternoon nap. Scott roused himself long enough to declare that all must go well, and then, on the President's departure, he returned to sleep. But at six o'clock, while the President was out driving, Secretary Seward hurried over to the White House with a telegram announcing that the battle was lost and McDowell routed, and to urge that steps be taken to save the Capital from the pursuing enemy. All night long Lincoln stayed in his

office giving directions, reading despatches, or listening to the reports of eye-witnesses, who began to reach the city about midnight.

Monday, the 22d of July, was one of the dismalest days Washington had ever seen. Before afternoon the news spread that the Rebels, having given up the pursuit, were not about to attack the outposts; but every one realized that the war, alternately dreaded and doubted for forty years, had come in earnest.



## CHAPTER VI

### WAR IN EARNEST

TO sketch, even in outline, the history of the Civil War, is not my purpose: for this is a life of John Hay, and the war interests us here only in so far as it concerns him, or as he sheds light on men and events, and especially on Abraham Lincoln. We have seen in the last chapter how quickly he adapted himself to his new situation. There is no more talk of his being doomed to waste his days in the materialistic, unshorn West; no suggestion of the poet in exile; no further reference to filling an early grave. He had neither deserted the Muse nor renounced his ideals: he had simply responded, as a healthy young man should, to the stimulation which comes with action in a supreme cause.

He was discovering that life transcended the fragments and echoes of it which passed for life in his books. He lay now under the spell of the Deed. Having among his many talents the gift of keen and enlightened curiosity, he watched men with the interest with which one follows the fortunes of the characters in a novel or a play. He was a sharp observer; sophisticated, chiefly through his reading,

but not cynical: and he found unceasing amusement in human eccentricities.

Except at Paris during the French Revolution, there was never such a strange multitude, jumbled and incongruous, gathered in a modern city as that which swarmed in Washington from 1861 to 1865. It comprised men of every social class: toilers from farm and shop and clerks from counting-rooms; Eastern bankers and teachers; Western backwoods-men, miners, and adventurers. It was swelled by office-seekers — a sordid gang, having one common instinct, the prehensile, among them; and by unnumbered contractors, sutlers, and speculators. Most conspicuous of all was the endless stream of volunteers, who flowed in at first by companies and battalions, next by thousands and tens of thousands, and so on up to hundreds of thousands — infantry, cavalry, artillery. You can still hear the incessant tramp of the foot-soldiers and the clatter of the horse, with the roll of drum and rumble of cannon, and the shrill, saucy call of the fifes: on they go over the bridges into Virginia, and many never come back.

Amid the brutal surge of life swept the ever-broadening torrent of Death: ambulances and wagons loaded and dripping with the wounded; hospitals bursting with bodies, mutilated but still alive, haunts of agony or delirium; surgeons, doctors, nurses, at

work till they dropped exhausted; hearses, carts, caissons bearing coffins, attended by few or no mourners, on the hurried transfer to the cemetery, and then the lowering into the shallow grave, the clipped sentences of blessing from the minister or the volley of farewell; while day and night grief-stricken fathers and frantic mothers were searching for their sons, or at least for the maimed corpses of their sons. Through it all, the plot of the world-drama worked itself out.

And as if he were the privileged of Destiny, John Hay watches the unfolding of the spectacle from the White House, as from a proscenium box. Nor is he a mere looker-on. He is always at the President's right hand to do the President's bidding. What he sees and what he hears has due weight in shaping the President's decisions. For John Hay was a witness to be trusted: discreet, clear-sighted, businesslike, and, above all, sympathetic to Lincoln, who enjoyed equally his frankness and his humor.

After Bull Run, work at the White House redoubled, the conduct of the war taking up the lion's share of energy. The capital question of choosing officers for the rapidly swelling army arose at every turn. Civilian troops had to be commanded by civilian colonels, willing but necessarily ignorant. The higher grades were often filled for any other

reason except the military. Politicians, quick to scent profit for themselves, secured commissions by the same methods which brought them political honors and lucre. If governors of States could not resist the pressure of aspiring statesmen, how could it be expected that the President and his Secretary of War should always select wisely among candidates of whom they had no personal knowledge? And if battles were fought to appease public clamor, why was it not logical to assign brigadierships to gentlemen who controlled the political majority of a large district, or even, it might be, of a doubtful State? The problem of 1861, be it remembered, was to secure, by hook or by crook, the loyalty of every Northerner.

To the historian a conversation may be as important as a battle. Here, for instance, is Hay's minute of a talk in which Mr. Lincoln disclosed quite candidly his conciliatory policy toward the South before he became President.

*"October 22, 1861.* At Seward's to-night the President talked about Secession, Compromise, and other such. He spoke of a committee of Pseudo-Unionists coming to him before Inauguration for guarantees, etc. He promised to evacuate Sumter if they would break up their Convention, without any row or nonsense. They demurred. Subsequently, he renewed

proposition to Summers [?], but without any result. The President was most anxious to prevent bloodshed."

On November 8, 1861, John Hay records that a "cheeky letter" has just been received from Benjamin F. Butler, of Massachusetts, who, with characteristic modesty writes the President: —

MY DEAR SIR, — Gen'l Wool has resigned. Gen'l Fremont must. Gen'l Scott has retired.

I have an ambition, and I trust a laudable one, to be Major-General of the United States Army.

Has anybody done more to deserve it? No one will do more. May I rely upon you, as you may have confidence in me, to take this matter into consideration?

I will not disgrace the position. I may fail in its duties.

Truly yrs.,

BENJ. F. BUTLER.

THE PRESIDENT.

P.S. I have made the same suggestion to others of my friends.

This was a specimen letter, illustrative of many. How to deal with the rapaciously immodest is the special task of democracy. In earlier times they

throve by the monarch's favor, and dutiful subjects sought no other explanation of their prosperity; now, their promotion accuses the public itself.

Hay's early references to McClellan prepare us for that commander's subsequent abysmal failure. Called to Washington on July 26, McClellan took charge of organizing into a fighting army the troops, which were reaching the city at the rate of a regiment a day. For that work he possessed uncommon ability, and to this was added the knowledge gained from his West Point training, from service in the regular army, and from inspection of the European armies. He not only knew what was to be done, but he had the art of persuading everybody that he was the only man who could do it. His self-esteem, by nature abnormally developed, swelled at last into an elephantiasis of the ego. But among the hesitations, perplexities, and gropings of the summer of 1861, the value of McClellan's self-assurance was quite as obvious as that of his technical competence. The Army of the Potomac, moulded under his direction, felt for him an enthusiasm bordering on infatuation and proof against the disillusion of subsequent defeats. Though he was beaten in many fights, outgeneraled in his plans of campaign, and outmarched and baffled by inferior forces, and though, through a palsy of the will, he failed to convert Antietam

into a sweeping victory, — perhaps into a death-blow of the Rebellion, — his infatuated supporters, persisting in claiming that his primacy as a commander was still unrivaled, always threw the blame on others.

Truth to tell, from the day he came to Washington, McClellan was in danger of being smothered by adulation. The North, frantic for a general to avenge its defeats and to put down Secession, believed that in McClellan it had the man. It imputed to him qualities he never possessed; it glorified his undoubted points of excellence; it sought for happy parallels and propitious signs to confirm its confidence. Napoleon was short of stature — so was “Little Mac”; Napoleon was young and self-reliant — so was “Little Mac”: what could be more logical than to continue the parallel until it led to a Marengo and an Austerlitz for “Little Mac”? McClellan was a Democrat; and this enhanced his importance, because it advertised to the world that the Northern Democrats would stand by the Union.

President Lincoln welcomed McClellan's coming, and besides giving him every aid in forming the army, deferred to his plans and methods. Hay, who had a young man's impatience at too obtrusive conceit, was present at many of their interviews, and seems very early to have doubted “Little Mac's”

omniscience. On October 22, 1861, he writes that the President and the General talked over the death of Colonel Baker at Leesburg.

"McClellan said: 'There is many a good fellow that wears the shoulder-straps going under the sod before the thing is over. There is no loss too great to be repaired. If I should get knocked on the head, Mr. President, you will put another man immediately in my shoes.' 'I want you to take care of yourself,' said the President. McClellan seemed very hopeful and confident — thought he had the enemy, if in force or not. During this evening's conversation, it became painfully evident that he had no plan nor the slightest idea of what Stone <sup>1</sup> was about."

In those early days the President used to call informally at McClellan's office to inquire how the work was going or to make suggestions. At one of these casual calls, on October 10, McClellan said: "I think we shall have our arrangements made for a strong reconnoissance about Monday, to feel the strength of the enemy. I intend to be careful and to do as well as possible. Don't let them hurry me is all I ask." "You shall have your own way in the matter, I assure you," said the President, and went home.

<sup>1</sup> Brigadier-General Charles P. Stone. The battle of Ball's Bluff was fought on the preceding day, October 21, 1861.



That refrain, "Don't let them hurry me!" was to be the burden of McClellan's talk and despatches throughout his service.

A few days later, McClellan traversed Senator B. F. Wade's opinion that an unsuccessful battle was preferable to delay, because a defeat could easily be repaired by the swarming recruits. [I] "would rather have a few recruits after a victory than a good many after a defeat." Lincoln regretted the popular impatience, but held that it ought to be reckoned with. "'At the same time, General,'" he said, "'you must not fight till you are ready.' 'I have everything at stake,' said the General; 'if I fail, I will not see you again or anybody.' 'I have a notion to go out with you, and stand or fall with the battle,'" Lincoln replied.

On November 1, McClellan succeeded Scott in command of the Army. The President in thanking him, said:—

"'I should be perfectly satisfied if I thought that this vast increase of responsibility would not embarrass you.' 'It is a great relief, sir! I feel as if several tons were taken from my shoulders to-day. I am now in contact with you and the Secretary. I am not embarrassed by intervention.' 'Well,' says the President, 'draw on me for all the sense I have, and all the information. In addition to your present

command, the supreme command of the Army will entail a vast labor upon you.' 'I can do it all,' McClellan said quietly."

Hay evidently felt that this sublime assertion spoke for itself, but perhaps McClellan sounded more conceited than he intended. On November 11, Hay notes that McClellan promises to "feel" the Rebels on the next day — the first of many such promises. His entry for November 13 reads: —

"I wish here to record what I consider a portent of evil to come. The President, Governor Seward, and I went over to McClellan's home to-night. The servant at the door said the General was at the wedding of Colonel Wheaton at General Buell's and would soon return. We went in, and after we had waited about an hour, McClellan came in, and without paying particular attention to the porter who told him the President was waiting to see him, went up-stairs, passing the door of the room where the President and Secretary of State were seated. They waited about half an hour, and sent once more a servant to tell the General they were there; and the answer came that the General had gone to bed.

"I merely record this unparalleled insolence of epaulettes without comment. It is the first indication I have yet seen of the threatened supremacy

of the military authorities. Coming home, I spoke to the President about the matter, but he seemed not to have noticed it specially, saying it were better at this time not to be making points of etiquette and personal dignity."

It was this invincible patience, called by some men vacillation and by others attributed to obtuseness, which proved in the end one source of Lincoln's mastery. Patience, the least showy of the virtues, works cumulatively; but what she does endures. There could be no finer example of the contrast between shadow and substance than appeared that winter in McClellan and Lincoln: Little Mac self-confident, idolized, showered with laurels *before* his battles, and barely condescending to listen to the advice of his chief; and the magnanimous President, bent on hearing all sides, suspending judgment until he had considered every fact, and loyally supplying the General with everything he demanded.

Winter passed, spring came, the nation longed to have the Army of the Potomac put to the test, but still McClellan delayed. The following extracts from Hay's brief notes to Nicolay, absent from Washington, to whom he wrote as confidentially as in his Diary, shows how the young secretary felt:—

"*March 31, 1862.* Little Mac sails to-day for down-river. He was in last night to see Tycoon.

He was much more pleasant and social in manner than formerly. He seems to be anxious for the good opinion of everyone."

"*Thursday morning* [April 3d]. McClellan is in danger, not in front, but in rear. The President is making up his mind to give him a peremptory order to march. It is disgraceful to think how the little squad at Yorktown keeps him at bay."

"*Friday, April 4, 1862*. McClellan is at last in motion. He is now moving on Richmond. The secret is very well kept. Nobody out of the Cabinet knows it in town."

"*April 9, 1862*. Glorious news comes borne on every wind but the South Wind. While Pope is crossing the turbid and broad torrent of the Mississippi in the blaze of the enemy's fire, and Grant is fighting the overwhelming legions of Buckner at Pittsburg, the Little Napoleon sits trembling before the handful of men at Yorktown, afraid either to fight or run. Stanton feels devilish about it. He would like to remove him, if he thought it would do."

At last the time came when even Lincoln's patience was exhausted. After McClellan's long series of blunders on the Peninsula, he was superseded by Pope, who, at the end of August, 1862, prepared to strike the Confederate Army. On August 30, the very day when Jackson and Longstreet were thrash-

ing Pope at Bull Run, Hay rode into Washington from the Soldiers' Home with Lincoln.

"We talked," he says, "about the state of things by Bull Run and Pope's prospect. The President was very outspoken in regard to McClellan's present conduct. He said that really it seemed to him that McClellan wanted Pope defeated. He mentioned to me a dispatch of McClellan's in which he proposed, as one plan of action, to 'leave Pope to get out of his own scrape and devote ourselves to securing Washington.' He also spoke of McClellan's dreadful panic in the matter of Chain Bridge, which he had ordered blown up the night before, but which order had been countermanded; and also of his incomprehensible interference with Franklin's corps, which he recalled once, and then, when they had been sent ahead by Halleck's order, begged permission to recall them again; and only desisted after Halleck's sharp injunction to push them ahead until they whipped something, or got whipped themselves. The President seemed to think him a little crazy. Envy, jealousy, and spite are probably a better explanation of his present conduct. He is constantly sending dispatches to the President and Halleck asking what is his real position and command. He acts as chief alarmist and grand marplot of the army."

Halleck, on the contrary, the President said, had

no prejudices. "[He] is wholly for the service. He does not care who succeeds or who fails, so the service is benefited.

"Later in the day we were in Halleck's room. Halleck was at dinner, and Stanton came in while we were waiting for him, and carried us off to dinner. A pleasant little dinner and a pretty wife as white and cold and motionless as marble, whose rare smiles seemed to pain her. Stanton was loud about the McClellan business. He was unqualifiedly severe upon McClellan. He said that after these battles there should be one court-martial, if never any more. He said that nothing but foul play could lose us this battle, and that it rested with McClellan and his friends. Stanton seemed to believe very strongly in Pope. So did the President, for that matter."

Nevertheless, after Pope's defeat at Second Bull Run the President concluded that McClellan must be restored to the command of the Army of the Potomac.

"'He has acted badly in this matter [the President admitted to Hay], but we must use what tools we have. There is no man in the army who can man these fortifications and lick these troops of ours into shape half as well as he.' I spoke of the general feeling against McClellan as evinced by the President's mail. He rejoined: 'Unquestionably he has acted

badly toward Pope. He wanted him to fail. That is unpardonable. But he is too useful just now to sacrifice.' At another time he said: 'If he can't fight himself, he excels in making others ready to fight.'"

So "Little Mac" once more led the Army of the Potomac; not for long, however, because after his virtual failure at Antietam (September 17, 1862) and his allowing Stuart to ride round the Army of the Potomac and raid Chambersburg, popular clamor demanded his dismissal. And Lincoln, the long-suffering, convinced that the time had come, relieved him.

Two years later McClellan was the Democratic nominee for President. On September 25, Hay records that a letter had just come from Nicolay, who was in New York, stating that Thurlow Weed, the dominant Republican leader in New York State, with whom Nicolay was to confer, had gone to Canada. When Hay showed the President the letter he said: "I think I know where Mr. Weed has gone. I think he has gone to Vermont, not Canada. I will tell you what he is trying to do. I have not as yet told anybody."

And then Lincoln proceeded to unfold the following story of a remarkable intrigue: —

"Some time ago the Governor of Vermont came to me "on business of importance," he said. I fixed

an hour and he came. His name is Smith. He is, though you would not think it, a cousin of Baldy Smith.<sup>1</sup> Baldy is large, blond, florid. The Governor is a little, dark sort of man. This is the story he told me, giving General Baldy Smith as his authority: —

“When General McClellan was here at Washington [in 1862] B. Smith was very intimate with him. They had been together at West Point, and friends. McClellan had asked for promotion for Baldy from the President, and got it. They were close and confidential friends. When they went down to the Peninsula their same intimate relations continued, the General talking freely with Smith about all his plans and prospects, until one day Fernando Wood and one other [Democratic] politician from New York appeared in camp and passed some days with McClellan.

“From the day this took place Smith saw, or thought he saw, that McClellan was treating him with unusual coolness and reserve. After a little while he mentioned this to McClellan, who, after some talk, told Baldy he had something to show him. He told him that these people who had recently visited him had been urging him to stand as an opposition candidate for President; that he had thought the thing over and had concluded to accept their

<sup>1</sup> General William F. Smith, the eminent Union commander.



propositions, and had written them a letter (which he had not yet sent) giving his idea of the proper way of conducting the war, so as to conciliate and impress the people of the South with the idea that our armies were intended merely to execute the laws and protect their property, etc., and pledging himself to conduct the war in that inefficient, conciliatory style.

““This letter he read to Baldy, who, after the reading was finished, said earnestly: “General, do you not see that looks like treason, and that it will ruin you and all of us?” After some further talk the General destroyed the letter in Baldy’s presence, and thanked him heartily for his frank and friendly counsel. After this he was again taken into the intimate confidence of McClellan.

““Immediately after the battle of Antietam, Wood and his familiar came again and saw the General, and again Baldy saw an immediate estrangement on the part of McClellan. He seemed to be anxious to get his intimate friends out of the way and to avoid opportunities of private conversation with them. Baldy he particularly kept employed on reconnoissances and such work. One night Smith was returning from some duty he had been performing, and, seeing a light in McClellan’s tent, he went in to report. He reported and was about to withdraw, when the General requested him to remain. After every

one was gone he told him those men had been there again and had renewed their proposition about the Presidency: that this time he had agreed to their proposition, and had written them a letter acceding to their terms and pledging himself to carry on the war in the sense already indicated. This letter he read then and there to Baldy Smith.

“Immediately thereafter B. Smith applied to be transferred from that army. At very nearly the same time other prominent men asked the same — Franklin, Burnside, and others.

“Now that letter must be in the possession of F. Wood, and it will not be impossible to get it. Mr. Weed has, I think, gone to Vermont to see the Smiths about it.’ ”

Hay continues: —

“I was very much surprised at the story and expressed my surprise. I said I had always thought that McClellan’s fault was a constitutional weakness and timidity, which prevented him from active and timely exertion, instead of any such deep-laid scheme of treachery and ambition.

“The President replied: ‘After the battle of Antietam I went up to the field to try to get him to move, and came back thinking he would move at once. But when I got home he began to argue why he ought not to move. I peremptorily ordered him

to advance. It was nineteen days before he put a man over the river. It was nine days longer before he got his army across, and then he stopped again, delaying on little pretexts of wanting this and that. I began to fear he was playing false — that he did not want to hurt the enemy. I saw how he could intercept the enemy on the way to Richmond. I determined to make that the test. If he let them get away, I would remove him. He did so, and I relieved him. I dismissed Major K. for his silly, treasonable talk because I feared it was staff-talk, and I wanted an example. The letter of Buell furnishes another evidence in support of that theory. And the story you have heard Neill tell about [Governor Horatio] Seymour's first visit to McClellan, all tallies with this story.' ”

The last reference to McClellan in this Diary occurs on November 11, 1864, at the first meeting of the Cabinet after Lincoln's overwhelming reelection. The President brought out a sealed paper, which he had asked his Cabinet to indorse on August 23, and when Hay opened it they found it contained a brief memorandum in which Lincoln stated that, as it was extremely probable that he could not be reelected, he intended “so to coöperate with the President-elect as to save the Union between the election and the inauguration.”

“‘I resolved,’ he now told his Cabinet, ‘in case of the election of General McClellan, . . . that I would see him and talk matters over with him. I would say, “General, the election has demonstrated that you are stronger, have more influence with the American people than I. Now let us together — you with your influence, and I with all the executive power of the Government — try to save the country. You raise as many troops as you possibly can for this final trial, and I will devote all my energy to assisting and finishing the war.”’

“Seward said: ‘And the General would answer you, “Yes, yes”’; and the next day, when you saw him again and pressed those views upon him, he would say, “Yes, yes”’; and so on for ever, and would have done nothing at all.’

“‘At least,’ added Lincoln, ‘I should have done my duty, and have stood clear before my own conscience.’”

With that characteristic expression the record closes — a record which reveals Lincoln as invincibly patient, fair, and considerate toward even the general who caused him and the upholders of the Union so many poignant disappointments.

I have outrun the chronological order of events in order to give unity to Hay’s memoranda on McClellan.

Some optimist has described man as a reasoning animal: "a creature with a passion for self-deception," would be more accurate; for animals do reason after their own fashion, whereas, so far as appears, they do not indulge in self-deception. On his being dismissed, McClellan's friends hinted that he was just about to win the decisive battle of the war, and his apologists, forgetful of his fifteen months of dawdling and disaster, perpetuate in history the legend that, if he had been given one more chance, he would have silenced his critics forever.

Hay's memoranda on McClellan, jotted down at the time, have the additional value of revealing Lincoln's attitude; and when Hay, twenty years later, wrote Lincoln's life, instead of softening or reversing his opinion of McClellan's conduct and incompetence, he repeated it with emphasis.

It is precisely such testimony as his that enables the historian to discover the state of mind, whether personal or collective, out of which came the motives which caused the events in any historical episode. We need to know the words actually spoken, the speech actually delivered,—not the expurgated or embellished revision, purveyed by Hansard or by the *Congressional Record*,—because those words were integral strands in the web of history. We need to know each actor's estimate of his fellows:

for however unjust, mistaken, or over-favorable that estimate may be, it determined action. Lee planned differently when he had to deal with Grant and not with McClellan. Nine persons out of ten in the North, including his Cabinet and Congress, underrated Lincoln during most of his presidential career. "Lincoln is a 'Simple Susan,'" wrote Samuel Bowles, editor of the Springfield *Republican*, only six days before the inauguration.<sup>1</sup> Had Mr. Bowles lived to edit his own letters by the light of subsequent events, he would doubtless have substituted his later opinions, and so would have figured as a successful prophet. Unless the historian comes to this knowledge, he can never show "the very age and body of the time his form and pressure"; the Past will be dead to him, an affair of mummies, a deciphering of mummy-cases, which no display of erudition concerning economics, commercial statistics or documents can bring to life.

John Hay's notes and letters serve as peep-holes through which, after these many years, we can look directly at the persons with whom he was thrown during the Rebellion at the moment of action, or we can hear their very voices. Fragmentary these records are: but they are usually so characteristic, so

<sup>1</sup> G. S. Merriam, *Life and Times of Samuel Bowles* (New York, 1885), I, 318.

vital, so symptomatic, that they reveal much. We often regret that this quick-eyed observer lacked the time to chronicle regularly each night, as the methodical Gideon Welles was doing, the happenings of the days. Still, the spontaneity of his minutes, enhanced by their frankness and vivacious language, counterbalances their fragmentariness.

Acute though Hay was in seeing and keen in judging, he did not turn cynic. In spite of the daily examples of unbridled selfishness that passed before him, his healthy trust in human nature was fortified by living close to Lincoln: and then — he was only twenty-three.

On September 5, 1862, he reports this bit of conversation with Seward: —

“‘Mr. Hay,’” said the Secretary of State, “‘what is the use of growing old? You learn something of men and things, but never until too late to use it. I have only just now found out what military jealousy is. . . . The other day I went down to Alexandria, and found General McClellan’s army landing. I considered our armies united virtually and thought them invincible. I went home, and the first news I received was that each had been attacked, and each, in effect, beaten. It never had occurred to me that any jealousy could prevent these generals from acting for their common fame and the welfare of the country.’”

"I said it never would have seemed possible to me that one American general should write of another to the President, suggesting that 'Pope should be allowed to get out of his own scrape his own way.'

"He answered: 'I don't see why you should have expected it. You are not old. I should have known it.' He said this gloomily and sadly."

There were, however, moments of elation, when good news came from the armies in the field, or the political prospect brightened. Thus, on the evening after Lincoln read his Emancipation Proclamation to the Cabinet, a party of ministers and their friends met at Secretary Chase's. "They all seemed to feel a sort of new and exhilarated life; they breathed freer; the President's Proclamation had freed them as well as the slaves. They gleefully and merrily called each other and themselves Abolitionists, and seemed to enjoy the novel accusation of appropriating that horrible name." (September 23, 1862.)

General Joseph Hooker — "Fighting Joe" — was another commander toward whom his contemporaries and posterity have had their reserves. Since the military history of the War has come to be studied dispassionately, Chancellorsville has risen into front rank among the critical battles, and, as Hooker commanded at Chancellorsville and was beaten, his



reputation has, logically, suffered in proportion to the growing significance attached to that defeat.

Hay, however, evidently liked Hooker, of whose talks he made several notes. I cite the most important.

On September 9, 1863, he dined with Wise, where he met Hooker, Butterfield, and Fox.

"Hooker was in fine flow. . . . He says he was forced to ask to be relieved by repeated acts which proved that he was not to be allowed to manage his army as he thought best, but that it was to be manoeuvred from Washington. He instanced Maryland Heights, whose garrison he was forbidden to touch, yet which was ordered to be evacuated by the very mail which brought his (Hooker's) relief. And other such many.

"At dinner he spoke of our army. He says: It was the finest on the planet. He would like to see it fighting with foreigners. . . . It was far superior to the Southern army in everything but one. It had more valor, more strength, more endurance, more spirit; the Rebels are only superior in vigor of attack. The reason of this is that, in the first place, our army came down here capable of everything but ignorant of everything. It fell into evil hands — the hands of a baby, who knew something of drill, little of organization, and nothing of the morale of the army. It

was fashioned by the congenial spirit of this man into a mass of languid inertness, destitute of either dash or cohesion. The Prince de Joinville, by far the finest mind I ever met with in the army, was struck by this singular and, as he said, inexplicable contrast between the character of American soldiers as integers and in mass. The one active, independent, alert, enterprising; the other indolent, easy, wasteful, and slothful. It is not in the least singular. You find a ready explanation in the character of its original General. . . .

"Hooker drank very little, not more than the rest, who were all abstemious, yet what little he drank made his cheek hot and red and his eye brighter. I can easily understand how the stories of his drunkenness have grown, if so little affects him as I have seen. He was looking very well to-night. A tall and statuesque form — grand fighting head and grizzled russet hair, — red, florid cheeks and bright blue eyes, forming a strong contrast with Butterfield, who sat opposite — a small, stout, compact man, with a closely chiseled Greek face and heavy black mustaches, like Eugène Beauharnais. Both very handsome and very different."

"*September 10.* — I dined to-night at Willard's. . . . Speaking of Lee [Hooker] expressed himself slightly of Lee's abilities. He says he was never much

respected in the army. In Mexico he was surpassed by all his lieutenants. In the cavalry he was held in no esteem. He was regarded very highly by General Scott. He was a courtier, and readily recommended himself by his insinuating manner to the General [Scott], whose petulant and arrogant temper had driven of late years all officers of spirit and self-respect away from him.

"The strength of the Rebel army rests on the broad shoulders of Longstreet. He is the brain of Lee, as Stonewall Jackson was his right arm. Before every battle he had been advised with. After every battle Lee may be found in his tent. He is a weak man and little of a soldier. He naturally rests on Longstreet, who is a soldier born."

When we recall that only four months earlier Hooker, having been beaten at Chancellorsville, boasted of successfully withdrawing his army across the river from Lee's army, which was not pursuing, we shall find more humor in his depreciation of Lee than he intended. From the frankness with which Hooker and the others talked to Hay we may be justified in suspecting that they thought they might through him reach the President. Lincoln, who never failed to give a man credit for his good qualities, remarked to Hay, "Whenever trouble arises I can always rely on Hooker's magnanimity."

Hay has some characteristic references to another notoriety of that period — Benjamin F. Butler — whom he met at Point Lookout in January, 1864.

"In the dusk of the evening," he writes, "Gen'l Butler came clattering into the room, where Marston and I were sitting, followed by a couple of aides. We had some hasty talk about business: he told me how he was administering the oath at Norfolk; how popular it was growing; children cried for it; how he hated Jews; how heavily he laid his hand on them; 'a nation that the Lord had been trying to make something of for three thousand years, and had so far utterly failed.' 'King John knew how to deal with them — fried them in swine's fat.'

"After drinking cider we went down to the *Hudson City*, the General's flagship. His wife, niece, and excessively pretty daughter . . . were there at tea. . . . At night, after the ladies had gone off to bed, — they all said *retired*, but I suppose it meant the same thing in the end, — we began to talk about some queer matters. Butler had some odd stories about physical sympathies . . . and showed a singular acquaintance with biblical studies. . . .

"At Baltimore we took a special car and came home. I sat with the General all the way and talked with him about many matters: Richmond and its long immunity. He says he can take an army within

thirty miles of Richmond without any trouble; from that point the enemy can either be forced to fight in the open field south of the city, or submit to be starved into surrender. . . .

"He gave me some very dramatic incidents of his recent action in Fortress Monroe, smoking out adventurers and confidence men, testing his detectives, and matters of that sort. He makes more business in that sleepy little Department than any one would have dreamed was in it."

At that sort of work Butler undeniably excelled; at fighting, his achievements were restricted to the feats he boasted he could perform when the enemy was at an entirely safe distance. The proper comment on his airy capture of Richmond — by tongue — in this conversation with Hay, is to be found in Grant's statement of Butler's fiasco in commanding the Army of the James. "It was as if Butler were in a bottle. . . . He was perfectly safe against an attack; but the enemy had corked the bottle and with a small force could hold the cork in its place." <sup>1</sup>

Grant repeated this indelible epitaph on Butler's military career twenty years after the event. How Hay and Lincoln commented on him at the time appears in this entry in Hay's Diary of May 21, 1864: —

<sup>1</sup> U. S. Grant, *Personal Memoirs* (New York, 1910), II, 75. Grant borrowed the simile from General Barnard.

"Butler is turning out much as I thought he would — perfectly useless and incapable for campaigning. . . . I said to the President to-day that I thought Butler was the only man in the army in whom power would be dangerous. McClellan was too timid and vacillating to usurp; Grant was too sound and cool-headed and unselfish; Banks also; Frémont would be dangerous if he had more ability and energy. 'Yes,' says the President; 'he is like Jim Jett's brother. Jim used to say that his brother was the d——dest scoundrel that ever lived, but in the infinite mercy of Providence he was also the d——dest fool.'"

The paragraph which immediately follows Lincoln's remark concerns another cause of anxiety: —

"The Germans seem inclined to cut up rough about the removal of Sigel from command in the Shenandoah," Hay writes. "They are heaping up wrath against themselves by their clannish impertinence in politics." <sup>1</sup> (May 21, 1864.)

Hay's close friend, during his four years in the White House, was Nicolay, who, although of a matter-of-fact nature himself, appreciated and enjoyed Hay's gleaming wit. Ill-health frequently

<sup>1</sup> General Franz Sigel, who had been defeated several times in May and June, 1864, was removed from his command, as a result of Early's raid against Washington in July.

caused Nicolay to go away for rest, and then his junior sent him racy letters.

"My dear George," he writes on August 21, 1861: "nothing new. An immense crowd that boreth ever. Painters who make God's air foul to the nostrils. Rain, which makes a man moist and adhesive. Dust, which unwholesomely penetrates one's lungs. Washington, which makes one swear."

On April 9, 1862: "I am getting along pretty well. I only work about twenty hours a day. I do all your work and half of my own now you are away. Don't hurry yourself. . . . I talk a little French too now. I have taken a great notion to the Gerolts.<sup>1</sup> . . . Madame la Baronne talked long and earnestly of the state of your hygiene, and said 'it was good intentions for you to go to the West for small time.'"

In August Nicolay took another vacation. "The abomination of desolation has fallen upon this town," Hay tells him. "I find I can put in twenty-four hours out of every day very easily, in the present state of affairs at the Executive Mansion. The crowd continually increases instead of diminishing." (August 1, 1862.)

There are many references to chills and fever, which attacked Hay during his first summer, and kept

<sup>1</sup> Baron Gerolt was the Prussian Minister in Washington.

coming back to plague him. For exercise, he rides "on horseback mornings" the off horse, which "has grown so rampagious by being never driven (I have no time to drive) that no one else whom I can find can ride him." (August 27, 1862.)

A year later Hay reports that X. "and his mother have gone to the white mountains. (I don't take any special stock in the matter, and write the locality in small letters.) X. was so shattered by the idol of all of us, the bright particular Teutonne, that he rushed madly off to sympathize with Nature in her sternest aspects. . . . This town is as dismal now as a defaced tombstone. Everybody has gone. I am getting apathetic and write blackguardly articles for the *Chronicle*, from which W. extracts the dirt and fun, and publishes the dreary remains." (August 7, 1863.)

At the end of that month Hay felt so fatigued that he ran off for a few days to Long Branch, and to the Brown Commencement, where he "made a small chunk of talk." On his return, he found Washington as dull "as an obsolete almanac. . . . We have some comfortable dinners and some quiet little orgies on whiskey and cheese in my room. . . . Next winter will be the most exciting and laborious of all our lives. It will be worth any other ten." (September 11, 1863.)



And here is an item of a different kind. "My dear Nico: Don't, in a sudden spasm of good-nature, send any more people with letters to me requesting favors from Stanton. I would rather make the tour of a smallpox hospital." (November 25, 1863.)

That there were occasional rifts in the clouds of routine, the following playful note to Nicolay attests: —

"Society is *nil* here. The Lorings go to-morrow — last lingerers. We mingle our tears and exchange locks of hair to-night in Corcoran's Row — some half hundred of us. I went last night to a Sacred Concert of profane music at Ford's. Young Kretchmar and old Kretchpar were running it. Hs. and H. both sang: and they kin if anybody kin. The Tycoon and I occupied a private box, and both of us carried on a hefty flirtation with the M. girls in the flies. . . . I am alone in the White pest-house. The ghosts of twenty thousand drowned cats come in nights through the south windows. I shall shake my buttons off with ague before you get back." (June 20, 1864.)

"The world is almost too many for me," he confesses on September 24, 1864. "I take a dreary pleasure in seeing P. eat steamed oysters by the half-bushel. . . . S. must be our resource this winter in clo'. If you don't want to be surprised into idiocy,

don't ask C. and L. the price of goods. A faint rumor has reached me and paralyzed me. I am founding a 'Shabby Club' to make rags the style this winter."

## CHAPTER VII

### ERRANDS NORTH AND SOUTH

SEVERAL times during his service at the White House, Hay went on political or military errands. The routine of a secretary's life, even under those varied conditions, sometimes wore upon him, and he longed for the excitement, and the sense of immediate accomplishment, which life in the field offered. The President, always considerate, granted leave of absence.

Hay's first trip was to South Carolina. He reached Stone River on April 8, 1863, the day after the Union fleet made a concerted attack on the forts which defended Charleston Harbor. At first he heard enthusiastic reports from some of the officers, especially from the army staff: but Admiral Du Pont judged more wisely that, although the commands of the ironclad had behaved gallantly "under the most severe fire of heavy ordnance that had ever been delivered,"<sup>1</sup> the monitors themselves, if the attack had been persisted in, would have been sunk or captured by the enemy.

General Hunter created Hay a volunteer aide

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., VII, 72.

without rank. "I want my Abolition record clearly defined," Hay wrote Nicolay, "and that will do it better than anything else in my mind and the minds of the few dozen people who know me." Ever since the presidential campaign of 1860, Hay had been an unquestioning Republican: that meant a Unionist without compromise. When the Southern States forced the war, he regarded the Secessionists as plain rebels; in theory either criminals, scoundrels, or madmen, deserving neither charity nor quarter. As the war progressed, he accepted the abolition of slavery and Lincoln's plan of emancipation as essential to the restoration of peace.

Hay's mission did not bring him into actual fighting, but it gave him a view of an army and a fleet in operation, and it opened his eyes to the difficulty of taking Charleston by sea. An interview with Admiral Du Pont quickly revised the impression the army officer had made upon him, and he wrote the President that the Admiral, by refusing to persist in an impossible task, had saved the fleet. Lincoln, be it said, had not believed that the attack on Charleston could succeed.

He stopped first at Hilton Head, South Carolina, the headquarters of General Hunter. There he found his brother Charles dangerously ill.

"The doctor said he had a slight bilious attack,

and treated him on that supposition." John wrote their mother on April 23.

"I was not satisfied and mentioned my ideas to the General, who took the responsibility of dismissing the physician and calling in another, a Dr. Craven, who seems a very accomplished man. He at once confirmed my suspicions and said it was a decided case of pneumonia. . . . As soon as Craven took hold of him, he commenced getting better and is now entirely convalescent. . . . We will start for Florida this afternoon and remain there a few days. The climate is cool and pleasant like the Northern June.

"I never felt better in my life than I do now. I ride a good deal, eat in proportion and sleep enormously. I hope to weigh about a ton when I return.

"As to our future military operations I know nothing. I do not believe the General does. We have not force enough to take Charleston and we hear no talk of reinforcements. The Admiral thinks it madness to attack again with the Ironclads. The Government at Washington think differently. They think he is to blame for giving up so soon. I do not know how it is to end.

"I am not despondent, however. If we rest on our arms without firing another gun the rebellion will fall to pieces before long. They are in a state of star-

vation from Virginia to Texas. All we have to do is to stand firm and have faith in the Republic, and no temporary repulse, no blunders even, can prevent our having the victory. The elections in Connecticut have frightened the Rebels and disheartened them more than the Charleston failure has discouraged us.


"We received Mary's letter last night, for which thanks.

"JOHN HAY.


*"Colonel and A.D.C."*

No doubt, Hay added his titles to his signature in order to give satisfaction to his family at home, which had every right to be proud of the patriotic devotion of the "Hay Boys." Their father, writing to one of his sisters early in the war, thus referred to them:—

"Our family ranks are, as you remark, somewhat scattered, but all I trust under the protection of a kind Providence, as well as the prayers of anxious parents. I have every reason to feel satisfied with the careers so far of our young family. John has obtained a position, in a social and political point of view, never before reached by a young man of his age in this generation, as the son of the celebrated Alexander Hamilton lately said to him. The guest



SCHOOLHOUSE AT WARSAW, ILLINOIS



JOHN HAY'S EARLIEST HOME AT WARSAW, ILLINOIS





of Cabinet Ministers [and] foreign Ambassadors, and occupying a position in the public mind, which causes a day's illness to be flashed across the Continent as a matter in which the nation felt an interest. His arrival in a city noticed in the dailies as much as General Jackson's would have been thirty years ago. But enough of self-gratulation. All the family I have no doubt share in the pleasant reflection that the honor of each one is the property of the whole.

"Augustus, if less conspicuous, is not less able to act his part respectably anywhere he may be placed. Charles E. is just now entering upon a career which if the balls of rebels do not cut [it] short, may be as splendid as that of his elder brother. He is now commissioned as aide-de-camp to Maj.-Gen. Hunter, who is now commander in Missouri, an honor never conferred on so young a man, as far as I know, since Alexander Hamilton was made aide to Gen. Washington. He was packed ready to leave Fort Leavenworth for Santa Fé when [they determined] to change his destination. His officer in command expressed surprise that such an honor should be conferred on a youth of his age, but told him he was deserving of it. He will be in St. Louis in a few days to get a new rig of regimentals suited to his new honor."

We cannot fail to sympathize with the honest pride of Dr. Hay, who saw his three sons serving their country as a matter of course in the crisis of the nation's life or death. The comparison of John's celebrity with that of General Jackson is not only delightfully naïf, but also indicates what an impression "Old Hickory" made on the imagination of his contemporaries.

As soon as his brother Charles was strong enough for the voyage, John took him to Florida.

"We visited all the posts of this department in that State," John wrote his grandfather on May 2, 1863, "and were gone more than a week. . . . I never saw a more beautiful country than Florida. The soil is almost as rich as our prairie land. All sorts of fruit and grain grow with very little cultivation, and fish and game of every kind abound. I found there a good many sound Union people, though the majority are of course bitter rebels."

To Nicolay, Hay wrote from Stone River: —

"I wish you could be down here. You would enjoy it beyond measure. The air is like June at noon and like May at morning and evening. The scenery is tropical. The sunsets unlike anything I ever saw before. They are not gorgeous like ours, but singularly quiet and solemn. The sun goes down over the pines through a sky like ashes of roses, and hangs

for an instant on the horizon like a bubble of blood. Then there is twilight such as you dream about."

Hay returned to Washington during the critical interval between Chancellorsville and Gettysburg, when the Confederacy, flushed by the success which the incompetence of Hooker presented it, was preparing its astonishing invasion of Pennsylvania.

Months elapsed before Hay saw any direct result from his first trip south. Then, on December 28, 1863, he received letters from Unionists in Florida, asking him to go down there and run as their Representative for Congress. President Lincoln, in his annual message on December 8, had announced his intentions in regard to reconstruction of the Confederate States. He offered to guarantee a full pardon to all who had been connected with the Rebellion, provided they took an oath "to support, protect, and defend" the United States Constitution and the Union, and to abide by the recent legislation freeing the slaves. Only persons who had betrayed their trust by quitting offices under the United States Government in order to serve the Confederacy, or had maltreated the colored troops, were excluded from this amnesty. The President further promised that when citizens numbering a tenth of the voters in 1860 took this oath and established a republican government in any of the rebellious States, the

United States Government would protect them from foreign invasion and domestic violence.

When Hay consulted Mr. Lincoln in regard to the invitation from Florida, the President thought that this would be a good opportunity for testing his scheme. Accordingly, he commissioned Hay to start at once to Point Lookout, deliver the oath-books to General Marston, and then to go farther South.

"I went on board a little tug at the Seventh Street Wharf," he writes, "and rattled and rustled through the ice to Alexandria, where I got on board the *Clyde*, most palatial of steam tugs, fitted up with a very pretty cabin and berths, heated by steam, and altogether sybaritic in its appointments."

The next morning (January 3, 1864), on landing at Point Lookout, he was received by a pompous aide who led him through the bitter cold to General Marston's headquarters. "There stood in the attitude, in which, if Comfort were ever deified, the statues should be posed, — parted coat-tails, — a broad plenilunar base exposed to the grateful warmth of the pine-wood fire, — a hearty Yankee gentleman, clean-shaven, — sunny and rosy, — to whom I was presented, and who said laconically, 'Sit there!' pointing to a warm seat by a well-spread breakfast-table." Whilst they were eating, "the General told

a good yarn on a contraband soldier who complained of a white man abusing him: 'I does n't object to de pussonal cuffin', but he must speck de unicorn.'"

Hay's description of the Southerners held as prisoners there throws light on the extent to which they were reduced.

"The General's flock are a queer lot," he writes. "Dirty, ragged, yet jolly. Most of them are still rebellious, but many are tired and ready to quit, while some are actuated by a fierce desire to get out of the prison, and, by going into our army, avenge the wrongs of their forced service in the Rebel ranks. They are great traders. A stray onion — a lucky treasure-trove of a piece of coal — is a capital for extensive operations in Confederate trash. They sell and gamble away their names with utter recklessness. . . . They sell their names when drawn for a detail of work, a great prize in the monotonous life of every day. A smallpox patient sells his place on the sick-list to a friend who thinks the path to Dixie easier from the hospital than the camp. The traffic in names on the morning of Gen'l Butler's detail of five hundred for exchange was as lively as Wall Street on days when Taurus climbs the zenith, or the 'Coal Hole' when gold is tumbling ten per cent an hour."

That evening General Butler came in, as described in the preceding chapter, and took Hay back to Washington.

On January 13, Hay received his commission as Assistant Adjutant-General, and announced to the President that he was ready to start. Mr. Lincoln sent him off with a hearty, "Great good luck and God's blessing go with you, John!"

At New York, he embarked on the *Fulton* with the Fifty-fourth Colored Regiment. "Variety of complexions," he notes; "red-heads — filing into their places on deck — singing, whistling, smoking, and dancing — eating candy and chewing tobacco. Jolly little cuss, round, rosy, and half-white, singing: —

"Oh, John Brown, dey hung him!  
We're gwine to jine de Union Army.  
We're gwine to trabbel to de Souf  
To smack de Rebels in de mouf."

On the 19th, a cold raw day, they passed Charleston early in the morning, and saw Fort Sumter "lit up by a passing waft of sunshine." Arrived at Hilton Head, he reported to General Gillmore, who was somewhat disconcerted, because he supposed that Hay's mission would necessitate a military operation. Hay reassured him, and during the fortnight of his stay there he visited the Union works round Charleston.

On January 23, in company with Generals Gillmore and Terry, he "saw the scene of the crossing by Shaw; <sup>1</sup> crossed and went in ambulances to Wagner; spent some time there. From Gregg had a good view of Fort Sumter — silent as the grave — flag flying over it — a great flag flying over the battery on Sullivan's Island. The city, too, was spread out before us like a map; everything very silent; a ship lying silent at the wharf. No sign of life in Ripley, Johnson or Pinckney." <sup>2</sup>

Ten days later the silence was broken. Hay was again making a tour of inspection with General Terry.

"Just as we got in sight of Wagner a white smoke appeared in the clear air (the fog had lifted suddenly) and a sharp crack was heard. It seemed as if a celestial pop-corn had been born in the ether. 'There's a shell from Simkins,' said Turner. We went on and there were more of them. As we got to Wagner we got out and sent the ambulance to a place of safety under the walls. They were just making ready to discharge a great gun from Wagner. The Generals clapped hands to their ears. The gun was fired, and the black globe went screaming close to the ground

<sup>1</sup> Colonel Robert Gould Shaw, killed while leading the assault of his colored regiment, the Fifty-fourth Massachusetts, on Fort Wagner, July 18, 1863.

<sup>2</sup> Forts near Charleston.

over the island, over the harbor, landing and bursting near the helpless blockade-runner stranded halfway from Fort Beauregard to Fort Moultrie. We walked up the beach."

Hay observed acutely, not only sights but sounds.

"The shells have singular voices," he records of the cannonade; "some had a regular musical note like *Chu-chu-weechu-weechu-b r r r*; and each of the fragments a wicked little whistle of its own. Many struck in the black, marshy mud behind us, burying themselves, and casting a malodorous shower into the air. Others burrowed in the sand. One struck the face of Chatfield, while I was standing on the parapet, with a heavy thud, and in a moment afterwards threw a cloud of sand into the air. I often saw in the air a shell bursting, — fierce, jagged white lines darting out first, like javelins, — then the flowering of the awful bud into full bloom, — all in the dead silence of the upper air, — the crack and whistle of the fragments.

"Colonel Drayton took us to see the great 300-pounder Parrot. At a very little distance, an ugly-looking hole where a shell had just burst; beside the gun, traces in the sand of hasty trampling and wagon-wheels; dark stains soaking into the sand; a poor fellow had just had his leg taken off by a piece of a shell. I saw them putting a crushed and mangled



mass into an ambulance. He was still and pale. The driver started off at a merry trot. A captain said: 'D—— you, drive that thing slower!'

"We walked back on the beach to Wagner. A shell exploded close behind us. I made a bad dodge. Walked all over Wagner and got a sympathetic view of the whole affair."

On February 9, Hay reached Jacksonville, from which point General Gillmore planned an expedition inland. Hay addressed the Confederate prisoners, explaining to them the nature of the amnesty and assuring them that, if they accepted it, the United States Government would protect them. Then he opened an office in the quartermaster's block, took out his oath-books, and waited.

"They soon came," he says, "a dirty swarm of gray coats, and filed into the room, escorted by a negro guard. Fate had done its worst for the poor devils. Even a nigger guard did n't seem to excite a feeling of resentment. They stood for a moment in awkward attitudes along the wall. . . . I soon found they had come up in good earnest to sign their names. They opened again a chorus of questions which I answered as I could. At last a big good-natured fellow said, 'This question's enough. Let's take the oath!' They all stood up in line and held up their hands while I read the oath. As I concluded, the

negro sergeant came up, saluted, and said: 'Dere's one dat did n't hole up his hand.' They began to sign, — some still stuck and asked questions, some wrote good hands, but most bad. Nearly half made their mark."

Having secured sixty names, Hay was reasonably well satisfied with his first day's work. That more than half the prisoners of war were eager to desert showed how the spirit of the common people was broken. Everybody seemed tired of the war, and longed for peace on any terms. The political questions involved did not trouble them. "Some of the more intelligent cursed their politicians and especially South Carolina; but most looked hopefully to the prospect of having a government to protect them after the anarchy of the few years past. There was little left of what might be called Loyalty. But what I build my hopes on," he adds, "is the evident weariness of the war and anxiety for peace."

Though Hay abhorred the military and political leaders of the Rebellion, he pitied the many victims of their policy. Here is a vignette of a household to which he was introduced at Jacksonville: "I saw in a few moments' glance the wretched story of two years. A lady, well-bred and refined, dressed worse than a bound girl, with a dirty and ragged gown that did not hide her trim ankles and fine legs. A white-

haired, heavy-eyed, slow-speaking old young man. A type of thousands of homes where punishment of giant crimes has lit on humble innocents."

War means kaleidoscopic contrasts. At Beaufort on Washington's Birthday, Hay attended a ball managed by the young officers. When the dancing began, he went to the hospital ship and "saw many desperately wounded; Colonel Reed mortally, clutching at his bedclothes and passing garments; picked up, bed and all, and carried away, picking out his clothes from a pile by shoulder-straps — 'Major?' 'No! Lieutenant-Colonel!'" General Saxton was so shocked by Reed's appearance that he returned to the ball and ordered lights out in half an hour. The dancers grumbled, but all had the heart to eat supper. The General "came back glowing with the triumph of a generous action performed, and asked us up to his room, where we drank champagne and whiskey, and ate cake."

Hay pursued his way to Fernandina, which added a few names to his roll; but some of the natives "refused to sign on the ground that they were not 'repentant Rebels.'" He already realized that his mission was premature. The necessary ten per cent of loyalists could not be secured, and "to alter the suffrage law for a bare tithe would not give us the moral force we want. The people of the interior

would be indignant against such a snap-judgment taken by incomers, and would be jealous and sullen."

In order to complete his inspection, Hay went on to Key West, filling his Journal with pen-pictures of the sea and reefs and of the human derelicts. As you read the following passages, you might suppose that they were written, not by a young major on a politico-military errand, but by a Hearn or a Loti, twenty years later, recording leisurely his impressions of travel.

"*March 5.* . . . To-night the phosphorescent show is the finest I have yet seen. A broad track of glory follows the ship. By the sides abaft the wheels, the rushing waves are splendid silver, flecked here and there with jets of flame; while outside the silvery trouble, the startled fish darting from our track mark the blue waters with curves and splashes of white radiance. Occasionally across our path drifts a broad blotch of luminous brilliancy, a school of fishes brightening the populous waters.

"*March 6.* A beautiful Sunday; the purest Southern day; the air cool but cherishing and kindly; the distant shore fringed with palms and cocoanuts; the sea a miracle of color; on the one hand a bright vivid green; on the other a deep dark blue; flaked by the floating shadows cast by the vagrant clouds that loaf in the liquid sky.

"Leaning over the starboard rail, gazing with a lazy enjoyment at this scene of enchantment, at the fairy islands scattered like a chain of gems on the bosom of this transcendent sea, bathed in the emerald ripples and basking in the rosy effulgence of the cherishing sky; the white sails flitting through the quiet inlets; the soft breeze causing the sunny water to sparkle and the trees to wave, I thought that here were the Isles of the Blessed; within the magic ring of these happy islands the sirens were singing and the maids were twining their flowing hair with sprays of the coral. Anchored in everlasting calm, far from the malice of the sky, or the troubling eyes of men, they sported through the tranquil years of the everlasting summer, in the sacred idleness of the immortals."

And having laid on his colors in this luscious fashion, almost to the point of cloying, Hay, with characteristic humor, adds: —

"My friend, Canis Marinus, begged to differ. He said: 'There's the Ragged Keys; full o' mud-torkles and rattle-snakes; them little boats is full of Conks — come up for to sponge.'"

Hay found Key West "bathed in the quiet ripples of the pale green water, whitened by the coral. So bright green that I cannot describe the gem-like shine of the distant waters. The sea-gulls that soar

above the sea have their white breasts and inside wings splendidly stained with green by the reflection of the gleaming water." As his business at the Key consumed little time, he devoted himself, as was his custom, to sight-seeing, which included, in this case, some of the queer inhabitants of the town. Except for "a very decent darky with a very decent buggy belonging to a v. d. Dr. S., the only blot of decency on the Key West escutcheon," he pronounced them "a race of thieves and a degeneration of vipers."

On the voyage North, the steamer ran into a fresh gale. "We all stood wide-legged and anxious on the forecastle as men will about little things on ships, — Joe heaving the lead, — the Captain leaning to the breeze, his alpaca coat bagging like a seedy balloon, — Old Reed confident and oracular, — till Stringer, who had been hanging like a pointer dog over the rail, sang out — 'Light ho! 4.' This was old Bethel, and we at once knew where we were. We anchored and lay there quietly. I finished my poem, 'Northward,' begun to-day on leaving Key West." <sup>1</sup>

On steaming into New York, after stopping at Fernandina and Hilton Head, three inches of snow covered the deck, where "effeminate Southerners

<sup>1</sup> At Camp Shaw, a little while before, he wrote "L'èse-Amour," one of his best love-lyrics.

of six months' standing" shivered "like Italian greyhounds." The next morning Hay reached the White House, and reported to the President, who thoroughly understood the state of affairs in Florida. (March 24, 1864.)<sup>1</sup>

Mr. Lincoln evidently approved Hay's discretion, tact, and alertness, because he soon sent him on another mission of a different kind.

Since the outbreak of the war, there had existed in the North a minority of sympathizers with the Rebellion who organized a secret society, with lodges, ritual, and ramifications after the pattern of the revolutionists in Continental Europe. They called themselves the Knights of the Golden Circle, and they had several aliases, — the Order of American Knights, the Order of the Star, and the Sons of Liberty, — to use, like criminals, in case of discovery. They intended to undermine the Union sentiment in the Northern States, by enrolling as many members as possible, who pledged themselves, not merely not to support the Union cause by enlisting in it, but actively to aid the Rebels by giving them information and other help. Where they safely could, they assailed the property and lives of loyal citizens. They collected arms and ammunition, they formed military bodies and drilled, and they prepared for a vast

<sup>1</sup> Hay summarizes his mission in *N. & H.*, VIII, 282-85.

exhibition of their powers when the right hour should strike. Till then, they worked underground.

The Northern High Priest of the Knights was Clement L. Vallandigham; the Southern head, Sterling Price, was a general in the Confederate Army. Vallandigham claimed that at its height the order numbered half a million, and though he probably exaggerated, the organization was large enough to be formidable. It flourished in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, and Missouri, where its members, by voting in concert, might turn the scale in a close election. Their secrecy added tenfold to their presumed strength.

Late in the spring of 1864, General Rosecrans, commanding in Missouri, having unearthed the secrets of the Knights, imparted them to Governor Yates, of Illinois, who joined him in urging the President to allow Colonel Sanderson, of Rosecrans' staff, to go to Washington with the evidence. Lincoln, who from the first had looked upon the Knights with "good-humored contempt," was not inclined to create public alarm by sending for Sanderson. He suspected also that Rosecrans wished by this ruse to embroil the President with Secretary Stanton; and he therefore despatched Hay to St. Louis to ascertain what the revelations amounted to.

On the journey, Hay "sat and wrote rhymes in



the same compartment with a pair of whiskey smugglers." He describes Rosecrans as "a fine, hearty, abrupt sort of talker, heavy-whiskered, blond, keen eyes, with light brows and lashes, head shunted forward a little; legs a little unsteady in walk." Coming to business after dinner, he offered Hay a cigar. "'No? Long-necked fellows like you don't need them. Men of my temperament derive advantage from them as a sedative and as a preventer of corpulence.'" Then, puffing away, and looking over his shoulder from time to time, as if fearing he might be overheard, he disclosed his discoveries about the "O.A.K." as the Order of American Knights was called for short. Detectives who had joined their lodges in Missouri, reported that the whole order was in a state of intense activity; that it had committed many recent massacres; that it proposed to elect Vallandigham, then in exile in Canada, a delegate to the Democratic Convention in Chicago, and that if the Government rearrested him when he came, the conspirators would "unite to resist the officers and to protect him at all hazards."

Having listened attentively to Rosecrans, Hay called on Sanderson, heard his statements, and then went back to finish the evening with the General. The discreet secretary neither made suggestions nor asked for a copy of Sanderson's voluminous report.

He, too, surmised that Rosecrans wished by this means to "thwart and humiliate Stanton," and that Sanderson, naturally proud of his success as a ferret, would like to impress the President with his worth; and he suspected that they wanted money for the secret service fund.

These things he duly related to Lincoln, who "seemed not over-well pleased that Rosecrans had not sent all the necessary papers" by Hay. As for the General's urging secrecy, the President remarked that a secret which had already been confided to the Governors of Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio, and to their respective staffs, "could scarcely be worth keeping now." He thought the Northern section of the conspiracy a negligible quantity—"a mere political organization, with about as much of malice and as much of puerility as the Knights of the Golden Circle."

Events confirmed Lincoln's view: for although Vallandigham returned to Ohio unmolested, and took a vehement part in the Democratic Convention, the O.A.K. kept still, and, if they exerted any influence on the election in November, that influence was undeniably puerile.

Not only downright traitors, but political opponents of President Lincoln and peace-at-any-price men strutted and fretted their hour upon the stage,

during that summer of 1864. In some respects the most notable of these annoying critics was Horace Greeley. No one disputed that his organ, the *New York Tribune*, was then the most authoritative newspaper in the United States. It had the widest circulation among farmers and rural readers, "the plain people" whom Lincoln regarded as the backbone of the country. It was taken with equal favor in the counting-room and offices and in the stores and homes, of the large cities and towns. Scores of thousands of Northerners turned to it every day, not only for its news but for its opinions. Its editorial page set forth, as in a serial story, the Gospel according to St. Horace, which his devotees, untroubled by its inconsistencies, came to accept without question.

The *Tribune* was what Greeley made it; the embodiment of his virtues, his defects, his prejudices. He shed his personality through it from the first column to the last, and the thousands to whom he appealed admired him as much in his weakness as in his strength. He was a New England Yankee, honest, shrewd, enterprising, resourceful, believing that the Lord helped those who helped themselves, and that, as he had prospered exceedingly, the Lord was on his side. Nature gave him the racy speech, the tart phrase. What he saw, he stated clearly; but this does not imply that he saw either far or deep. Though he

spent all his mature life in New York City, his mind retained the schoolmasterish quality of his youth. So his person, with its ill-fitting clothes, and his face stamped by a countrified expression and encircled by flowing locks and a shaggy, diffuse throat beard, suggested — no matter where you met him — the rusticities of Vermont.

For more than twenty years, Greeley had been the autocrat of the *Tribune*. When the war broke out the multitudinous public that had been taught by him in their ordinary affairs turned to him for guidance. The loose habits of reasoning, and of snap-judgments, which confirmed journalists seldom escape, Greeley not merely did not struggle against, but he cultivated. He dipped his pen of infallibility into his ink of omniscience with as little self-distrust as a child plays with matches. Conscious of the utter rectitude of his intentions, he found it hard not to suspect those who differed from him of moral crookedness. Doomed, as editors must be, to express opinion on insufficient evidence, he seemed at times to regard evidence in general as finical if not superfluous. Assuming that Abraham Lincoln, though well-meaning, was inexperienced and possessed of only a mediocre capacity, Greeley made it his duty to advise him; and when his advice was not taken, he berated the advisee. With equal assurance he criti-

cized the conduct of international relations by Seward, the financial measures of Chase, and the operations of every commander afloat or ashore. From his editorial chair in the *Tribune* office, it cost him no more effort to tell Grant or Farragut what to do than to discuss the pumpkin crop with an upstate farmer.

A list of Greeley's misjudgments, from the days when, after he had supported Lincoln's candidacy, he upheld peaceable secession, down to the summer of 1864, when he labored frantically to stop the war, would serve as a warning against the deteriorating effects of journalism upon even a ready intellect and a well-developed conscience. It ought also to show the folly of trying to play the rôle of infallibility without sufficient preparation. Greeley was not the only editor to whom this would apply; he was simply the most conspicuous, because the most influential; therefore I have paused to describe him. Eventually, posterity may remember Horace Greeley only as the man who, with unusual power of scolding, harassing, irritating, with ingenuity in uncandid criticism, with exasperating self-righteousness and petulance, never succeeded in exhausting the patience or in shaking the magnanimity of Abraham Lincoln.

On July 7, 1864, Greeley wrote Lincoln that he

had received word from a person who called himself William C. Jewett, that two ambassadors of "Davis & Co." were then in Canada, with full power to negotiate a peace, and that Jewett requested that either Greeley should go at once to Niagara Falls to confer with them, or a safe-conduct should be sent to take them to Washington to talk with the President himself. Greeley says: —

"I venture to remind you that our bleeding, bankrupt, almost dying country also longs for peace; shudders at the prospect of fresh conscriptions, of further wholesale devastations, and of new rivers of human blood. And a widespread conviction that the Government and its prominent supporters are not anxious for peace, and do not improve proffered opportunities to achieve it, is doing great harm now, and is morally certain, unless removed, to do far greater in the approaching elections."

After further lecturing the President, Greeley suggests the following terms: —

- "1. The Union is restored and declared perpetual.
2. Slavery is utterly and forever abolished throughout the same.
3. A complete amnesty for all political offenses.
4. Payment of \$400,000,000 to the Slave States, *pro rata*, for their slaves.
5. The Slave States to be represented in proportion to their population.
6. A National Convention to be called at once."

The letter concludes quite in Greeley's best vein:—

"Mr. President, I fear you do not realize how intently the people desire any peace consistent with the national integrity and honor, and how joyously they would hail its achievement and bless its authors. With United States stocks worth but forty cents in gold per dollar, and drafting about to commence on the third million of Union soldiers, can this be wondered at? I do not say that a just peace is now attainable, though I believe it to be so. But I do say that a frank offer by you to the insurgents, of terms which the impartial will say ought to be accepted, will, at the worst, prove an immense and sorely needed advantage to the National cause; it may save us from a Northern insurrection."

President Lincoln was skeptical in the premises, but he thought it wise to put Greeley's proposal to the test, and accordingly he appointed Greeley himself his agent to interview the negotiators.

"If you can find any person, anywhere," the President wrote on July 9, "professing to have any proposition of Jefferson Davis in writing, for peace, embracing the restoration of the Union, and abandonment of slavery, whatever else it embraces, say to him he may come to me with you, and that if he really brings such proposition he shall at least have safe-conduct with the paper (and without publicity,

if he chooses) to the point where you shall have met him. The same if there be two or more persons."

To this downright message Greeley replied querulously, declaring that he had little heart in the task imposed upon him and that he thought the negotiators would not "open their budget" to him. Still hesitating, he wrote on the 13th that he had definite information that "two persons,<sup>1</sup> duly commissioned and empowered to negotiate for peace," were at that moment not far from Niagara Falls in Canada, and desirous of conferring with the President himself or with such agents as he might designate.

As Horace Greeley had received the President's terms and promise of a safe-conduct for the Confederates four days before, this note was, to say the least, astonishing. The President cut short the deliberate vacillation by telegraphing, "I was not expecting you to send me a letter, but to bring me a man or men." At the same time he despatched Major Hay to New York with the following letter: —

"Yours of the 13th is just received, and I am disappointed that you have not already reached here with those commissioners, if they would consent to come, on being shown my letter to you of the 9th inst. Show that and this to them, and if they will

<sup>1</sup> "Hon. Clement C. Clay, of Alabama, and Hon. Jacob Thompson, of Mississippi."



come on the terms stated in the former, bring them. I not only intend a sincere effort for peace, but I intend that you shall be a personal witness that it is made." <sup>1</sup>

This letter Hay delivered to Greeley in New York on the 16th. Greeley "did n't like it, evidently; thought that he was the worst man that could be taken for that purpose; that as soon as he arrived there [Niagara] the newspapers would be full of it; that he would be abused, blackguarded, etc., etc." Still, if the President insisted, he would go, provided he received an absolute safe-conduct for four persons. This Hay arranged, and Greeley agreed to be in Washington on Tuesday morning, the 19th, with the negotiators, if they would come.

"He was all along opposed to the President proposing terms," Hay adds in his Diary. "He was in favor of some palaver anyhow; wanted them to propose terms which we could not accept, if no better, for us to go to the country on; wanted the Government to appear anxious for peace, and yet was strenuous in demanding as our ultimatum proper terms."

So Greeley journeyed to Niagara, petulant, uneasy, vaguely suspecting, perhaps, that the President had turned the tables on him, and feeling some

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., ix, 189.

doubts as to the authority of the agents whom he was going to meet.

Arrived at Niagara, he sent word, through "Colorado" Jewett, to the representatives of "Davis & Co.," that he was "authorized by the President of the United States to tender [them] his safe-conduct on the journey proposed" [to Washington], and to accompany them at their earliest convenience. He omitted to state Mr. Lincoln's two conditions—"the restoration of the Union, and abandonment of slavery"; perhaps the omission was intentional, because Greeley was fixed in his purpose that the Confederates should propose their terms first. He soon learned, however, that they lacked credentials, yet he failed to realize that through Jewett they had deceived him as to their authority. Here was a bizarre contradiction: the infallible editor of the *Tribune* tricked by very common adventurers, who now assured him that they knew the views of the Confederate Government and that, if they were given a safe-conduct to Richmond, they could easily procure credentials.

By this clever turn they hoped to have it appear to the world that Lincoln was suing the Confederates for peace. Greeley, instead of repudiating them on discovering that they had duped him, telegraphed to Washington the new proposal of Clay

and Holcombe (the fourth of the schemers). In reply, the President wrote the following note, and sent it by Major Hay on the first train to Niagara: —

EXECUTIVE MANSION, WASHINGTON  
July 18, 1864.

*To whom it may concern:* Any proposition which embraces the restoration of peace, the integrity of the whole Union, and the abandonment of slavery, and which comes by and with an authority that controls the armies now at war against the United States, will be received and considered by the Executive Government of the United States, and will be met by liberal terms on other substantial and collateral points, and the bearer or bearers thereof shall have safe-conduct both ways.

ABRAHAM LINCOLN.

This paper Major Hay handed to Greeley at the International Hotel, Niagara, about noon on July 20. Greeley was “a good deal cut up at what he called the President’s great mistake in refusing to enter into negotiations without conditions.” He seemed “nettled and perplexed,” possibly because he began to suspect that he had been too credulous. Hay finally persuaded Greeley to go with him to the Canadian side and deliver the President’s letter.

“We got to the Clifton House,” Hay records, “and

met George N. Sanders at the door. . . . Sanders is a seedy-looking Rebel, with grizzled whiskers and a flavor of old clo'. He came up and talked a few commonplaces with Greeley while we stood by the counter. Our arrival, Greeley's well-known person, created a good deal of interest, the bar-room rapidly filling with the curious, and the halls blooming suddenly with wide-eyed and pretty women. We went up to Holcombe's room, where he was breakfasting or lunching — tea and toasting, at all events. He was a tall, solemn, spare, false-looking man, with false teeth, false eyes, and false hair.

"Mr. Greeley said: 'Major Hay has come from the President of the United States to deliver you a communication in writing and to add a verbal message with which he has been entrusted.' I handed him a note, and told him what the President and Seward had told me to say, and I added that I would be the bearer of anything they chose to send by me to Washington, or, if they chose to wait, it could go as well by mail. He said: 'Mr. Clay is now absent at St. Catherine's. I will telegraph to him at once, and inform you in the morning.'

"We got up to go. He shook hands with Greeley, who 'hoped to see him again'; with me; and we went down to our carriage. He again accosted Greeley; made some remark about the fine view from the

House, and said, 'I wanted old Bennett <sup>1</sup> to come up, but he was afraid to come.' Greeley answered: 'I expect to be blackguarded for what I have done, and I am not allowed to explain. But all I have done, has been done under instructions.' We got in and rode away. As soon as the whole thing was over, Greeley recovered his spirits and said he was glad he had come, — and was very chatty and agreeable on the way back and at dinner."

Before taking the train, Greeley, unknown to Hay, had an interview with the shabby go-between, "Colorado" Jewett, whom "he seems to have authorized to continue to act as his representative." Jewett informed his accomplices at the Clifton, and they wrote Greeley arraigning the President for his breach of faith. Jewett at once gave their letter to the press, and its effect was just what the enemies of the Union desired. So far as appears, Greeley never informed the negotiators of Lincoln's promised safe-conduct. Pretending that the President's later note canceled the earlier, he supported the denunciation of the agents of "Davis & Co."

On being himself attacked by the loyal newspapers, he threw the blame on Lincoln. There was a call for the correspondence, and the President, by publishing it, could have given the Infallible One his

<sup>1</sup> James Gordon Bennett, editor of the *New York Herald*.

quietus: but as usual he would not seek a personal vindication at the risk of depressing public opinion. He feared that it would be "a disaster equal to the loss of a great battle," if it were known that the autocrat of the most influential newspaper in the North "was ready to sacrifice everything for peace," and was "frantically denouncing the Government for refusing to surrender the contest."<sup>1</sup>

The President, in his desire to soothe the enraged patriot, or at least to make him understand the purpose of the earlier notes, invited Greeley to go to Washington. This he declined in a ranting letter: "The cry [of the Administration]," he wrote, "has been steadily, No truce! No armistice! No negotiation! No mediation! Nothing but surrender at discretion! I never heard of such fatuity before. There is nothing like it in history. It *must* result in disaster, or all experience is delusive." And then, after insinuating that the effort for a tolerable peace might have succeeded if it had been honest and sincere, Mr. Greeley added: "I beg you, implore you, to inaugurate or invite proposals for peace forthwith. And in case peace cannot now be made, consent to an *armistice for one year*, each party to retain, unmolested, all it now holds, but the Rebel ports to be opened. Meantime, let a national convention be

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., IX, 198.

held, and there will surely be no more war at all events.”<sup>1</sup>

To paraphrase Greeley's own expression: in the history of the Rebellion there is nothing “for fatuity” like this outburst by the political sage, who counted more readers than any other editor in the United States.

Even after Lincoln was dead, slavery abolished, the war ended, and the Union saved, Greeley stuck to his false statement with all the tenacity of the self-righteous when they are caught erring.<sup>2</sup> But Hay, who took part in the negotiations and had access to the documents, lived on to tell the truth.<sup>3</sup>

This mission, more important in its bearing than in its immediate results, was the last on which Lincoln sent him. As on the earlier ones, he acquitted himself well — was quick to see and hear, trusty in obeying instructions, discreet in dealing with strangers, unstartled by emergencies.

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., IX, 197.

<sup>2</sup> See his brief and disingenuous account of the transaction in his *American Conflict* (New York, 1866), II, 664-65, in which he throws all the blame on Lincoln.

<sup>3</sup> N. & H., IX, chap. 8.

## CHAPTER VIII

### THE GREAT COMPANION

FOR Hay, during those four years, the daily and often hourly companionship of Abraham Lincoln was the most important influence of all. His position as private secretary not only gave him a knowledge from the inside of military and political plans, and an acquaintance with thousands of persons whose collective motives and deeds were woven into the fabric of the Drama, but it enabled him to observe, at closest range, the working of the mind, and the movement of the heart and character of the ruler who has had no peer in the Anglo-Saxon world.

John Hay has himself described, in a genial chapter,<sup>1</sup> the daily routine of life in the White House. The rush of office-seekers began on the first day of Lincoln's administration and continued, with slight fluctuations, until the last afternoon of Lincoln's life. Nicolay, Hay, and the others near the President tried to screen him from this drain on his time and strength; but he would not be screened. He felt that as the Head of the Nation belonged to the whole peo-

<sup>1</sup> *Century Magazine*, November, 1890; XLI, 33-37.



ple, he ought to be accessible to every one. He understood, also, the value of hearing opinions, though only in a moment's talk, from every quarter, and he could usually get something, if it were only a quaint phrase, even from cranks.

He was too shrewd a politician not to avail himself of such opportunities for personal interviews as arose. The spoils system inevitably flourished, because, with the coming in of a new party, offices under the Government, from top to bottom, were filled by new men. The outbreak of war created myriads of other posts, departmental, military, and naval. Under these conditions, fitness was not seldom overlooked: for Lincoln could not afford to estrange the influential backers of greedy place-seekers. The unfathomable Irony which manifests itself everywhere in human affairs, seemed bent on making sport of Democracy when it obliged Lincoln to turn aside from business of incalculable importance, while Senators urged upon him the claims of their poor relatives to the postmasterships of insignificant villages.

But "although the continual contact with opportunity which he could not satisfy, and with distress which he could not always relieve, wore terribly upon him and made him an old man before his time, he would never take the necessary measures to defend himself," says Hay. ". . . Henry Wilson once

remonstrated with him about it: 'You will wear yourself out.' He replied, with one of those smiles in which there was so much of sadness, 'They don't want much; they get but little, and I must see them.'"

President Lincoln rose early. In summer he spent the night at the Soldiers' Home where the heat was less intense than in the city; but by eight o'clock he had ridden to the White House and was at his desk. Long before ten o'clock, the stream of visitors poured in. The Cabinet met ordinarily on Tuesdays and Fridays. "At luncheon time," Hay writes, "he had literally to run the gauntlet through the crowds who filled the corridors between his office and the rooms at the West end of the house occupied by the family. The afternoon wore away in much the same manner as the morning; late in the day he usually drove for an hour's airing; at six o'clock he dined. He was one of the most abstemious of men; the pleasures of the table had few attractions for him. His breakfast was an egg and a cup of coffee; at luncheon he rarely took more than a biscuit and a glass of milk, a plate of fruit in its season; at dinner he ate sparingly of one or two courses. He drank little or no wine . . . and never used tobacco."<sup>1</sup>

"That there was little gayety in the Executive

<sup>1</sup> *Century*, xli, 34.

House during his time," hardly needs to be hinted. The two younger boys, William and Thomas, enlivened it with their good-natured, unrestrained, and unconventional ways; but William died in less than a year, leaving "Tad" the only offshoot of young life in that somber household. Lincoln himself would give free play to his humor if a few friends were with him; and he was apt, at any time, to flash out one of the racy comments, or stories with an application, which his hearers never forgot. Lincoln "read Shakespeare more than all other writers together," and he went occasionally to the theater. His favorite plays were *Hamlet*, *Macbeth*, and the Histories, especially *Richard II*. He often quoted from the last the amaranthine passage beginning, —

"Let us sit upon the ground,  
And tell sad stories of the death of Kings."

For relaxation he turned to Thomas Hood, and to Artemus Ward, Nasby, and other professional jokers of the time. But most of his evenings he spent in his office, unless there was a dinner-party.

"Upon all but two classes," Hay adds, "the President made the impression of unusual power as well as unusual goodness. He failed only in the case of those who judged men by a purely conventional standard of breeding, and upon those so poisoned

by political hostility that the testimony of their own eyes and ears became untrustworthy. . . . The testimony of all men admitted to his intimacy is that he maintained, without the least effort or assumption, a singular dignity and reserve in the midst of his easiest conversation."

As I have noted earlier, Lincoln's young secretaries came sooner than the public to appreciate his greatness, and, in so far as it can be said of any one, they shared the confidence of that deep, patient, reticent nature. Lincoln discussed freely every topic except himself. Hay's Journal, from which many pithy extracts have already been made, furnishes us some of the most vivid flashlight pictures of Lincoln in personal moments or on historical occasions that exist.

Hay records that on January 27, 1862, the President issued his General War Order, No. 1. "He wrote it without any consultation, and read it to the Cabinet, not for their sanction but for their information. From that time he influenced actively the operations of the campaign. He stopped going to McClellan's and sent for the General to come to him. . . . His next order was issued after a consultation with all the Generals of the Potomac Army in which, as Stanton told me next morning, 'we saw ten Generals afraid to fight.' The fighting Generals were

McDowell, Sumner, Heintzelman and Keyes, and Banks. These were placed next day at the head of the Army Corps. So things began to look vigorous."

"Sunday morning, the 9th of March [1862], the news of the *Merrimac's* frolic came here. Stanton was fearfully stampeded. He said they would capture our fleet, take Fort Monroe, be in Washington before night. The President thought it was a great bore, but blew less than Stanton. As the day went on, the news grew better. And at four o'clock the telegraph was completed, and we heard of the splendid performance of the *Monitor*."

Lincoln acted so simply, not only dispensing with the forms of command but often seeming to wait on advice, that it took some time for his Cabinet officers to understand that he was, indeed, master. Thus before issuing his Order No. 3, deposing McClellan, he purposely omitted to consult Blair, who was opposed to the treatment of Frémont. Blair published a letter discourteous to the President, but when he went to explain it, Lincoln, instead of disciplining him, "told him he was too busy to quarrel with him," adding that if Blair "did n't show him the letter, he would probably never see it."

Patient though he was, and charitable in finding excuses for the shortcomings of the generals in the

field, Lincoln felt the reverses keenly. Witness this reference to him at the time of the Second Battle of Bull Run.

"Everything seemed to be going well and hilarious on Saturday" (August 30, 1862), writes Hay, "and we went to bed expecting glad tidings at sunrise. But about eight o'clock the President came to my room as I was dressing, and calling me out, said: 'Well, John, we are whipped again, I am afraid. The enemy reinforced on Pope and drove back his left wing, and he has retired on Centreville, where he says he will be able to hold his men. I don't like that expression. I don't like to hear him admit that his men need holding.'

"After a while, however, things began to look better, and people's spirits rose as the heavens cleared. The President was in a singularly defiant tone of mind. He often repeated, 'We must hurt this enemy before it goes away.' And this morning, Monday [September 1], he said to me, when I made a remark in regard to the bad look of things: 'No, Mr. Hay, we must whip these people now. Pope must fight them; if they are too strong for him, he can gradually retire to these fortifications. If this be not so — if we are really whipped, and to be whipped — we may as well stop fighting.'"

The North, indignant at Pope's disaster, which

the public attributed to McClellan's lack of support, demanded that McClellan be cashiered. The Cabinet was unanimous against him. But Lincoln would not be persuaded. "He has acted badly in this matter," he said to Hay, "but we must use what tools we have. There is no man in the army who can man these fortifications and lick these troops of ours into shape half as well as he. Unquestionably he has acted badly toward Pope. He wanted him to fail. That is unpardonable. But he is too useful just now to sacrifice."

There spoke the man of sober second thought, whom neither popular clamor nor personal pique could move.

Under date, September 23, 1862, we have a still more memorable entry. "The President wrote the [Emancipation] Proclamation on Sunday morning, [September 21] carefully. He called the Cabinet together on Monday, September 22, made a little talk to them, and read the momentous document. Mr. Blair and Mr. Bates made objections; otherwise the Cabinet was unanimous. The next day Mr. Blair, who had promised to file his objections, sent a note stating that, as his objections were only to the time of the act, he would not file them lest they should be subject to misconstruction."

News traveled with desperate slowness to those

kept in suspense at the White House during a crisis. The battle of Gettysburg ended at dark on July 3, 1863; and yet for more than a week following, doubt and hope alternated in Lincoln's mind as to whether the Union general would complete his victory by destroying Lee's army. Hay writes:

"*Saturday, July 11, 1863.* The President seemed in specially good humor to-day, as he had pretty good evidence that the enemy were still on the North side of the Potomac, and Meade had announced his intention of attacking them in the morning. The President seemed very happy in the prospect of a brilliant success. . . .

"*Sunday, 12th July.* Rained all the afternoon. Have not yet heard of Meade's expected attack.

"*Monday, 13th.* The President begins to grow anxious and impatient about Meade's silence. I thought and told him there was nothing to prevent the enemy from getting away by the Falling Waters if they were not vigorously attacked. . . . Nothing can save them if Meade does his duty. I doubt him. He is an engineer.

"*14th July.* This morning the President seemed depressed by Meade's despatches of last night. They were so cautiously and almost timidly worded — talking about reconnoitring to find the enemy's weak places, and other such. . . . About noon came



the despatches stating that our worst fears were true. The enemy had gotten away unhurt. The President was deeply grieved. 'We had them within our grasp,' he said; 'we had only to stretch forth our hands and they were ours. And nothing I could say or do could make the army move.'

"Several days ago he sent a despatch to Meade which must have cut like a scourge, but Meade returned so reasonable and earnest reply that the President concluded he knew best what he was doing, and was reconciled to the apparent inaction, which he hoped was merely apparent.

"Every day he has watched the progress of the army with agonizing impatience, hope struggling with fear. He has never been easy in his own mind about General Meade since Meade's General Order in which he called on his troops to drive the invader from our soil. The President says: 'This is a dreadful reminiscence of McClellan. The same spirit that moved McClellan to claim a great victory because Pennsylvania and Maryland were safe. The hearts of ten million people sunk within them when McClellan raised that shout last fall. Will our Generals never get that idea out of their heads? The whole country is our soil.'"

"*15th July.* Robert Lincoln says the President is silently but deeply grieved about the escape of Lee.

He said: 'If I had gone up there I could have whipped them myself.'"

And Hay adds: "I know he had that idea."

To picture Lincoln commanding at Gettysburg, crushing Lee's army, and with it the Rebellion, in the most significant battle of the nineteenth century, dazzles the imagination. More than one of the Union generals regarded Lincoln as possessing unusual qualifications as a commander: but could he have compassed that?

On July 16th: "General Wadsworth came in. He said in answer to Abe's question, 'Why did Lee escape?' 'Because nobody stopped him,' rather gruffly. Wadsworth says that a council of war of corps commanders, held on Sunday, the 12th . . . on the question of fight or no fight, the weight of authority was against fighting. French, Sedgwick, Slocum, and Sykes strenuously opposed a fight. Meade was in favor of it. So was Warren, who did most of the talking on that side, and Pleasonton was very eager for it, as also was Wadsworth himself. The non-fighters thought, or seemed to think, that if we did not attack, the enemy would, and even Meade thought he was in for action, had no idea that the enemy intended to get away at once. Howard had little to say on the subject.

"Meade was in favor of attacking in three col-

umns of 20,000 men each. Wadsworth was in favor of doing as Stonewall Jackson did at Chancellorsville, double up the left, and drive them down on Williamsport. I do not question that either plan would have succeeded. Wadsworth said to Hunter, who sat beside him: 'General, there are a good many officers of the regular army who have not yet entirely lost the West Point idea of Southern superiority. That sometimes accounts for an otherwise unaccountable slowness of attack.'

"*19th July, Sunday.* The President was in very good humor; . . . in the afternoon he and I were talking about the position at Williamsport the other day. He said: 'Our army held the war in the hollow of their hand, and they would not close it.' Again he said: 'We had gone all through the labor of tilling and planting an enormous crop, and when it was ripe we did not harvest it! Still', he added, 'I am very, very grateful to Meade for the great service he did at Gettysburg.'"

Characteristic is this last sentence of Lincoln's indefectible sense of justice!

Another characteristic trait — his mercifulness — appears in this episode: —

"To-day [July 18] we spent six hours deciding on Court Martials, the President, Judge Holt and I. I was amused at the eagerness with which the

President caught at any fact which would justify him in saving the life of a condemned soldier. He was only merciless in cases where meanness or cruelty was shown. Cases of cowardice he was specially averse to punishing with death. He said it would frighten the poor fellows too terribly to shoot them. . . . One fellow who had deserted, and escaped, after conviction, into Mexico, he sentenced, saying, 'We will condemn him as they used to sell hogs in Indiana, as they run.'"

Without extraordinary power of resilience, Lincoln could hardly have stood up against the disappointments and failures of the army, combined with the unremitted attacks of political opponents and the fault-finding of nominal friends, which he had to endure day by day and year by year. His misunderstood liking for humor was one of the signs of his fundamental health. In the summer of 1863, politicians were already discussing whom to elect to succeed him as President. In his own Cabinet he had competitors. Yet he was neither angered by such disloyalty nor exasperated by the readiness of party leaders to throw him over. He saw the irony of being the victim of such a conspiracy.

On August 7, 1863, only a month after Gettysburg, Hay writes to Nicolay: —

"The Tycoon is in fine whack. I have rarely seen

him more serene and busy. He is managing this war, the draft, foreign relations, and planning a reconstruction of the Union, all at once. I never knew with what a tyrannous authority he rules the Cabinet till now. The most important things he decides, and there is no cavil. I am growing more convinced that the good of the country absolutely demands that he should be kept where he is till this thing is over. There is no man in the country so wise, so gentle and so firm."

On August 9, 1863, Hay says: "This being Sunday and a fine day I went down with the President to have his picture taken at Gardner's. He was in very good spirits. He thinks that the Rebel power is at last beginning to disintegrate; that they will break to pieces if we only stand firm now. Referring to the controversy between two factions at Richmond, one of whom believed still in foreign intervention, Northern treason and other chimæras; and the other, the administration party, trusts to nothing but the army, he said: '[Jefferson] Davis is right. His army is his only hope, not only against us, but against his own people. If that were crushed, the people would be ready to swing back to their old bearings.'"

Hay accompanied Lincoln to inspect the statuary of the East pediment of the Capitol, and the President, with the eye of an expert, objected to the

statue of the Woodchopper, by Powers, "as he did not make a sufficiently clean cut." On two evenings they tried a new repeating rifle, with which "the President made some pretty good shots." An irrepressible patriot came up and, "seeing the gun recoil slightly, said it would n't do; too much powder; a good piece of audience should n't rekyle; if it did at all, it should rekyle a little forrid." On another evening, they visited the Observatory, while "the President took a look at the moon and Arcturus. I went with him to the Soldiers' Home, and he read Shakespeare to me, the end of *Henry V* and the beginning of *Richard III*, till my heavy eyelids caught his considerate notice, and he sent me to bed."

Of Lincoln's unconventional ways these two extracts tell: —

"The President came in last night in his shirt and told me of the retirement of the enemy from his works at Spottsylvania, and our pursuit. I complimented him on the amount of underpinning he still has left, and he said he weighed 180 pounds. Important if true." (May 14, 1864.)

"A little after midnight as I was writing those last lines, the President came into the office laughing, with a volume of Hood's Works in his hand, to show Nicolay and me the little caricature, 'An Unfortu-

nate Bee-ing'; seemingly utterly unconscious that he, with his short shirt hanging about his long legs, and setting out behind like the tail feathers of an enormous ostrich, was infinitely funnier than anything in the book he was laughing at. What a man it is! Occupied all day with matters of vast moment, deeply anxious about the fate of the greatest army of the world, with his own plans and future hanging on the events of the passing hour, he yet has such a wealth of simple *bonhomie* and good fellowship that he gets out of bed and perambulates the house in his shirt to find us, that we may share with him the fun of poor Hood's queer little conceits." (April 30, 1864.)

The late Richard Watson Gilder once said that amid all his trials Lincoln had one compensation in the White House — John Hay. Incidents such as these confirm him.

On September 11, 1863, Hay writes to Nicolay, not yet returned from a trip to the Rocky Mountains: "You may talk as you please of the Abolition Cabal directing affairs from Washington; some well-meaning newspapers advise the President to keep his fingers out of the military pie and all that sort of thing. The truth is, if he did, the pie would be a sorry mess. The old man sits here and wields, like a backwoods Jupiter, the bolts of war and the machinery of gov-

ernment with a hand especially steady and equally firm.

“His last letter <sup>1</sup> is a great thing. Some hideously bad rhetoric—some indecorums that are infamous—yet the whole letter takes its solid place in history as a great utterance of a great man. The whole Cabinet could not have tinkered up a letter which could have been compared with it. He can rake a sophism out of its hole better than all the trained logicians of all the schools. I do not know whether the nation is worthy of him for another term. I know the people want him. There is no mistaking that fact. But politicians are strong yet, and he is not their ‘kind of a cat.’ I hope God won’t see fit to scourge us for our sins by any one of the two or three most prominent candidates on the ground.”

On September 23, bad news came from General Rosecrans, who was expected to defeat the Confederate army round Chattanooga. Hay was at the War Department when “they were trying to decipher an intricate message from Rosecrans giving reasons for the failure of the battle. The Secretary [Stanton] says: ‘I know the reason well enough. Rosecrans ran away from his fighting men and did not stop for thirteen miles. . . . No, they need not shuffle it off

<sup>1</sup> Dated August 26, 1863, to James B. Conkling, to be read at the Illinois Republican Convention. N. & H., VII, 380-84.



on McCook. He is not much of a soldier. I never was in favor of him for a Major-General. But he is not accountable for this business. He and Crittenden both made pretty good time away from the fight to Chattanooga, but Rosecrans beat them both."

Then Hay hurried "out to the Soldiers' Home through the splendid moonlight" to ask the President to attend a council at the War Department that night. "[I] found the President abed. I delivered my message to him as he dressed himself, and he was considerably disturbed. I assured him as far as I could that it meant nothing serious, but he thought otherwise, as it was the first time Stanton had ever sent for him. When we got in, however, we found a despatch from Rosecrans stating that he could hold Chattanooga against double his number; could not be taken until after a great battle; his stampede evidently over."

The loyal secretary, on returning from a visit to New York, told the President of the evidence he had seen there of the conduct of Secretary Chase "in trying to cut under" for the Republican nomination. Mr. Lincoln said, "it was very bad taste, but he had determined to shut his eyes to all these performances; that Chase made a good Secretary, and that he would keep him where he is: if he becomes President, all right! I hope we may never have a worse man.

I have all along seen clearly his plan of strengthening himself. Whenever he sees that an important matter is troubling me, if I am compelled to decide it in a way to give offence to a man of some influence, he always ranges himself in opposition to me, and persuades the victim that he [Chase] would have arranged it very differently. It was so with Gen'l Frémont, — with Gen'l Hunter, when I annulled his hasty proclamation, — with Gen'l Butler, when he was recalled from New Orleans, — with the Missouri people, when they called the other day. I am entirely indifferent to his success or failure in these schemes, so long as he does his duty as the head of the Treasury Department."

Magnanimity such as this has had few parallels. It would be unthinkable in the case of a Richelieu or a Frederick or a Bismarck.

Lincoln continued to appoint, at Chase's suggestion, officials who would work in Chase's interest. When Hay remonstrated, "he laughed on, and said he was sorry the thing had begun, for though the matter did not annoy him, his friends insisted that it ought to." But by an adroit turn of the tables, the President, supporting Seward in the raid which the Senate made on him, caused the too impetuous Chase to resign. Chase supposed that he would thereby bring the President to terms. Far from it.

"When Chase sent in his resignation," the "backwoods Jupiter" confided to Hay, "I saw that the game was in my own hands, and I put it through. When I had settled this important business at last with much labor and to my entire satisfaction, into my room one day walked D. D. Field and G. Opdycke, and began a new attack upon me to remove Seward. For once in my life I rather gave my temper the rein, and I talked to those men pretty d——d plainly. Opdycke may be right in being cool to me. I may have given him reason this morning." (October 30, 1863.)

Memorable is Hay's account of the trip to Gettysburg, where President Lincoln spoke at the consecration of the Soldiers' Cemetery. The Presidential party left Washington on November 18, 1863. "On our train were the President, Seward, Usher and Blair; Nicolay and myself; Mercier and Admiral Raymond; Bertinatti and Capt. Isotta, and Lieut. Martinez and C. M. Wise; W. McVeagh<sup>1</sup>; McDougal of Canada; and one or two others. At Baltimore, Schenck's staff joined us.

"Just before we arrived at Gettysburg, the President got into a little talk with McVeagh about Missouri affairs. McVeagh talked radicalism until he learned he was talking recklessly. . . .

<sup>1</sup> Wayne MacVeagh.

"At Gettysburg the President went to Mr. Wills, who expected him, and our party broke up. McVeagh, young Stanton and I foraged around for a while — walked out to the College, got a chafing dish of oysters, then some supper, and, finally, loafing around to the Court House, where Lamon was holding a meeting of marshals, we found Forney,<sup>1</sup> and went around to his place, . . . and drank a little whiskey with him. He had been drinking a good deal during the day, and was getting to feel a little ugly and dangerous. He was particularly bitter on M[ontgomery] Blair. McVeagh was telling him that he pitched into the President coming up, and told him some truths. He said the President got a good deal of that, from time to time, and needed it.

"He says, 'Hay, you are a fortunate man. You have kept yourself aloof from your office. I know an old fellow over seventy, who was Private Secretary to Madison. He thought there was something solemn and memorable in it. Hay has laughed through his term.' . . .

"We went out after a while, following the music to hear the serenades. The President appeared at the door, said half a dozen words meaning nothing, and went in. Seward, who was staying around the corner

<sup>1</sup> John W. Forney, a notorious journalist in Washington and Philadelphia.

Harper's, was called out, and spoke so indistinctly that I did not hear a word of what he was saying. Forney and McVeagh were still growling about air. We went back to Forney's room, having picked up Nicolay, and drank more whiskey. Nicolay sang his little song of the 'Three Thieves,' and we then sang 'John Brown.' At last we proposed that Forney should make a speech, and two or three started out . . . to get a band to serenade him. I did with him; as did Stanton and McVeagh. He all growled quietly, and I thought he was going to do something imprudent."

Then follows an account of the serenade, and of the bibulous Forney's speech, in which, in tipsy fashion, he mingled drollery and gravity. When the crowd greeted him with shouts, he said: "My friends, these are the first hearty cheers I have heard tonight. You gave no such cheers to your President when he was in the street. Do you know what you owe to that great man? You owe your country — you owe your lives to him as American Citizens." After "very much of this," Hay adds, "W. McVeagh made a most touching and beautiful spurt of five minutes, and Judge Stevenson of Pennsylvania spoke effectively and acceptably to the people. 'That speech [of Forney's] must not be written out yet,' says Young. We will see further about it when he gets sober,' as

we went upstairs. We sang 'John Brown' and went home."

Quite Shakespearean is this low comedy interlude, coming just before the stately, dramatic scene of consecration. Perhaps, after all, Nature sometimes emulates Shakespeare.

"In the morning," of the 19th, Hay continues, "I got a beast and rode out with the President and suite to the Cemetery in procession. The procession formed itself in an orphanly sort of way, and moved out with very little help from anybody; and after a little delay Mr. Everett took his place on the stand, — and Mr. Stockton made a prayer which thought it was an oration, — and Mr. Everett spoke as he always does, perfectly; and the President, in a firm, free way, with more grace than is his wont, said his half-dozen lines of consecration, — and the music wailed, and we went home through crowded and cheering streets.

"I met Gen'l Cameron after coming in, and he, McVeagh and I, went down to dinner on board the N. C. R. R. car. I was more than usually struck by the intimate jovial relations that exist between men that hate and detest each other as cordially as do those Pennsylvania politicians.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> General Simon Cameron's daughter became, in 1866, the second wife of Wayne MacVeagh.

In the morning I got a boat and rode out with  
the Friends to the <sup>site</sup> ~~center~~ in the procession. The  
procession found itself in an open way or way  
I moved out with my little help from everybody &  
with a little delay Mr. Everett took his place on the  
stand - and Mr. Stockton read a prayer which  
though it was an oration - and Mr. Everett spoke as  
he always does perfectly - and the Ministers as we  
saw from way, but were glad that he was with  
himself doing his duty of consecration and the  
music waited and we went home through  
crowded and cheering streets. And all the  
particulars are in the daily papers.

And Mr. Cameron <sup>the</sup> coming in with Mr. H. H.?  
and I went down to dinner on board the  
P. C. R. R. Car. I was more than usually struck  
by the intimate, personal relations that exist between  
men that hate and detect each other as  
cordially as do those Pennsylvania politicians.

We came home the night of the





“We came home the night of the 19th.”

Though brief, Hay's description of the delivery of the Gettysburg address serves. In the "History," he and Nicolay devote a dozen pages to the occasion, and, writing by the focused light of a quarter of a century, they assign to it an immediate recognition which very few of those who heard it were aware of. It was Edward Everett's monumental oration — which he did "perfectly, as he always does" — that carried the day. After that, Lincoln's few sentences seemed almost inadequate; or, at best, they came like the benediction, which you forget, after an impressive sermon, which you remember. To-day, however, Everett's marmoreal periods move nobody, while Lincoln's words of living flame bid fair to light and heat many generations. Emotion, not marble, is the medium of enduring eloquence.

The Diary, in spite of gaps, when Hay was too busy to write, reflects the variety of experiences which came to him day by day at Lincoln's elbow.

On November 22, 1863, he notes that "the President is very anxious about Burnside." On the 24th, the tone changes. "To-night the President said he was much relieved at hearing from Foster that there was firing at Knoxville yesterday. He said anything showing that Burnside was not overwhelmed was

cheering: Like Sallie Carter, when she heard one of her children squall, would say, 'There goes one of my young ones, not dead yet, bless the Lord!'"

On December 10, we learn that Sumner spoke with great gratification of Lincoln's recent message to Congress. "The President repeated, what he has often said before, that there is no essential contest between loyal men on this subject, if they consider it reasonably. The only question is: Who constitute the State? When that is decided, the solution of subsequent questions is easy. He says that he wrote in the Message originally that he considered the discussion as to whether a State has been at any time out of the Union, as vain and profitless. We know that they were — we trust they shall be — in the Union. It does not greatly matter whether in the mean time, they shall be considered to have been in or out. But he afterwards considered that the 4th Section, 4th Article of the Constitution, empowers him to grant protection to States in the Union, and it will not do ever to admit that these States have at any time been out. So he erased that sentence as possibly suggestive of evil. He preferred, he said, to stand firmly based on the Constitution rather than work in the air."

Another turn in the whirligig of experiences! On December 13, 1863, Hackett, the actor, spent the

evening at the White House, and in their talk the President showed "a very intimate knowledge of those plays of Shakespeare where Falstaff figures. He was particularly anxious to know why one of the best scenes in the play — that where Falstaff and Prince Hal alternately assume the character of the King — is omitted in the representation. Hackett says it is admirable to read, but ineffective on the stage." Two nights later the President took his secretaries to Ford's Theatre to see Hackett as Falstaff in *Henry IV*. He thought that Hackett misread the line, "mainly *thrust* at me," which should be "mainly thrust at *me*." Hay dissented. "The President thinks the dying speech of Hotspur an unnatural and unworthy thing — and who does not?"<sup>1</sup>

And here is the first record of a famous saying. "The President to-night [December 23, 1863] had a dream: He was in a party of plain people, and as it became known who he was, they began to comment on his appearance. One of them said: 'He is a very common-looking man.' The President replied: 'The Lord prefers common-looking people. That is the reason he makes so many of them.'"

Among other duties, it fell to Hay to act as guide

<sup>1</sup> Lincoln's letter of August 17, 1863, to Hackett is well known. In it he says: "I think nothing equals *Macbeth*." Also that he thinks the King's soliloquy in *Hamlet*, "Oh, my offence is rank," surpasses Hamlet's own, "To be or not to be."

to persons of importance. One such service he described under the date of April 24, 1864.

"The President, loafing into my room, picked up a paper and read the *Richmond Examiner's* recent attack on Jeff Davis. It amused him. 'Why,' said he, 'the *Examiner* seems about as fond of Jeff as the [New York] *World* is of me.'

"E. L. Stanley, son of Lord Stanley, has been here for a week. I took him over to Arlington and showed him the African. He asked more questions than I ever dreamed of in similar circumstances. He applied a drastic suction to every contraband he met with, and came back with brain and note-book crammed with instructive miscellany. He has been exhausting everybody in the same way, till his coming is dreaded like that of the schoolmaster by his idle flock. He is a most intelligent gentleman — courteous and ready — a contrast to most Englishmen in his freedom from conceit and prejudice. He leaves town to-day. I gave him my autograph book; we exchanged cartes 'like two young shepherds, very friendly and pastoral.'"

"During the late spring and early summer of 1864 the watchers in the White House followed anxiously General Grant's invasion of Virginia. On May 9 the first despatches from him came in, and the President was highly pleased. "It is the dogged pertinacity

of Grant that wins," said he. The story was told that "Meade observed to Grant that the enemy seemed inclined to make a Kilkenny fight of the affair; and Grant answered, 'Our cat has the longest tail.'"

"*June 23.* The President arrived to-day from the front, sunburnt and fagged, but still refreshed and cheered. He found the army in fine health, good position, and good spirits; Grant quietly confident; he says, quoting the Richmond papers, it may be a long summer's day before he does his work, but that he is as sure of doing it as he is of anything in the world. Sheridan is now on a raid, the purpose of which is to sever the connection at junction of the Richmond and Danville Railroads at Burk's, while the army is swinging around to the south of Petersburg and taking possession of the roads in that direction."

Significant was Grant's remark to the President, that "when McPherson or Sherman or Sheridan or [James H.] Wilson is gone on any outside expedition, he feels perfectly secure about them, knowing that, while they are liable to any of the ordinary mischances of war, there is no danger of their being whipped in any but a legitimate way." Grant "seems to arrive at his conclusions without any intermediate reasoning process — giving his orders with the greatest rapidity and with great detail. Uses the

theoretical staff-officers very little," one of his subordinates told Lincoln.

Excitement over operations in the field was hardly more intense than over the political campaign. Lincoln had been renominated by the Republicans; McClellan, resenting his deposition from the command of the Army of the Potomac, accepted the nomination of the Democrats. With fatal propriety the platform on which he ran declared that the war had been a failure and that overtures for peace ought to be made without delay. The issue was squarely posed.

Lincoln's friends saw dangers in every quarter. No doubt a large minority in the North was tired of war: no doubt many who had a sentimental regard for the Union thought that the emancipation of the slaves had been wrongly given prominence. Every discontented officer — every disgruntled politician — every merchant whose business was bad — — every civilian who dreaded the draft — the ambitious leader like Chase — the party boss — the army of unappeased office-seekers — the jealous — the vindictive — all these, and everyone else with a greed or a grievance, would unite to defeat Lincoln. Thus, at least, it appeared to his foreboding lieutenants.

Even Hay, who was no alarmist, felt little confidence. "There is a diseased restlessness about men

in these times," he wrote Nicolay on August 25, 1864, "that unfits them for the steady support of an administration. It seems as if there were appearing in the Republican Party the elements of disorganization that destroyed the Whigs. If the dumb cattle are not worthy of another term of Lincoln, then let the will of God be done, and the murrain of McClellan fall on them."

Lincoln himself never lost his poise. Whatever his thoughts, his comments were humorous. He was charitable towards the disloyal. But he understood the danger: Democracy was at stake.

How subtle were the temptations presented to him appears from the following note in Hay's Diary: "September 23, 1864. Senator Harlan thinks that Bennett's<sup>1</sup> support is so important, especially considered as to its bearing on the soldier vote, that it would pay to offer him a foreign mission for it, and so told me. Forney has also had a man talking to the cannie Scot, who asked plumply, 'Will I be a welcome visitor at the White House if I support Mr. Lincoln?' What a horrible question for a man to be able to ask! So thinks the President apparently. It is probable that Bennett will stay about as he is, thoroughly neutral, balancing carefully until the October elections, and will then declare for the side

<sup>1</sup> Senator James Harlan; J. G. Bennett, of the *New York Herald*.

which he thinks will win. It is better in many respects to let him alone."

The October elections went far to relieve anxiety. The President, with Hay, heard the returns at the War Department. Early news from Indiana and Ohio was cheering, but that from Pennsylvania was "streaked with lean." "The President in a lull of despatches took from his pocket the Nasby papers, and read several chapters of the experiences of the saint and martyr, Petroleum V. They were immensely amusing. Stanton and Dana <sup>1</sup> enjoyed them scarcely less than the President, who read on, *con amore*, until nine o'clock." Reports from the hospitals and camps showed wide differences of opinion among the voters. The Ohio troops voted about ten to one for Union, but "Carver Hospital, by which Stanton and Lincoln pass every day, on their way to the country," gave the heaviest opposition vote — about one out of three. Lincoln said, "That's hard on us, Stanton, they know us better than the others."

The Presidential election took place on November 8. Throughout the day, Hay reports, the White House was still and almost deserted. The President said to him: "It is a little singular that I, who am not a vindictive man, should have always been before

<sup>1</sup> Charles A. Dana, Assistant Secretary of War.



the people for election in canvasses marked for their bitterness: always but once. When I came to Congress it was a quiet time. But always, besides that, the contests in which I have been prominent have been marked with great rancor."

That evening they spent at the War Department. From the first, the returns were most encouraging and Lincoln's good humor added to the gayety of the company. When somebody (Eckert) came in "very disreputably muddy," the Tycoon was reminded of a story. "'For such an awkward fellow,' he said, 'I am pretty sure-footed. It used to take a pretty dexterous man to throw me. I remember, the evening of the day in 1858, that decided the contest for the Senate between Mr. Douglas and myself, was something like this, dark, rainy, and gloomy. I had been reading the returns and had ascertained that we had lost the legislature, and started to go home. The path had been worn hog-backed, and was slippery. My foot slipped from under me, knocked the other one out of the way, but I recovered myself and lit square; and I said to myself: "*It's a slip and not a fall.*"'"

When Fox, Assistant Secretary of the Navy, said that retribution had overtaken Hale and Winter Davis, "two fellows that have been specially malignant to us," Lincoln replied: "'You have more of

that feeling of personal resentment than I. Perhaps I may have too little of it, but I never thought it paid. A man has not time to spend half his life in quarrels. If any man ceases to attack me, I never remember the past against him.”

“Towards midnight,” Hay adds, in his memorandum of this historic occasion, “we had supper. The President went awkwardly and hospitably to work shovelling out the fried oysters. He was most agreeable and genial all the evening. . . . Capt. Thomas came up with a band about half-past two, and made some music. The President answered from the window with rather unusual dignity and effect, and we came home.”

At the Cabinet meeting on the 11th, “The President took a paper from out his desk, and said: ‘Gentlemen, do you remember last summer I asked you all to sign your names to the back of a paper, of which I did not show you the inside? This is it. Now, Mr. Hay, see if you can get this open without tearing it.’ He had pasted it up in so singular style that it required some cutting to get it open. He then read as follows: —

“‘EXECUTIVE MANSION,  
“‘WASHINGTON, Aug. 23, 1864.

“‘This morning, as for some days past, it seems exceedingly probable that this Administration will

not be re-elected. Then it will be my duty to so co-operate with the President elect as to save the Union between the election and the inauguration; as he will have secured his election on such ground that he cannot possibly save it afterwards.<sup>1</sup>

“‘A. LINCOLN.’”

Lincoln went on to say, as I have quoted in an earlier chapter, that he had resolved, if McClellan were elected, to talk matters over with him.<sup>2</sup>

“The speeches of the President at the last two serenades are very highly spoken of,” Hay continues. “The first I wrote after the fact, to prevent the ‘loyal Pennsylvanians’ getting a swing at it themselves. The second one, last night, the President himself wrote late in the evening, and read it from the window. ‘Not very graceful,’ he said; ‘but I am growing old enough not to care much for the manner of doing things.’”

On November 12, 1864, Hay, with a large party, went down to Grant’s headquarters at City Point. They found him occupying a little wall-tent. “At our first knock he came to the door. He looked neater and more careful in his dress than usual; his

<sup>1</sup> This paper was indorsed: “William H. Seward, W. P. Fessenden, Edwin M. Stanton, Gideon Welles, Edw. Bates, M. Blair, J. P. Usher.”

<sup>2</sup> See *ante*, pp. 133, 134.

hair was combed, his coat on, and his shirt clean, his long boots blackened till they shone." He thought that the Rebels were "about at the end of their tether; that Lee and Early had received their final reinforcements"; that the negro troops are admirable in many respects, but "that an army of them could [not] have stood the week's pounding at the Wilderness or Spottsylvania as our men did; 'in fact, no other troops in the world could have done it.'" Grant was "deeply impressed with the vast importance and significance of the late Presidential election." The orderliness of it "proves our worthiness of free institutions, and our capability of preserving them without running into anarchy or despotism."

During the ensuing months we have only sparse records of Hay's life. In March, Secretary Seward, without solicitation and to his surprise, appointed him Secretary of Legation at Paris. "It is a pleasant and honorable way of leaving my present post, which I should have left in any event very soon," he writes his brother Charles. "I am thoroughly sick of certain aspects of life here, which you will understand without my putting them on paper, and I was almost ready, after taking a few months' active service in the field, to go back to Warsaw and try to give the Vineyard experiment a fair trial. . . . The President requested me to stay with him a month or so longer

to get him started with the reorganized office, which I shall do, and shall sail probably in June. . . . I very much fear that all my friends will disapprove this step of mine, but if they knew all that induced me to it they would coincide." (March 31, 1865.)

A fortnight after Hay sent this letter, his life at the White House and his association with the Great Companion came to a tragic end.

On Good Friday, April 14, 1865, President and Mrs. Lincoln, accompanied by Miss Harris and Major Henry R. Rathbone, went to Ford's Theatre to see *Our American Cousin*. At about ten o'clock John Wilkes Booth crept to the door of their box, opened it, leveled a pistol at the back of the President's head, and fired point-blank. Mr. Lincoln never spoke again. They carried him unconscious to the house across the street — No. 453 Tenth Street — and laid him on a "bed in a small room at the rear of the hall, on the ground floor."

In a few moments Washington was alarmed, stunned. "A crowd of people rushed instinctively to the White House and, bursting through the doors, shouted the dreadful news to Robert Lincoln and Major Hay, who sat gossiping in an upper room. . . . They ran downstairs. Finding a carriage at the door, they entered it and drove to Tenth Street."

Before they crossed the threshold of the house they were prepared for the worst.

Hay watched near the head of the President's bed throughout the night. Gradually the slow and regular breathing grew fainter, and the "automatic moaning" ceased. "A look of unspeakable peace came upon his worn features. At twenty-two minutes after seven he died. Stanton broke the silence by saying, 'Now he belongs to the ages.'"<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> N. & H., x, 292.

## CHAPTER IX

### THE ROVING DIPLOMAT — PARIS

**H**AY was twenty-seven years old when the Civil War ended, bequeathing to him the memory of an astonishing experience which had called into play all his talents except the literary. In knowledge of the world, in acquaintance with men, in trial by the most daunting modern forms of ordeal, he had little to learn. He had kept his head and his temper, and his capacity to take adverse fate ironically, almost blithely. But except to the professional soldier, war offers no permanent career; and the war, which opened Hay, left him with his fortune still unmade.

To have been Lincoln's private secretary during four years was privilege enough for one lifetime, but the recollection of it would neither feed nor clothe him; and Hay, with a constitutional inability to make money, found himself almost as poor when he quitted Washington in 1865 as when he went there with Lincoln in 1861. A few parcels of unprofitable land in Florida and an undeveloped vineyard in Warwick represented the savings from his meager salary. Gladly, therefore, he accepted the post of Secretary of Legation at Paris, which promised him an imme-

diate living wage and a much needed change of scene. Perhaps it might lead to something better.

Having visited his home, he reached Paris early in the summer. Nicolay went also, to serve as American Consul there. "Mr. Nicolay is an intelligent, honorable man, with a bilious temperament," wrote Thurlow Weed, the Republican boss of New York State, to John Bigelow, who was in charge of the American Legation. "I *think* you will like him. Hay is a bright, gifted young man, with agreeable manners and refined tastes. I don't believe he has been spoiled, though he has been much exposed. If he remains the modest young man he was, I am *sure* you will like him." That was the time when temperaments were classified as bilious, sanguine, nervous, or phlegmatic, and Weed would doubtless have defined Hay as sanguine.

John Bigelow, the American Minister, had served during nearly Lincoln's entire administration, and upon him had fallen the task of preventing the Emperor Napoleon III from openly supporting the cause of the Confederate States. Next to Charles Francis Adams in London, whose work in helping to preserve the Union can never be overestimated, Bigelow was the most valiant defender abroad of the American Republic. A vigorous writer, a scholar, a man of the world whose courtliness suggested the traditions of



the Saint-Germain Quarter, he combined also in rare measure dignity and democratic downrightness.

Hay reached his post in June, 1865. For Mr. Bigelow he soon felt an affectionate admiration, which never slackened through life, while Mrs. Bigelow's inexhaustible vivacity now amused and now fascinated him. "*Mon Dieu! qu'elle est vive, qu'elle est vive!*" he records in his Diary, quoting "Old Plon," whom I take to be Prince Napoleon — "Plon-Plon."

The conclusion of the American Civil War left France and the United States face to face over an international question of grave menace. The French Emperor, taking advantage of the American upheaval, had sent an army to Mexico, conquered a part of that discord-ridden country, established an empire there under French protection and given the imperial crown to Archduke Maximilian, brother of the Austrian Emperor, Francis Joseph. Napoleon's purpose could not be misunderstood. He intended, if the American Republic were split into two separate and mutually hostile nations, that French influence should not stop at the Rio Grande.

One of the first acts of the Government at Washington after the Union had been saved, was to serve notice on the French Emperor that he must withdraw his army from Mexico; and while the American

troops were massed in great numbers on the Mexican frontier to give point to this notice, it fell to Mr. Bigelow at Paris to carry on the diplomatic negotiations between the two governments. The Mexican difficulty was, indeed, the chief official business at the American Legation during John Hay's stay in Paris; but although he watched it intently from the inside, we cannot suppose that he shaped the course of events. After discharging his duties as secretary, he chiefly occupied himself with social life. His happy gift of riveting acquaintances, his quick interest in all sorts of persons and things, and his determination to make himself proficient in that Book of Paris which has fascinated the world since the days of Louis the Fourteenth, secured to him constant entertainment. He perfected himself in speaking French; he visited the art galleries, the theaters, the opera; and he found time to write poetry.

From 1865 to 1868 the Paris of the Second Empire stood at its zenith, surpassing in fashion and luxury its own earlier brilliant days. To observers who looked below the surface it seemed milliner-made, and even the soldiers, who were always on parade and lent color to every function, seemed soldiers in uniform only. But Napoleon III, the center from which all splendors radiated, was still the acknowledged arbiter of Europe, although there were al-

ready doubters who whispered that he too would collapse at the first shock with reality. He had lost prestige in Syria and in Italy, and now the United States blocked his ambitions in Mexico. Jesuit-led Clericals claimed greater and greater privileges from him, while the mutterings of Republicans from their hiding-places penetrated even to his study in the Tuileries. Never a keen reader of character, he set down Bismarck, who visited him at Biarritz, as "a not-serious man" — Bismarck the terrible, in whose brain was already matured the plan to Prussianize Germany and to fix German despotism upon Europe, after having bled France — and any others who opposed him — white.

Hay, fresh from the four years' struggle which had determined that Democracy should not perish from the earth in America, fostered the dream, dear to many persons at the time, that a Golden Age of Freedom was about to dawn. Even in England men predicted that the Republic would come after Queen Victoria's death, if not before; and that on the Continent, as soon as the French autocrat could be curbed, the unification of Italy would be completed and that of Germany achieved. Then the peoples of Europe, united at last according to the principle of nationality, would be peace-loving and peace-keeping, liberal in their political methods, and bound together

by a sense of mutual interdependence and of common ideals.

Towards Napoleon III, the despot who prevented the immediate realization of this dream, Hay felt aversion mingled with scorn, for he half suspected that the Emperor was more than half a charlatan.

Being not only a diplomat but a discreet diplomat, he kept his opinions to himself. In private, however, he gave vent to them in poems which he did not publish until after his return from France. These poems are interesting, not only because they have their place in Hay's literary development, but also because they show us his innermost convictions at this time.

The first, "Sunrise in the Place de la Concorde," he wrote in August, 1865, shortly after his arrival in Paris, and whilst the views or prepossessions concerning Napoleon III which he had brought with him from America were still fresh. It opens with a description apparently slight and yet vivid.

I stand at the break of day  
In the Champs Elysées.  
The tremulous shafts of dawning  
As they shoot o'er the Tuileries early,  
Strike Luxor's cold gray spire,  
And wild in the light of the morning  
With their marble manes on fire  
Ramp the white Horses of Marly.

But the Place of Concord lies  
 Dead hushed 'neath the ashy skies.  
 And the Cities sit in council  
 With sleep in their wide stone eyes.  
 I see the mystic plain  
 Where the army of spectres slain  
 In the Emperor's life-long war  
 March on with unsounding tread  
 To trumpets whose voice is dead.  
 Their spectral chief still leads them, —  
 The ghostly flash of his sword  
 Like a comet through mist shines far, —  
 And the noiseless host is poured,  
 For the gendarme never heeds them,  
 Up the long dim road where thundered  
 The army of Italy onward  
 Through the great pale Arch of the Star!

And then the poet goes on to describe earlier scenes  
 which the Place de la Concorde witnessed.

There is one that seems a King,  
 As if the ghost of a Crown  
 Still shadowed his jail-bleached hair;  
 I can hear the guillotine ring,  
 As its regicide note rang there,  
 When he laid his tired life down  
 And grew brave in his last despair.

Other figures rise in his imagination: Madame  
 Dubarry —

Who weeps at leaving a world  
 Of love and revel and sin. . . .  
 For life was wicked and sweet  
 With kings at her small white feet!

and Marie Antoinette, "every inch a Queen," —

Whose blood baptized the place,  
In the days of madness and fear, —  
Her shade has never a peer  
In majesty and grace.

And so on to the glorious promise of 1848: —

As Freedom with eyes aglow  
Smiled glad through her childbirth pain,  
How was the mother to know  
That her woe and travail were vain?  
A smirking servant smiled  
When she gave him her child to keep;  
Did she know he would strangle the child  
As it lay in his arms asleep?

The treasure of 'Forty-Eight  
A lurking jail-bird stole,  
She can but watch and wait  
As the swift sure seasons roll.

And when in God's good hour  
Comes the time of the brave and true,  
Freedom again shall rise  
With a blaze in her awful eyes  
That shall wither this robber-power  
As the sun now dries the dew.

In another poem, "The Sphinx of the Tuileries,"  
Hay speaks even more scornfully —

Of the Charlatan whom the Frenchmen loathe  
And the Cockneys all admire.

Afraid to fight and afraid to fly,  
 He cowers in an abject shiver;  
 The people will come to their own at last, —  
 God is not mocked forever.

These and similar indictments of the Third Napoleon the young diplomat confided, temporarily, to his portfolio. By inheritance and choice he loathed despotism; and when he found it personified in a man whose resource was craft and not strength, his loathing was doubled. He believed so heartily that Democracy could cure political evils of every degree of malignity, that he underestimated the advantage which the element of readiness gave to the partisans of Reaction, *solidaire*, and propped by their standing armies and their churches.

Whatever the Poet's convictions, however, the Secretary of Legation seemed not to know of them. He mixed with Imperialists as smoothly as with every one else, and although he may have abhorred their principles, he found his instinct for refinement enjoying the elegance of the Imperial Court. "One torment of diplomatic life," he writes, "is that you never know the names of these agreeable fellows," — the imperial Chamberlains. "They lose all identity in their violet coats and Imperial moustaches. You do not hear their names when you are presented to them, and if you look upon the official list of the

officers of the Emperor's household you only find that you may take your choice of a dozen names for the man you are looking after."

Among Hay's notes is a report of a conversation he had on September 25, 1866, with the Reverend Dr. Smith, Professor of Dogmatic Theology in Propaganda Fide College at Rome. That was the interval when the Pope's Temporal Power was being bolstered up, somewhat unsteadily, by a French garrison. "I got one idea," Hay says, "which was definite enough, to wit, the absolute uncertainty in which the Roman politicians are as to the future. The Professor declared that "the Pope is really not fixed in any plan. It seems now certain that the French will withdraw in December. Then, what will happen, remains to be seen. If the enemies of the Temporal Power are willing to allow him to exercise the sovereignty over the little patch of earth around the Eternal City, he can still retain his position and prestige in the Catholic World. If, on the contrary, he is made the object of violent attack from without, he will retire from Rome."

The Professor admitted that there were many revolutionists in the city, but he added that both they, and the Pope's friends, were "too weak, too destitute of enterprise to accomplish anything. . . . The only thing to be feared is the flood swelling in



from Italy and submerging Rome. But, I asked, is it considered impossible, among reasonable men around the Pope, to treat with the King of Italy and to obtain from him the protection he would doubtless gladly accord? The Doctor shook his head and said slowly, 'I do not see how it can be done. There are some compromises which would destroy the very essence of the principle in question. These cannot be made. Such compromises are different from merely accepting the logic of events.'"

The Doctor further related that several years before, when the Curia was much perturbed, Pius IX said to Lord Odo Russell, the English Envoy: "'I suppose, if I am driven out of Rome, you will let me come to Malta, would you not?'" Lord Odo consulted the British Foreign Secretary,<sup>1</sup> his uncle, who "immediately answered that whenever his Holiness desired it an English man-of-war would be at his service at Civita Vecchia to take him to Malta. This despatch still exists, and Dr. Smith says it is the only document that has passed between the two governments on the subject."

That the Catholic Pope should turn in private for protection to the Protestant power which he reviled in public, is among the humors of that decade of insincerities.

<sup>1</sup> Lord John Russell.

When Hay referred to the hope of the Catholics in America to see the Pope among them, the Doctor said "the matter had sometimes been thought of, but that it seemed impracticable; as the Pope should occupy a more central position in reference to Christendom."

"The Emperor never was the meekest of men," Hay records in another place, "but his temper is sour this autumn [1866] as the disappointed vintage of Burgundy . . . just before going to Biarritz. . . . [he] went to see the Palais de l'Exposition. He seemed to be very bilious. On coming in sight of the Champ de Mars, he said: 'Call that a palace! Looks like a gasometer!' When he came to the high, closed fence, surrounding the park, he says: 'What does this mean? Tear it down! The people have a right to see the building.' They explained, and he compromised by tearing holes in the fence at intervals. On each side of the North entrance were neat brick structures for the officers of the Exposition. Here his bile boiled over. '*Otez moi ça!* What the Devil do you spoil the view so for? Tear them down!' And this week you see workmen demolishing with pick and shovel what they built laboriously last week with chisel and trowel."

In contrast to this glimpse of Napoleon in peevish mood, is Hay's description of an Imperial reception

at the Tuileries. In November, 1866, Mr. Bigelow was succeeded as Minister by General John A. Dix, — former Secretary of the Treasury, who, when Secession became active, telegraphed to New Orleans, "If any one attempts to haul down the American flag, shoot him on the spot." Soon after his arrival in Paris, Marquis de Moustier, the Imperial Grand Master of Ceremonies, informed him that the Emperor would receive him on Sunday, December 23, at two o'clock.

"I hired a carriage and two servants, in the Rue Boissy d'Anglas, for Hoffman<sup>1</sup> and myself," writes Hay. "It was a highly respectable looking affair, not fresh enough to look hired, with a couple of solemn flunkies that seemed to have been in the family for at least a generation. We went to the General's [Dix] and in a few moments came in the Baron de Lajus. He said he was very much crowded to-day with *besogne*, that he had five Ministers to bring to the Palace, and that therefore we would please excuse his hurry. Upon which we all rose and went to the door, where we found a court carriage, the Imperial arms blazing on the panels and the harness, drawn by four horses and accompanied by two mounted outriders. Everything covered with tawdry, tarnished gold lace. It seemed like the

<sup>1</sup> Under secretary at the Legation.

Triumphal Car in a flourishing circus. Into this vehicle mounted the General and the Chamberlain, Hoffman and I following in our sham-private *remise*; and we had all the honors of a stare from the *badauds* on the asphalt of the Champs Elysées as the party lumbered down to the Tuileries. We were all in our Army uniform.

"Arrived there, we were shown to a warm, cheery ante-room, with a superb wood fire and a fine view of the Tuileries gardens, the Avenue and the Arch of Triumph." "We waited some time, while other dignitaries gathered — the Colombian Minister; Fane, the British Minister *ad interim*; the long, gaunt Bavarian, Perger de Paglas and his secretary, who seemed moved by rusty springs"; a "thin, wiry, blue-blooded Brazilian; a Peruvian; and some more." Then some of the "violet people" moved the party into a larger saloon. They "were presented to the Duc de Cambacérès, a jaunty old gentleman, lean and shaven and wigged — long also. He bowed lavishly and seemed distressed that nobody would sit down." Then Mr. Bigelow was called for, and "he entered the next room where the blaze of the Imperial Presence dazzled us through the opening door. His audience of leave was soon over.

"Gen'l Dix, followed by me and Hoffman, was then ushered into The Presence. The General

looked anxiously around for the Emperor, advancing undecidedly, until a little man, who was standing in front of the Throne, stepped forward to meet him. Everybody bowed profoundly as the Duc de Cambacérès gave the name and the title of the General. The little man bowed, and the General, beginning to recognize in him a dim likeness to the Emperor's portrait, made his speech to him."

Here follows a characteristic digression. "I looked around the room for a moment," Hay continues, "admiring as I always do on ceremonial occasions in France the rich and tasteful masses of color which the various groups of Great Officers of the Crown so artistically present. Not a man's place is left to accident. A cardinal dashes in a great splash of scarlet. A *cent-garde* supplies an exquisite blue and gold. The yellow and the greens are furnished by the representatives of Law and Legislation, and the Masters of Ceremonies fill up with an unobtrusive violet. Yet these rich lights and soft shadows are accessory to the central point of the picture — the little man who is listening or seeming to listen to the General's address. If our Republican eyes can stand such a dazzling show, let us look at him.

"Short and stocky, he moves with a queer, side-long gait, like a gouty crab; a man so wooden looking that you would expect his voice to come rasping out

like a watchman's rattle. A complexion like crude tallow — marked for Death, whenever Death wants him — to be taken sometime in half an hour, or left, neglected by the Skeleton King for years, perhaps, if properly coddled. The moustache and imperial which the world knows, but ragged and bristly, concealing the mouth entirely, is moving a little nervously as the lips twitch. Eyes sleepily watchful — furtive — stealthy, rather ignoble; like servants looking out of dirty windows and saying 'nobody at home,' and lying as they say it. And withal a wonderful phlegm. He stands there as still and impassive as if carved in oak for a ship's figurehead. He looks not unlike one of those rude inartistic statues. His legs are too short — his body too long. He never looks well but on a throne or on a horse, as kings ought."

In all his writing, Hay never did better than that. As a historical portrait in the gallery of nineteenth-century celebrities, it will take its place. If it seems malign, its malignity may be compared with the acid which bites in the etching.

Hay goes on to tell how General Dix, raising his voice and grown a little oratorical, closes his speech and hands the Emperor his sealed letter of credence. The Emperor gives it to the Duc de Bassano, who stands at his right. The Emperor's "face breaks up

15  
splash of scarlet. A cent-garde supplies an  
exquisite blue and gold. The yellows and  
the greens are furnished by the representatives  
of law & legislation, and the Masters of Ceremonies  
fill up with an unobtrusive violet. Yet  
those rich lights and soft shadows are accessory  
to the central point of the picture - the  
little man who is bestowing the solemn blessing  
on the General's address. If our Republican eyes  
can stand such a ragged show, let us look  
at him.

Short and stocky, he moves with a queer  
sidelong gait like a gouty crab: a man so  
wooden looking that you would expect his voice  
to come rasping out like a watchman's rattle.  
A complexion like crude tallow - marked for  
Death whenever Death wants him - to be taken  
some time in half an hour or left neglected by  
the latter long for years perhaps if properly cooled.  
The man looks and is indeed which the world  
knows, but never did really conceal the most  
certainly is missing a little person, as the light  
tuttle. Ever sleepily watchful - for time steals





with ungainly movements of the moustache and the eyelids. You can imagine it a sort of wooden clock preparing to strike. When he speaks you are sure of your theory. His voice is wooden; it is not so strong and full as a year ago. He speaks rather rapidly and not distinctly. He slurs half his words, as rapid writers do half their letters. He makes his set speech, which, with the General's, will appear tomorrow in the *Moniteur*, and then comes sidling up and says (smilingly, he evidently thinks, but the machinery of smiles at the corners of his mouth is apparently out of repair), 'You expect many of your countrymen in Paris this year?'

"A great many, doubtless.'

"There will be a regiment of your *milice*?'

"There has been some talk of it, etc., etc., but your Majesty will not expect them to compare with your veterans.'

"But you have shown that it does not take long to make good troops.'"

After further gracious trivialities, Hay and Hoffman were presented to the Emperor, who, "clearly wishing to be very civil, as it is most rare that a monarch addresses a Secretary of Legation, said, 'But you are very young to be Col-o-nel. Did you make the war in America?'

"I wanted to insist that older and wickeder men

than I were responsible for that crime, but I thought best to answer the intention rather than the grammar, and said I had had an humble part in the war.

“‘Infanterie or cavalerie?’

“‘The general staff!’

“‘And you?’ he said, turning to Hoffman, and received the same answer. We bowed and backed out of the Presence.”

Upon leaving the Emperor, the party was taken to the Empress Eugénie.

“She was charmingly dressed in a lilac walking dress with an almost invisible bonnet,” says the observant Hay. “She had doubtless been to church like a good, pious lady, as she is, and received afterwards in her promenade costume. Time has dealt very gently with her. [Eugénie was born May 5, 1826.] She is still full of those sweet, winning fascinations that won her a crown. There are few partisans so bitter as not to be moved by her exquisite manner. Even the little stories at which men smile, her subjection to priests, her hanging up over old Baciocchi’s deathbed the holy rag from the baby linen of John Baptist, which extorted from the tormented old sinner his last grim smile, her vestal lamp in the Church of Our Lady of Victories, and all that mummery is not unfeminine, and people do not care to be bitter about it.

"To the General she was charming. She talked about the President [Johnson] and his trip to Chicago (which the General explained was purely a personal visit of friendship to the tomb of a friend!!). When we were presented, she made the identical remark made by the Emperor, 'You are young to be Colonel?' People after a dozen years of intercourse get the same ideas and ways of looking at things. She asked if the grade of Colonel was the same in our army as in the French. She spoke English with a charming Castilian accent, which is infinitely prettier than the French. She is so winning and so lovely that one feels a little guilty in not being able in conscience to wish her eternal power for herself, her heirs and assigns.

"So we left the gracious blonde Spaniard and passed down through the avenue of flunkies to the door where our own sham flunkies received us and drove us to the Rue de Presbourg. The ceremony is concluded by giving to the Chief Piqueur a present of 250 francs."

With the resignation of John Bigelow and the coming of General Dix, Hay's term as Secretary of Legation expired. The new Minister wished to have his own subordinates; and, according to the haphazard diplomatic practice of the American Government, even the most important posts, instead of being

guarded by permanent officials who knew the business traditions and ceremonial, were from time to time swept clean of experts and handed over to a new batch of novices.

As this was the well-understood procedure, Hay did not complain. "I am going home, as the papers have stated, in a strange paroxysm of truthfulness," he wrote to his friend Albert Rhodes in December, 1866. "I leave the service of the ungrateful Republic in a week or two more.

Vain pomps and glories of this world I hate ye. (Shakes.)

I shall try to find a place behind some respectable counter. I do not care what I sell — candles or stocks — so that profits shall accrue. I shall pull off my coat and roll up my sleeves, but I don't believe Jordan will be so hard a road to travel as it is cracked up to be. . . . I am falling into the sere, the yellow leaf."

Before Hay quitted Paris, he had a final view of the Emperor, at the Diplomatic Reception on January 1, 1867. Ever since the New Year's Day eight years before, when Napoleon's remark to the Austrian Ambassador was construed as a hint of impending war, that annual occasion had caused some trepidation to European politicians. Hay's description of one of the last of these ceremonies, sketched with

his characteristic vividness, has more than fleeting interest.

"Instead of admitting the Diplomats by a door nearest the Salle du Trone," he writes, "they always manage to drag them through a long series of salons crowded with footmen of portentous calf development and Chamberlains in purple; to strike the imaginations of outside barbarians. We were pressed on as usual through these blazing hedges of tinsel to the Reception Room. A good deal of interest was taken in the General Dix, who was one of the newest arrivals, and whose venerable and gentlemanlike appearance produced a most favorable impression. At the order of the bustling Chamberlains we took our places, the United States by a queer chance finding itself between the two American Empires, Mexico and Brazil, Almonte having been presented just before and the Brazilian just after Gen'l Dix. The Brazilian Minister presented Dix to Almonte, the General thinking that much could be sacrificed to courtesy, and they began to recall an old Washington acquaintance, when the door opened and the usher shouted '*L'Empereur.*' Every one bowed with various degrees of abject servility.

"The Emperor came woodenly in. He was dressed in his usual uniform of General of Division. The Prince Imperial, a nice, slender child, with pleasant,

sad eyes like his mother, came in with his august sire for the first time. The Emperor only begins to associate him with great public ceremonies. He was dressed in black velvet coat and short, full breeches, with red stockings — the broad cordon of the Legion of Honor over his shoulders and across his little chest. He walked beside his father, bowing when we bowed, and stopping, a little fidgetty, while the Sphinx walked with the wise men of the world.

“But, on entering, the Emperor paused, bowed, and took position; the Pope’s Nuncio, Mgr. Chigi, made the usual formal speech of congratulation, to which the Emperor replied with his best wishes for the perpetuity of thrones and the prosperity of peoples, and his hopes that the Exposition would bring the millennium this year. He evidently had his brain full of the vast results that are to accrue to him from that unsightly structure in the Champ de Mars. He then went around the circle, speaking a word to most of the Ministers. I stood next to Almonte and waited with great interest to see how they met.

“The Emperor came rolling up to the Mexican and stopped. Both bowed. Almonte seemed rather ill at ease. The Emperor held him a moment with his dead eyes half shut. He then said in a manner which was carefully cold and insolent, ‘*Les choses*

*sont bien compliquées là-bas !*' The poor devil, who doubtless feels himself lost by his advocacy of the Imperial cause in Mexico, had no reply to this insolent remark from his angry and ungrateful tempter. The Emperor bowed, the Prince Imperial bowed, and Almonte bowed. I did not dare to look at him.

"I looked at the Emperor instead, who came to Gen'l Dix and was very gracious — speaking French this time — asked the General where he lived and said it was a *beau quartier* — the General said yes, thanks to His Majesty — and His Majesty pulled the corners of his mouth into a sort of smile and bowed to the General and bowed to me and passed to Brazil — and put a malicious little question to Brazil about its war — and then walked almost hastily past the small Powers — pausing an instant with Fane (who was below us, having been presented five minutes later the day we were) — then passed out; and we loafed down to the door and waited in the uncomfortable entry for our carriages, till we were blue and ill-natured. Then made calls on the necessary nobs by writing our names in a book at their door, and at last went home and took off our livery and were glad it was over."

Before the end of that month of January, John Hay was on his way home. His year and a half in

Paris had made him what he had instinctively yearned to be since boyhood — a cosmopolite. His life at Washington had given him a knowledge of all sorts of Americans in war-time; at Paris, the world capital, he saw society in Imperial form, — elegant, luxurious, cynical, sophisticated, — but he also saw, behind the “blazing hedges of tinsel,” the unlovely machinery of despotism. So he came home a man of the world, but an unalloyed American whom the seductions of an Empire only left a more zealous believer in a Republic.



## CHAPTER X

### WASHINGTON DURING RECONSTRUCTION

**H**AY reached New York on February 1, 1867, spent the day and evening with some of his cronies, and took the Owl Train for Washington. "Met on the cars a lame darkey in trouble, and paid his fare to Washington." The Diary during the succeeding weeks throws many side-lights on life at the Capital at an interesting moment.

The conflict between President Andrew Johnson and Congress was becoming angry. The Radical Republicans had begun to push the fighting to the point where a trial for impeachment could not be avoided. The Reconstruction of the Southern States, lately in rebellion, called out the worst passions of extremists of both sides, who would not learn that rancor can never do the work of justice, much less of generosity, in cementing a peace.

Many of the Republicans believed that, unless the vanquished Southerners were sternly watched, they would foment insurrection, and so denature, if they did not actually nullify, the results attained by the Civil War. Others supposed that they had the best of warrants for making the way of the transgressor

as hard as possible. The desire to atone for the immemorial persecution of the Black Man by suddenly proclaiming him the political equal of the White Man, and even by setting him up to rule over the White Man, moved many zealots. The politicians, as usual, traded on the enthusiasm of the unwise, or availed themselves of the scoundrel's last refuge — patriotism.

To the immense misfortune of the country, and to his own, President Johnson had neither the temperament, training, nor tact to meet such a crisis. History has justified many of his measures, and has applauded his resistance to the fire-eaters who cried for vengeance on the stricken Rebels; but his opponents saw nothing but ill-masked craft or patent treachery in his acts, and his friends felt no loyalty to his person. Never was the patience of Lincoln, never were his fairness and spirit of conciliation, so sorely needed. For lack of him the wounds of war did not cicatrize and the process of Reconstruction became an ignoble tragedy, long drawn out.

"I drove to Willard's," Hay writes; "saw the same dead beats hanging around the office, the same listless loafers moving gloomily up and down, pensively expectorating. Several shook hands with me cordially; the Radical fellows wanting to sympathize with me as a martyr and a little disappointed when

they found I was none. Lamon picked me up and I went to his office; saw Judge [Jeremiah S.] Black and talked politics for a while. The terrible defeats of the past year have sobered and toned down the Conservatives. They talk very quietly and very sensibly."

Then he drove to the State Department. Secretary Seward "came swinging in, saying, 'Well, John Hay, so you got tired of it and came home.' 'Yes,' I said, 'it was time. I had enough of the place and the place had enough of me.'"

"He then went into a long and very clever disquisition on the dangers of a man holding office — the desiccation and fossilizing process — illustrating it by Mr. Hunter and saying he feared Nicolay was getting into that way. I assured him Nicolay was not; that he was single-heartedly pursuing 10,000 dollars, and that when he got it he would come home and go to his ranch. He was glad to hear that, he said.

"He talked of the Motley business, which was new. He explained his letter to Motley, which to me needed no explanation; being the same as he sent to Nicolay, and which Nicolay and I thought was meant to call out a denial simply of the charges made against him. The Copperheads and Democrats who now form almost the entire support of the President,

are continually boring him for offices and accusing Mr. Seward of wickedly keeping in their places the old Radical Lincoln appointees. They make charges against these, and Mr. Seward sends them notifications thereof. Everybody but Motley has considered them as kindly intended, and answered them in that sense."

Since Motley's recall from Vienna directly shaped John Hay's career, and is often referred to in his Journal, we may describe it briefly. It caused a fuming scandal at the time, added to popular indignation against President Johnson, disturbed Seward's friends, and cut deeply into the proud nature of Motley himself.

A nondescript person named George W. McCrackin, of New York, wrote from Paris to President Johnson complaining that the American diplomats abroad were disloyal to the Administration. He charged that Motley not only did not pretend to conceal his disgust at the President's "whole conduct," but despised American democracy and proclaimed "loudly that an English nobleman" was "the model of human perfection." "There is not in all Europe," McCrackin added, "a more thorough flunkey or a more *un-American* functionary." Perhaps McCrackin hankered after a diplomatic position, for he noted enviously that Massachusetts

monopolized the lion's share of the consulates; perhaps Mrs. Motley, never having heard of Mrs. McCrackin (if that lady existed), neglected to invite her to tea; perhaps McCrackin was simply an austere patriot of the Catonic variety — let us give him the benefit of the doubt.

President Johnson, already at odds with his party and with Congress, and irritated by the popular insinuations against his own integrity, handed McCrackin's letter to Seward, and bade him to send formal inquiries to the diplomats arraigned by McCrackin as to their attitude. Seward supposed that Motley would make light — as he himself did — of the random accuser. Motley, however, was thoroughly incensed, and instead of sleeping over the matter, he hurried off a long disavowal of the charges, and closed by handing in his resignation. When Seward received this, he replied that, of course, the resignation could not be accepted; but on giving the President the summary of Motley's letter, Johnson said, "with a not unnatural asperity, 'Well, let him go.'" So Seward had to recall his despatch by cable, and Motley resigned. After hearing Seward's story, Hay wrote to Nicolay: "He [Motley] becomes a high-priced martyr and has the sure thing on a first-class mission two years hence. It is hard for Seward to save Lincoln's friends from being

pushed off their stools by hungry Copperheads; he defends them when he can."

In the Diary there follows the rest of Seward's conversation on February 2, which illuminates both Seward himself and the situation as he saw it.

"He told me Frederick Seward had gone to St. Domingo to buy a harbor and bay for a naval station for the United States. Not having heard a word since they sailed — Admiral Porter and he — he was a little anxious about him.

"He talked a great deal of the present position of politics and of his own attitude. He never seemed to me to better advantage. His utter calmness and cheerfulness, whether natural or assumed, is most admirable. He seems not only free from any political wish or aspiration, but says distinctly that he cares nothing for the judgment of history, so that he does his work well here.

"He speaks utterly without bitterness of the opposition to him and the President. He thinks the issue before the country was not fairly put, but seems rather to admire the cleverness with which the Radical leaders obscured and mis-stated the question to carry the elections. He says the elections in short amount to this: —

"*Congress to the North.* Do you want rebels to rule the Government? — No.

"Do you want more representation than the South? — Yes.

"Do you want negroes to vote in the South and not in the North? — Yes.

"Do you want to give up the fruits of victory to the South? — No.

"*Congress to the South.* Do you want your negroes to vote, and not Northern negroes? — No.

"Do you want to lose fifty members of Congress? — No.

"Do you want to be deprived of a vote yourselves? — Not by a damned sight.

"And so the issue is clearly presented in such a style as to decide the question beforehand.

"He asked me if I wanted anything — if I would like to go back to Europe. I said I would like anything worth having, if it could be given to me without any embarrassment to him or the President at the present time."

Hay spent the evening with his old friend, Harry Wise, who, he records, "is disgusted with Johnson. His first words to me were, 'Everything is changed — you find us all Copperheads.' Painter said, 'You will find the home of virtue has become the haunt of vice.' [Henry] Adams said, 'A man asked me the other day if I had been at the White House lately, and I told him No. I want to remember that house

as Lincoln left it.' Every one I met used some such expression. It is startling to see how utterly without friends the President is."

On Sunday, Hay "went to church alone. Walked home with Miss L. and listened a half hour to her clever Washington gossip — the most spirituel in the world. Then made several visits; saw Hooper<sup>1</sup> and Agassiz."

Hay dined with Secretary Seward at four o'clock — an hour commended to the attention of epicures. Doolittle<sup>2</sup> and Thurlow Weed came in. Their talk was on populations, ancient and modern, Weed having most to say about Rome and Italy, and Seward about the East, Babylon and Palestine. "His pictures of the desolation of those countries, which once nourished [their] millions, and where now a rat would starve, were very graphic."

"He suddenly said to me: 'And now, John Hay, if it were not that Weed is continually in the way, I would make you a Minister. But it seems Mr. Harris<sup>3</sup> is a very good man and has been defeated, and the President is fond of him and so a mission must be kept for him. There is a vacancy in Sweden, and I suppose Weed will insist on Harris having it.'

<sup>1</sup> Samuel Hooper, member of Congress from Massachusetts.

<sup>2</sup> James R. Doolittle, Senator from Wisconsin.

<sup>3</sup> Ira Harris, Senator from New York.



“‘Would Harris take such small change?’ I asked.

“Here Weed, who had not much relished Seward’s badinage, broke out, ‘It is too good for him. He would take anything. He deserves nothing.’

“This led to some conversation on Cowan’s<sup>1</sup> chances. They all thought them rather slim. Seward said it ought to be known in justice to Cowan that he had asked for nothing and knew nothing of the appointment until it came to the Senate. Doolittle said he would try to persuade Sumner to report upon the nomination without a recommendation and let the Senate act upon it in that way.

“Seward asked Doolittle if he had any influence left in the Committee on Foreign Relations? ‘Scarcely any,’ said Doolittle. ‘If there were anybody there you could depend on,’ said Seward, ‘I would like to have mischievous and annoying questions about our foreign policy prevented. When a private negotiation is begun and not finished, a blast of publicity destroys it; there is nothing more to be done. The attention and jealousy of the world outside is attracted to us and obstacles spring up in an hour. I have an understanding with Banks and have always had such a one with Sumner, until he has of late become hopelessly alienated. Conness<sup>2</sup> is especially

<sup>1</sup> Senator Edgar Cowan of Pennsylvania nominated as Minister to Austria, but not confirmed.

<sup>2</sup> John Conness, Senator from California.

troublesome. I could manage him by giving him all the offices in the Department, but he is so greedy and unreasonable that one cannot talk sensibly with him."

Thurlow Weed having left for New York just after dinner, Doolittle and Seward canvassed the situation. The former "thought the public temper was calming a little. Seward agreed with him — thought every day was a day gained for the cause of reason. Doolittle said Wade<sup>1</sup> was very ambitious for the place of President of the Senate, — that he had great strength; but that Fessenden<sup>2</sup> was beginning to be spoken of; that Fessenden evidently desired to be elected — which was a little unexpected, as Fessenden had never for a moment occupied the chair, but had always avoided taking it. The same is true of Wade.

"Seward said that Morgan<sup>3</sup> had called upon him that afternoon and had said the same thing of Fessenden. Seward told him he was for Fessenden; though that would probably injure Fessenden's chances if it were known; that Fessenden was by nature and habit of mind a safe and reasonable man; 'though he has more temper than I, for I have none; he would bend and make concessions for the sake of

<sup>1</sup> Benjamin F. Wade, Senator from Ohio.

<sup>2</sup> William P. Fessenden, Senator from Maine.

<sup>3</sup> Edwin D. Morgan, Senator from New York.

retaining his power to do good, which I could never do. I am satisfied that Fessenden wants that place for the good he can do and the harm he can prevent.' ”

Here Hay interjects an interesting comment: “The whipped-out, stunned way of talking that I have seen in all the Conservatives, is very remarkable. No bitterness, no energetic denunciation, no threats; but a bewildered sort of incapacity to comprehend the earnest deviltry of the other side, characterizes them all — but Seward, who is the same placid, philosophic optimist that he always was, the truest and most single-hearted Republican alive.

“As [Doolittle] rose to go, Seward said, ‘You must somehow help me to do something for John Hay.’ I was touched and astonished at this kind persistence of the Secretary in my favor.

“I staid an hour or so. He told me that it seemed as if they would prove General Dix to have been in receipt of the two salaries of the Minister and Naval Officer [of the port of New York]. He seemed much disgusted at this. He said, ‘It almost makes me determined never to give up a prejudice again.’ He ran over General Dix’s history, showing how consistently the General had always pursued his bread and butter in every conjuncture, always getting on pretty

well, but always losing the great prizes of his ambition by an unlucky lack of political principle and an over-greed of office, in every period of party crisis. He had always been opposed to him, but had taken him up and stood by him since the beginning of the War, in spite of the General's attempt to 'cut under' from time to time. Seward got him into Buchanan's Cabinet through Stanton. When Bigelow's place [at Paris] fell vacant by his resignation last July, Seward kept it for Dix. And now it seems he is to fall by this ignoble charge of avarice.

"We had some comforting optimist talk. I believe so utterly in Republicanism that I am never troubled long about the future. Baron Gerolt came in and we talked Napoleon and Bismarck and *fusil à aiguille*."

This last reference reminds us how recent the mounting of Prussia, and of Germany dominated by Prussia, has been. In 1867 the world was beginning to perceive that, by the crushing of Austria at Sadowa the year before, a power of the first order had come to the front. Men were already speculating as to the time of the inevitable contest between France and Prussia for mastery, and as to the relative merits of the French *chassepot* and the Prussian needle-gun.

The investigation of General Dix's alleged drawing of two salaries, which the Senate made a pretext

for harassing him, resulted in his favor. Meanwhile, Hay was directly affected because Seward seems to have intimated that he would send him to Paris as *Chargé d'Affaires*, in case Dix were forced to resign. Hay enlivened the days of waiting by making the rounds of official and social life, in each of which he was welcome.

"I went to see Charles Eames — found there Ashton and Chandler. Eames was unusually sesquipedalian over the Motley correspondence — denounced Seward's letter as one 'from which every element of tolerableness had been carefully eliminated'; and the Treasury men came in with the same style of thing, till I got loud and oratorical also."

On "one of God's own days" he joined Mrs. Sprague and Miss Hoyt, "doing a constitutional," and "walked with them in the blessed sunshine and shopped and rode in street cars (they paying, for I found the Fenians at Willard's had stolen all my money, which, like an idiot, I had left lying on my table. The curse of Donneraile be on them!) They took me in the afternoon to the President's to make a bow to Mrs. Patterson and Mrs. Stover. The [White] House is much more richly and carefully furnished than in my time. But the visitors were not quite up to the old mark, which itself was not hard to reach."

Another morning Hay went to Congress, and sent his card in to his old Springfield acquaintance, Shelby M. Cullom. "[He] brought me in on the floor, where I staid an hour or two and shook many hands. Everybody said something about the better days gone and nobody spoke of the better days coming. Yet in those better days they mourned, a million fine fellows were slaying each other with swords and guns, and the widows and the orphans were increasing faster than the babies."

On February 6 Mr. Seward told Hay that he had appointed him a temporary employé in the Department of State, to act, for the present, as Seward's private secretary; but Hay declined, knowing how quickly the men who were caught in the treadmill of routine ceased to be thought of as within reach of an independent career. He told Mr. Seward that "if he wished my personal services in the Department that of course they were entirely at his service; but that if he had done this out of his own usual kindness for me, that I thought best to decline; that I had better go home and see my parents for the present. He agreed with me and left me perfectly free to do as I liked, saying the place in the Department was open whenever I wanted it. He said he had proposed my name to the President the day before as Minister to Sweden. The President said

he had another man for it — General [Joseph J.] Bartlett, of New York. We are doing all we can for the soldiers, you know, etc. He said the matter was strictly confidential as yet.

“I told him I had business proposals under consideration — they were not what I wanted but would probably support me and give me in time a competence. He said he had no doubt that a good position in business was worth very much more to me than any appointment I could hold under the Government. I agreed, but said that, after being Minister, I could make better arrangements. He said he would not forget me. I thanked him for all his goodness and took leave.

“Now the real reason I declined this thing was, I believe, a motive I did not suspect or acknowledge to myself: the note and telegram I had received the night before. I went to Mrs. Sprague’s and she had slept on it and said no. So I determined to stay here till after Monday anyhow.”

To what the “note and telegram” referred, I have no clue: presumably, to some business offer, about which Hay had asked Mrs. Sprague’s advice.

The Diary now introduces us to a personage who has been often mentioned in the White House Journal — Charles Sumner, the senior Senator from Massachusetts.

“I dined with Sumner. The party was Mr. and Mrs. Sumner,<sup>1</sup> who looks very sweet and matronly in her *secondes noces*, Miss H., Mr. Field<sup>2</sup> of Philadelphia, George Wm. Curtis and myself. I like Sumner better since his marriage. He should have been married long ago. Every man should who can afford it. His ready-made family is very taking. Little Bel H. came running in for dessert and rated Curtis soundly for not giving her the largest bonbon. It was quite startling to see Sumner in the bosom of his family.

“The conversation was entirely political. The debate of the day in the Senate. Sherman’s speech against including Cabinet Ministers in the Tenure of Office bill was rather severely criticized by Sumner, who thought he had been too magnanimous in allowing it to pass unanswered. Sumner thought the power of appointing and removing members of the Cabinet more properly belonged to the Senate as a permanent body than to the President. He said the Senate was less liable to become depraved and bad than the President. He said, ‘for instance, I can scarcely imagine a Senate that would now confirm Mr. Seward.’

“As to the argument in favor of harmony in the

<sup>1</sup> Mrs. Sumner was the young widow of Samuel Hooper’s son.

<sup>2</sup> John W. Field.



Cabinet, he scouted that altogether. He said that in every constitutional government in the world the head of the Government was frequently obliged to accept ministers that were personally and politically obnoxious. That it was the duty often of a patriotic Minister to remain in the counsels of a perverted administration as a 'privileged spy.' He referred to Stanton and said it should be made impossible for Johnson to remove him.

"In all this ingenious and really clever and learned talk of Sumner's, I could but remark the blindness of an honest, earnest man, who is so intent upon what he thinks right and necessary that he closes his eyes to the fatal consequences of such a course in different circumstances and different times. The Senate is now a bulwark against the evil schemes of the President; therefore, he would give the Senate a power which might make it the most detestable engine of anarchy or oppression. Had this law that he now demands existed in 1861, the Rebellion would have had its seat and center in Washington, and loyalty would have worn the bloody color of Revolution. I told him so, but he would not see it, saying if the South had taken that course they would by that act have abnegated their rebellion — which to me seems absurd.

"Gen'l Dix was discussed. Curtis favored letting

him slide for his two years. Field thought the 'hoary old place-hunter should be marked and punished.' Sumner treated with contempt the charge of cumulation against Gen'l Dix. His crime of presiding at the Philadelphia Convention<sup>1</sup> is capital. How can the Senate reject the small fry of renegade Unionists and permit to go unscathed the man who gave to that wicked scheme all its momentary respectability?

"Sumner's account of the rejection of McGinnis<sup>2</sup> was very amusing. 'The Senate's answer to Master Seward.' He said Bartlett had come in in McGinnis' place. 'He is an old-fashioned Copperhead — did good service in our war, they say, but that won't save him.'" ("Bartlett was at last confirmed," Hay adds in the margin.)

"*February 7, Thursday.* Went to the House. The bill for the military government of the Rebel States was up. Brandegee<sup>3</sup> made a little flourish of the eagle with a long Latin quotation that made the Western members grin. Banks<sup>4</sup> I talked with some time. He was really despondent about the course things were taking — deprecating most earnestly

<sup>1</sup> A convention of "conservative" Republicans, held in August, 1866.

<sup>2</sup> George F. McGinnis, rejected by the Senate as Minister to Sweden.

<sup>3</sup> Augustus Brandegee, member of Congress from Connecticut.

<sup>4</sup> N. P. Banks, member from Massachusetts.

this abdication of the civil power in favor of the irresponsible military. I thought the case was not hopeless — bad as it was — as Congress could at any time resume the powers it now delegates for a temporary purpose. He said the people would more likely acquiesce in a bad thing done than work for its repeal. I talked with Boutwell<sup>1</sup> five minutes afterwards. He was confident that the measure was a good one and that the Army could be trusted. I think there never was an army that could be trusted, as an army. It is un-Anglo-Saxon to perpetuate this state of things. I recognize the miserable situation of the South, and perhaps this bill is necessary — but it is a bad thing to do for all that. Woe be to him by whom this offence cometh."

In the evening, after calling on Seward, who showed him a superb set of Chinese chessmen, Hay went to a reception at the White House.

"The President was very cordial to me: said I must come and see him. Mrs. Johnson received for the first time; a quiet, invalid old lady. The crowd not choice, but as good an average as ever; scarcely any distinguished people and none squalid. We used to have plenty of both."

Following Seward's advice, Hay went to see

<sup>1</sup> George S. Boutwell, of Massachusetts, one of the Republican Radicals.

Browning,<sup>1</sup> who was very cordial and promised at once. "He feels very gloomy," notes the diarist. "Thinks we are going to the devil. He is a brighter man and older man than I, but I know we are not."

*February 8.* "Dined with the Hoopers. There heard of Banks' unexpected and dramatic heading off of Overseer Thad<sup>2</sup> in the House. Enormously clever man is Banks. Too moderate and wise just now — a doomed Girondin, I am afraid. Raymond<sup>3</sup> is as clever, but not as good and strong.

"Doolittle said the other night to Seward that Banks had told him a few days before that he saw no earthly power that could prevent the impeachment of the President. This impressed Doolittle very much, as he said, Banks being himself against impeachment. Seward said that it would impress him more if it was not that he remembered that Banks had thought there was no salvation out of Knownothingism — when in fact there was none in it.

"Went to Secretary Welles's reception. Sheridan<sup>4</sup> was the lion, looking, as Miss Hooper says, as if he

<sup>1</sup> Orville H. Browning, Secretary of the Interior, the department before which the Southern land claims which Hay held would come.

<sup>2</sup> Thaddeus Stevens, of Pennsylvania, fire-eater, leader of the Republicans in the House.

<sup>3</sup> Henry J. Raymond, M. C. from New York, editor of the *New York Times*.

<sup>4</sup> General Philip H. Sheridan.

would blow up on short provocation. A mounted torpedo, somebody once called him — inflammable little Jack of Clubs — to whom be all praise. Then a German Cotillon at Reverdy Johnson's <sup>1</sup> — very ill led by a booby . . . , who danced in a straddling sort of way, 'wide between the legs as if he had gyves on.'"

"*February 9.* Went up to the House again. Talked with C.<sup>2</sup> about the affair of the day before. Saw another instance of the curious intolerance of the majority, and the feebleness of individual judgment when opposed to the decisions of the caucus. C. was heartily for Banks and his motion, and was full of delighted admiration of the way he carried it against Stevens — but acknowledged he had voted the other way. He says Boutwell is jealous of Banks and anxious to discredit him before the people of Massachusetts. I got the end of Boutwell's speech, which was very fine and nervous. Boutwell always shows to good advantage when thoroughly roused and excited. Raymond talked a little — clever and fluent as ever, and impressing nobody.

"In the evening there was a German Cotillon at Baron Gerolt's. Kasserow led, and very well. I danced with Miss Haggerty. Invitations were for 6½, being Saturday. People accepted and went early.

<sup>1</sup> Senator from Maryland.

<sup>2</sup> Presumably Cullom.

We dispersed to bed at midnight with a queer sense of its being the next morning.

"*Sunday, February 10.* At breakfast, Drake Dekay handed me Nasby's last letter about the legal lynching of a negro in Kentucky. The wit and satire of Locke<sup>1</sup> was growing so earnest and savage that it is painful to read him. This article is as pathetic as it is grotesque.

"I told Sumner what I conscientiously believe, that Seward has done all in his power to save Mr. Lincoln's appointees from being displaced by the Copperhead pressure; that he had spoken of giving a place to me without demanding or suggesting any adhesion to the present administration as the condition of the appointment.

"I asked Sumner if he did not intend to write a history of these times. He answered in a way to convince me that he had thought a great deal of the matter. He greatly regretted the absence of jottings to fix in his mind the incidents of his daily intercourse with the President, the Ministers of Government and the leading Congressmen. He considers himself the most highly qualified man in America to write an exhaustive political history of this great period, on account of his great and unusual facilities of inter-

<sup>1</sup> D. R. Locke, political satirist, who wrote over the pseudonym of "Petroleum V. Nasby."

course with every branch of government and opinion. He said 'it was impossible to do anything of the kind so long as he remained in the Senate.' I suggested that he might find the necessary leisure in the representation of the country for a few years in Europe. This suggestion was by no means novel to him.

"He told me that several months ago, when he spoke to Seward about the Harvey<sup>1</sup> matter, Seward had said that every Minister in Europe was with the President as against Congress. He said he did not answer, as he might have done, that he had at that moment in his pocket a letter from Motley and one from Hale disproving that assertion.

"Sumner has grown very arrogant with success. He feels keenly the satisfaction of being able to bind and loose at his free will and pleasure. There is no selfish exultation in it, or too little for him to recognize — it is rather the fierce joy of a prophet over the destruction of the enemies of his Lord. He speaks with hearty enjoyment of what is to happen to Cowan; referred to Doolittle's 'sleek, purring attempt' to soften him in that matter so far as to have Cowan's name referred to the Senate without recommendation — and his snort of rejection."

Hay, as we have seen, interspersed his political

<sup>1</sup> James E. Harvey, Minister to Portugal.

conferences with fashionable engagements. His life in Paris had made him more than ever at his ease in society. He was always a favorite with women.

"*February 11.* Mrs. Sprague gave a beautiful ball. The ladies who danced the Cotillon, and many who did not, had their hair powdered *à la marquise*. I have never seen so beautiful and picturesque a roomful. Some of the most striking were the Hostess herself (with whom I danced), the Høyts, Miss Romain Goddard, Miss Haggerty, and Mrs. Banks, who was very correctly dressed, even to the extent of the blue ribbon around the neck, a little refinement in which she was alone — Miss Kinzie, a fresh Western beauty and a superb *danseuse*. Mrs. Sumner and Miss Hooper, though not powdered, were beautifully dressed."

During the evening, Hay talked with the Chief Justice, who showed him Carpenter's engraving of the Reading of the Proclamation. "He objects to the whole picture being made subsidiary to Seward, who is talking while every one else either listens or stares into vacancy. He thinks it would have been infinitely better to have taken the 22d of September, when the Proclamation was really read to the Cabinet. I referred to Seward's criticism that the subject was not well chosen — that the really decisive Cabinet meeting was that at which it was decided to pro-



vision and reinforce Fort Sumter. He said there was no such meeting; that Mr. Lincoln asked the opinion of the Cabinet in writing; that there were but two of the Cabinet who favored the reinforcement, himself and Blair; that Blair was more decided than he in favor of reinforcing the fort; that *he* (Chase) thought some strong and decided assertion or proclamation of the intention of the Government should have been made at that time. Chase was always a little addicted to *coups de théâtre*.

"I said I thought an exaggerated importance was often ascribed to the manner in which events were accomplished; that in great revolutionary times events accomplished themselves not by means of, but in spite of, the well-meant efforts of the best and wisest men. The Girondins nearly monopolized the brains of France; yet they were crushed out, as it was probably necessary they should be — that the destiny of the people should be accomplished through their fever and their struggles.

"He quite agreed with this, insisting, however, upon the individual responsibility of each one to do what seems best in his sight for the commonwealth.

"Of course this was also my view. I am obstinately optimist, but not fatalist. Every man should do what he thinks is right, but he should know also

that what the Republic does is right — in the largest sense.”

The Dix case, on which hung Hay's prospects of a diplomatic post, was delayed from day to day in the Senate. Charles Sumner, the dominating influence in the Committee on Foreign Relations, held out against confirming him with the stubbornness of a virtuous fanatic, basing his opposition, not on the charges of cumulation of offices, but on Dix's having presided over the Philadelphia Convention. Sumner said: “It is the only ground I can stand on. I once reported against a man because he had delirium tremens. Saulsbury and McDougall<sup>1</sup> denounced me as a water-drinking fanatic. I once objected to a candidate that he could not read. I was accused of searching an impossible Boston ideal of scholarship for public service. So now, if I say of a man that he supports the policy of the President, and that I will not send him abroad to misrepresent me and the Senate, that is intelligible and satisfactory.”

Writing to Nicolay at this time Hay says: “Sumner has blood in his eye. He is splendid in his present temper — arrogant, insolent, implacable — thoroughly in earnest — honest as the day.”

<sup>1</sup> Senators Willard Saulsbury, of Maryland, and James A. McDougall, of California.

Whilst the appointment hung fire, Hay cast about for an alternative occupation. He received offers to join a firm of lawyers, or to become a claim agent. Either promised a good income in those days, when the American citizen who could not think up some claim against the national Treasury was either hopelessly dull or singularly honest. Hay himself had bought in 1864 seven pieces of land in Florida, which he now got patents for; but this speculation never bore fruit for him.

*"February 12.* After dinner went in to say good-night to the Chief Justice. His guests had just gone; it was eleven o'clock. I walked up and down the deserted salon with him a few moments. He said there had been a good many Southern people there that evening; that he made it a point to treat them always with especial courtesy. I agreed that this was a good thing to do, even where they abused you for it and called it Yankee subserviency and charged it to mean motives. They know it is not true; they feel their inferiority, and their bluster is the protest of wounded pride. Chase said he felt kindly towards the people of the South. He only demanded that no man of any color should suffer for having been loyal during the war; which is little enough to ask, and which must be insisted on, *ruat cælum.*"

*"February 21.* Dined with the Hoopers. . . . Mr.

that what the Republic does is right — in the largest sense."

The Dix case, on which hung Hay's prospects of a diplomatic post, was delayed from day to day in the Senate. Charles Sumner, the dominating influence in the Committee on Foreign Relations, held out against confirming him with the stubbornness of a virtuous fanatic, basing his opposition, not on the charges of cumulation of offices, but on Dix's having presided over the Philadelphia Convention. Sumner said: "It is the only ground I can stand on. I once reported against a man because he had delirium tremens. Saulsbury and McDougall<sup>1</sup> denounced me as a water-drinking fanatic. I once objected to a candidate that he could not read. I was accused of searching an impossible Boston ideal of scholarship for public service. So now, if I say of a man that he supports the policy of the President, and that I will not send him abroad to misrepresent me and the Senate, that is intelligible and satisfactory."

Writing to Nicolay at this time Hay says: "Sumner has blood in his eye. He is splendid in his present temper — arrogant, insolent, implacable — thoroughly in earnest — honest as the day."

<sup>1</sup> Senators Willard Saulsbury, of Maryland, and James A. McDougall, of California.

Whilst the appointment hung fire, Hay cast about for an alternative occupation. He received offers to join a firm of lawyers, or to become a claim agent. Either promised a good income in those days, when the American citizen who could not think up some claim against the national Treasury was either hopelessly dull or singularly honest. Hay himself had bought in 1864 seven pieces of land in Florida, which he now got patents for; but this speculation never bore fruit for him.

"*February 12.* After dinner went in to say good-night to the Chief Justice. His guests had just gone; it was eleven o'clock. I walked up and down the deserted salon with him a few moments. He said there had been a good many Southern people there that evening; that he made it a point to treat them always with especial courtesy. I agreed that this was a good thing to do, even where they abused you for it and called it Yankee subserviency and charged it to mean motives. They know it is not true; they feel their inferiority, and their bluster is the protest of wounded pride. Chase said he felt kindly towards the people of the South. He only demanded that no man of any color should suffer for having been loyal during the war; which is little enough to ask, and which must be insisted on, *ruat cælum.*"

"*February 21.* Dined with the Hoopers. . . . Mr.

Hooper came in disgusted with the action of the House on the bill to redeem the 7-30 notes and 'for the inflation of the currency.' He could not help being a little amused, even in his disgust, at the neat way in which they had taken advantage of his success in getting the bill introduced 'by turning it wrong-side out and handing it back to him passed.'

"During this week saw very much of Chase and his family; played a combination of billiards and 10 pins in the parlor, which kept us out of politics."

Tired at last of waiting, Hay went to New York on February 23. There he talked over various business projects, and saw Guernsey, editor of *Harper's Magazine*, who said he would like some short stories, but "did not encourage the novel nor the Lincoln book." As usual, Hay called on many friends. "Thurlow Weed," he writes, "has spoken to me about going into the redaction of a newspaper, the *Commercial Bulletin*, which he "intends buying and running as a Republican paper, he assures me. I don't much like the idea of Hurlbert<sup>1</sup> in it, and the whole thing looks to me hopeless. This is no time for reactionary measures."

On March 3, Forney telegraphed that Dix had been at last confirmed. Hay at once wrote Secretary

<sup>1</sup> William H. Hurlbert, a brilliant but untrusted New York editorial writer.

Seward a long letter, full of gratitude for his benevolent intentions. "I have come to regard you," he added, "as I know the world will, when the smoke has risen from the battlefields of to-day, as nearly as one may reach it, the ideal of the Republican workingman — calm without apathy, bold without rashness, firm without obstinacy, and with a patriotism permeated with religious faith."

There being nothing further to expect from Washington, Hay journeyed to Warsaw.

## CHAPTER XI

### THE ROVING DIPLOMAT — VIENNA

HAY returned to Warsaw as poor in purse as when he set out for Paris; for a diplomatic secretaryship was ill paid and led nowhere. In spite of his fondness for the great world, he always went home gladly. He loved his parents; he delighted in the old familiar places; and as he grew older he found more and more refreshment and delight in nature herself.

"I am safely lodged at last among my Lares and Penates," he wrote Nicolay on March 18. "I find my parents as well as ever; my mother better than usual, and full of her old good spirits; my father at 66 with not a gray hair, with ruddy cheek and ravenous appetite of a growing boy. . . . There is little comfort in the country now. The weather is hideous, *i.e.*, what people insanely call 'beautiful, fresh, cold weather.' A cloudless sky, white shining distances, and a thermometer ten degrees below 0 according to Meinherr Fahrenheit. I have escaped six winters and my good nature has been nipped and frozen in this absurd springtime."

"Poverty everywhere," he added; and he warned



Nicolay, who was still consul in Paris, "You had better not come here till you are kicked out and our crazy friends in the Senate have legislated all the dead-beats not in office into an eternity of bread and butter." Among other possible resources which he and Nicolay had talked over was a biography of Lincoln; but he reports: "Nobody is keen for our book. We will have to write it and publish on our own hook some day, when we can afford."

As the spring wore on, Hay took great pleasure in gardening, in walks, and in working and idling in just sufficient measure so that work and loafing were alternately satisfying. He leased his five-acre apple-orchard to "a quaint and most worthy man, named Smith, a Methodist colporteur who peddles the Gospel with Methodist sauce in the winter and vexes the envious soil in the summer." "Two fine industrious Yankees," the Durfee boys, "have taken the vineyard and the ten-acre block" on College Hill — "thoroughly good fellows with sand in their gizzards." Hay himself spent a good deal of time on the different places, destroying caterpillars, "digging some, planting, pruning." Here follows a confession from which we infer that traces of the New England conscience still clung to him. "I find a singular love for that kind of work in myself. It is the sense of justification it gives me for not doing nothing. If I

stay at home I cannot idle or read for amusement, without being haunted by the ceaseless reproach of misspent time. But in the fields, tiresome and monotonous as the work may be — such as shovelling dirt or dropping corn — it frees me utterly from the sense of responsibility for the passing hour. I am doing work, substantial, real work, which will have its result doubtless some day, and so I plod on and watch the sun, glad after all when my day is done and I can ramble home through the magnificent hills and valleys that surround this town."

Nevertheless, in respites from the haunting reproaches, which we may suspect were not very acute, he enjoyed natural beauty without thought of material profit. "I never was so close to nature before since I was a child," he tells his Diary. "I have watched the flowers, like a detective, this spring." And then he goes on in quite the romantic vein, to rhapsodize over "a little patch of wild woodland that is very sweet and solitary — full of fresh woodsy smells and far enough from any farmyards to be utterly still — barring the birds and the grasshoppers — whose racket only makes the solitude more perfect, by proof." Another day he stumbled on a bit "of open turf, thick in blue grass and superbly illuminated with great purple and field pansies that had probably bloomed for years unseen by any eyes, but

the bright, beady ones of orioles and jays and cat-birds. It was worth the price I paid for the land, to feel that this exquisite show, so lavishly running to waste year after year, was mine. I would not pluck them — the violets and phlox, the windflowers and bluebells — because I loved them."

In the valley pastures of his neighbors, however, he picked "redbud hawthorn, apple bloom and plum blossoms, right and left, making what [he] thought an equitable return in killing about a thousand ugly green-black-yellow caterpillars that had raised their tent on the limb of a splendid crab, all pink and fragrant in its May bloom. . . . Then at the risk of my neck I clambered up the bank by Grover's — where the curving precipice looks like a ruined amphitheatre of the woodland gods that are gone — I got a handful of columbine, and then came slowly down to the river and along its pebbly banks home. I can never get enough of looking at the River. It has its new fresh beauty every morning and noon; and a new and unimagined transfiguration every sunset."

So sings the landscapist in words, the Romanticist whom Nature stirred with genuine though vague emotions.

But what should he be? As a weaver of prose idyls he could not hope to keep body and soul together. A breadwinning occupation must be found; and the

quest for it, in the case of a man like Hay, whose aptitudes were many, offers some of the excitement of a sport. Would his temperament, or would opportunity, triumph in the choice?

Two or three possibilities came to nothing. Munroe, the Paris banker, had suggested that Hay might enter that house; but he now backed out. Of another offer Hay says: "I can't survey the prospect of plunging into this affair without a sort of shuddering horror." He disliked the job of claim agent, in spite of its lucrativeness. The law did not attract him. He could not forget that he had spent four years in Washington as Lincoln's secretary,—a memory which exacted a certain dignity of him.

"I can scarcely say now to myself what my plans are," he records on June 3. "Let me see. Go to Springfield — see some publishers in New York and Boston — write L's book for him — write two lectures, and that will pretty well fill up the summer. If it were only myself that I thought of I would stay here. I will have an income — all things succeeding — of at least 500 [dollars] a year, and I can bring that up a few hundred by writing — and have a more tranquil mind than anywhere else."

Just after he wrote these lines, he learned through the *New York Times* that he was to be appointed Secretary of Legation to act as *Chargé d'Affaires* at

Vienna, the post Motley had quitted in dudgeon. On receiving the official notification, which had been misdirected and was a fortnight late, Hay left Warsaw for New York. Of his journey he records the following: —

“Rode to Carthage in the same seat with Robert Lincoln, a second cousin of the late President. He is forty-one years old, looks much older. The same eyes and hair the President had — the same tall stature and shambling gait, less exaggerated; a rather rough, farmer-looking man. Drinks hard, chews ravenously. He says the family is about run out. ‘We are not a very marrying set.’ He is dying of consumption, he said very coolly. There was something startling in the resemblance of the straight thicket of hair, and the grey, cavernous eyes framed in black brows and lashes, to the features of the great dead man. He was a pioneer of our country. Knew my father since long years. Brought a load of wheat to Gould & Miller in 1842 with ox teams; got \$90 in gold for it. Told me that in 1860 he had talked to ‘Abe’ about assassination. Abe said: ‘I never injured anybody. No one is going to hurt me.’ He says he was invited by Abe to go to Washington at the time of the inauguration, but declined, thinking it dangerous — a naïveté of statement I thought would have been impossible out of the West.”

Hay sailed on June 29, 1867, from New York on the *City of Boston* — the steamer which not long afterwards disappeared in mid-ocean and has never been heard of since. Ten days later he landed at Liverpool, and, like most Americans, he lost no time in going up to London. There he enjoyed during a brief stay the double pleasure of seeing some of the celebrities of the time and of visiting Westminster Abbey and other monuments which had long been shrines in his imagination. He lunched at 54 Portland Place, with Charles Francis Adams, the American Minister, where, he says, "we tore our friends to pieces a little while. Motley got one or two slaps that were very unexpected to me. Sumner and his new wife were brushed up a little." It was to this marriage that Hay referred in his Paris Diary: "Col. Ritchie informed me today of Sumner's engagement to Mrs. Sturgis Hooper. He wrote a letter to Mrs. Adams announcing his engagement, but did not even mention the lady's name. This is eminently characteristic. The great point with Sumner is that *he* is to be married. If the lady happens to get married about the same time, all the better for her. But this is quite a secondary consideration."

Hay's record of an afternoon spent in the Houses of Parliament contains some interesting pen-portraits. In the vestibule he met Lord Eliot, "looking

with his blazing head and whiskers as if he "had just come through hell with his hat off. . . . On the Government bench, to the right of the Speaker, the most noticeable man was Disraeli [who was just carrying through his Reform Bill]. He has grown enormously in the public estimation in this session. . . . In the great fight now beginning between Privilege and Democracy in England, the Democrats will have need of all their skill and discretion, for the Aristocracy seem to perceive to a great extent the meaning of the occasion, and they will throw everything away in the fight that does not seem essential. If the Republicans are not distracted by false issues they will conquer at last, by the force of numbers. But they must make a good fight or suffer long delays.

"While we were there, Disraeli, Gladstone, Forster, Newdegate and several others made short conversational talks. I was very much impressed with their directness and simplicity of statement. I think the exclusion of the public, by taking away all temptation to display, has a very fine effect on parliamentary oratory. Nothing could be clearer and finer than Disraeli's and Gladstone's manner of stating their points.

"The members sat with their hats on, taking them off when they rose to speak, and replacing them immediately afterwards. Many had their feet

on the back of the bench in front. Yet on the whole their demeanor was very attentive and respectful. They have a very decided way of expressing their approbation or disapproval of the member speaking. I admired Newdegate's coolness in holding his own and talking, unmoved by a general growl of ill-natured comment, until the Speaker called him to order."

The debate in the Commons not being specially interesting, Hay's party crossed to the House of Lords and took seats on the steps of the Throne.

"The Lord Chancellor was in his seat. In front of him the Clerks; on either side, on benches, the Peers. The Government occupying his right; Lord Derby at their head. Nearest us, on the right, were the spiritual Lords; the Archbishop of Canterbury, an elderly and rather infirm-looking man; the Bishop of Oxford, a fine, portly prelate, whose blue riband made me think of a prize ox; the Bishop of Bath and Wells and the Bishop of London.

"On our left sat the Duke of Buccleugh, a stiff dry Scotchman, with a wen on his forehead. Next him snored comfortably Viscount Sidney. Then came Lord Stanhope. Then the Duke of Argyll, small of stature and red of hair. Moran pointed out to us the tall, slender, finicky Marquis of Bath, who was severely nipped by the Cotton Loan; Earl Powis, a



smaller Forrest<sup>1</sup> without the moustache; the Duke of Richmond, a good-looking silver-haired man; Lord Stratford de Redcliffe, a rather undersized old gentleman, white-haired, bent, and not in the least the grand manner that Kinglake<sup>2</sup> fancies; and the Duke of Buckingham and Chandos, the most remarkable-looking nobleman I ever saw — who looks in style, station, dress, way of getting over the ground, face and feature like a brisk country grocer in New England. Yet he is one of the best bloods that the English stud can show and is a bright fellow besides, as his plucky retrieval of his estates, ruined by the waste of his father, shows. Bourgeois as he looks, he is as proud as any one of his class, they say. The Earl of Bradford is a good-looking, youngish man. Lord Romilly and Lord Cairns, two recent additions to the law Lords, made short, sensible speeches while we were there."

That evening, "a good-hearted grain-dealer from Milwaukee, who has been to Paris for ten days and comes back bored to death because he could n't tell a cabman where to drive," took Hay and his companions to Cremorne and the Alhambra; "which are," Hay writes, "dreary beyond the power of human tongue to describe. Yet they were full of the

<sup>1</sup> Edwin Forrest, the American tragedian.

<sup>2</sup> In his "History of the Crimean War."

same class one finds in the Mabilles and elsewhere, who have nothing better in God's world to do. . . . We passed down the Haymarket for a quarter of an hour. The streets were full of poor old women and some not so old, painted, bedizened and miserable. . . . It was certainly in London that Pope learned that 'Vice is a monster of such frightful mien,' etc."

Before leaving London, Hay called on Motley, just back from Vienna. "I shall never have any more doubt," Hay records, "as to the long mooted question whether it hurts a man to cut off his head. It hurts like the devil. He received me very coolly and stiffly, not speaking a word in reply to my salutation. He answered in the driest and briefest way my questions about his family. I asked when he had left Vienna and he began to talk. He grew almost hysterical in his denunciation of the 'disgusting, nasty outrage of his being turned out.' 'His resignation had been forced from him by a trick and then snapped at, to give the place to somebody else.' 'But the crowning insult of all was his recent letter of recall.'

"He evidently thought that the Senate was going to keep him in by rejecting all nominees, and was bitterly disappointed at the turn things had taken. He wanted to stay at Vienna a few years more to

make the necessary researches in the archives there for his history of the Thirty Years' War.

"We talked an hour or so. As it is not possible to justify entirely the conduct of the Government in this matter, I did not attempt that, but explained to Motley how I thought he was mistaken in imputing it to any hostility on Seward's part. Seward's utter indifference to attacks and his philosophic calmness under abuse, I think, render him a little indifferent to the sufferings of his sensitive fellow-creatures under the same inflictions. He never dreamed that Motley would take that letter in such dudgeon, though it must be admitted that it was a frightful one for a gentleman to write or to receive."

At a farewell dinner at Mr. Adams's Hay reports that they "talked among other things of the late extraordinary recantation speech of Earl Russell. Adams says Russell has been always, in his way, our friend, Gladstone has not; has been led away by his impulses now and then. Adams thinks Disraeli has forced the present bill on the Tory party, that he has led them the devil's own rigadon of a dance. If so, I take back all the credit I have given them for shrewdness and sagacity, and transfer it all to Dizzy himself. Then Adams gave a most humorous account of the visit of the Prince of Wales to the

Monitor. They evidently dislike Fox at No. 54. I hardly know why."

Hay went to the Continent by way of Salisbury and Stonehenge. Early in August he reached Vienna, where he established himself in "an apartment of three good rooms, kitchen and servant's room," for which he paid 1500 florins a year. It being summer, society was out of town, so that he had all the more leisure for making himself familiar with the city. His zest for sight-seeing had not worn off, and for him sight-seeing included not only galleries and monuments but the habits and customs of the people.

"The great luxury is music," he writes enthusiastically to Nicolay. "One of the Strauss family leads in the Volksgarten several times a week, admission 40 kreutzer, not 20 cents. Or you can cool your nose on the bars of the enclosure and hear it for nothing—if you are not *Beamter* [an official]. The opera is good—the only ballet I ever saw that was not a bore. *Faust* was superbly given a few nights ago. Mr. Motley has a box and has given me the reversion of it till October, in which I am luxurious. The acting is very fine also in the Hofburg Theatre, the classic—and Offenbach is lord over all in the other show-houses. *Blue Beard*, *Belle Hélène*, and the *Grand Duchess*, have delighted the town for the last fortnight." (September 2, 1867.)

Vienna was forgetting the tragedy of a disastrous war and Paris was hastening towards destruction to the tuneful frivolities of Offenbach — so uncertain is music in registering the moral values of a period.

"The suburbs of this town — the environs rather — " Hay goes on, "are very beautiful. I spend most of my Sundays in the mountains and valleys of this chain of the Tyrols that seems to have been caught and turned into a wild pleasure ground."

At Vienna, Hay came for the first time upon a people still bound by ancient religious superstitions and upon a government which still permitted a large measure of ecclesiastical control in the affairs of the State. He observed with increasing wonder the persistence of medieval ideals. The frequency of Church festivals, encouraged for obvious reasons, stirred in him surprise and amusement. On such occasions, he writes, —

"The whole town shuts up shop and goes to the country. They eat a good dinner, drink a good deal of beer, and smoke many cigars, and the economies of the week vanish in the enjoyment of a day. When they go off on these excursions they are very sensible about it, enjoying themselves in a most hearty and naïve way. They do not seem to need the excitement and amusement that the Parisians crave or demand. They are contented to lie on the

grass and look at the white clouds, to loaf through the balsamic woods, to live and let the world roll on. They break very easily into groups of two, and are not ashamed to let the world into the confidence of their tender sentiments."

Vienna prided itself, indeed, on being the gay capital; and to foreigners, the Viennese seemed a people incapable of emotions deeper than a waltz could express, or of griefs too poignant for a waltz to soothe. Only the year before, Austria, beaten by the terrible Prussians at Sadowa, had lost her leadership; but she was now outwardly cheerful. The war had forced her to adjust herself to more modern conditions; and Hay studied, as best he could, the progress of the Compromise with Hungary and the various reforms which were eagerly debated in parliament. As his official duties took up little of his time, he spent his leisure in excursions, or in watching the folk life in the streets, or at the theatre and opera. One of his keen pleasures was visiting the galleries. Already at the Louvre he had begun to cultivate his taste in paintings and statues, and in London he "walked through the National Gallery and saw for the first time Turner. I would go to him very often if I lived in London," he adds. On his way to Vienna, he had seen, at Antwerp, some fine examples of the great Flemings. Now, at the Belve-

dere, he went on to explore the magic world of the fine arts. He sets down his enthusiasm with delightful frankness, not caring whether his riper judgment may repudiate his first impression.

Thus, on going to the Belvedere, the first thing his eyes light upon are "the two sway-backed horses that romp before the palace in an attitude suggesting a sudden attack of *mollities ossium*. A man who has once seen and thoroughly studied the Marly horses at the gates of the Champs Elysées, has his judgment formed and his verdict forestalled for any other horses that have ever been cast or hewn. All the other rampant horses I have ever seen impress me as imperfect imitations, or desperate variations of the incomparable marbles of Couston."

For subtlety, fervor, and characteristic flashes of humor none of his notes on paintings excel the following description of Rubens's portrait of his second wife.

"I found food for my new love of Rubens," he says, "whom I detested in Paris, but to whom I have made reverent recantation since Antwerp. In fact, the picture I was most curious to see was his Helen Fourment, that odd and fantastic, artistic pillorying of a pretty woman's immodest fancy and a husband's proud and sensual love for the disrespectful admiration of all time." . . . [with some difficulty]

"I came before the object of my search. It stood in a good light by a window. . . . I felt as glad as if I had found a lucky stone. So she stood, those centuries ago, before her fond, jolly husband, to whom Art was its own excuse in everything. You can see in the pretty naïve face, with its great blue eyes, full yet of childish wonder, framed in those splendid, crisp locks of gold, the struggle of love and vanity against natural modesty. She snatches up the artist's furred cloak and wraps it round her with a quick, coquettish grace—and all the warring sentiments are appeased. They are as old as Eden, the vanity, the sensualism, the suggestive concealment. And as she stands thus, in that attitude where grace and awkwardness are, as in all real women, so charmingly blended, the fond eye of the Artist husband catches the fleeting loveliness and fixes it forever. The sweet, artless, spoiled child face that we know so well, that walks with Rubens in the garden in the Pinacothek at Munich, that goes sailing up to heaven in the altar-piece at Antwerp, and stands on the *voilet* of her husband's stupendous work, *The Descent from the Cross*, is here most exquisitely drawn, and the enamoured artist revels in the red and white and blue and gold of cheeks, lips, hair and eyes. And yet you see that he loves no less the soft, round pink knees and the fat, white feet. You are glad Rubens had



such a wife, and very glad he did not marry your sister."

The man who wrote that assuredly lacked neither discernment nor literary skill; yet he still felt himself a novice in art criticism. "I think I shall be friends with the Belvedere," he records after his first visit. "I spent a day there some weeks ago, to get the 'hang of the schoolhouse.' A Western boy, who had never learned his letters, on his first day at school was asked by the schoolmistress if he could read. He replied, with the spirit of Western pluck, he reckoned he could as soon as he got the hang of the schoolhouse."

Equally vivid are Hay's sketches of street scenes in Vienna. Here is one of a religious procession.

"Monks in dozens with shaved heads, the first honest shaved heads I have ever seen, all sorts of ecclesiastical supes with candles, that flickered in the wind and went out. Some lit them conscientiously and shaded them with their hands. Others marched on stolidly, careless of appearances, with shameless black wicks. Six expensive-looking fellows carried a heavily embroidered baldaquin; six more lighted them with gorgeous red lamps. Under the baldaquin walked a very pompous party, who from time to time stopped the procession and made a remark or so in an unknown tongue; upon which the whole pro-

"I came before the object of my search. It stood in a good light by a window. . . . I felt as glad as if I had found a lucky stone. So she stood, those centuries ago, before her fond, jolly husband, to whom Art was its own excuse in everything. You can see in the pretty naïve face, with its great blue eyes, full yet of childish wonder, framed in those splendid, crisp locks of gold, the struggle of love and vanity against natural modesty. She snatches up the artist's furred cloak and wraps it round her with a quick, coquettish grace—and all the warring sentiments are appeased. They are as old as Eden, the vanity, the sensualism, the suggestive concealment. And as she stands thus, in that attitude where grace and awkwardness are, as in all real women, so charmingly blended, the fond eye of the Artist husband catches the fleeting loveliness and fixes it forever. The sweet, artless, spoiled child face that we know so well, that walks with Rubens in the garden in the Pinacothek at Munich, that goes sailing up to heaven in the altar-piece at Antwerp, and stands on the *volet* of her husband's stupendous work, *The Descent from the Cross*, is here most exquisitely drawn, and the enamoured artist revels in the red and white and blue and gold of cheeks, lips, hair and eyes. And yet you see that he loves no less the soft, round pink knees and the fat, white feet. You are glad Rubens had

such a wife, and very glad he did not marry your sister."

The man who wrote that assuredly lacked neither discernment nor literary skill; yet he still felt himself a novice in art criticism. "I think I shall be friends with the Belvedere," he records after his first visit. "I spent a day there some weeks ago, to get the 'hang of the schoolhouse.' A Western boy, who had never learned his letters, on his first day at school was asked by the schoolmistress if he could read. He replied, with the spirit of Western pluck, he reckoned he could as soon as he got the hang of the schoolhouse."

Equally vivid are Hay's sketches of street scenes in Vienna. Here is one of a religious procession.

"Monks in dozens with shaved heads, the first honest shaved heads I have ever seen, all sorts of ecclesiastical supes with candles, that flickered in the wind and went out. Some lit them conscientiously and shaded them with their hands. Others marched on stolidly, careless of appearances, with shameless black wicks. Six expensive-looking fellows carried a heavily embroidered baldaquin; six more lighted them with gorgeous red lamps. Under the baldaquin walked a very pompous party, who from time to time stopped the procession and made a remark or so in an unknown tongue; upon which the whole pro-

cession and the majority of the bystanders ducked, beat their breasts and moaned as if in severe indigestion. A smell of incense filled the air, which to me always has an odor of good company, I do not know why. I took off my hat with the rest, and was grateful for the incense and the music. I believe Austria is the only country on earth where the priests wear top boots. It gives them a remarkably rakish and knowing air. They feel their oats more plainly here than anywhere in the world."

And here is a view of the Viennese Ghetto, swept away in the modernizing of the old town which was in process while Hay was writing:—

"As I go in the early morning to take my plunge and splash in the Danube water in Leopold Stadt, I walk through the Tiefen Graben, the deep ditch which marks the site of the ancient moat of the outer fortress of the city. . . .

"The Tiefen Graben is so far below the average level of the city that, about half way down its length, Wipplinger Strasse strides far above it in the air. In the T. G. you wonder what that suspension bridge is for, and in Wipplinger Strasse you gaze with amazement at the men and wagons burrowing at the bottom of the ditch. The Tiefen Graben runs into the Gestade, and out of this dark, foul and utterly ignoble place starts the Talzgries, which runs for a

few hundred paces and ends in the broad, bright, garish sunshine and wide daylight of the Donau Arm.

“Along this unclean street rolls an endless tide of Polish Jews, continually supplied by little rivulets running down from the Judenplatz and the *culs de sac* of that neighborhood, not running, but trickling down the steep, stone bed of the cañons called Fischer Stiege and Marien Stiege and Wachtel Gasse, Quail Alley. These squalid veins and arteries of impoverished and degenerate blood are very fascinating to me. I have never seen a decent person in these alleys or on those slippery stairs. But everywhere stooping, dirty figures in long, patched and oily black gabardines of every conceivable material, the richest the shabbiest usually, because oldest and most used, covering the slouching, creeping form, from the round shoulders to the splay, shuffling feet. A battered soft felt hat crowns the oblique, indolent, crafty face, and, what is most offensive of all, a pair of greasy curls dangle in front of the pendulous ears. This coquetry of hideousness is most nauseous. The old Puritan who wrote in Barebones’ time on the ‘Unloveliness of love locks’ could here have either found full confirmation of his criticism or turned with disgust from his theme.

“What they are all doing is the wonder. They

stand idle and apathetic in the sunshine, or gather in silent or chatty groups of three or four, take snuff and blow their aquiline noses in chorus on dubious brown handkerchiefs. They have utterly revolutionized my ideas of the Hebrew. In America we always say, 'Rich as a Jew,' because even if a Jew is poor he is so brisk, so sharp and enterprising that he is sure to make money eventually. But these slouching rascals are as idle as they are ugly. It occurred to me that it might be those long coats that keep them down in life, and that the next generation, if put early into roundabouts, might be spry fellows. But the Jesuits moved the world in their long coats. I suppose the curse of the nation has lit on these fellows especially.

"All this quarter is subject to them apparently, for the little, obscure shops in the blind alleys have Hebrew signs. This was another shock to me. Think of tallow and onions advertised in a corner grocery in the sublime and mysterious characters in which the Tables of the Law were carved. I saw that this morning."

Such is the Ghetto by daylight. Hay is equally graphic in describing it by night, when he "walked again through those blind alleys and swarming streets. The veil of darkness made the crowd more easy and confidential. The noise of traffic was over,

but the small hucksters were busy shovelling their green peaches and astringent pears into buckets, or cooping up their melancholy chickens and ducks that seemed heavy-hearted and humiliated that the day had passed and they were not stewed. The talk in the streets was noisier and freer; the dinner and the darkness had loosened these awkward tongues. Porters and charwomen stood in discreet corners and squeezed each others' hard fingers. The same mysterious Hebrew glided by, a little brisker as the night gathered and loafing time was shortened.

"In the Gestade I came across a group of little Goths who had pulled off their trousers and were lashing each other merrily with them. Old women sat dozing on their doorsteps, too tired to rest well; almost always alone. Their men were dead or off to the beer shops. While the women are young, they go with them. But with age comes for them only the brute's drudgery and the brute's repose. Under the shadow of the tall black hulk of Mary-Stairs Church, young women sat in silence with shabby and ignoble-looking men. And overhead, between the high walls of the narrow streets, you could see as clear and dark blue patches of sky, as if you stood on the icy spire of the Matterhorn."

"Began to-day to study the substratum of Viennese life," Hay writes on September 13, 1867; but I

find few later allusions to it. "I am mentioned in the *Fremden Blatt* as 'Der Amerikanische Minister Camel-Hey.' That looks deliciously Oriental: I can imagine myself in a burnous and yellow shoes."

He continued all the while his observations of the upper classes. After spending Christmas Eve at Mrs. Lippitt's, he notes: "The young ladies were as pretty as ever and very easy and gay. I never saw better breeding than there is in the Haute Bourgeoisie of Vienna. They talked German to me for the first time, and I was astonished at their wit and the profoundness of their criticism and observation, which I had utterly failed to see in their English. (I think one reason diplomatists are as a general rule so stupid is, that they are so much in the habit of speaking a foreign language.) The whole household praised my German so that I grew ashamed to speak it."

Here and there Hay's Diary shows us glimpses of life at Vienna, and of the theatrical life which was closely bound to it.

"Last night" (December 17), he says, "was the first reception of the Duc de Gramont,<sup>1</sup> and the first night of the new ballet, *Nana Sahib*. The French Embassy was pretty well filled with pretty faces and toilettes. Some of the Hungarian women were

<sup>1</sup> French Ambassador.



strikingly beautiful. . . . The Archduke Wilhelm was at Gramont's. The ladies took an enormous interest in him on account of the vow of celibacy which as Grand Master of the Teutonic Order he must take. There was also a daughter of Alexander Dumas, a miraculous conception of ugliness."

Under the date 18 February, 1868, is an account of a ball at the Palace in honor of the wedding of the young Grand Duchess of Modena to Prince Louis of Bavaria.

"In the Diplomatic Circle," Hay writes, "I was presented to the Emperor [Francis Joseph] by Baron Beust. His Majesty was especially courteous. He spoke among other things of the wonderful resources we had displayed in our recent war and of the sudden and complete peace that had followed. He spoke of the difficult position [of the] President and complimented him highly on his 'energy and courageous consistency.' The ball was given last night in my opinion to afford the Imperial family and the great officers of the Empire a valid excuse for absenting themselves from the ceremonies of the silver wedding of the King of Hanover, which took place at the same time, with great *éclat* in the *Kursalon*. . . . The occasion has been awaited for some time, not without uneasiness, as it was thought not improbable that the dispossessed King might indulge in a

demonstration that would seriously compromise his position with the courts both in Vienna and Berlin. But no one could have imagined that his reckless anger and vanity would lead him so far. He made a speech of the most violent character, in direct contravention of all the recent treaties made with him at such enormous cost by the Government of Prussia, and in defiance of the laws of propriety which should have restrained him as the guest of Austria.

"It is generally considered something more than a coincidence that Mr. de Bismarck yesterday declared that if the Hanoverian intrigues were not speedily discontinued, the severest measures of sequestration would immediately be put in force. It remains to be seen whether, even yet, the King of Prussia can be persuaded from his rigid adherence to the dogma of divine right, to allow justice to be done to an avowed public enemy."

"*April 22.* Post came in and while we were talking artillery began. He could n't keep still, so we went out and saw a neat little review in the Parade Platz. I thought it was the Imperial Baby, but was wrong; for to-day 100 guns thundered the glad tidings to Austria that they had another omnivorous Hapsburg to provide for."

Of one other celebrity, Prince Napoleon, familiarly

known to his contemporaries by his nickname "Plon-Plon," Hay speaks.

"*June 7, 1868.* I went over to the Golden Lamb, Leopoldstadt, about 1 o'clock. I was received, I believe, by Col. Ragon. Count Zichy was in the ante-chamber with the Colonel. Heckern came in before long. He introduced me and Zichy. We talked a good while till Werther (Prussian) who was with the Prince came out and Zichy went in. Heckern began girding at Werther about the supposed treaties and intrigues he had cooked up with the Prince. Werther, to escape persecution, turned to me and talked impeachment. Zichy made a long stay. Ferri-Pisani came in. At last Zichy emerged and I went in. The Prince received me in a pleasant offhand way and we began at once to talk about America and his visit there. He remembered most of the names now prominent in politics. He spoke of Seward — said he ought to have prevented the President's trip to Chicago. Said he remembered Colfax, a young blackfaced man — President of the Legislative Body — he meant Grow. He spoke of Stanton as a man of great merit and deplored his leaving the War Office, but remembered Schofield and was much pleased with what he saw of him. After a few words about Germany, and the interesting moment in which he visits it, the interview ended by my retiring."

Although the fame of actors and singers is often more fleeting than that of grandees and politicians, the following notes are interesting, if only as registers of John Hay's taste at the time he wrote them.

"*September 11, 1867.* Heard to-night *Minna von Barnhelm* at the Burg. It was well played by Sonnenthal, whom the ladies love because of his good legs; by La Roche, who is said to be a son of Goethe and who really resembles him strikingly; by Meixner and Schöne. Either a majority of the audience understood French, or they were well bred enough to seem to, for in the long scene between Minna and Riccaut de la Marlinière they listened with the same quiet attention which they always give to the play. The women were Bognar and Schneeberger — the former good but gaspy, and the latter first rate. Baumeister was excellent as the Wachtmeister. . . . There is too much talk in the German plays to suit us."

A few days later Hay saw *King Lear* at the Burg Theatre. "A general dead level of respectable acting that was very dreary in effect," is his criticism. "I remembered Forrest's storms and tempests of passion — often overdone, sometimes in bad taste, but always full of wonderful spirit and inexhaustible physical energy; and the careful and somewhat lachrymose style of Wagner suffered very much by

comparison. Then Schlegel's text, though very correct and scholarly, is not Shakespeare. There is not a word of Shakespeare that can well be altered now. The blast of his mighty thought, sweeping through his words for three centuries, has attuned them to an immortal and perfect harmony. I was very curious to see Shakespeare in German. It is certainly very fine. But I shall not go often."

Of the nobles, Hay had a poor opinion. "Literature is considered here rather a low business," he says. "If a noble is clever and can write verses, he is very proud of it, but as a gentleman is proud of being able to dance a clog dance or play the banjo well. So they never put their names to their poems, but have a literary name, which is kept rigidly distinct from the one that bears sixteen quarterings. Count Anton Auersperg is Anastasius Grün, Baron Münch-Bellinghausen is Fried Hahn."

A little later he writes: "The Great Princes here speak very bad German — like '*Fiaker*.' They learn in their youth nothing but French, dogs, horses, women. They are embarrassed when they meet with cultivated men, and so avoid 'mixed society.' Together, they are all alike." Hay tells of one eccentric person, Henikstein, who "took me in and showed me his coffin and the skeletons of his friends. One of a woman, '*une bonne amie à moi*,' whom he chucked

under the chin and made the bony head wag and grin in the candlelight, and the teeth rattle. A music box played dirges. Hatchments hung all around dated 186-."

A glimpse of the Court is given in this memorandum: "To-day (December 30) Countess Königsegg received for the Empress at the Burg. A small, richly furnished room. Men and ladies in brilliant uniforms, and the richest and most *éclatant* satins, coming and going. The brilliancy of colors was suggestive of ophthalmia. In the evening, drove out to the Augustan, where Prince Hohenlohe was receiving for the Emperor. Along the avenue to the Pavilion, pine-wood torches gave a glaring light. Inside the door of the vestibule was ranged a semicircle of some dozens of splendidly dressed menials, with heads powdered as if by a passing snowstorm, to head off the unwary from improper stairs and force them into the broad way that led in to Hohenlohe. He is a youngish, stiffish, very pleasant-spoken man, baldish on the bump of firmness. Esterhazy was there, with the handsome clothes, gallant bearing and feeble face you would expect from an old youth who has squandered all of his estates that he can."

Hay had few occasions for sending official despatches to the State Department, but he always en-

riched them with information and comment which must have rejoiced Mr. Seward; for it was rare then, in America, to get authentic news of the Austrian crises. I quote a single passage from one of the despatches, because, although it is dated February 5, 1868, it is still fresh, and it shows how early Hay adopted that gospel of Peace which, when he came to be Secretary of State, he labored to spread throughout the world.

“The great calamity and danger of Europe to-day,” he writes Secretary Seward, “are these enormous armaments. No honest statesman can say that he sees in the present attitude of politics the necessity of war. No great Power is threatened. There is no menace to peace that could not be immediately dispelled by a firm protest of the peacefully disposed majority of nations. There would be, therefore, no danger to any people, but a vast and immediate gain to all from a general disarmament. It need not be simultaneous. It is idle to say that France fears an invasion from Prussia or Prussia from France, and an honest understanding among the Western nations would keep the peace from the Eastern side.

“Why then is this awful waste of youth and treasure continued? I believe from no other motive than to sustain the waning prestige of Kings. Armies are

to-day only useful in Europe to overcome the people in peace, or by groundless wars to divert their attention from domestic misrule. With the disappearance of great armies, the welfare of the people would become the only mainspring of national action, and that false and wicked equilibrium by which now the interests of one man weigh as heavily as those of millions of his fellow creatures, would be utterly destroyed."

Hay watched intently the struggle of Austrian Liberals to free themselves from the Clerical control that threatened strangulation.

"The Church is enormously rich," he writes, "and has thus far succeeded in retaining its vast possessions free from the requisitions of the sorely pressed and almost bankrupt government. In Vienna nearly every one of the great religious orders are still in full possession of the vast estates acquired by their predecessors in the Middle Ages. The Schottenhof, a reminiscence of the Scotch Benedictines of the twelfth century, the Molkenhof and others are little cities of themselves. The Liberals, there are a few Liberals here, are very bitter upon this non-producing and all-consuming body."

"It would be disastrous," he says a little later, "if the Church should have the wit to take advantage of this juncture to lay upon themselves a free



tax, and trade the sums thus easily raised against a re-affirmation of the Concordat. The existence of this incubus is now seriously menaced. It is improbable that it can much longer continue to oppress and crush the life of this nation. The Church is making frantic efforts to save it. . . . The toothless old giant that Bunyan set away out of the active field of fight two centuries ago, has still wit enough to make the proudest monarchy of earth hew his wood and draw his water."

We are not concerned to follow the course of this conflict between Church and State, in which the State finally gained a slight advantage; but we can be amused, as Hay was, at the reactionary party, who, when there was a popular rejoicing over the passage of a favorable vote in the House of Peers, "were furious and either silly or malicious enough to telegraph the Emperor that a revolutionary *émeute* was in progress. They scared the Archduchess' mother out of bed, and Aristocracy in general sat and shivered in its nightshirt until the crowd, tired with its loyal jubilee, went home to bed."

Having plenty of leisure Hay went on several journeys. He "poked round Poland, lonesomely enough, but fully compensated by the unusual and peculiar towns [he] passed through." He found Warsaw "a very respectable place," with two theaters

and a fair opera. "Cracow was the quaintest and most entirely satisfactory little town [he] ever saw. It has only 40,000 inhabitants, but it has a cathedral and theatre, (where [he] heard a very fair burlesque), and a regular mediæval Jews' Quarter."

Late in the autumn, he made a flying visit to Turkey.

The pocketbook in which he jotted down hour by hour the sights which most impressed him on this trip shows how keenly and also how independently, he observed. He does not record the ordinary things, or give rein to moralizing and emotions. He makes, rather, a skeleton from which he might afterwards develop a well-rounded, graphic picture. As usual, he puts in bits of landscape. Here, for instance, are glimpses on the Danube.

"Wild and superb scenery to Orsova. Red sandstone hills by Greben. The lake. The Pass of Kazan. Long before we came to it we could see the dense veil of vapor behind the hills. A sheer granite rock on the left of the Greben Lake like the Schreckhorn. As we entered the pass a wild storm of rain and wind came howling through: the rain whirling like a volley of bullets. Nature making a last desperate stand. The cliffs rising higher and higher, till the last one sprang sheer 2000 feet, its head buried in the tattered clouds. Just beyond a tranquil collapse. Here is

most plainly seen the remains of Trajan's road. Not only the mortise holes but a portion of the gallery itself hollowed in the rock exists." (November 9, 1867.)

At Constantinople, he wrote in more detail, probably with the purpose of working up his notes into an article. After landing at Galata in the forenoon, and getting quarters at the Hôtel de Byzance on the Pera hill, he and his companions — "An American," named Whittlesey, "and a young Bostonian, a Quincy" — prepare for sight-seeing.

"Dress and go to the Whirling Dervishes. Enter a pleasant walled place. Pass into a light anteroom where you put on overshoes. Go through a door hung with a heavy and thick curtain into a circular room. Green pillars. To the right, Christians: left, Turks. Galleries above. Ladies' gallery — grated, and painted with trees and shrubs, all slanted to Mecca. The Dervishes all standing around the circumference of the circle. The old sheik enters. They bow profoundly. He sits down, kneels, and the praying begins. He mumbles and mutters and in the gallery over the entrance another sings the responses in a nasal twang. The whole body rise and go to the centre of the room and fall on their knees. They heave and sit, rising and kissing the floor in time to the singing. After the prayer is finished they go

back to the place around the circumference and the old fellow in the choir sings a long solo. After a moment of silence the orchestra begins a subtle dizzying sound of wind and wood instruments. This continues some time, buzzing, sultry. Then the old sheik rises and starts around the room and the rest follow, all bowing to the mat he has been sitting on. Their costumes are exactly the same in cut, differ in color. The old sheik and his boy are green; the second sheik and his boy are brown. They go around three times. Then the sheik stands still and the whole party range themselves again in the circumference; throw off their cloaks. The music becomes a shriller, louder music of drums and flutes, and the dervishes cross arms over chest, the hands resting on the shoulders, and march by the sheik. As they pass him they begin whirling, at first slowly and then faster, throwing out their arms, very regularly, their dresses widening downwards. Garibaldi and morning (?) dress of young ladies of to-day. Concentric circles. Looking over shoulders. Different types. . . . Turk in the corner, fervent piety. Catholic Turks telling their beads during the performance. Foreigners. . . ."

On another day the party crossed the Bosphorus, visited Scutari, climbed Mount Boulgourlou on stallions, enjoyed the magnificent view, and on their

return saw a performance of the Howling Dervishes. Hay describes them almost as minutely as he did the Whirlers.

"The Dervishes enter barefoot this time. Sitting in a circle singing. Sheik praying. As each one entered, kissed his hand. After a while they rise, and begin singing and swaying. This continues an hour. The motion and the time change, becoming always more rapid. The performers form a straight line across the end of the room. Two rows of older fellows sit cross-legged in the middle of the room to keep up the shrill singing. Reel and put on night-caps. Violent, brutal excitement. The negro, clapping hands, wiping face, growls.

"Green and yellow child among the performers. The sick children. Very heavily clothed. Very much like a negro shout. Instruments of torture about the room. Not now used. Bad fame of the Dervishes. Great influence. Lay brothers. Old sheik of a great family."

Hay and his companions sailed from Constantinople to Trieste by an Austrian Lloyd steamer. As it steered westward Hay "watched the matchless view of the city, cut off by the Golden Horn Promontory. The reason why this view is so famous, he discovers, is that as you look back St. Sophia and the Mosque of Achmet, with their many minarets,

are fused into one. Soon Olympus looms up, and "velvet hills." Then, the magic passage through the Ægean, among islands which live in memory as colors — pearl, opal, sapphire, amethyst. At Corfu, Hay went ashore and spent several enchanted hours. "The water," he remarks, "has the same delicate green as the Stamboul, if seen directly, blue, if seen obliquely." He stayed long enough at Trieste to see the city, and to exchange calls with the eccentric American Consul, Alexander Thayer, the biographer of Beethoven. After running into a snow-storm on the Semmering, he reached Vienna in the evening of November 23.

Writing to Nicolay while his impressions were still vivid, he sums them up in a few lines: —

"A magnificent day on the Danube to Orsova, and another to Rustchuck — over the railway all day to Varna — and by breakfast time the next morning we were staring with delight of greenhorns at the unparalleled spectacle that greets you as you sail down the Bosphorus into Constantinople. That closes for me in this world, I verily believe, my sensations of great cities. The last is infinitely finer than anything I ever imagined. I am pretty sure there is nothing that approaches it on earth. We had perfect weather — June at its prettiest in Illinois, for instance — and this staid with us all the time. We

passed a day in Asia and climbed Mt. Boulgourlou and saw the gates of the morning. We had great larks, which I have not time to write."

In March, he had a glimpse of Italy, and wished to go up the Nile; but time, and perhaps money fell short; for his salary as *Chargé* at Vienna was not lavish.

By the spring of 1868, Hay began to think of turning homewards. The State Department was slow in appointing a minister. It offered the office to Horace Greeley, who declined, thus depriving the world of a unique sight — the editor of the *Tribune* among the archduchesses of the House of Hapsburg. Finally, Henry M. Watts, a Pennsylvanian, accepted the post, the first article of Pennsylvanian patriotism being, "Thou shalt decline no office." On August 12, 1868, just a year from the date of his arrival in Vienna, Hay resigned.

Some time before he retired, he sent his former chief, John Bigelow, the following letter, which, between its banter and seriousness, serves as a charming bit of autobiography: —

*To John Bigelow*

April 27, 1868

I had no idea when I came abroad last summer that I should be here so long. I thought they would

fix up the vacuum (abhorred of nature and office-seekers) in a few months — so I came for a flyer, principally because I was a little ashamed of having been in Europe nearly two years and having seen nothing. I have had a pleasant year of it. There is very little work to do at the Legation. I have sinned grievously against certain ten-day regulations that I have heard of. I have seen all I care to of Prussia, Poland, Turkey, and Italy. I have drawn my salary with startling punctuality. I have not wearied the home office with much despatches. My sleep is infantine and my appetite wolfish.

I am satisfied with my administration of this 'arduous and delicate post.' I believe that is the regular shriek of the Radical Press in alluding to the Vienna Mission. You and Mr. Adams worked while you were in harness. I am not sure but that a serious man could always find work in either of those two missions. But equally sure am I that no two other American diplomats can catch each other's eyes without mutual guffaws, unless they have a power of facial muscle that would put the Roman augurs to shame. Just let me get into Congress once, and take one shy at the Diplomatic Appropriation Bill.

I am very glad I came. Vienna is worth while for a year. It is curious and instructive to see their people starting off in the awkward walk of political



babyhood. They know what they want, and I believe they will get it. The Aristocracy is furious, and the Kaiser a little bewildered at every new triumph of the Democratic and liberal principle. But I don't think they can stop the machine now — though they may get their fingers mashed in the cogs. I don't think the world ever seemed getting ahead so positively and quietly before. Two years ago — it was another Europe. England has come abreast of Bright. Austria is governed by Forty-Eighters. Bismarck is becoming appalled by the spirit of Freedom that he suckled with the blood of Sadowa. France still lies in her comatose slumber — but she talks in her sleep and murmurs the *Marseillaise*. And God has made her ruler blind drunk, that his Helot antics may disgust the world with despotism.

If ever, in my green and salad days, I sometimes vaguely doubted, I am safe now. I am a Republican till I die. When we get to Heaven, we can try a Monarchy, perhaps.

## CHAPTER XII

### THE ROVING DIPLOMAT — SPAIN

AT the end of October, 1868, Hay sailed for the second time into New York Harbor, with a larger fund of experience in his head, but with his purse no richer and his prospects no brighter. During much of his stay in Vienna his health had been bad, a reason for his wishing to come home. Few records remain of the ensuing months. Presumably, he visited Washington, to see whether under the new administration — Grant was elected President in November — he might find employment. Neither then, nor later, was Hay a professed office-seeker. He never had the art of making those in power take his talents at their real worth — much less, at more than their worth, which is the secret of many placeholders. An innate refinement, coupled with shyness, and an abiding personal dignity, kept him from the suppliant's posture. He took it for granted that, as he was sufficiently well known by the leaders at Washington, they would summon him if they wanted him.

Perhaps he was promised another diplomatic billet, in the overturn which, according to happy cus-

tom, would begin as soon as the new President was inaugurated. Meanwhile, Hay went to Illinois, saw his relatives, looked after his tenants, and applied himself in earnest to literary work. Lecturing was still, although the prestige of the lyceum was waning, a profitable profession for those who caught the fancy of the public. Hay had long looked upon this as a possible resource and he now tested it. On January 27, 1869, before the Young Men's Christian Association of Buffalo, he delivered a lecture on "The Progress of Democracy in Europe." "Had a fair house — very attentive and good-natured audience," he notes in his Diary. "Was reasonably successful — especially pleased at the absence of trepidation and duration of my voice." Writing to Edmund Clarence Stedman a few days later he adds: "I have tried an experiment since I saw you last. I have faced a large audience and spoken a piece without breaking down. I lectured in Buffalo and in a few Western towns. I will do more of it next winter."

He closes his letter to Stedman with the following hint: "I hope to see you later in the spring. I shall pass through New York on my way to Europe. I left some unravelled threads of occupation over there, and must go over once more — my own master now — and pick them up."

The "unravelling threads" proved to be his appointment as first Secretary of Legation at Madrid. On July 29, being already at his post, he jots down this memorandum: "Drew on Barings for \$146.66 for month of transit."

Hay's diplomatic service in Spain fell during a dramatic crisis. The profligate queen, Isabella II, had been expelled. Republicans of various shades were hoping for a republic. Liberal Conservatives worked for a monarchy, which the Liberals among them wished to make constitutional, while the Clericals intrigued to restore the old absolutism in which they thrived. Marshal Serrano was provisional regent. Hay came just in time to witness the contest, and so strongly did he sympathize with the Republicans that he must have found it hard to keep up the feint of diplomatic impartiality.

The duties of his office consumed much of his time. The Minister, General Daniel E. Sickles, was one of the typical wastrels who succeeded, partly by rough capacity and partly by truculence, in pushing their way to the front during the Civil War. Dissolute in his personal habits, loose in money matters, and unscrupulous in his methods, he rose to the command of the Third Corps of the Army of the Potomac, and, at the battle of Gettysburg, he stationed his troops, without orders, in a position which

brought disaster upon them and threatened the defeat of the Union army. Fortunately for Sickles, he had a leg shot off in the battle — luck which prevented his being court-martialed, and enabled him to pose during half a century as the hero of Gettysburg. No bullet was ever more beneficent to its victim than that which crippled him. At Madrid Hay seems to have found him an unexacting chief.

The Secretary applied himself to learning Spanish; but before he attained fluency in that, his knowledge of French opened many official doors. He watched the political crisis with intense interest. In Paris he had seen the growing restlessness of Liberals under the Imperial despotism; in Vienna, he saw a constitutional monarchy emerge from an autocracy; and now in Madrid he hoped that his ideal, the Republic, would spring into vigorous being.

A group of statesmen, who would have been remarkable in any country, carried on the struggle for ascendancy in Spain. Foremost among them was Castelar, whose reputation as the advocate of Republicanism had crossed the Atlantic. Next, ranked Prim, "a soldier, conspirator, diplomatist, and born ruler; a Cromwell without convictions; a dictator who hides his power; a Warwick, who mars Kings as tranquilly as he makes them"; Serrano, the regent, dignified and conciliatory; Sagasta, still at the half-

way stage between politician and statesman; Silvela, Cánovas del Castillo: these were leaders whom Hay studied as eagerly as a zoölogist studies a strange fauna. Something in his temperament — his love of color, perhaps — caused him to understand and enjoy their passionate oratory. For Castelar he had a profound admiration.

Hay seldom missed an important debate. The Cortes, he writes on October 1, 1869, "resumed their session to-day after a vacation of some months. The Diplomatic Body have a little cage holding fifteen. We have three cards and one I stole. The seats all vacant in the hall. The President comes in in solemn procession with the *maceros* and secretaries. The *maceros* dressed out of Froissart. Rivero wears white kids during the whole session. His opening speech. Figueras replies. Figuerola, Orense, and Castelar sitting together on the top bench of the Extreme Left. Figueras, a Western Senator sort of man in build and carriage, with a wonderful aptitude of speech and good knowledge of parliamentary practice. Orense, the noble factor of the play. Rivero scolds the Deputies like a schoolmaster, knocking them over the knuckles without *merci* or *miseri-cordia*. The Government sits on a bench distinguished from the rest by being in blue velvet instead of crimson. Out of 304 Deputies, not more than 100

present. The afternoon sun pouring in through the window facing the West. Lighting up. The *maceros* relieving each other. Not many nobles."

The next day Hay went to see Castelar. "Found him at his own door, coming home with his hands full of documents. Walked up with him — and had a long talk about everything. He speaks French fluently — learned it in exile in Paris, where he supported himself and many others by writing for South American papers. He has an exquisite face — a soft, sweet tenor voice, a winning, and what the Spaniards call *simpatico*, manner.

"He spoke of Napoleon's sickness and of the humiliating spectacle of a great nation looking for its destiny in the *cuvette* of an old man. We talked a good deal of art and Italy. Of Spain he spoke sadly; he seemed to feel that the insurrection in Catalonia was premature and ill-advised. He thought there were evil days coming for the Republicans in Madrid. He said, 'We have just had a hard hour's work to persuade the party of action not to precipitate an insurrection to-night. This would be madness. Madrid is thoroughly monarchical. It is a city of placeholders. The militia is in great majority monarchical. There are 10,000 or 12,000 regular troops here. An insurrection would be smothered in blood. Yet it is hard to keep the fiery young fellows from trying it.'"

Of Castelar's manner as an orator, Hay gives this glowing description: —

"*Oct. 3, Sunday.* The discussion to-day on the Suspension of Guarantees occupied all the afternoon and will be continued to-morrow.

"Castelar was superb. His action is something marvellous. He uses more gesticulation than any orator or actor I have ever heard. His voice is, as I suspected, rather rich and musical than strong, and he uses it so remorselessly that it is apt to suffer in an hour or so. But his matter is finer than his manner. I have never imagined the possibility of such fluency of speech. Never for one instant is the wonderful current of declamation checked by the pauses, the hesitations, the deliberations that mark all Anglo-Saxon debate. His whole speech is delivered with precisely the energy and fluency that Forrest exhibits in the most rapid passages of his most muscular plays; and when you consider that not a word of this is written or prepared, but struck off instantly in the very heat and spasms of utterance, it seems little short of miraculous. The most laborious conning and weighing and filing of the most fastidious rhetorician could not produce phrases of more exquisite harmony, antitheses more sharp and brilliant, metaphors more perfectly fitting — all uttered with a feverish rapidity that makes the despair of stenog-



raphers. Then his logic is as faultless as his rhetoric. He never says a foolish or careless word. All history is at his finger's ends. There is no fact too insignificant for his memory — none too stale to do service. They are all presented with such felicity and grace too, that you scarcely see how solid they are."

Again and again Hay returns to enthusiastic praise of Castelar. "His action is as violent as Forrest," he writes Nicolay. "His style is as florid as Gibbon. . . . He never writes a speech. Yet every sentence, even in a running debate, when all the government hounds are yelping at him at once, is as finished and as elegantly balanced as if he had pondered all a rainy Sunday over it. I am afraid he will cease to be the Republican idol before long. He has too much sense and integrity to follow the lead of the Socialist fanatics."

Of three other Spanish orators Hay has sketches, hasty but penetrating.

"Sagasta, Ministro de la Gubernacion, greatly distinguished himself on Monday. He defended the Government, especially himself, with wonderful vigor and malice. He is the hardest hitter in the Cortes. Everybody calls him a scamp, and everybody seems to admire him, nevertheless. He is a sort of Disraeli — lithe, active, full of energy and hate — tormented by the Opposition to the proper point of hot anger,

he made a defensive offensive that enchanted the Government benches.

"Silvela also made a good speech or two — but Silvela is rather too good a fellow for this kind of work. He is very sincere and candid, but lacks the Devil, which makes Sagasta so audacious and Prim so cool.

"Prim's speech Tuesday evening after Castelar had announced the intention of the Republicans to retire, was a masterpiece. He begged them to reconsider — he was frank, open, soldierly; he begged them to stay, and threatened them with severe measures if they went — he was not savage and insulting like Sagasta — nor phrasy like Silvela; but he was the perfection of enigma, as always. His speech was powerful and impressive in its deep simplicity and greatly affected Castelar and the Republicans. Castelar answered in the same tone of exquisite courtesy, rejecting the advice which was coupled with a threat. The law passed, and the Republican Deputies left the Chamber."

Even latter-day readers, ignorant of the intricacies of Spanish politics in 1869, cannot fail to enjoy these portraits of historic figures. What would we not give to have a similar series, sketched by a foreigner as receptive, keen, and detached as Hay, of the leaders of the French Assembly eighty years earlier?

The diplomatic business which chiefly concerned the Legation had to do with Porto Rico and with Cuba. The latter island was in insurrection, and President Grant signed a proclamation recognizing the Cubans as belligerents; but Hamilton Fish, his Secretary of State, wisely deferred issuing it. At Madrid, Sickles and Hay would have gone further and had the United States Government interfere in behalf of Cuban independence.

"The amount of talk we have done since we came here is something portentous," Hay writes Nicolay on October 7, 1869.

"I have been always on hand as a medium of communication, and so have seen more of the *gros bonnets* than usually falls to the lot of secretaries. We have a good enough time of it; have done nothing but show our amicable intentions. The Government here is crazy to accept our offered mediation, but does not dare. The cession of Cuba to the Cubans would be a measure too frightfully unpopular for the Government to face in its present uncertain tenure. Still, if it continues to grow stronger, as now seems probable, it may take the bit in its teeth and do something after a while."

Nearly four months later, Hay reports again to Nicolay: —

"I have no news for you. This Legation has abso-

he made a defensive offensive that enchanted the Government benches.

"Silvela also made a good speech or two — but Silvela is rather too good a fellow for this kind of work. He is very sincere and candid, but lacks the Devil, which makes Sagasta so audacious and Prim so cool.

"Prim's speech Tuesday evening after Castelar had announced the intention of the Republicans to retire, was a masterpiece. He begged them to reconsider — he was frank, open, soldierly; he begged them to stay, and threatened them with severe measures if they went — he was not savage and insulting like Sagasta — nor phrasy like Silvela; but he was the perfection of enigma, as always. His speech was powerful and impressive in its deep simplicity and greatly affected Castelar and the Republicans. Castelar answered in the same tone of exquisite courtesy, rejecting the advice which was coupled with a threat. The law passed, and the Republican Deputies left the Chamber."

Even latter-day readers, ignorant of the intricacies of Spanish politics in 1869, cannot fail to enjoy these portraits of historic figures. What would we not give to have a similar series, sketched by a foreigner as receptive, keen, and detached as Hay, of the leaders of the French Assembly eighty years earlier?

The diplomatic business which chiefly concerned the Legation had to do with Porto Rico and with Cuba. The latter island was in insurrection, and President Grant signed a proclamation recognizing the Cubans as belligerents; but Hamilton Fish, his Secretary of State, wisely deferred issuing it. At Madrid, Sickles and Hay would have gone further and had the United States Government interfere in behalf of Cuban independence.

"The amount of talk we have done since we came here is something portentous," Hay writes Nicolay on October 7, 1869.

"I have been always on hand as a medium of communication, and so have seen more of the *gros bonnets* than usually falls to the lot of secretaries. We have a good enough time of it; have done nothing but show our amicable intentions. The Government here is crazy to accept our offered mediation, but does not dare. The cession of Cuba to the Cubans would be a measure too frightfully unpopular for the Government to face in its present uncertain tenure. Still, if it continues to grow stronger, as now seems probable, it may take the bit in its teeth and do something after a while."

Nearly four months later, Hay reports again to Nicolay: —

"I have no news for you. This Legation has abso-

lutely nothing of importance now in its hands. There is a great deal of tiresome routine work which employs the fingers more than the brain, and, by way of keeping the circulation regular, there is dancing enough to keep the feet from rusting. I am getting rather tired of it, and shall begin to plume my wings for flight some time in the spring. I am sorry Sickles has not had a better chance, but nothing was possible with Fish's system of platonic bullying. I am afraid Cuba is gone. This Government wants to sell out but dares not, and has no power to put a stop to the atrocities on the island. The only thing left to our Government is to do nothing and keep its mouth shut; or interfere to stop the horrors in Cuba on the ground of humanity, or the damage resulting to American interests." (January 30, 1870).

Hay kept his Diary without regard to sequence. In the midst of the abstract of the daily happenings, he would insert the draft of a letter to be sent or the copy of one received; or he would outline a poem, or set down maxims and reflections. Here is a page of observations from the Madrid period: —

"Indolent people imagine they would like to be busy. Industrious people know they would enjoy being idle.

"The English servant is a statuesque image of propriety. The French a sympathizing but respect-

ful friend. The Spanish and Italian have the subordination of children. An American revenges himself on fate by insolence.

"Americans in Europe waste time enormously in calculating when the mail will arrive. A mail is like a baby — you can't hurry or retard it by talking about it.

"Politicians like corals build and die: others succeed.

"Mad agitators imagine they lead, as the people come after.

"When Sherman marched to the sea, Bummers were miles in advance. They carry no baggage of character or responsibility and so go fast. Rousseau held a Moses necessary."

John Hay's best chronicle of his life in Spain is contained in his "Castilian Days," in which he combines, in finely balanced proportion, description, information, and personal impressions. In those pages you learn how minutely Hay studied the Spaniards. He takes you to the theater and the bull-fights, to the churches and the palaces and the Prado Gallery; he visits Segovia and Toledo, the Escorial and Alcalà. Along the way, he sketches in characteristic figures, beggars, priests, peasants, nobles. And all the while he pours out his lively comment.

He wrote these papers during the first months of 1870; and though the range of his knowledge and the deep relief and variety of his background prove that he carefully prepared himself by reading Spanish history and literature, he never rouses in you the suspicion of having crammed for the occasion. Whatever notes he made for the preparation of his "Castilian Days" he probably destroyed: for they have not come to light. His Diary also contains only the account of his early meeting with the Spanish statesmen, most of which I have quoted above. Very few letters remain. Yet in spite of this gap, the book itself is the best memorial of his stay in Spain.

On May 1, 1870, Hay presented his resignation to General Sickles, regretting that "pecuniary circumstances" compelled him to retire. This reason entered into his decision, and perhaps if he had had independent means he might have continued in the service; but a desire to return to the more stirring life of America, coupled with the conviction that he had completed his training in Europe, chiefly influenced him.

He did not quit Madrid until summer. A final letter, written on June 30, contains a bit of autobiographic retrospect, and notes of an excursion to Toledo, which he took in company with congenial old friends from Washington.



*To Miss Harriet K. Loring*

I have a curious year to look back upon — more entirely out of the world than any since I came into it. . . . I went with Mrs. and Miss Hooper and Miss S. to Toledo, and had a few halcyon days, favored by fate, weather, and other accessories, in that delicious old town. I have rarely had such larks, — the ladies went crazy sketching adorable doorways, and I sat by, on the shady side, and chaffed the picturesque beggars grouped around in the rags of the period. I felt the coil of cares slipping away from me, and leaving me young and appreciative again as when

“I roamed a young Westerner, o’er the green bluff,  
And climbed thy steep summit, oh, Warsaw, of mud.”

For the first time since I can remember I have been busy this year, and it does not suit my complexion. There is a good deal to do in the Legation, and I have imposed a good deal of work upon myself beside, having gotten interested in Spanish history. I have a veritable workshop for the fellows who know things. I cannot conceive how a man like Mr. Motley should have preferred England, with its pitiful annoyances, to Austria with its quiet and its archives. I should like to read about twenty years. The first ten would be necessary to reach the proper point of

humility, and the last one might hope to gain something substantial.

"I am glad I committed the folly of coming," he confides to Nicolay. "I have seen a great deal and learned something. I speak the language — well enough to be understood, but not well enough to be taken for a Spaniard — *à Dieu ne plaise.*"

Before he bade good-bye to Spain, Hay had the disappointment of seeing the Republican cause there founder, and the Spanish Cortes looking Europe over for a candidate to the Bourbon throne; and before he took steamer for home, the Prussians were already engaged in a war which, though he little suspected it, was to result not only in the checking of Republican ideals, but in the revival of Authority and Privilege, thinly veiled under modern conditions and entrenched behind the magnificently organized military despotism of Germany.

## CHAPTER XIII

### JOURNALISM

WHEN John Hay landed at the New York dock on a September morning in 1870, he was already thirty-two years old, carrying in his memory a treasure of experiences which few could match, but counting little, very little money in his purse or in the bank. His travels had made him what from early boyhood he had longed to be, a citizen of the world; equally at home in London or in Paris, in Vienna or in Madrid. To make a living was now, as it had been since 1865, his first concern: because the American community still regarded bread-winning as the normal condition of every man, whether the bread he won were plain and crusty, or accompanied by those luxuries which are the necessities of the rich.

Hay knew himself too well to suppose that he could ever succeed as a money-maker. His talents, rare and sparkling and delightful, procured for him the friendships and intimacies which wealth cannot buy; but these commodities were not listed in Wall Street. By instinct an artist, he could not be satisfied with the Bohemian life in which poor painters,

writers, poets, sculptors and journalists forgot their poverty. He mixed with them, but he was never wholly of them; for a strand of fastidiousness ran through his nature, and Bohemia would not be Bohemia if it were fastidious. Dignity, too, characterized Hay from his youth; and while he might be jovial among his chosen cronies, he was constitutionally shy, and never would permit liberties to be taken with him. "No matter how intimate you were," his best friend told me, "or how merry the occasion, nobody ever slapped John Hay on the back."

He came home in 1870 expecting to go to Warsaw for a while, and then, unless something better turned up, to seek an editorial position on a newspaper. Possibly, he might support himself by lecturing. But journalism, the refuge of whoever can hold a pen, seemed to him the most promising make-shift, especially as he had already, during one of the intervals between his European trips, served as an editor of the *Chicago Journal*.

Going uptown after landing — the custom-house inspector had no quarrel with him — Hay called on some of his friends. Toward evening, he fell in with Whitelaw Reid, and they dined together at the Union League Club. Then, as the story runs, Hay accompanied Reid to the *Tribune* office, for a last chat before taking the midnight train for the West.

On his table Reid found the freshest despatches, some of which would serve as texts for editorial comment. One, containing important news from Europe, Reid handed to Hay, asking him what should be said about it. Hay volunteered to deal with it himself, sat down at a table, and, in very quick time, he gave Reid a leader which overjoyed him. The next day, when Horace Greeley saw it, he said: "I have read a million editorials, and this is the best of them all."

Such is the legend — unverifiable in its minutest details, but undoubtedly true in its substance — of John Hay's joining the staff of the *New York Tribune*: for it is almost needless to say that, with Horace Greeley and Whitelaw Reid both so enthusiastic over his maiden effort, they urged him to stay in New York and serve the great newspaper.

The invitation attracted Hay, but before accepting it he wished to see his family in Illinois. He was also, apparently, considering the possibility of joining a Chicago newspaper. On his way out he wrote this letter: —

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS,  
Sep. 29, 1870.

MY DEAR MR. REID:

I leave here in a day or two for Warsaw, Illinois, where I shall spend a few weeks with my family. I

shall then probably go on to New York, and shall not fail to call upon you.

I thank you most cordially for your kind and satisfactory letter.

The *Republican* was hopelessly water-logged, and the present transfer is a *saute qui peut* of the owners.

If you should by accident have anything to say to me before I see you, my address is always Care Charles E. Hay, Springfield, Illinois.

Nicolay desires me to convey his kind remembrances to you.

Thence Hay journeyed to his old home, where he took up again the simple, unpretentious life with as much relish as if he were not a licensed cosmopolite.

*To J. G. Nicolay*

WARSAW, ILLINOIS,  
October 13, 1870.

I have just received the enclosed from W. It is a model of holy and unselfish anger against foul and infamous outrage. I have written him a letter of cordial sympathy and you will doubtless do the same. The article he refers to, I wrote after you left Chicago, for the *N. Y. Tribune*. I have not seen it.

I flitted on Tuesday after shipping my charming wards. I found Warsaw with a broad grin on its

face at the lovely grape crop. My father made 1200 gallons of good wine, and even my shy little vineyard made its *début* with 240.

I wish you could have been here and eaten grapes with me during the past week. They are of a most exquisite flavor and sweeter than I have ever seen them anywhere in the world. Especially the much abused Catawba, which people were thinking of ploughing up, has nobly asserted itself and produced a superb vintage. We are now through, and ready for the frost when it comes.

The weather is lovely. The great river is wrapped at daybreak in a morning gown of fog, but soon brightens up, and the light has a regular spree on the many-colored foliage of the hills and the islands.

I am doing nothing and find it easy to take. I walk a great deal and eat for several. I have gained two pounds in weight the first week.

I have a very cordial letter from Howells saying he thinks my decision the best one; that the publication in the *Magazine* will not hurt the book, but will be a positive advantage to it. So my mind is at rest on that score.

On his return to New York, Hay accepted White-law Reid's invitation to the *Tribune*. His accession came at a turning-point in the career of that journal.

Greeley still kept his post of editor-in-chief, but the work of editing was done by a staff composed chiefly of "Greeley's young men," the most remarkable group of editorial writers which any American newspaper had seen. Few of them were over forty; two or three were under thirty;<sup>1</sup> all had known the stimulus of the Civil War; all spoke the language of 1870, which made that of 1860 seem obsolete. Whitelaw Reid virtually managed the paper, although Greeley still shaped its general policy. The venerable George Ripley conducted the department of literary criticism; Hassard was musical critic; Bayard Taylor wrote on anything which touched his miscellaneous interest; Bromley had already approved himself an all-round journalist of high rank, and William Winter had begun his unparalleled career as dramatic critic; Smalley, having achieved notoriety as a war correspondent in the Rebellion and at the battle of Sadowa, was organizing the *Tribune's* news bureau in London. Of them all, Greeley declared Hay was the most brilliant. We do not hear that the veteran and the newcomer ever discussed their meeting at the Niagara Conference; if they did, Greeley bore no grudge.

<sup>1</sup> The veterans were George Ripley, literary editor, born in 1802; Charles T. Congdon, born in 1821, and Bayard Taylor, born in 1825. The birth dates of the others were Noah Brooks, 1830; Isaac H. Bromley, 1833; George W. Smalley, 1833; J. R. G. Hassard and William Winter, 1836; Whitelaw Reid, 1837; John Hay, 1838; Montgomery Schuyler, 1843.



To identify Hay's editorial contributions to the *Tribune* during the four years and more of his service on its staff would not be very fruitful, even were it possible. Although the paper had ceased to be Greeley's personal organ, editorial writers followed, of course, the general views of the manager, but the public seldom recognized the author of this or that article. The editorial "we" leveled alike the brilliant and the commonplace. If anonymity dimmed the fame of the individual, it also lessened his responsibility.

And yet among his fellows the special correspondent or the editor enjoyed his full measure of glory. This was true of Hay, whose reputation seems to have been won almost immediately in the sanctums of New York.

The following letter, written soon after he had buckled on his harness, describes his work:—

*To J. G. Nicolay*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE,  
December 12, 1870.

I have delayed writing for a few days, knowing you had seen Reid, and that he had told you I was alive. I am living at the Astor House, which is now run on the European plan, and gives me a room on rather reasonable terms. I am working daily on the *Tribune*, writing editorials, or, as it is here technically called,

*brevier*. I get salary enough to pay my board and washing.

I cannot regard it as a successful experiment as yet, though Reid and the rest seem satisfied. I do not find myself up to the work of writing so much every day on a given theme. But the *Tribune* force is sufficient to allow a good deal of subdivision, and so far I have written just what I please. . . .

Reid talks of sending me to Washington — not as reporter, but as a sort of heavy-swell correspondent; whereat I rather reluct. I do not like to blame and I mortally hate to praise. Which somewhat narrows a letter-writer's field.

Leaving Hay's entry into authorship for the next chapter, I quote the most pithy of the letters which pertain to his work on the *Tribune*.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE,  
Monday, 1870.

I have read all I could find for three or four years,<sup>1</sup> and don't believe I can do much worse. But why do you talk of columns and halves? — the foregoing ones have not averaged a half. However, I will go

<sup>1</sup> Files of the *New York Tribune*, which Hay had gone through in order to familiarize himself with its methods and its treatment of recent history.

to-night — see with what eyes are left me, and write till the time of stereotypers comes and the voice of the devil is heard in the hall.

I am so seedy that I will go home for a nap, and come out this evening so fresh that a daisy would look *blasée* beside me.

*Dios le guarde a V. muchos años.*

On October 8, 1871, the Widow O'Leary's cow kicked over a kerosene lamp and started the conflagration which nearly destroyed Chicago. As soon as the magnitude of the fire was understood, distant newspapers hurried their correspondents to the spot, to report it. Hay went for the *New York Tribune*. The next two letters describe the difficulties that he encountered.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CHICAGO, 12 Oct. 1871.

Thursday evening.

I arrived here this morning 38 hours from New York, and found Keenan<sup>1</sup> at the telegraph office. He got here last night and prepared a despatch which they would not send. Stager said if he sent for anybody he would for his friend Bennett of the *Herald* (who has had two men on the ground since Tuesday),

<sup>1</sup> Henry F. Keenan, then on the *Tribune* staff: author of *Trajan*, *The Money-Makers*, etc.

but he would not do it, for them, nor for us, nor anybody. We worried them until morning, and Smith of the Associated Press at last consented to send clandestinely 1000 words if we would restrict ourselves to that. I wrote a despatch with which Keenan has gone to the office. I think I will send no more letters by telegraph. We will telegraph what seems desirable for a day or two, and write letters to go by mail.

P.S. Keenan has just returned. Stager is inexorable — would only let my letter go to the Associated Press, — refused to let the *Herald's* go even that way, — says they are several thousand messages behind, and will permit no special despatches to go at present; — eight wires are broken.

I will go on writing. I will decide to-morrow if there is anything requiring heroic treatment. If so, Mr. Keenan will go to Detroit (the stations nearer are under Stager's control), and telegraph. Otherwise you must rely on the Associated Press for news — unless the restriction is let up — and upon us for letters.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

[CHICAGO, 15 Oct. 1871.] Sunday.

This ends my labors for the present. I send a despatch to-day, and Keenan makes up the news for it.

To-night, if I can get away, I will go to Springfield. If anything of sufficient interest transpires there tomorrow, I will send it. Tuesday, to Warsaw for a day or two, and then New York again.

I have done as well as I could. I have a clean conscience. Your condemnation will not gall my withers. I have given the Great Moral Organ <sup>1</sup> 16 hours a day ever since I arrived.

I think it due to Keenan to say he has done all anybody could do. His failure to get off a despatch on the night he arrived was inevitable. Since that he has been ahead. Friday he managed admirably and had the wires nearly the whole evening. He made a favorable impression in the telegraph and newspaper offices. The *Herald* had five men who went off to New York in relays and got up their despatches on the way. I don't think that is worth while. Keenan will stay a few days and then report for relief to you.

Journalism makes insatiate demands upon its votaries; it often has slight scruples as to propriety; but the following letter shows that it did not quite succeed in turning John Hay into a society reporter.

<sup>1</sup> The *Tribune* had been nicknamed the "Great Moral Organ," and its staff accepted that title.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

[WASHINGTON, December, 1870.]

Here is a sketchy letter with nothing in it — which you can use or kill.

I have had no chance for any decent work. I wrote no account of the wedding<sup>1</sup> because the family assumed to be dead agin it — Mrs. Sprague<sup>2</sup> having spoken with some severity of Howard J. Q. for having taken notes. I do not do these things, but would have gushed if you had especially wished it. I gave Mr. White the points the night before. I found Mrs. S. had accepted for me invitations for Friday and Saturday, so that instead of being with you Saturday night, I shall not report until Monday morning.

So many people have spoken of you and sent greetings that my paper would not hold their names. The Chief Justice<sup>3</sup> and the ladies were sorry not to see you. He said the Great Moral Organ had improved enormously under your management and was now easily at the head of the dailies. Spofford<sup>4</sup> also spoke of the excellence of the paper.

In a street car the other night I met Zach Chandler.<sup>5</sup> He says Greeley is all right — he hopes that

<sup>1</sup> The wedding of Miss Chase to Mr. William Hoyt.

<sup>2</sup> Mrs. Kate Chase Sprague was Miss Chase's sister.

<sup>3</sup> S. P. Chase.

<sup>4</sup> Ainsworth R. Spofford, Librarian of Congress.

<sup>5</sup> Zachary Chandler, United States Senator from Michigan.

you are all right. He knows I am all right (interrogatively). He says the *Tribune* must support the Administration and not get switched off. Asks if it will do any good for him to go up to New York and talk to you, and H. G. I said, 'No! write! Your name and vigorous style would have as much effect as your personal presence.'

I am between Celery and Cherubs. I dine with Sumner Sunday.

I will take your orders when I get back as to whether I shall write an R. article or do up P. The statue is worse than I expected.

The *Tribune* used the versatile Hay in many ways. His first-hand acquaintance with European public men, and with politics abroad, made him the special warder of foreign topics. He not only read the Continental journals, but also secured the collaboration of such celebrities as Castelar, whose articles he translated and of the French novelist, Arsène Houssaye, who was then in high vogue.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

[NEW YORK, 11 March, 1875.]

Here is a letter of Houssaye's which ought to be printed as soon as there is room; not *must* but *desirable*.

He sent a column or two of puffs of his ball.<sup>1</sup> I think what I have put together at the end of this letter would be well enough. The rest your *Nuevo Mundo* might like, and I have put it back in the envelope.

Enclosed is a private note to you which I have translated. He wants some money.

I have another in my pocket and must take an early day to translate it.

The projector of the *Chicago Inter-Ocean* is the subject of the next note, brief but not lacking a characteristic touch.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

Feb. 16, 1872.

J. Young Scammon of Chicago was here this morning, and said he might call again during the day. If he comes, give him welcome. You know who he is — one of the salt. He is starting a new paper in Chicago, and wants advice. He has wads of money — more than he will have when his paper is a year older. He is coming with me to the Century to-morrow night.

The Presidential year 1872 saw a political upheaval which, if it had been led by a man of command-

<sup>1</sup> Houssaye had recently given a luxurious ball which served Paris as a three-days' wonder.



ing influence, might have hastened the end of the evil methods of Reconstruction: but Horace Greeley, the Democratic candidate who opposed President Grant, was neither a sound political thinker, nor a magnetic political standard-bearer. On being nominated by the Democrats at Baltimore for President, he withdrew from the *Tribune*, which Reid, however, kept steadfastly loyal to him. The Liberal Republicans, or bolters, who hoped to work a purge, found themselves dished when the Democrats both stole their platform and chose the impossible Greeley to defend it. During that summer, Hay took a trip West, and reported on the situation to Reid.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

SPRINGFIELD, ILL.,  
August 1, 1872.

I got here last night with a horrible cold. Start tomorrow for Warsaw. I spent a day at Saratoga, and there, just before the train started, Henry Richmond told me in strict confidence of the tribulation of the Democratic party in their hunt for a governor [of New York State]. Kernan is a candidate, and he thinks that his being a Catholic and an Irishman may be a disadvantage in view of the fact that Hoffman is to be ruled off by this element. He thinks that Church is the best man, and that Church will run,

if there is a strong appeal made to him. It would be a great personal sacrifice, for Church is a poor man and needs his salary as Judge. But he thinks, if he were asked on behalf of Mr. Greeley, he would yield and run. This, at his request, I told him I would communicate to you. Think of it, and do what you may think expedient.

I met at Cleveland none but Grant men, who of course all assured me that there was no Liberal movement in the State. I think myself there is not much.

In this State it is very different. A large proportion of the best men in the State — not only prominent men, but captains of tens in the counties — are heartily enlisted in the work. The organization is rapidly getting into shape. The German vote is astonishingly strong and united. In this city it is almost unanimous. There is a good Liberal Republican vote in most of the counties, which is estimated at ten per cent of the entire Grant vote. I think this rather sanguine. But there is a pretty bad Democratic bolt in some districts. In Pike, 150 Democrats have signed a manifesto against Greeley. In Winnebago there is some discontent. But there will be an excellent fight made. If we carry Pennsylvania and Indiana the prospects here will be vastly increased.

My little Brother is President of the First Ward Club in Springfield, and my Uncle is President of the

General Grant organization. Alas! Alas! for life is thorny and youth is vain.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

WARSAW, August 4 [1872].

... I have been at home three days recovering from my cold, and am now pretty well. The weather is hot, but my appetite wholesome. I go to bed at 9½, and sleep like a bear. I shall come back prepared to introduce this somnolent tendency into the columns of the *Tribune*.

The good work is going on beautifully here. The Liberals comprise some of the very best men in the country and the bulk of the Germans and Democrats. They seem hurt when I intimate a doubt of their carrying the State. They feel sure of it, and have the figures to show for their faith. It all depends upon the solidness of the Democratic vote. The Liberals and Democrats will reduce the Republican majority of 50,000 to nothing at all. If the Democrats vote solid, or even lose less than 5 per cent, the State is safe for Greeley. Every Democrat I have seen says they will not lose two per cent and considers even that a liberal estimate.

Carry the news to Hiram! <sup>1</sup>

We are all still in the dark about North Carolina,

<sup>1</sup> Hiram Barney..

but expect to know definitely to-morrow. But at all events it is a great success for Greeley.<sup>1</sup> I suppose that even at Long Branch<sup>2</sup> there is some recognition of nasty weather ahead.

Some of these days you must come out here with me. You are growing such a swell that nothing short of palaces and houris will content you. But I think you might like a day or two among our bluffs and vineyards, and my father and mother and sister already regard you as a personal friend.

My sister (who is a Greeley man of great energy) has just sailed into the room announcing definitely our victory in N.C.

Carry the news to Hiram.

I will go to tea.

The Lord continue his liking for you.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

WARSAW, ILLINOIS,  
November 27, 1872.

I have just received your letter of the 21st and am of course greatly concerned at the news it con-

<sup>1</sup> The country still believed that North Carolina had been carried by the Liberals as first announced, and most of those actively connected with the management of the campaign continued to believe that they had actually carried the State. They subsequently claimed that this fraud, as they considered it, changed the drift, which up to that time had been strongly in favor of Greeley.

<sup>2</sup> President Grant's summer residence.

tains.<sup>1</sup> I had seen a paragraph of the sort in the papers here, but had imagined it a malicious exaggeration. It is a most serious matter for all of us. Unless he soon recovers, there will be infinite trouble. . . .

I had a mixed sort of journey. I was snowed up on the Erie Road and spent Sunday in Cleveland. Arrived in Chicago Monday, the most terribly cold day I remember. The weather and the Epizoo,<sup>2</sup> everybody warned me, would destroy my audience, but had a very fine one and very amiable. I spent a day in Springfield. *En passant*, Scammon talked *Inter-Ocean* to me, but I bited not. Since I came home, five days of the loveliest weather I ever saw. I lectured last night gratis for our Free Library, and the whole population turned out. I start back next week — lecture at Cleveland on the 5th December, and expect to be in New York on the 9th or 10th. My Young Christian talk is preying on my mind, but I am getting along with it. It will be the dullest and heaviest of all. I have no vivacity left — not a vivacity to my back. I shall never recover my tone until St. Paul<sup>3</sup> goes to 70. There is some wonderful bedevilment going on with it evidently, — what,

<sup>1</sup> Shortly after the election Horace Greeley broke down, physically and mentally. He died on November 29.

<sup>2</sup> The epizoöty was prevalent through a large part of the country at that time.

<sup>3</sup> Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway stock.

I can't imagine. If J. brings me out, I will take care of yours and J.'s. If I am swamped, I can go through bankruptcy, and that is said to be an edifying experience.

I will be in Springfield next week, and will try to see Harlan<sup>1</sup> and Palmer.<sup>2</sup> My uncle was elected to the Legislature after declining to run and refusing the nomination. Cullom<sup>3</sup> will be speaker, and wants to be Senator. But at present there seems no prospect of beating Oglesby. Logan<sup>4</sup> and Oglesby!<sup>5</sup>  
*Par nobile!*

I sleep and eat very well. I really need a month or two of idleness. But I can't stay any longer. Please tell Mr. Nicholson to send my mail up to Dec. 4 to the Kennard House, Cleveland. Retain all after that.

The next letter to Reid from which I quote was written in the mayor's office, Springfield, Illinois, on

<sup>1</sup> James Harlan (1820-99), United States Senator from Iowa, 1855-65, 1867-73.

<sup>2</sup> General John M. Palmer (1817-1900), Governor of Illinois, 1868; Liberal Republican candidate in 1872; United States Senator, 1891-97.

<sup>3</sup> Shelby M. Cullom (1829-1913); member of Congress, 1865-71; Governor of Illinois, 1877-83; Senator, 1883-1913.

<sup>4</sup> General John A. Logan (1826-86), member of Congress from Illinois, 1859-62, 1867-71; Senator, 1871-77, 1879-86; unsuccessful Republican candidate for Vice-President in 1884.

<sup>5</sup> Richard J. Oglesby (1824-99), United States Senator, 1873-79; thrice Governor of Illinois.

September 3, 1873, his brother, Charles E. Hay, being the mayor.

Thus far have I marched without accident. I was to have gone in to Warsaw to-day, but my brother was trying some firemen for bathing a YELLOW dog in kerosene and then setting him on fire. I am happy to state they no longer belong to the Fire Department.

I thought I was going to have cool weather, but to-day it is tropical. The cholera has burst out again with great fury in the Southern part of the State, but *M. le Maire* says he has pared its claws here. He hauled up several of the richest and oldest citizens here for not policing their property — including his own grave and reverend uncle. It did not amuse them.

If you can find a minute in the intervals of the mad delight of house-hunting, please tell me how things are. . . .

By the way, I met at Barlow's two of the most interesting people I have ever seen in my life; Laurence Oliphant<sup>1</sup> and his wife, who was a L'Estrange. It is a combination I have never seen before, the highest knowledge of society and the world, combined with a mystic and passionate philanthropy. He

<sup>1</sup> Laurence Oliphant (1829-88), journalist, war correspondent, novelist, member of Parliament, who, with his mother and wife, fell under the baleful spell of Thomas L. Harris, a "prophet."

I can't imagine. If J. brings me out, I will take care of yours and J.'s. If I am swamped, I can go through bankruptcy, and that is said to be an edifying experience.

I will be in Springfield next week, and will try to see Harlan<sup>1</sup> and Palmer.<sup>2</sup> My uncle was elected to the Legislature after declining to run and refusing the nomination. Cullom<sup>3</sup> will be speaker, and wants to be Senator. But at present there seems no prospect of beating Oglesby. Logan<sup>4</sup> and Oglesby!<sup>5</sup>  
*Par nobile!*

I sleep and eat very well. I really need a month or two of idleness. But I can't stay any longer. Please tell Mr. Nicholson to send my mail up to Dec. 4 to the Kennard House, Cleveland. Retain all after that.

The next letter to Reid from which I quote was written in the mayor's office, Springfield, Illinois, on

<sup>1</sup> James Harlan (1820-99), United States Senator from Iowa, 1855-65, 1867-73.

<sup>2</sup> General John M. Palmer (1817-1900), Governor of Illinois, 1868; Liberal Republican candidate in 1872; United States Senator, 1891-97.

<sup>3</sup> Shelby M. Cullom (1829-1913); member of Congress, 1865-71; Governor of Illinois, 1877-83; Senator, 1883-1913.

<sup>4</sup> General John A. Logan (1826-86), member of Congress from Illinois, 1859-62, 1867-71; Senator, 1871-77, 1879-86; unsuccessful Republican candidate for Vice-President in 1884.

<sup>5</sup> Richard J. Oglesby (1824-99), United States Senator, 1873-79; thrice Governor of Illinois.



September 3, 1873, his brother, Charles E. Hay, being the mayor.

Thus far have I marched without accident. I was to have gone in to Warsaw to-day, but my brother was trying some firemen for bathing a YELLOW dog in kerosene and then setting him on fire. I am happy to state they no longer belong to the Fire Department.

I thought I was going to have cool weather, but to-day it is tropical. The cholera has burst out again with great fury in the Southern part of the State, but *M. le Maire* says he has pared its claws here. He hauled up several of the richest and oldest citizens here for not policing their property — including his own grave and reverend uncle. It did not amuse them.

If you can find a minute in the intervals of the mad delight of house-hunting, please tell me how things are. . . .

By the way, I met at Barlow's two of the most interesting people I have ever seen in my life; Laurence Oliphant<sup>1</sup> and his wife, who was a L'Estrange. It is a combination I have never seen before, the highest knowledge of society and the world, combined with a mystic and passionate philanthropy. He

<sup>1</sup> Laurence Oliphant (1829-88), journalist, war correspondent, novelist, member of Parliament, who, with his mother and wife, fell under the baleful spell of Thomas L. Harris, a "prophet."

talked to me in a way that indicated he would like to write occasionally for the *Tribune*. I think it might be worth while to ask him. He is the author of that brilliant book "Piccadilly," and was for a long time Paris correspondent of the *London Times*. He knows everybody and everything. Dick Taylor was there, and said he wanted to meet you. Dana<sup>1</sup> was there, but I don't recall his saying anything of the kind.

Not long after this, Hay became engaged.

On August 14, 1873, he writes to Whitelaw Reid: "I made a toilsome journey to Sharon last Saturday and came back Monday. Next Saturday I am going to Saratoga, and will return Saturday night or Sunday morning. I am getting completely bunged up by my travels — have got a good, honest catarrh which will last a week or two longer. But I am sustained and soothed. . . . I wish I could see you in the same predicament. The fact of being in love, and seeing a good woman in love also, is a wonderfully awakening thing. I would not have died before this happened for a great deal of coin. Get well, and then get engaged. Time flies."

Hay lost no time in letting his old friend Nicolay into the secret.

<sup>1</sup> Charles A. Dana, editor of the *New York Sun*.

*To J. G. Nicolay*

August 27, 1873.

I ought not to leave you to learn from strangers that I am engaged to be married to Miss Clara Stone, of Cleveland, Ohio. I do not know when it will be. There will be an internecine war before Mrs. Stone consents to give up her daughter — wherein I sympathize with her. Before many centuries I shall win. She is a very estimable young person — large, handsome and good. I never found life worth while before.

Miss Stone was the daughter of Amasa and Julia Gleason Stone. Her father, a prosperous financier of Cleveland, became a chief benefactor of Western Reserve University in that city.<sup>1</sup> Hay and Miss Stone were married there on February 4, 1874.

"I am going to be married," he wrote E. M. Stanton on January 8, 1874. "If you want to see the last of me, be at Mr. Stone's, 113 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, on the evening of the 4th of February, and I will show you a lovely woman<sup>2</sup> in a white dress and a man in a black coat, who is now and always

Yours faithfully."

<sup>1</sup> He founded Adelbert College in memory of his son, Adelbert Stone, who was drowned while an undergraduate at Yale College.

<sup>2</sup> "Her name for this month only is Miss Clara L. Stone." (Written as a foot-note by Hay.)

For more than a year after their marriage, the Hays lived in New York and he continued his relations with the *Tribune*. Mr. Stone, however, whose health was infirm, wished to have them near him in Cleveland; and when Hay's own health was impaired, by night work on the *Tribune*, his abandonment of journalism followed.



CLARA STONE HAY

For more than a year after their marriage, the Hays lived in New York and he continued his relations with the *Tribune*. Mr. Stone, however, whose health was infirm, wished to have them near him in Cleveland; and when Hay's own health was impaired, by night work on the *Tribune*, his abandonment of journalism followed.



## CHAPTER XIV

### AUTHORSHIP

JOHN HAY may be said to have grown up with a pen in his hand. Endowed with an unusually delicate suggestibility, he imitated, like other youths, — without being aware of it, — the writers, principally the poets, who delighted him. But besides this endowment, he possessed an authentic talent of self-expression, and the desire to use it. In those earlier poems of his, we see reflections of Poe, of Byron, of Shelley, and of others. Always facile, and equally at his ease in prose and in verse, he turned off occasional pieces, one of which, "Carrier's Address to the Patrons of the *Daily Illinois State Journal*, Springfield, January 1, 1861," has been preserved. Seldom can the newspaper carriers of any town have presented to their patrons so remarkable an effusion. It glows with patriotism: it greets liberty, at home and abroad; it salutes Italy, recently emancipated, in a stanza like this: —

How long! how still Italia slept,  
While hireling hordes above her reigned,  
How sad the tears that freedom wept  
To see her holiest shrines profaned.



Into the midnight of her dreams  
There stole a whisper faint and far,  
And flushed them with a light that gleams  
On lands beneath the Western star!  
And as the tender morning broke  
In glory on the Tuscan sea,  
The sleeper murmured, as she woke,  
"THE STATE THAT WILLS IT, SHALL BE FREE."

And Hay prophesies, as very few of his elders  
would have dared to do then: —

Though sullen fate and traitor rage  
A few brief days the fight prolong —  
Our LINCOLN's name shall light the age,  
In history's scroll and poet's song!

Among Hay's papers is a copy of *Harper's Weekly* for October 19, 1861, in which appears a story, "Red, White, and Blue." This also may be by him, for it has his exuberance and his clarity; but it is unsigned. During the war, and afterwards on his diplomatic travels, he often relieved his emotions in a poem. Several of these are sprinkled through his notebooks, the handwriting being almost illegible from the jolting of the train. That he sowed these in some of the magazines and papers of the time is possible, but I have been unable to trace any of them in print. To the *Atlantic Monthly* of 'December, 1869, he sent a paper on "The Mormon Prophet's Tragedy," a spirited account of the attack on Joseph Smith, and

his shooting in Carthage jail by a Christian mob, on April 27, 1844. Some of the participators in that crime lived in Warsaw, — Nauvoo, the Mormon settlement, was only fifteen miles to the north, — and as Hay was then five years and a half old, he may have remembered something of the excitement which filled the entire country.

He brought back from Madrid his bundle of Spanish sketches, and a portfolio of fugitive poems. The former he had no difficulty in placing with James R. Osgood and Company — a feather in the young writer's cap; for that firm were the successors of Ticknor and Fields, the publishers of the chief American authors of the century. A happy accident hurried his poems into print.

To the *Overland Monthly* for September, 1870, Bret Harte contributed "Plain Language from Truthful James," in which he introduced the Heathen Chinese to an international audience. The following month, during Hay's visit to his family in Warsaw, he is said to have written two poems, "Little Breeches" and "Jim Bludso," in the supposed dialect of the unshorn Westerners. Some one reports that he was with Hay in the hotel overlooking the river at Keokuk when he dashed off "Jim Bludso." There have been other statements and counter-statements, and much speculation: but it is safer to accept Hay's own ac-

count, which appears below. Even the suggestion that the "Heathen Chinees" started him in this vein of dialect verse, needs confirmation; because that amazingly clever satire is not in dialect, nor is it imbued with the sentimental spirit peculiar to Hay's "ballads."

Whatever its origin may have been, Hay printed "Little Breeches" in the miscellaneous columns of the *Weekly Tribune*, on December 2, 1870, signed only by his initials.<sup>1</sup> It had an instant success, comparable to that of the "Heathen Chinees" itself. Its popularity soon led him to put forth "Jim Bludso," and then a third, "Banty Tim," which *Harper's Weekly* published. Later he added three more to the series.

In letters to friends, Hay tells of his dash into literature. To Nicolay, he writes on December 12, 1870: —

That ridiculous rhyme, "Little Breeches," of mine has had a ridiculous run. It has been published in nearly the whole country press from here to the Rocky Mountains. As my initials are not known and they generally get worn off on the second print, I have not been disgraced by it.

I met G. at breakfast this morning, who called me

<sup>1</sup> To the *Daily Tribune* of December 6 he contributed "The Surrender of Spain," one of the most stirring of his serious poems.

Nicolay and was very cordial. That reminds me of Madrid, where we were all called Sickles by the Señoritas for a week or two. . . .

Have you seen the first<sup>1</sup> of my "Castilian Days" which, by a Hibernicism of Fields, is a night? He seems greatly pleased with the stuff I have given him, and proposes to make a book of it next year. I went on there and spent a day or two very pleasantly among the *geistreich* of Cambridge and the Hub.<sup>2</sup>

*To W. D. Howells*

TRIBUNE OFFICE, December 29, 1870.

I thank you cordially for your delicious book.<sup>3</sup> I had a copy before and can now indulge in the luxury of giving it away. You are my delight and my despair. Where the demon did you find that impossibly happy way of saying everything? It is a thing that the rest of us blunder on, once in a while, but you never miss. It is no trick or fashion, and so we will never tire of it till we tire of living. You see the critics all notice this, and not knowing what else to say, they say Hawthorne and Irving, etc. . . .

I am plodding along, doing rather better than I expected. Have you ever seen a piece of dialect I

<sup>1</sup> "A Field Night in the Cortes."

<sup>2</sup> Other parts of this letter are printed in Chapter XIII, pp. 335, 336.

<sup>3</sup> *Their Wedding Journey*.

wrote, — "Little Breeches"? It has had an appalling run. It is published every day in hundreds of papers. Two political papers in the West have issued illustrated editions of it. I mention this to show what a ravenous market there is for anything of the sort. I can't do it — but you could. That Western novel of yours must not be much longer delayed.

When I said I can't, it was not measly but true. I wrote another one, and Reid says it is very bad — in which I agree, — so it is not to be published and I will do no more songs. . . .

*To J. G. Nicolay*

March, 1871.

. . . They send you the February *Atlantic*. The March number has nothing from me, and therefore it won't pay to buy it. The April number has a first-rate article on Spanish holidays by a youth to fortune and to fame unknown. Item. The March *Lippincott*, which has a Warsaw story into it.<sup>1</sup>

I am rubbing along, doing my day's work daily — not entirely satisfied with myself but drawing my pay *regular*. The correct press and the unsuccessful critics pound me black and blue, but I eat my diurnal

<sup>1</sup> "The Blood Seedling," interesting because it displays in its treatment that unconscious conflict between realistic substance and a somewhat romantic spirit which was more marked in *The Breadwinners*.

hash with a good appetite, and get more than is right for everything I do. I have just sold a third dialect poem to *Harper's Weekly* for \$50 to be published with a picture. It is called "Banty 'Tim" and touches the contraband. Have you seen "Jim Bludso"? I send you a copy. It has been more widely liked and denounced than "Little Breech."

Horrible power of drink! Last night I met —— at the *Tribune* door—you know him, the wittiest journalist of our time. He was covered with mud and plastering. Had been rolling in the gutter—was crying like a sick child—said they had kicked him out of the last place he was in,—begged me for twenty cents, and sobbed with joy when I gave him fifty. Some night he will die in the street. You and I have kept drinking company all our lives, and yet have never felt for an instant the claws of temptation. Let us thank God!

*To W. D. Howells*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE,  
December 24, 1871.

I am badly frightened about that article. I will do it to-morrow or next day if possible; but I am awfully worried with many things, and need twenty-five hours a day.

Here is the paragraph of editorial to which you

refer. I am delighted with the success of your book, and was sure of it, though the delay of the second edition is infamous and shows little faith. I met an angry man this morning who went to Dutton's for the "Wedding Journey" and not finding it, had to buy "Castilian Days."

Mr. Howells, who was then managing the *Atlantic Monthly*, — although James T. Fields held the nominal editorship for a while longer, — joyfully accepted the Spanish papers, and advised printing some of them in the magazine before Osgood brought them out as a book. Five<sup>1</sup> appeared thus in serial form, between January and July, 1871; then they were all issued in the autumn under the title "Castilian Days." Almost simultaneously, the same house published "Pike County Ballads and Other Pieces."

To his friend, Albert Rhodes,<sup>2</sup> Hay wrote on June 19, 1871: —

"I am the creature of accident. I am not to blame for the absurd vogue of my doggerel. If you want to read something to purge your soul, some good,

<sup>1</sup> January *Atlantic*: "A Field-Night in the Spanish Cortes"; February: "Spanish Living and Dying"; April: "Red-Letter Days"; May: "The Cradle and Grave of Cervantes"; July: "Tauromachy."

<sup>2</sup> Born in Pittsburg, Pa., in 1840; United States Consul at Jerusalem; later, at Rotterdam, Rouen, and Elberfeld; contributed to *Scribner's* and other magazines. Published, *Jerusalem As It Is*, 1865; and *The French at Home*, 1875.

honest, hard, horse-sense, read my 'Castilian Days' — when they come out, which will be next fall."

Hay did not simulate modesty. Being human, he could not fail to enjoy the reputation which his ballads brought him; but that he did not overestimate them appears from his reply to Richard Henry Stoddard, the poet and literary worker, who wished to include some of them in a compilation he was making:—

*To R. H. Stoddard*

THE TRIBUNE, October 5, 1871.

I hope you will not suspect me of affectation when I tell you I don't want to go into Griswold's book. I am no poet, — I make no claim whatever that way. There is hardly one educated man in my acquaintance but has written as much verse as I. By an unlucky accident I put a quaint story into rhyme and gave it to Reid, and the people who would n't read you or Tennyson to save your lives, read this, and guffawed over it and — *me voilà* a poet! Then Osgood came and tempted me, and the mischief was done.

Now, if I keep quiet a year or two, all that will be forgotten and will be as if it never was. I do not want the memory of it preserved in standard books which will go into libraries.

There is nothing I respect so much as the name of



a poet. If I had done anything like your work or Stedman's, I would be indifferently conceited over it. But I have never written a rhyme which deserved to be printed, — still less to be gathered up and kept as specimens of literature. I can do some things as well as most men of my weight, but poems are not of them. Let me up, and pass on to the next man in H.!

I also read with infinite delight Harte's savage article on Miller.<sup>1</sup> I don't agree with it. I think the wild cuss is a poet. But Harte did sling his scalpel in a most stylish way. I believe I would have enjoyed it if I had been the subject. . . .

Hay's "Castilian Days" contains many of the best pages he ever wrote — best, that is, in style. After nearly fifty years the book stands unapproached in English as a panorama of Spanish life and history, of Spanish legends and superstitions and landscape. Hay comes, an outlander from the New World, into that ancient Iberian country, where many centuries have petrified customs and beliefs, and the Past almost blots out the Present. Hay views all with keen eyes. His thirst for observing is unquenchable.

<sup>1</sup> Cincinnatus Heine Miller (1841-1913), who, as "Joaquin" Miller, had just published the *Songs of the Sierras*. Miller affected the flannel shirt and cowhide boots of a son of Nature and was fêted in London.

He can make you see things as he saw them — fixing, in the vivid sentence which remains, the play of light and shade, the flash of a momentary street scene, or the fleeting impression. He furnishes information, but not in the guide-book way; and as he never writes merely to instruct you, he is rarely dull. Perhaps a Spaniard would not accept Hay's judgments — what native ever accepts a foreigner's criticism of his own people? — but he could not fail to acknowledge the young American's general sympathy, or his enthusiasm for the undisputed noble monuments of Spain.

Those who accuse him of writing as a Protestant or as a Puritan, when he lays bare the bigotry and ignorance and lack of any religion which reveals itself in righteous conduct, misjudge him. His condemnation is unsectarian, — the verdict that a normal ethical nature, regardless of creed, would pronounce at the sight of degradation due to the long rule of Jesuits, and friars, and to the Inquisition, which survived, in a milder form, down almost to the time when Hay knew Spain. But that is only one feature of the book. The lasting impression it leaves is of variety, clear-sightedness, and candor; together with Hay's zest in observing and his exhilaration in narrating.

Among pen-pictures of travel produced by Amer-

icans, we may reckon only Mr. Howells's "Venetian Life" as a rival of "Castilian Days"; but Hay by his higher actuality surpasses that delightful minor masterpiece. Howells, the more practised and smoother writer, breathes through his pages a quiet almost wistful atmosphere which accords perfectly with his theme. But Hay employs a manner of treatment which suits his Spanish subjects not less admirably: for in Spain there is often no atmosphere, no mediating haze, only an air so translucent that you feel that you can touch the distant mountains, and there is no compromise between dazzling sunshine and cypress-dark shadow. Scarcely less praiseworthy is the balance which he keeps between vivid description and not less lively impression, between information and interpretation. The later literary landscapists — Lafcadio Hearn and Mr. Percival Lowell in Japan, and Mr. Henry James, for instance — tend rather to impressionism; so much so, indeed, that in some of Mr. James's sketches the objective fact seems only a text or stimulus to release in him a flood of subjective emotions and of reflections not always pertinent.

But, comparisons aside, "Castilian Days" holds its place in American literature. No book in its field is more exactly what it purports to be, and few display an ampler range of qualities — wit, irony,

enthusiasm, shrewdness, honesty, indignation, romance, charm. Free alike from the reserves and the cynicism of maturity, it speaks the perennially alluring language of youth. Having won favor while running in the *Atlantic Monthly*, it at once received a more than friendly recognition on its publication as a book. A score of years later when, having passed through many printings, a revised edition was called for, Hay wisely decided to let the papers stand as he originally wrote them, in the first half of 1870.

"I have never gone back to Spain," he says, in a brief, model preface, "and I have arrived at an age when I begin to doubt if I have any castles there requiring my attention. I have therefore nothing to add to this little book. Reading it again after the lapse of many years, I find much that might be advantageously modified or omitted. But as its merits, if it had any, are merely those of youth, so also are its faults, and they are immanent and structural; they cannot be amended without tearing the book to pieces. . . .

"I must leave what I wrote in the midst of the stirring scenes of the interregnum between the secular monarchy and the short-lived Republic — whose advent I foresaw, but whose sudden fall was veiled from my sanguine vision — without defense or apology, claiming only that it was written in good faith,

from a heart filled with passionate convictions and an ardent love and devotion to what is best in Spain. I recorded what I saw, and my eyes were better then than now. I trust I have not too often spoken amiss of a people whose art, whose literature, whose language, and whose character compelled my highest admiration, and with whom I enjoyed friendships which are among the dearest recollections of my life." <sup>1</sup>

In 1893, the Spanish Princess Eulalia came over to represent Spain at the World's Fair in Chicago. Hay sends Mr. Adams this amusing note on meeting her at dinner.

CLEVELAND, June 9, 1893.

I dined with H.R.H. Eulalia at the R.W.; with a heart overflowing with kindness, R. introduced me to all the Castilians and Bourbons as the author of a book about Spain which they really ought to read, etc., — unconscious, the good R., that my unhappy little volume treats the august family of Spain as a set of *pas grandes choses* from Wayback, who have no place outside of penal and reformatory institutions. Still, if they can stand the Hymn of Riego at the British Embassy, they can stand an abusive book they have never heard of.

<sup>1</sup> Preface to revised edition, 1890.

In Hay's Diary, for November 1, 1904, there is an interesting entry in regard to "Castilian Days." The Presidential campaign, it should be recalled, was then drawing to a close.

"We had a brief cabinet meeting. I was somewhat chaffed on account of the story in the papers that the Irish had demanded of Cortelyou<sup>1</sup> my expulsion from the Cabinet, and that he had replied that he could not promise that, but assured them that a Catholic Irishman should be appointed First Assistant Secretary of State. They are evidently after me. I found on my desk to-day a pamphlet carefully printed, consisting wholly of extracts from 'Castilian Days,' showing that twenty-five years ago I had whacked with the freedom and irresponsibility of youth the Spanish Catholic Church from Torquemada to Padre Claret."

A book which is made a campaign document a generation after it was written is still alive; and this book will still live, not because sectaries, religious or political, once found in it stuff for controversy, but because it appeals to intelligent readers.

John Hay's poems fall into three classes. First, and most famous, are the "Pike County Ballads" —

<sup>1</sup> George B. Cortelyou, private secretary to Presidents McKinley and Roosevelt; subsequently, Secretary of Commerce and Labor, Postmaster-General, and Secretary of the Treasury.

named from the Pike County where he spent much of his boyhood. There are six of these. "Little Breeches" and "Jim Bludso" rolled out spontaneously; the others seem rather the product of the impulse, common in artists, to follow up a happy stroke by repeating it with variations. In the complete edition of Hay's "Poems," put forth in 1890, "Golyer" and "The Pledge at Spunky Point" have been added. They are good, and if the first two had not, in a way, exhausted the possibilities of their type, we should probably think more highly of them.

For one of the reasons why dialect poems capture the public is their novelty. Commonplaces which, if written in commonplace grammatical English, could bore us, seem strange, and therefore rare, when they come dressed in dialect, which serves to attract attention, just as the foreign costume does on the Italian, or Russian, or Japanese peasant. This is, of course, not all. A peasant may carry a precious load on his back, and the dialect poem likewise may be the vehicle of a very important message.

The best example of this in modern English literature we find in Lowell's "Biglow Papers," where the Yankee conscience expresses itself with characteristic irony and with surpassing wit, on questions of fundamental significance. In adopting the language of

the country folk, Lowell was able to score his points more effectively than if he had written them in polished academic diction: for we still have an instinctive belief that the old farmers, or village characters, speaking their racy vernacular, must be as honest as they are unsophisticated, and represent, somehow, the simple, ultimate ideals of the country. As Lowell uses them they are the Yankee equivalent of the Greek chorus, except that, instead of mellow wit, the Greek old men abound in moral platitudes.

After Lowell the two Americans whose dialect poems have attained a popular vogue almost equal to his are Bret Harte and John Hay: nevertheless, they are not in his class. For neither the California 'Forty-niners nor the Mississippi roustabouts and rowdies were involved in any epochal issues such as Hosea Biglow knew he was adjudicating. The heroism of Jim Bludso, however, is as genuine as that of Horatius Cocles, and Hay's skill consists in causing persons of all sorts to feel the genuineness of it. The dialect helps, because it introduces us without delay to the actors, the situation and the catastrophe; but the story itself becomes so pressing that we almost forget the dialect in our eagerness to learn the end. That is as it should be: bad grammar and slang cannot long hide absence of ideas. In one of the later ballads, "The Pledge at Spunky Point," however, we



are conscious that Hay lays stress on dialect for its own sake; as for example, in this stanza: —

But Chris'mas scooped the Sheriff,  
The egg-nogs gethered him in;  
And Shelby's boy Leviticus  
Was, New Year's, tight as sin;  
And along in March the Golyers  
Got so drunk that a fresh-biled owl  
Would 'a' looked 'long-side o' them two young men,  
Like a sober temperance fowl.

Here the obvious effort of the writer is to collect dialect phrases; in "Jim Bludso" and "Little Breeches," on the other hand, he rightly put the story first.

But besides the novelty of dress, and the intrinsic interest of the story, the moral sentiments proclaimed in these two ballads undoubtedly account in large measure for their hold on the masses. In long-settled communities, having their accepted laws and creeds, their customs and special proprieties, it comes to be tacitly assumed that virtues and vices follow the line of social cleavage; but in a pioneer social medium, like that which Hay describes, men are what they are. Hypocrisy, dissembling, and all the subtler forms of pretending to be what you are not, in order to stand well with the conventional system, are comparatively ineffectual. How can you conform, where all is in flux? The pioneer sees that good and bad do not follow creed: that it is not going to church, or say-

ing prayers, or listening to sermons that counts. He scouts at original sin, although, if you asked him why, he would probably say, "because he has seen virtues cropping out unexpectedly in the most unlikely persons." And then, deep down in the human heart lie the desire for equality and the conviction that most souls are "saved." Theological distinctions are a late product of human speculation. The rough-and-tumble frontiersman, deprived of every opportunity to "be good" in the traditional, church-going way, may, by a single act of heroism, exemplify the noblest ideals that are preached in any pulpit.

The moral of this attitude of admiration for the valiant, unselfish deed and of unconcern for the professed doctrine, Hay put plainly in the most famous of all his stanzas, that which concludes the ballad of "Jim Bludso": —

He were n't no saint, — but at jedgment  
I'd run my chance with Jim,  
'Longside of some pious gentlemen  
That would n't shook hands with him.  
He seen his duty, a dead-sure thing, —  
And went for it thar and then;  
And Christ ain't a going to be too hard  
On a man that died for men.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> After Whitelaw Reid died in 1913, *Cleveland Town Topics* printed an interview which Mr. W. R. Coates had with him in 1910. Mr. Reid said: "I was responsible for the last lines in 'Jim Bludso,' although I did not write them. Hay brought in the poem, having finished it on the train. I told him it would n't do, that there must be something

His summing up of "Little Breeches" is only a variation on this gospel — the gospel which the founder of Christianity preached, "By their fruits ye shall know them":—

And I think that saving a little child,  
And foting him to his own,  
Is a derned sight better business  
Than loafing around The Throne.

In regard to the much-debated origin of "Little Breeches" the following note, from Hay to J. T. Fields seems to me to be conclusive, unless it can be proved that the "bit of Western talk" to which he refers was not the "ballad" in question.

*To James T. Fields*

NEW YORK, Dec. 7, 1870.

Have you seen a bit of Western talk I wrote one morning in Boston and published when I got here? It has had a surprising circulation. The whole Western press has copied it, clear through to the Pacific. It is too flimsy for criticism of course, but the little touch of humanity in it has covered its sins.

besides the recital of an heroic act, some thought drawn from it that was vital and would live. He immediately sat down and added another stanza, closing with:—

" 'Christ ain't going to be too hard  
On a man who died for men.'

In that same way, I am responsible for the last stanza of 'Little Breeches.'"

As this note is dated only five days after the publication of the ballad in the *Weekly Tribune*, and as Hay was visiting the "*geistreich*" Bostonians at the time, the presumption is overwhelming that "Little Breeches" was born, not in his natural habitat on the prairie, but in Boston or Cambridge.

In time Hay came to loathe the mention of the poem which made him famous, as much as General Sherman loathed the sound of "Marching through Georgia." Everybody quoted it to him: wherever he went among strangers he was introduced as its author; the parodies on it were numerous. He used to say that the rattle of it dinned in his ears through life like a tin can tied to a dog's tail. When he republished his poems, he put "Jim Bludso" first in its place.

To E. C. Stedman, who wrote to consult him in regard to selections for "An American Anthology," Hay replied: "I do not want to interfere with your editorial conscience, but would like timidly to suggest that you do not use 'Little Br——' in your *recueil*. You would pardon the cheeky request if you knew how odious the very name of that hopeless fluke is to yours faithfully."

The first English edition, printed in 1871, was entitled "Little Breeches," and the *London Athenæum* commented on it in the tone of condescension then

typical of the English in their estimate of American publications.

"It cannot be denied," said the *Athenæum*, "that there is a quaint vigour in Mr. Hay's manner of telling these anecdotes, but there is nothing in the ballads to warrant the praise bestowed upon them by the American press."<sup>1</sup>

Nevertheless, following their habit of insisting that the outlandish or uncouth was essentially American, the English took up the "Pike County Ballads," and when Hay went to London as Ambassador he heard his lines on many British lips.

His mature feeling toward the two poems he expressed in this letter to one of his former colleagues on the *Tribune*:—

*To Joseph B. Bishop*<sup>2</sup>

WASHINGTON, D.C., January 11, 1889.

. . . I thank you very much for your kind letter and the enclosures, which I would not otherwise have seen. I thoroughly appreciate a good word spoken for "Jim," who is a friend of mine. I shudder and hide in the cellar only when the boy with the small Knickerbockers<sup>3</sup> is mentioned.

<sup>1</sup> *Athenæum*, no. 2291.

<sup>2</sup> Mr. J. B. Bishop was on the staff of the *Tribune* from 1870 to 1883; then he went to the *Evening Post*, 1883-1900.

<sup>3</sup> Referring to "Jim Bludso" and "Little Breeches."

A curious thing happened during that summer when we were holding up the Republican party by the tail.

On the first appearance of J. B., Mark Twain wrote to me, saying that I was all wrong making him an engineer, — that only a pilot could have done what I represented him as doing. This troubled me somewhat, though I thought I was right. During the summer of '87, a cotton broker of New Orleans, a son of my J. B. (whose name was Oliver Fairchild, by the way) came to see me at the *Tribune* Office, and absolutely confirmed my story, saying that his father was engineer of the *Fashion*, and died in just that way. But the case was of course uncommon — the pilot usually does the work — and Jim Givens comes again to discredit me.

I am afraid this is ominous of my fate, — to be right as a historian and wrong as an artist.

To a later correspondent Hay sent this final statement about the original "Jim Bludso."

*To M. H. Slater, Colorado*

WASHINGTON, February 13th, 1905.

I think your idea of the mistake arises from there being two Fairchilds in Hancock County. I knew Oliver Fairchild very well, that is as a child and as

a man grown who was generally on his boat and rarely at home. His son, Henry W. Fairchild, of New Orleans, was a schoolmate of mine. When I said I got the story from him, I merely meant that I got the details of the burning of the steamer *Fashion* and the death of his father from him. There is no mistake in the name.

We need not examine the rest of the Poems in detail. The volume contains a group of "Wanderlieder" inspired by a special occasion, or by scenery, or by legends and tales which captivated him. Best among them is "Sunrise in the Place de la Concorde,"<sup>1</sup> which passes very naturally from a sketch, delicate yet distinct, of the actual Place, to an imaginative review of the intermittent pomps and tragedies and heroisms which it had witnessed.

"The Sphinx of the Tuileries" is a fine example of political invective which is saved from being a diatribe by its righteous indignation. Napoleon III, he says, —

is a Sphinx indeed.

For the Sphinx with breast of woman  
And face so debonair,  
Had the sleek false paws of a lion  
That could furtively seize and tear.

<sup>1</sup> See Chapter IX., pp. 226, 228.

So far to the shoulders, — but if you took  
The Beast in reverse you would find  
The ignoble form of a craven cur  
Was all that lay behind.

The closing lines lift the subject from the denunciation of a base individual to the affirmation of unyielding faith: —

The people will come to their own at last, —  
God is not mocked forever.

In "Boudoir Prophecies" Hay plays sarcastically with the changed fortunes of Queen Isabella and Empress Eugénie — a piece apparently slight, yet having barbs which hook it into the memory. "A Triumph of Order," reminiscent of the horrors of the Paris Commune, is a bit of realism as unqualified as one of Manet's drawings. And yet, in the midst of almost photographic closeness to life, Hay interjects a stanza with this unusual figure: —

For the joy of killing had lost its zest  
In the glut of those awful days,  
And Death writhed, gorged like a greedy snake,  
From the Arch to Père-la-Chaise.

If we turn to some of Hay's narrative poems, we shall see in them the predominance of the spirit of Romanticism as contrasted with Realism. (These labels are, in truth, somewhat vague, and they smack of literary cant; but they will serve our pur-



pose here.) For Hay, like many another artist of his generation, was possessed by the two conflicting tendencies. Happily, in him there was no struggle, far less quarrel, between them: and so he was saved from the effort of deliberately choosing, as well as from the conscious partisanship, which troubled some of his contemporaries. When a subject kindled him, he wrote his poem on it, in whatever metre, style, or method he best could, never inquiring whether he was obeying the tenets of Realism or Romanticism. Therein, at least, he followed the practice of the world's men of genius instead of that of the world's doctrinaires.

The Poems faithfully represent John Hay's nature, not less than his gifts, in that they display versatility, manifold interests, a quick perception, responsive emotions, irony without malice, and an aptitude for the unexpected turn of phrase. The variety of his metres is remarkable. His ear was musical, although not always correct. Perhaps his lapses came from rapid composition, rather than from any real deficiency in his metrical sense; for he often seems to improvise, rather than to work over and polish his verses. To improvisation belongs the charm of freshness, which Hay's poems seldom lack: its danger lies in its uneven texture. At his best, Hay delighted in flowing metres and well-matched, sonorous

rhymes. Sometimes, especially in his earlier verses, we catch a musical sweep which might be thought Swinburnian were it not that the poem antedated Swinburne's first volume. He did not need to go in search of images, because they swarmed upon him unsought.

If Hay's general poems enjoyed less repute than they deserved, it was because they came at a time when the verse-reading public was engrossed in the finicalities of metrical forms — in the forgotten masterpieces of the Cherry-Stone Carvers, and the finds of the seekers after banal subjects and bizarre rhythms. Since their day has long since passed, it may be that now Hay's poetry, which springs from his genuine nature and not from a mere fad or fashion, will appeal to the grand-children of those who first read it.

I hesitate to assign subjective significance even to those poems which appear, on the surface, to be personal confessions. The real artist is a very elusive creature, who, by virtue of the intuition which makes him an artist, glides like Proteus into so many shapes that the critic may mistake the imaginary creation for the creator himself. Still, in such a poem as "Lagrimas" Hay seems to give vent to a personal mood, or, if not that, to a mood which waylays men of his temperament when they discover, poignantly,

that the momentum of youth has slackened and that the things which they had taken for granted would last them through life, were the perquisites of youth alone, and with youth have vanished.

## LAGRIMAS

God send me tears!  
Loose the fierce band that binds my tired brain,  
Give me the melting heart of other years,  
And let me weep again!

Before me pass  
The shapes of things inexorably true.  
Gone is the sparkle of transforming dew  
From every blade of grass.

In life's high noon  
Aimless I stand, my promised task undone,  
And raise my hot eyes to the angry sun  
That will go down too soon.

Turned into gall  
Are the sweet joys of childhood's sunny reign;  
And memory is a torture, love a chain  
That binds my life in thrall.

And childhood's pain  
Could to me now the purest rapture yield;  
I pray for tears as in his parching field  
The husbandman for rain.

We pray in vain!  
The sullen sky flings down its blaze of brass;  
The joys of life all scorched and withering pass;  
I shall not weep again.

There, indisputably, is a sincere poem, welling up from a heart which knew that passion and suffering are two aspects of the same experience.

As if to round out his poetical expression, Hay wrote a cluster of epigrams, from which I cite half-a-dozen examples. Some of them have the tang of worldly-wisdom before it has soured into cynicism.

## 2

There are three species of creatures who when they seem coming are going,  
When they seem going they come: Diplomates, women, and crabs.

## 3

Pleasures too hastily tasted grow sweeter in fond recollection,  
As the pomegranate plucked green ripens far over the sea.

## 5

What is a first love worth, except to prepare for a second?  
What does the second love bring? Only regret for the first.

## 10

Maidens! why should you worry in choosing whom you shall marry?  
Choose whom you may, you will find you have got somebody else.

## 11

Unto each man comes a day when his favorite sins all forsake him,  
And he complacently thinks he has forsaken his sins.

## 13

Who would succeed in the world should be wise in the use of his pronouns.  
Utter the You twenty times, where you once utter the I.

## 17

Try not to beat back the current, yet be not drowned in its  
waters;

Speak with the speech of the world, think with the thoughts  
of the few.

## 18

Make all good men your well-wishers, and then, in the years'  
steady sifting,

Some of them turn into friends. Friends are the sunshine of  
life.

Thus when Christmas, 1871, greeted John Hay, he enjoyed the distinction of being the author of two volumes of poetry and prose, either of which made him a citizen of the republic of letters. Fortune, whose favorite he always was, welcomed him with both hands.

## CHAPTER XV

### FRIENDSHIPS

**F**RRIENDS are the sunshine of life." That might well be John Hay's motto, the maxim which, had he been one of the Seven Sages, he would have bequeathed to posterity. His genius for friendship showed itself early in childhood and never failed him to his dying day. His associates delighted in him because of his playful wit, the richness and variety of his conversation, his deep-rooted kindliness, his frankness,— a quality which does not always make for friendship, — and his sympathy. They did not think of him as the successful author or the brilliant editor; and later, when he walked on the highest levels of public life, he still remained for them — not Hay the Ambassador, not Hay the Secretary of State, but Hay the friend.

As it is by these intimate contacts rather than by external events, which often seem so casual as to be almost negligible, that we can best come to know him during his middle decades, I shall quote freely from his letters to his associates.

Above other American letter-writers, he had spontaneity — that quality without which a letter can

## 17

Try not to beat back the current, yet be not drowned in its  
waters;

Speak with the speech of the world, think with the thoughts  
of the few.

## 18

Make all good men your well-wishers, and then, in the years'  
steady sifting,

Some of them turn into friends. Friends are the sunshine of  
life.

Thus when Christmas, 1871, greeted John Hay, he enjoyed the distinction of being the author of two volumes of poetry and prose, either of which made him a citizen of the republic of letters. Fortune, whose favorite he always was, welcomed him with both hands.

## CHAPTER XV

### FRIENDSHIPS

**F**RIENDS are the sunshine of life." That might well be John Hay's motto, the maxim which, had he been one of the Seven Sages, he would have bequeathed to posterity. His genius for friendship showed itself early in childhood and never failed him to his dying day. His associates delighted in him because of his playful wit, the richness and variety of his conversation, his deep-rooted kindliness, his frankness, — a quality which does not always make for friendship, — and his sympathy. They did not think of him as the successful author or the brilliant editor; and later, when he walked on the highest levels of public life, he still remained for them — not Hay the Ambassador, not Hay the Secretary of State, but Hay the friend.

As it is by these intimate contacts rather than by external events, which often seem so casual as to be almost negligible, that we can best come to know him during his middle decades, I shall quote freely from his letters to his associates.

Above other American letter-writers, he had spontaneity — that quality without which a letter can



hardly escape being artificial, if not insincere. The notes which Hay dashed off on the spur of the moment reflect his passing mood; they tell news of his work and of family plans; they give his opinion of the book he is reading or of persons; they sparkle with the wit which comes to him as he writes; they are delightfully indiscreet. If his purpose is to send information, he states it, but without pedantry. So his best letters have that charm of unpremeditation which belongs to the best talk; and, in this respect at least, they come nearer than any others to Byron's, which are the best in English.

During his bachelor life in New York, Hay made many acquaintances outside of the circle of his *Tribune* associates. We hear, at one time, of a small coterie, composed of Whitelaw Reid, Dr. Richard H. Derby, and half a dozen other men, with their wives and sisters, where these existed; and this club, which met informally at the houses of its members to dine or sup, was a shrine of comradeship. By chance, a memento from it has come to me, which, though scarcely more than a bagatelle, is perhaps worth preserving; not so much because it displays Hay's sprightliness as because, through his jesting, we may discern his seriousness.

Those were the days of Mental Photograph Albums, and on February 25, 1873, Hay made this

portrait of himself for the album belonging to Miss Lucy Derby.<sup>1</sup>

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Your favorite color?                                 | <i>Tricolor.</i>  |
| 2. Flower?  | Buckwheat.  |
| 3. Tree?  | Industry.   |
| 4. Object in Nature?                                    | School girls.   |
| 5. Hour in the Day?                                     | The Shepherd's Hour.  |
| 6. Season?  | Currie-powder.  |
| 7. Perfume?   | The odor of sanctity.   |
| 8. Gem?   | Jem Brady.*   |
| 9. Style of Beauty?                                     | The accessible.   |
| 10. Names, Male and Female?                             | Jack and Jill.  |
| 11. Painters?   | Fresh air and sunshine.   |
| 12. Musicians?  | Infants (aetat. 6 mos.)   |
| 13. Pieces of Sculpture?                                | The Sphinx.   |
| 14. Poets?  | The unpublished.  |
| 15. Poetesses?  | The Nine — (none since).  |
| 16. Prose Authors?                                      | Lindley Murray.   |
| 17. Character in Romance?                               | George Washington.  |
| 18. Character in History?                               | Susan B. Anthony.   |
| 19. Book to take up for an hour?                        | "Jonathan Wild."  |
| 20. What Book (not religious) would you part with last? | Dante — (because there is no temptation to waste time in reading it). |
| 21. What epoch would you choose to have lived in?       | The Twentieth Century.  |
| 22. Where would you like to live?                       | Everywhere.   |
| 23. What is your favorite amusement?                    | Worrying the wicked.  |
| 24. What is your favorite occupation?                   | Sleep.  |
| 25. What trait of character do you most admire in man?  | Luck.   |
| 26. In woman?   | Pluck.  |
| 27. What trait do you most detest in each?              | Undue prosperity.   |
| 28. If not yourself, who would you rather be?           | Her second husband.   |
| 29. What is your idea of happiness?                     | A bad character and a good digestion.                                 |
| 30. Of misery?  | Life.   |

\* A prize-fighter.

---

<sup>1</sup> Now Mrs. S. Richard Fuller, to whom I am indebted for this find.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 31. What is your <i>bête noire</i> ?   | A pen.   |
| 32. What is your dream?  | Tiflis.  |
| 33. What is your favorite game?  | "Woodcock's Little Game."                                |
| 34. What do you believe to be your distinguishing characteristics?                               | Sweetness and light.                                     |
| 35. If married, what do you believe to be the distinguishing characteristic of your better-half? | Self-sacrifice.  |
| 36. What is the sublimest passion of which human nature is capable?                              | Waltzing.  |
| 37. What are the sweetest words in the world?  | "It's early yet." ( <i>Bleib ä Bissert!</i> ).           |
| 38. What are the saddest words?  | Too late.  |
| 39. What is your aim in life?  | The Universal Commune.                                   |
| 40. What is your motto?  | Love your neighbor, but be careful of your neighborhood. |

After Hay's marriage, he and his wife lived for a year in New York, and then removed to Cleveland, Ohio, where they made their home for nearly ten years. During that time they were frequently absent, and on one occasion they spent two seasons in Washington. Still, Cleveland was home to them. Mr. Stone built a house for his daughter on Euclid Avenue. Hay opened an office, his theoretical duties being, it appears, to assist Mr. Stone in managing large financial interests; his main business, however, — the work which, in spite of many interruptions, gave continuity to his energy during this period and later, — was his collaboration with Nicolay on the Life of Lincoln. I shall return to this biography later, merely begging the reader to bear in mind that it lay in the background of Hay's thoughts, whether he

mentioned it or not, through all the years covered by the following letters.

If we except Mrs. Whitman and Miss Perry, the encouragers of his college poetic dreams, Mr. Howells was probably the first literary figure with whom he became acquainted. Although only a year and a half older than Hay, Mr. Howells commenced as author in 1860, when with John J. Piatt, he printed his first volume, "Poems of Two Friends." This Hay read in Springfield, and on his journey East, he stopped over at Columbus in order to greet and congratulate the unknown young poet — an indication of his enthusiasm. But Howells happened to be away, and it was not until a little while later, when Hay was in the White House, that they met.<sup>1</sup> Thenceforth, strong friendship bound them together; and Hay was one of the earliest and loyalest of the novelist's admirers.

A note to one of his European correspondents gives a glimpse of his early married life.

*To Albert Rhodes*

NEW YORK, January 28, 1875.

I was right glad to hear from you and to learn your thought of coming back to us. You have evidently

<sup>1</sup> Hay must also have known of Howells through his campaign *Life of Abraham Lincoln*, published in 1860.

had a good time, and I suppose you are now coming back, to let loose a brilliant book upon the university world. Come, and have the success you have so well merited.

I am leading a quiet life and shall be glad to have you *égayer* it somewhat with your French airs and graces. We are established for a year at 11 East-Forty-Second Street (wide street, you know, near 5th Avenue) in a pleasant house, and there is always something in the larder (I don't know what a larder is, but it is euphonious) wherewith to barricade your bowel against the wolf. . . .


*To Whitelaw Reid*

NEW YORK, April 29, 1875.

I can't walk, stand or sit — but by special grace I am still able to lie on my stomach. If you can think of a subject you would like to have treated from that point of view, send it over, and I will worry it.

Yours in Job-like dejection.

In the late spring of 1875 the Hays went to Cleveland, where they lived at 514 Euclid Avenue until their new house was ready. The following year, serious trouble with his eyes caused him to forego writing for several months. He seems to have suffered from what later oculists diagnose as eye-strain,



JOHN HAY AT THE TIME OF HIS MARRIAGE IN 1874



which caused head-aches, nervous dyspepsia, and depression. Prolonged rest benefited him; but the distressing symptoms recurred at intervals all his days.

Into the life of Cleveland he entered with his customary adaptability. Mr. Stone's position was a point of vantage in making Hay acquainted with the magnates of the city. His own interests introduced him to the political leaders, many of whom had known him in Washington days or through the *Tribune*.

Whatever social life Cleveland offered, the young couple had access to; and Hay had not been there long before he organized a dining-club composed of eight or ten men of various occupations, and at its dinners one heard the best that Cleveland had to give. Hay himself, according to the testimony of the most distinguished of their survivors, was the best after-dinner talker of them all.

*To Albert Rhodes*

514 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OHIO, July 9, 1875.

Many thanks for your recollection. But Mrs. Hay saw your book at a store in this city and made *cadeau* of it to me. I have not read it yet, for Madam has been devouring it herself and occasionally reads a page aloud to me, which justifies my long-standing



opinion of your sparkling style and observant eye. Please accept our thanks for the pleasure the book has given us, as much as if we had got it for nothing.

I have been a little of everywhere since I saw you. First I went to Boston; then to Illinois, where I passed ten days at my father's and met all my brethren who are still alive.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CLEVELAND, OHIO, June 3, 1875.

Yesterday morning, after we had been here an hour or so, the corner of the new house was laid, and ever since my ears have been full of the muffled click of the chisels of some half hundred workmen on the soft yellow stone. Mr. Stone is much better than I had expected to find him. He is lame, and walks with a crutch, but otherwise he is much better than he was in New York. Of course he is far from well, but I feel as if there was a good chance for a steady recovery now. This removes a heavy weight from my mind.

We have had as yet no talk about our business plans. That will be postponed until after my return from Warsaw. If he is then decidedly better, we can come to some conclusion in regard to the winter.

I have felt a dozen times yesterday and to-day a sort of blind impulse to go down to Printing House

Square and write some brevier. The moment the obligation was removed, the desire to write began to be born again.

I hope you have endorsed the Ohio platform of the Republicans. It is almost perfect, and I suppose Hayes<sup>1</sup> to be a good sort of man. The Democrats have made so bad a use of their success in this State that there ought to be a show for Hayes this time.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

34 PUBLIC SQUARE, CLEVELAND,  
July 19, 1875.

I merely put that address there for the sake of grandeur, to let you know that we have at last got into an office and have carpeted it and set up desks and bought some note-paper and a waste-basket, and are now ready to skin the pensive Buckeye with neatness and dispatch.

... Don't think of sending me the Thiers autograph. I would have it prettily framed, if I were you. It is a very nice thing for your children. It is the thanks of the French Republic, *voyez-vous!*

... I never saw so many pretty girls as there are in Cleveland. Hurry along!

The *Tribune* is marvellously full and good. I can't

<sup>1</sup> R. B. Hayes, nominated by the Republicans for Governor of Ohio, was elected in October.

read it all nowadays, but I struggle through as much as I can stand. It is a far bigger paper than I thought when I was there in the kitchen helping to cook it. Only don't waste your nervous system altogether. Save Hassard and some of the rest, and you can keep it as good as it is for a lifetime.

Scattered through Hay's letters to Whitelaw Reid are references to the growing family. This, for example, is his unusual form of announcing the birth of the elder daughter, Helen: —

“DEAR REID: It is painful, but I must tell you. My wife says, when you come to the house, that you have got to hold the baby.”

In another letter to Reid, on quite different matters, we light upon this amusing parenthesis: —

“(Mrs. Stone gave me to-day a portrait of herself with my wife (*ætat.* five months) sitting in her lap. It is the image of my infant to-day, which I hope disposes forever of the foul and widely-circulated calumny that the baby looks like me.)”

And here is probably his earliest description of his son Adelbert: —

“My *Tribune* commenced coming the day after I telegraphed. I suppose it may have gone wrong a day or two on account of there being no street number on the address, but it is all right now, and with a

boy and a *Tribune* in the house we are sufficiently furnished to feel comfortable. The young man's name is Adelbert Stone Hay, — no Jr. in mine, if you please, though I fought off the name single-handed against great odds. He is a fine little man-child, ugly and strong, lean and big-boned, with a boundless capacity for sleeping and eating, and as yet no music in him. Long may he fight it out on that line.

“There is nothing in which a bachelor's ignorance shines out so flagrantly as in his feeble-minded conviction that babies look alike. There is no family-likeness even, between my two. My little girl, who was quite ugly at first, has become very pretty. I do not think the boy ever will, from present appearances, but he looks already like a railroad maker and statesman. Mrs. Hay, the Lord be thank't, is very well. The babies take none of her health or good looks away from her.”

In 1883 Hay writes from Cannes to Albert Rhodes:

“The children are all well and gay. The baby is three years old to-day and there are rumors of great doings in the nursery. A cake with three candles and an orgie with *sirop*.

“I too have a letter from P. His glory is not satisfying to his soul. He seems disconsolate. Where, my brethren, is happiness? In the dictionary.”

Hay watched the *Tribune* with a former editor's special interest, and, being free from the drudgery of its routine, he often expressed regret for the pleasant hours past. "I wish I could drop in on you for an hour or so," he writes Reid. "When I am in New York I hardly ever go to anything — but now it makes one homesick to read the ads in the *Tribune*." (June 5, 1875.)

*To W. D. Howells*

536 EUCLID AVENUE, CLEVELAND, O.,  
February 20, 1877.

I send a few lines of vituperation for the Contributors' Club<sup>1</sup> as your wisdom may ordain.

I hear you are to write a "No Name"<sup>2</sup> story, but I do not believe a word of it. Your name is too valuable to veil. If you do, let me know, in strict confidence. I cannot afford to read the "No Name" books. I fear I might plunge into some such ditch of M. and water as D. Your comedy<sup>3</sup> is delicious. My wife reads it to me.

The last *Atlantic* has come, and we think that no magazine has a right to two things as good as you and James at once. Is not "The American" aston-

<sup>1</sup> A department of the *Atlantic Monthly*.

<sup>2</sup> The Boston publishing house of Roberts Brothers brought out a series of anonymous novels under this general title.

<sup>3</sup> *A Counterfeit Presentment*.

ishing, even to us who always believed in him? Of course not "æsthetically attractive" — but — well! well! let us be patient! Such things have always been.

In the spring of 1878 Hay's health was so seriously impaired that the doctor told him he must lie off all summer. As Mrs. Hay could not accompany him, he suggested to Nicolay to join him on a tour of recreation.

*To J. G. Nicolay*

ROOM 1, CUSHING'S BLOCK, CLEVELAND, O.

April 6, 1878.

. . . [The doctor] suggests — see how great minds jump together — what you did — Colorado. Only you suggested *taking* me. *Eh bien!* Can you go? If you can't, I am rather inclined to Switzerland instead.

If you can go, let me know, and when you can get off. It won't cost you much — all the extras I will stand, and you will come back to Washington so fat they will charge you double.

Let me know at once.

I think I shall go to Philadelphia about May 1st and consult Weir Mitchell before starting. So that all definite plans can be postponed till then.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

514 EUCLID AVENUE, CLEVELAND, O.

July 5, 1878.

. . . I wish you could ever have as lazy time as I had for ten days at Warsaw, — though I suppose you could not have endured it. We were all together without our wives, and spent every precious minute of the time in loafing and remembering our childhood. I got acquainted with my brother Leonard over again, and liked him better than ever. If I had not been too lazy to take down his talk, it would have been all good copy, about life on the frontier. But as I was going to say, I wish you would take care of yourself in some way. It is getting to be a mania with me, . . . and I made myself a nuisance at Springfield by croaking at Charles Hay,<sup>1</sup> who works nearly twenty hours a day. I am grieved and ashamed to see that the *Tribune* is as good and better without me. Why can't you make up your mind to let it go a little while on the momentum you have given it! It is a tremendous paper. I see it more plainly than ever when I am away. Every one I meet says the same thing; it has fairly conquered criticism. If you would now learn to sleep and eat like a Christian, it would be all the better for you and your congregation. . . .

. <sup>1</sup> John's younger brother.

Nicolay being unable to take the European trip, Hay invited his brother Leonard, and the two renewed their youth while traveling in England and on the Continent. John's memorandum book contains brief notes which show that he was not too worn out for sight-seeing. As usual, he listed the paintings and monuments which particularly attracted him. At Amsterdam the "towers are out of perpendicular. Read Zola, *Une Page d'Amour*. Black and bitter as gall."

At the station of Ehrenbreitstein Hay saw the Empress Eugénie: "bowed," is his laconic note; with what recollections on his part of their meeting thirteen years before, may be imagined. The brothers spent some time at Schlangenbad, where John took the baths and was assured by Dr. Bauman, who looked him over, that "there was nothing serious." At Schwalbach, Dr. Carl Genth examined his eyes and "saw no organic trouble whatever."

One other item reads: —

"Weight of J. H. July 26, 1878. Kilo 66, gr. 500; German pounds, 133; English pounds, 146½. Weight of L.H. Kilo 71, gr. 500; German pounds, 143; English pounds, 157¼."

In September the brothers came home.



*To Whitelaw Reid*

CLEVELAND, July 27, 1878.

... I don't see any good reason why we should not set December 7 as the Saturday night on which we shall beguile Howells down to New York, and I will come too. Only you are not to have any spread on that evening, for we shall want to go to the Century.

Howells's play, produced here Friday, is a translation of that pathetic Spanish tragedy, *Yorick*,<sup>1</sup> which you and I saw some years ago at the Fifth Avenue. Howells has greatly improved it. It is a beautiful tragedy now and Barrett<sup>2</sup> played it magnificently — but it is too sombre and heart-breaking to have much money in it.

I have not congratulated you on your great coup.<sup>3</sup> It is the biggest piece of intelligent journalism, as distinguished from mere enterprise, that has been done in the country. The leader-writing about it has been as good as the cipher-work, — can't say better, for obvious reasons.

I have not thanked you either for taking me to

<sup>1</sup> By Estébanez, whose play in Spanish is *Un Nuevo Mundo*.

<sup>2</sup> Lawrence Barrett (1838-91), actor who, during his last years, starred with Edwin Booth.

<sup>3</sup> Deciphering the Florida despatches which were held by Republicans to prove the corrupt practices of the Democrats.

Teaneck, that Sunday. I never had really talked with Walter Phelps <sup>1</sup> before, and I should not have felt like leaving the world without meeting so original and lovable a character. He is charming — mind and heart both, — one of the fellows that ought to live forever to help sweeten a brackish world.

To the playwright himself, Hay sent not only congratulations on *Yorick's Love*, but this fragment of dramatic criticism.

*To W. D. Howells*

CLEVELAND, O., October 28, 1878.

I went home last night moved and shaken to the core by your play,<sup>2</sup> and I woke up this morning with that vague sense of calamity with which a sorrow of the night before tinges the morning. I hardly know how to begin my report to you. If the theatre was merely a temple of art and poetry I could congratulate you on a great and glorious triumph. I am sure I never saw Barrett play as well, with such sustaining agony of expression. I went in to see him after the second Act, and he was haggard as a ghost and drenched with perspiration, but he showed no di-

<sup>1</sup> William Walter Phelps (1839-94) whose home was at Teaneck, near Englewood, New Jersey; he was a Congressman, 1873-75; Minister to Austria, 1881-82; and minister to Germany, 1889-93.

<sup>2</sup> *Yorick's Love*.

minution of energy in the last Act. The play throughout had a terrible clutch upon the feelings of the audience, in spite of the young man who played Edmund, who overdid his part and left the audience behind him with no inclination to catch up. In all Barrett's scenes the attention was painfully intense, only interrupted by quick and electrical storms of applause.

The audience was like your other one last year, an *Atlantic Monthly* crowd which crammed every inch of space. They appreciated the good acting and the good writing as well. The exquisite versification in the second Act, for instance, was remarked upon by a dozen people about me, who, I should have thought, would not care for such things. It was a great tragedy, nobly played, in short; and it had last night an honest and legitimate success. The success was yours, too, for it was a very different play from the one I saw at the Fifth Avenue Theatre some years ago, improved almost beyond recognition. It was the best written play I have heard for a long time.

Now shall I go on with the hateful candor of a friend, and tell you the further impression it made on me? I do not believe that, as the play stands, it will ever have great runs, or make you much money. The plot is so simple, the story so sombre and heart-

breaking, that after the play becomes known, few people will go to see it except those who enjoy the very best things in writing and acting. It is too concentrated, too intense. The five people in it are in such a profound agony that an ordinary audience would grow nervous. They must laugh once in a while, and if you do not give them the chance to do it legitimately, they will do it in the wrong places. I do not know how the Greeks managed with their awful simplicity and work, but Shakespeare had to throw in what I dare not call padding.

Perhaps I am croaking in vain, after all. The play is magnificent. I wonder how any contemporary Spaniard could have done it. Your part of the work, it seems to me, is faultless, and Barrett's is unquestionably the stoutest piece of work I ever saw him do. (You made an improvement in keeping Shakespeare behind the flies. He was almost grotesque in the original.) The applause was of the sharpest and most spontaneous kind and the people were roused and moved in a very uncommon way. Perhaps I am morbid and cannot look at the prosperous side of things, but I think you will prefer to have me say what I think, even if I am wrong. I am sure I never left a theatre feeling such a sense of tragedy as last night, except when I walked out of the Academy of Music one afternoon and felt that I ought to go and

tell the police that Salvini had smothered his wife and killed himself.<sup>1</sup>

Turning to brighter things, Mrs. Hay and I are just starting across the ocean with Miss Blood,<sup>2</sup> with the assurance of a happy voyage. The first number is delightful. It gives the pleasure we feel at the first note of Wilhelmj's fiddle; we know he can keep on doing it as long as he likes.

*To J. G. Nicolay*

CLEVELAND, O., January 11, 1879.

I think Colorado must be the thing after all. I went to Europe in May, had the quietest summer of my life. Spent a month or so in England, loafing in city and country; did not go to a single dinner-party or opera; then loafed through Holland and Belgium; up the Rhine to Schlangenbad, where we stayed a month; then a little of Northern Italy and Switzerland; then the [Paris] Exposition and Scotland, and a week's sleepy rest at Windermere, and home. In all this I was more quiet than I would have been in Cleveland. After I got back I imagined I felt better for a month or so, but the other day I had the most ridiculous attack I have ever had — thought I was

<sup>1</sup> Tommaso Salvini first acted *Othello* in New York at the Academy of Music in the autumn of 1873.

<sup>2</sup> A character in *The Lady of the Aroostook*.

dead for half an hour. The doctor said it was nothing at all serious — simply the effect of the cold. But I feel rickety yet.

I have been trying my best to get to work again, with very indifferent success. But I feel to-day as if I might make some headway for a while. I will write you later and tell you how I get on. Perhaps I can come to see you later in the season, but I can't say certainly yet. I won't come unless I can bring at least, say, 33,000 words.

In the autumn of 1879 William M. Evarts, the Secretary of State under President Hayes, succeeded, after much urging, in persuading Hay to be Assistant Secretary — a post which he filled until the installation of Garfield's Administration.

*To Albert Rhodes*

DEPARTMENT OF STATE,  
WASHINGTON, June 8, 1880.

I received your letter of the 22nd and the "*Vie Moderne*" at the same time. I thank you very much for sending it to me. I have always been greatly interested in Flaubert's work and am glad to know something of the man. I have read, I believe, all his works except the "*Éducation Sentimentale*," which I see you call in your admirable letter to the *Tribune* "the

weaking of his brain" — so perhaps I have not lost much in missing that.

I am always glad to hear from you. I have no news to tell you except that I have two daughters and a son — all healthy and happy — and that I have only one aspiration in life and that is two — to get out of office and to stop having headache.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

DEPARTMENT OF STATE,  
WASHINGTON, February 11, 1881.

MY DEAR WHITELAW REID:—

My heart is full of your happiness.<sup>1</sup> I give you a thousand congratulations. The best thing has happened to you that could happen. You will be at peace the rest of your life so far as the greatest of all questions is concerned. You will have a good wife — good through and through — and I can tell you what that amounts to.

I need not tell you how I have desired and hoped for this. I have rarely met a young lady whom I liked so much at first sight as Miss Mills; and Mrs. Hay sanctioned my judgment of her noble qualities by some feminine judicial process which does not require long acquaintance. . . .

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Reid had announced his engagement to Miss Elizabeth Mills.

The proposition on your last page takes my breath away. I suppose I shall decline it finally, but I shall take pleasure in thinking it over for a while. It is a great temptation.

I had a letter to you lying sealed on the table when this momentous missive was handed to me. But I tore it up — it was all about politics, new cabinets, and myself, and such small deer, not worthy of your present frame of mind.

Well! God bless you and yours, now and always!

The proposition which took Hay's breath away was that he should act as editor-in-chief of the *Tribune* while Reid spent his honeymoon in Europe. This was, in truth, a final token of the value which Reid set on his judgment. Hay's literary ability nobody questioned; but judgment and tact are the compass and rudder without which a newspaper can never make port, and Reid plainly trusted in him for both.

Hay accepted the offer, partly to assist his friend, and partly because he liked to try his hand at new and formidable undertakings. The Reids were married on April 26, 1881; and for six months Hay sat in the editorial chair which Horace Greeley had occupied.



*To Albert Rhodes*

CLEVELAND, O., February 19, 1882.

Many thanks for sending "Serge Panine." I should have written to ask for it, if it had not come. I am just now *alité* by an attack of diphtheria which will soon be over, I am told; and then we shall attack "M. Panine."

I have read all the stories in Halévy's volume and find them delicious. I suppose he is Jew by religion as well as by blood; otherwise his irreverence would never be so light and dainty.

*To W. D. Howells*

CLEVELAND, March 26, 1882.

Your letter had a powerful effect on Mrs. Hay and me. Our minds were in solution and your letter precipitated them in an eye-twinkle. We had been intending to go to Europe, but thereafter all was vague; — now we shall go to Florence. What larks! We shall sail in the White Star steamer of July 15; if you took the same it would be — butter upon sausage, as Josh Billings once said in an inspired moment. I have been working hard, and laying up great store of MS. I shall go down to Washington next week and talk with Nicolay and then be free, for a vacation of respectable size.

. . . I never promised myself that much of a spree in my life. I feel a little superstitious about it now — as if it were too good for the likes of me. But to escape the envy of the Gods I will take a lot of historical notes in my trunk, ostensibly to write a few chapters, but really to ballast me, and lower my spirits with the thought of duty unperformed.

. . . I am still not well, and the doctor tells me not to be worried if I take a month more to get well in.

Man nennt das grösste Glück auf Erden  
Gesund zu sein;  
Sein grösseres ist gesund zu werden.

When I see you I will tell you what I think of it.

In 1882 the Hays went to Europe and passed the winter. Europe on the East, and the Rocky Mountains on the West — where Hay found simple quarters at Manitou Springs, or Colorado Springs — became henceforth his chosen resorts for recuperation; and as the children grew old enough to travel, they went too. To the many acquaintances whom Hay had already made in England, he added new ones at each visit, and some of these ripened into friends. The bonds thus formed proved later precious in ways he never dreamed of.

*To E. C. Stedman*

CLEVELAND, O., June 28, 1882.

Mrs. Hay has put a heavy load on me — in charging me to ask you to write some verses in her book. I know, better than most of the profane, what a *corvée* this is. But I begin myself to wish to see the book completed, and you are too important a victim to escape. You will see how worthy the company is of you: — Emerson, Longfellow, and others. Don't damn me too much, — say about half what I deserve.

To complete your kindness and fill up the measure of my imprudence — would you mind giving me a letter to Swinburne? I will not crowd upon him, but if ever I come in his way, I would like to be indebted to you for an introduction to him. . . . We sail from New York July 15.

*To W. D. Howells*

ACTON PARK, WREXHAM.

September 18, 1882.

Did you learn what Alma Tadema would ask, say, for one of those pretty little pictures of his, the one with two figures in it? If it were not too monstrous I think I would get Mr. Stone to buy it. Do not take the trouble to ask anybody about it if you have not

heard. I want also to impress upon your mind that if you ever make another bargain with an English publisher, you must talk guineas, not dollars, nor pounds. He will accept your numerals just as quick in guineas, and you will gain some six dollars in a hundred by it. It is the custom among artists and men of letters, so do not lower the standard.

Saturday last we drove from this lovely place (a seventeenth century house in which the vile Judge Jeffreys was born, now the seat of a family of baronets the most amiable conceivable) to Hawarden Castle, the residence of the Prime Minister. We were disappointed in not seeing the Grand Old Man, who was detained in London by a cabinet council; but Mrs. Gladstone was at home and very gracious. I say all this merely as an introduction to the weighty fact that I saw on the drawing-room table a much-thumbed copy of "A Foregone Conclusion," and the Prime Ministress authorized and requested me to say to you how much she liked it. We went to-day to visit Chirk Castle, a grand old pile of the date of the thirteenth century, still in perfect preservation and always continuously inhabited since it was built. It was a royal appanage until Elizabeth's time, who sold it to the family who now live in it. This is the first thing of the sort I have ever seen except Warwick, and this is in many respects far finer. . . .

*To W. D. Howells*

HOTEL BEAU SITE, CANNES.

December 20, 1882.

. . . After I wrote you in Paris I saw some doctors who told me without collusion that if I would stay in Paris forty days and take douche baths I would be well. They were both great swells and the coincidence of their views rather struck me. I remembered also that it took exactly the same time in Noah's day, to cure the world of most of its infirmities by the same method; and so, like an ass, I gave up, or rather, postponed, my trip to the South, and went through my douches, with, of course, no result whatever. I went back to my doctors and reported. One said: "Better stop your douches! go to Cannes and amuse yourself! You will soon be all right. Forty francs! thank you! good-bye!"

The other said: "*Eh bien!* instead of six weeks, take three months of douches. Take them in Cannes, if you like, or in Nice"; and with that he gave me an entire change of drugs; — "Forty francs! thank you, *bon voyage!*" There was nothing Noachian about three months, so I came away determined to do nothing he told me. . . .

P.S. After shutting my letter I looked at the Christmas *Harper's*, and found, *naturellement*, that

your farce<sup>1</sup> was the pearl of the collection. It made me laugh audibly which is *mucho decir*. I would give money to see it on the stage. There is a little woman at the Vaudeville who played in *Tête de Linotte*, who would be the best Mrs. Roberts on earth. But it would be impossible here, as a French sleeping-car is a sad parody on our glorious institution. It has no sociableness, no promiscuity, no chance for love or war.

By the way, how James is catching it for his "Point of View"!<sup>2</sup> In vain I say to the Howling Patriot: "The point of view is clearly and avowedly the point of view of a corrupted mother and daughter, spoiled by Europe; of a filthy, immoral Frenchman; of a dull, well-meaning Englishman!" But they respond: "Miss Sturdy is James himself"; and as she says children are uproarious in America, and women's voices are higher than their manners, there is forgiveness for the writer. The worst thing in our time about American taste is the way it treats James. I believe he would not be read in America at all if it were not for his European vogue. If he lived in Cambridge he could write what he likes, but because he finds London more agreeable, he is the prey of all the patriotisms. Of all vices I hold patriotism the worst when it meddles with matters of taste.

<sup>1</sup> *The Sleeping Car.*

<sup>2</sup> *The Portrait of a Lady* was published that year.

*To J. G. Nicolay*

PARIS, March 8, 1883.

. . . I have been so inert and lifeless since I came over here that I have not written a letter except on the stimulus of receiving one. I have never been so idle in my life. It was of set purpose, and I think it has been wholesome.

To give you in a word our itinerary:— We arrived in England the end of last July; spent a few weeks in London, and then went north; saw Lincoln, York, Edinburgh, and Aberdeen; then went to visit a Baronet in the Highlands named Sir John Clark, passed a delightful week with him, then went to the shore of the Northern Ocean at Inverness. Thence down the Caledonian Canal to Oban, Staffa, and Iona. Then back to Hastings, where we had left the children during all these philanderings. We went up to London again after that; went to North Wales to visit another Baronet and M.P., Sir Robert Cunliffe. At his house we met the Judge Advocate-General in Gladstone's Government, Mr. Osborn-Morgan, M.P., who invited us to visit him, which we did, and passed a pleasant day or two in an Inigo Jones house in the mountains of Wales. Then we went on a regular debauch of English cathedrals:— Hereford, Worcester, Gloster, Wells, and Salisbury; and after

that, we broke for Hastings again; and after a week of rest by the summer sea we gathered up the whole caboodle and went over to Paris.

I was still rather miserable and at last went to two doctors, W. B., an American Egyptian, and the famous C., the same day. They both advised the same thing, douche baths, tonics, and bromides. I followed their prescriptions pretty faithfully, off and on, until now. In December we went to Cannes with the children, and that has been our home all the winter, — and a delicious place it is, — eternal June with an air loaded with orange blossoms. We made our little tour through Provence: — Nîmes, Arles, Aigues-Mortes, Pont du Gard, — and a longer trip to Florence and Siena, where we met Howells.

I am, I think, considerably better, though I have given up all hopes of being twenty-one again.

We sail for home on the 10th of May in the *Germanic*, and I hope to get to work immediately, or as soon as practicable after that. I saw the Comte de Paris at Cannes and he asked me to give you his Royal compliments.

Thanks for the scrap. King did not write "Democracy," nor did I.

In the next two letters, addressed to Mr. Stone, Hay wrote to interest his correspondent; for the



father-in-law was both sick in body and apprehensive in mind, at the signs of encroaching anarchy.

*To Amasa Stone*

PARIS, March 11, 1883.

DEAR MR. STONE: —

There is a feeling of deep distrust and anxiety in the public mind. A demonstration took place day before yesterday on the Esplanade des Invalides which might easily have become very serious. A few bakers' shops were pillaged and a crazy creature named "Louise Michel" tried to get the mob to march to the Élysées Palace, but the cuirassiers came on the ground and dispersed them. Another riot was feared for to-day, and the streets are full of soldiers riding in every direction. Commerce is in a great state of prostration. The laborers have had the mischief put into their heads by trade-unions, etc., and the consequence is that cheap merchandise is coming in from Germany and underselling the French on their own ground. Then the politicians in the Assembly are so eager for their individual advancement that no government lasts more than a few weeks and a painful impression of uncertainty has thus grown up throughout France.

. . . [Helen] has learned a good deal in the past year. She reads very well and has begun to write

and knows a good deal of French. Del is half a head taller than she and is getting along pretty well in his studies also. They are all lively, but have caught little colds in this harsh and damp air. Paris is a poor place to live in.

LONDON, May 2, 1883.

. . . I have been reading the life of Carlyle, and the other day I walked down to the little house where he lived and died and near which his statue now stands in bronze. At your age he suffered precisely as you do, deep, nervous depression, persistent indigestion and loss of sleep — a general disaster and irritation of the entire nervous system. His misery seems to have been of the keenest character. Yet he lived to be eighty-six years of age, and the last twenty-five years of his life were comparatively healthy and free from pain. I met the other day at dinner an old gentleman named H., eighty-two years old. He told me that between sixty and sixty-five his digestion seemed hopelessly impaired. He could eat and drink nothing and slept very little. Now he dines out every night and is the gayest of the company wherever he is. I rely on your strong constitution, your sober and moral life, the reserve of vitality you have about you, to wear out all your present troubles and to bring you to a healthy and happy con-

dition again. You have so much to live for, to enjoy the results of the good you have done and to continue your career of usefulness and honor.

Yours affectionately.

*To Henry James*

CLEVELAND, August 11, 1883.

MY DEAR JAMES: —

When I was in Florence, Larkin Mead <sup>1</sup> made for me a very admirable bronze medallion of Howells, and I write now to beg that if you find yourself soon in Florence again you will let him have a shy at your head also for me. I have written to him about it. It will give you almost no annoyance at all, as he works with great swiftness in such things, and if he succeeds with you as well as he did with Howells, the portrait will do you no discredit and will be a great ornament to my house.

I am nearly through my year's hard work, and am to start in a day or two with Nicolay to the Rocky Mountains for a few weeks' idleness.

I greatly enjoyed your Daudet in the *Century*, though demurring a little at your undue generosity. Your palinode was excessive, I thought. He *is* a "great little writer." The "Evangéliste" is dreary,

<sup>1</sup> Larkin Goldsmith Mead, born in 1835, American sculptor; brother-in-law of W. D. Howells.

the work of a genius smitten with locomotor ataxia; (if I had known that word was so long, I should never have begun upon it). There is no coördination in it. Besides, a man who is such an idiot morally can never sit down at meat with Shakespeare and you fellows. . . .

*To W. D. Howells*

IN THE CLOUDS, ROCKY MOUNTAINS,  
COLORADO, September 9, 1883.

. . . Nicolay and I are in camp in a most beautiful and rugged eyrie 9000 feet high, sometimes called Crystal Park, not far from Manitou Springs, which is our P.O. address. If you were here, — but some day you will come. — I am looking about for a place to build a hut, which I hope you will share with me. The bigness of the beauty of the place is something I am not able to describe and shall not try. I came away from Cleveland pretty wretched and am already a good deal better. I will come earlier next year and stay longer. I expect to be here at least a fortnight more. . . .

Having seen some of Hay's friendships during the first ten years of his married life, and having learned to know him better, we may now turn to the beginnings of his political career.

## CHAPTER XVI

### POLITICS

**D**URING the half-century following the Civil War, American development refutes the common saying that war is good for a people because, in calling out their courage and requiring of them fortitude and self-sacrifice not less than valor, it puts them to the test of ultimate reality. In truth, however, though there have been wars through all the ages, none has ever yet cured the most intimate social diseases, but on the contrary, war causes these to flourish and it raises up other evils of its own.

The two benefits which resulted from the American Civil War were the abolition of slavery and the preservation of the geographical union of the United States. Among the evils it bequeathed were sectionalism, a diminished respect of the citizen for the State, the commutation of patriotism into pensions, the preferment of soldiers to civil offices for which they were unfit, the centralization of the national governmental power, and the unbridling of national extravagance.

Perhaps we should count as a third benefit the swiftness with which, in 1865, the Union and the

Southern armies dissolved. Yesterday, a million soldiers hot from battle; to-day, as if transformed by a magician's wand, a million farmers, clerks, merchants, laborers, operatives — busy again at their peaceful tasks. No military despotism; no truculence of Pretorian Guards; no Prussian war lord and his underlings compelling a nation to worship Moloch as the highest God. In the noblest qualities of a soldier, the Americans had never been surpassed; and yet they testified in disbanding that they knew that peace, not war, is the normal state, the ideal, of civilized society. Small wonder that their muster-out roused the admiration of the world!

But in subtler ways the Civil War harmed American Democracy. It filled every civic office — from president to hog-reeve — with ex-soldiers. Five presidents — Grant, Hayes, Garfield, Harrison, and McKinley — came into the White House, directly or indirectly, because of their military record. The corruption of an institution begins when those who pilot it are chosen for qualities contrary, if not foreign, to its purpose. So, too, the desperate need of money to finance the war led to the adoption of a high tariff, which stimulated unhealthy production: and when the war was over, the beneficiaries of the tariff — like the fever-patient who, on his recovery, finds the morphine habit fixed upon him — demanded

higher protection and still higher. The Republican Party already ominously strong because of its prestige in the Civil War, made Protection the cornerstone of its creed; and it veiled the fact that it was the capitalists' party by claiming to protect American labor; yet it revealed its true spirit in encouraging unrestricted immigration, in order to supply capitalists with the cheapest labor.

The captains of industry, the manufacturers and mine-owners and promoters, controlled the Government in so far as they caused it to fix the tariff rates they themselves dictated — a denial of that principle of equality which is the sheet anchor of Democracy. They were numbered by thousands, or at most by tens of thousands. The multitudes who beset the Government for favor and support through the pension system reached a million or more. Dignity, self-respect, honesty itself, succumbed to the temptation of the pension-mongers. Frauds were so frequent that the Pension Bureau ceased to allow the rolls of its beneficiaries to be inspected — the most shameless official conniving at robbery this country has known.

With the pensioners as with the capitalists the primal harm was not the shocking waste of public money but the debasement of civic ideals. The four thousand million dollars poured out to the veterans

created a vast body of Americans who regard the National Treasury as fair prey for every rapacity. The conception that each citizen should defend public money from theft or waste even more scrupulously than he would a private trust, was dismissed as an iridescent dream. Another stab to Democracy, which cannot function perfectly unless every member is honest.

The influx of millions of foreigners raised further impediments. The aliens came mostly from countries where they had had little or no experience in self-government. They brought with them their tribal, their racial, their religious, and their international feuds. And as they transplanted to America the creeds of discontent and revolution which had long kept Europe alarmed, the word "proletariat" became naturalized here much sooner than many who bore it. They thrust the debate of the social revolution prematurely on the United States, and having never passed through the experience of constitutional methods, they saw no alternative to the Despotism from which they had fled except the Socialism or the Anarchism to which they would blindly leap.

On the surface, the half-century seemed prosperously given up to money-making. Expansion was bound to come, but the artificial stimulus caused its rate and its extent to be unhealthily exorbitant. To



watch a nation grow opulent is not necessarily more edifying than to watch the aurification of the individual plutocrat—and what that is the Greeks typified once for all in Midas. This process went on, however, just as surely in Europe as in the United States. Some countries, like Germany, combined the materializing pursuit of wealth with the brutalizing pursuit of militarism. All had their scorn of the vulgar American dollar, and all encouraged their parasitic nobles to marry the daughters of American millionaires, and Kings and Kaisers prudently invested in American securities. Great is cant.

These were some of the principles, unfavorable if not actively injurious, among which American Democracy had to maintain itself as best it could. In an industrial age, the government is inevitably controlled by the masters of industrialism. So the Republican Party, which was in power at the end of the Civil War, became by a quite natural metamorphosis the capitalists' organ.

Like millions of his contemporaries John Hay continued to be a Republican, not because that party fostered plutocracy in granting special privileges to capital, but because, first of all, it *had* saved the Union, it *had* put down slavery. In his youth, it kindled his conscience. He had seen Lincoln guide

its councils and direct its mighty forces to preserve Democracy on earth; his own associates had been chiefly Republicans. His instinct was, to suspect that the seeds of slavery and rebellion still lurked in the Democratic Party, although he did not question that individual Democrats were loyal and high-minded and just. That constitutional country cannot fail to suffer in which one party claims that it alone is patriotic. Such was the case in the United States for two decades after the Civil War.

Hay was keen enough to see that thick-and-thin partisanship appears illogical, not to say absurd, to the eyes of pure reason; he repudiated without demur this or that corrupt politician or party act: but he held that an institution must be judged by its essentials and not by its details, especially where these are unworthy. If, like most of us, he could not always escape from the fallacy of his zeal, yet he was so genuinely open-minded that the dominant friendship of his life was with one who looked with pitying irony upon political and other orthodoxies and those who professed them.

Except when Hay voted for Tilden for Governor of New York, his practice seems to have been consistently loyal. Republicanism, the creed of his youth, became the habit of his prime. It changed its principles; it drifted out of the old into the new; but it

still harped on the glories of its origin, and it was never so insistent on posing as Abraham Lincoln's party as when it put forth doctrines most opposed to those which he stood for.

Toward the end of the century, the Republican Party was avowedly the capitalists' party; and as such, because capital is timorous and wary and solicitous of self, it became the stronghold of conservatism. Thereby it drew to itself, not merely the rich, but many others whom the dread of a social upheaval turned into conservatives. In this aspect, too, it attracted Hay, an unwavering lover of liberty — but of liberty with order. He believed that the hope of the country, perhaps even of Western civilization, so far as this is based on property, depended upon maintaining the Republican Party as a breakwater against the rising tide of social revolution.

But enough of general outlines of his political creed and its background. Let us examine now his attitude in special cases. Generalities give us only theories about life; particulars are life itself.

During his career on the *Tribune*, Hay's knowledge of New York State politics, not less than his wide acquaintance with public men, led to his being called upon more and more for political editorials. He favored the Liberal movement of 1872, up to the time

when the Democrats appropriated it and forced Greeley upon the unnatural combination. Then he supported Grant, and, in the succeeding four years, his Republicanism was unshaken, although he could not fail to detest the revelations of corruption in high places which scandalized the country.

When the Greenback craze, the joint product of half-baked vagarists and professional demagogues, swept through the country, Hay saw clearly that, as this was not a party delusion, but a national menace, it was for the "honest money" men in both parties to unite and strangle it. His correspondence with Reid grows hot.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CLEVELAND, OHIO,  
24 September, 1875.

... I am in a profound disgust about the campaign here. These bellowing, howling hounds expect to carry the State, and I have not heard of any Democrats who will bolt. I had a talk with Mr. P. the other day. He is for Allen,<sup>1</sup> although he has kept quiet; if Allen is elected, he (Mr. P.) would be in favor of the repeal of the Resumption Law. The *Herald* here is an inflation paper. The *Leader* is as straight as a string — copies the *Tribune* every day.

<sup>1</sup> William Allen, Governor of Ohio, 1874-76.

I do not see that you are called upon to modify your attitude in the least in New York. Bigelow <sup>1</sup> is sure to be elected by a big majority, and the whole ticket. You can say this, and not weep over it, without saying anything against Fred Seward.<sup>2</sup> There have never been two tickets so absolutely irreproachable put before the voters of the State, and the platforms are about as good as they could be made.

Think of this State — with half the Republicans and all the Democrats inflationists at heart, and carrying on a campaign on the bald issue whether the nation shall be a liar and a thief or not.

I don't like the job you propose to me of skinning that skunk.

CLEVELAND, O., October 1, 1875.

. . . I think there is no trouble about the position of the *Tribune*. From now until election-day here, October 12, you can hold your present attitude. If Allen is elected, as I fear, it will then be advisable to make no bones about Pennsylvania, but say distinctly that there is not a ghost of a chance for Hartranft,<sup>3</sup> at the same time keeping up a hot fight against the inflation Democrats. There is really no

<sup>1</sup> John Bigelow, Democratic Secretary of State in New York, 1875-77.

<sup>2</sup> Republican nominee for Secretary of State in New York.

<sup>3</sup> John F. Hartranft, Governor of Pennsylvania, 1873-77.

The bad sign here, and I suppose everywhere, is that the inflationists are loud and bold, and the hard-money men, Democrats and  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Republicans} \\ \text{Inflationists} \end{array} \right\}$  alike, are evasive and cowardly.

In the spring of that year, before the party conventions had nominated, Hay wrote enthusiastically to Reid: —

"If anybody wants a better pair of candidates than Tilden and Blaine, the two most prominent politicians of the two parties, he must wait till he gets to heaven — and finds an absolute monarchy. Better men than these are not given to Republics." (April 21, 1876.)

Blaine was set aside by the Republicans, because of charges that he had used his position as Speaker to enrich himself. The proofs against him were his own letters; and although then and later he tried to explain away sentences which to posterity can have only one meaning, he never compassed his frantic desire to be President. In spite of proofs, however, many of his adherents refused to believe him guilty. Hay too was among the loyal; but in the campaign he gave his allegiance to Hayes, whom the Republicans chose in Blaine's stead.

He writes from Cleveland to Reid, on November 13, 1876: —

"I believe I won't say anything about election. I think the *Tribune* has nothing to regret except a few digs at Uncle Sam [Tilden] which were not quite fair, and your article on Wells, David A. Which — well — hold on — let bygones be buried with the decomposed past!

"Give my love to Taylor and Bromley, and tell them to sling a column and a half or so, in memory

of one who wishes he was back at his desk — *Quid rides?*”

In a letter to Mr. Howells, who had written a campaign biography of the Republican candidate, Hay speaks without reserve. His mention of Civil Service Reform reminds us of the beginnings of a movement for political purification of which David A. Wells, referred to in the preceding extract, was one of the bravest promoters. The “herd of wild asses’ colts” were Republicans, hungry for office, a fact to which Hay’s Republicanism did not blind him.

*To W. D. Howells*

CLEVELAND, O., February 20, 1877.

I thank you for my share of the “Life of Hayes.” It cheered and comforted me a good deal. The Governor’s conduct for the last year has been a complete confirmation of all you said. I liked Tilden very much, — voted for him for Governor, — the only Democratic vote I ever cast. I did not vote for Greeley; but I never allowed myself to expect as much from any man as I feel forced now to hold from Hayes. We are in a bad way. That herd of wild asses’ colts in Washington, braying and kicking up their heels, is an unsatisfactory result of a hundred years of Democracy. Of course I do not expect from Mr. Hayes a reform of the Civil Service. It is too



much for any man to accomplish. Human nature and free suffrage are against it. But he can and will, I feel sure, chasten the outrageous indecency of the present system as much as any one could. . . .

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CLEVELAND, November 26, 1877.

. . . I do not envy your feelings when you see who the successor of S. will be. I have carefully considered your objections to him. You evidently don't believe the shoddy or gun-stories, — no more than I do. But you are agin him because he gives good dinners, and sometimes invites Democrats. This and the other infamy, that Mrs. S. once gave some private theatricals and reserved a few seats for the Diplomatic Corps, cooked his goose. Now this fixes you also. I have had good dinners at your house — there were Democrats present, — all the seats were reserved!! Good-bye, sweet prince, you can never be a foreign minister!

On the other hand, the *Tribune* can feel cocky no-end over Platt and Conkling,<sup>1</sup> and thank heaven that the unclean things have never had its good word. How Nemesis has been sloshing around during the last year or two! Only she will be off duty when Butler<sup>2</sup> takes his seat in the Senate.

<sup>1</sup> T. C. Platt and Roscoe Conkling, New York politicians.

<sup>2</sup> Matthew C. Butler, of South Carolina.

Hay's regard for President Hayes deepened as he watched that conscientious chief magistrate — too often set down as mediocre, but conscience in high public station is never mediocre — strive to give the country a worthy administration. He himself was becoming the confidant of some of the leaders of the party, and as his acquaintance was equally extensive in both Ohio and New York, the two States which carried more politics *per capita* than any others, he enjoyed the best opportunity for observing what was going on behind the scenes. His frequent visits to Illinois extended his political knowledge to the third pivotal State.

During the campaign of 1879 he spoke several times in behalf of the Republican candidates. Speech-making, even when he had his manuscript before him, was always an ordeal. In composing, he alternated between buoyancy and depression: first, the hot fit, when ideas flamed into his mind; then, the cold fit, when he read over what he had written and the words seemed gray and bleak and cold. He suffered by anticipation the misery of stage-fright. But once on the platform, although nervous to the end, he rarely failed to win his audience. This success came always as a surprise to him, and he used to chronicle it in his notes to his friends, not out of conceit, but as a bit of unexpected news which might

surprise them too. "Luckily," he once said, "the shakes go to my knees and not to my voice."

*To Whitelaw Reid*

CLEVELAND, O., August 20, 1879.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE TRIBUNE: —

*Into whose hands these lines may fall*, greeting:

If it is Mr. Reid, hail! and welcome back to civilization!

If it is Lloyd or Nicholson, or some other d—d literary feller —

I wish you would help our Shermanizing a little by sticking into your able and leading pages somewhere the ¶ between the red lines.

I made this speech last night in the strongest Democratic ward of Cleveland to an audience nearly half Democrats, and there was nothing but approval manifested.

Yours in humble expectation,

HAY,

Reformed *Tribune* Man.

August 25, 1879.

. . . As you never read anything but proofs, perhaps the form in which this oration is printed may induce you to cast your eye over it. I am going to say it to a big crowd at North Solon day after to-

narrow — *the* Pioneers' Reunion, — all others are spurious.

We are having a red hot canvass, — our side especially; I am invited to make four speeches this week, and am not on any Committee's list either. I shall try it a little — slowly and gently at first, and find out whether I can. I don't call it making a speech unless a fellow can bore his audience heartily and thoroughly for an hour, without having written a word of it beforehand — like William Allen and sich.

October 6, 1879.

. . . I am making a speech nearly every night. Here is my last, made on the Square Saturday night to 5000 people, by the Brush light. Tell Mr. Phelps he bullied me into it last spring.

October 15, 1879.

. . . I left the house early last night, and spent the evening at the Globe Theatre, hearing the returns [of the Ohio election]. . . .

Is n't it a frightful thing to think of, that half the people of Ohio vote so wickedly and blindly in favor of inflation and ruin, not to speak of nullification and other things? With all our tremendous work this summer, we have only a majority of two per cent. On such an issue we ought to have had a hundred

thousand. But I suppose the Democratic Party is our Evil — our virtue is developed by fighting it.

The next extract is interesting.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

November 3, 1879.

. . . Mr. Evarts has written me a most urgent and kind letter — but I have declined the place.

It now looks as if I could get the nomination for Congress, and I find, to my amazement, that I don't want it. This discovery strikes me dumb.

Mr. Evarts, then Secretary of State, had, in fact, invited Hay to become Assistant Secretary. The offer was unexpected, the position attractive, but Hay decided that he ought to decline.

*To William M. Evarts*

506 EUCLID AVENUE, CLEVELAND, O.,  
October 28, 1879.

DEAR MR. EVARTS: —

I have your letter of the 24th and I cannot express the sentiments of gratification with which I have read it. To be offered the privilege of succeeding Mr. Seward <sup>1</sup> as Assistant Secretary of State is an

<sup>1</sup> Frederick W. Seward, son of Lincoln's Secretary of State.

honor as far beyond my ambition as it is beyond my merits, and the generous courtesy with which you urge my acceptance of it, doubles the value of the offer. It is therefore with the greatest reluctance and with positive pain that I bring myself to say that I cannot assume the duties of this position which would otherwise be to me the most agreeable in the gift of the Government. Interests which I cannot disregard, make it impossible for me to be away from Cleveland this winter.

I hope you will permit me to say that the keenest regret I feel in declining this position, is for the loss of the pleasure and benefit which I should derive from daily association with yourself.

Begging that you will convey to the President my profound appreciation of the honor he and you have conferred upon me, and my sincere regret that it is impossible for me to avail myself of it,

I remain, my dear Mr. Evarts,

Faithfully yours,

JOHN HAY.

Mr. Evarts, however, was not to be gainsaid. Reinforcing his own urgency with that of Whitelaw Reid and of other friends, he soon had the pleasure of seeing Hay installed next door to himself in the State Department. There is little to record of Hay's

thousand. But I suppose the Democratic Party is our Evil — our virtue is developed by fighting it.

The next extract is interesting.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

November 3, 1879.

. . . Mr. Evarts has written me a most urgent and kind letter — but I have declined the place.

It now looks as if I could get the nomination for Congress, and I find, to my amazement, that I don't want it. This discovery strikes me dumb.

Mr. Evarts, then Secretary of State, had, in fact, invited Hay to become Assistant Secretary. The offer was unexpected, the position attractive, but Hay decided that he ought to decline.

*To William M. Evarts*

506 EUCLID AVENUE, CLEVELAND, O.,

October 28, 1879.

DEAR MR. EVARTS: —

I have your letter of the 24th and I cannot express the sentiments of gratification with which I have read it. To be offered the privilege of succeeding Mr. Seward <sup>1</sup> as Assistant Secretary of State is an

<sup>1</sup> Frederick W. Seward, son of Lincoln's Secretary of State.

honor as far beyond my ambition as it is beyond my merits, and the generous courtesy with which you urge my acceptance of it, doubles the value of the offer. It is therefore with the greatest reluctance and with positive pain that I bring myself to say that I cannot assume the duties of this position which would otherwise be to me the most agreeable in the gift of the Government. Interests which I cannot disregard, make it impossible for me to be away from Cleveland this winter.

I hope you will permit me to say that the keenest regret I feel in declining this position, is for the loss of the pleasure and benefit which I should derive from daily association with yourself.

Begging that you will convey to the President my profound appreciation of the honor he and you have conferred upon me, and my sincere regret that it is impossible for me to avail myself of it,

I remain, my dear Mr. Evarts,

Faithfully yours,

JOHN HAY.

Mr. Evarts, however, was not to be gainsaid. Reinforcing his own urgency with that of Whitelaw Reid and of other friends, he soon had the pleasure of seeing Hay installed next door to himself in the State Department. There is little to record of Hay's



specific work as Assistant Secretary, and the diplomatic questions then up do not concern us here. He performed his duties satisfactorily to Mr. Evarts, for whom he kept through life an affectionate admiration. It was diamond cut diamond when they had a friendly interchange of wit.<sup>1</sup>

In Hay's development the main thing to notice is that the year and a half he spent in the State Department taught him the routine of that office, familiarized him with the methods of diplomacy, and introduced him to new groups, native and foreign.

At the same time with Mr. Evarts's invitation came the suggestion from Hay's friends in Cleveland that he should enter Congress. No doubt he had cherished that idea; but being both temperamentally shy and too dignified to pound his way into any office, he hesitated.

"The Congress matter," he wrote Reid on October 21, 1879, "is not so simple as my high-toned friends think. All Euclid Avenue<sup>2</sup> says with one accord that I am the man, but E. A., with all its millions and its tone, does not influence a single primary, and there are four or five other candidates, who are all more

<sup>1</sup> I am assured that it was Hay and not Evarts who replied, when an English visitor at Mount Vernon asked, "Really, now, George Washington could not have thrown a dollar across the Potomac, could he?" "Why not? He threw a sovereign across the Atlantic." I have no means of verifying this.

<sup>2</sup> The fashionable street of Cleveland.

or less strong with the 'boys.' I have not yet made up my mind whether to try for it or not."

When it appeared, however, that the Republican managers favored him he consented to enter the lists. The district in question was so solidly Republican that a nomination meant an election. According to the simple system prevailing there, — and still in happy operation elsewhere, — for providing a docile people with mayors, governors, judges, and congressmen, the candidate needed only to pay to the party managers the price they demanded, and they relieved him of further anxiety. After election, while they divided and spent the spoils, he did his patriotic duty, care-free, in the office which they delivered to him.

The assessment levied on Hay was, apparently, twenty thousand dollars, an amount which he could not pay himself and which, if he had had it, he might have felt scruples against paying: for the transaction, no matter who acquiesces in it, comes down to the bald purchase of office. So the committee visited Mr. Stone, and after congratulating him on the shining honor about to grace his son-in-law, they hinted to him that nothing remained to close the bargain except a check.

"Not a dollar shall you have of me" — or words to that effect, put even more emphatically — was

the old gentleman's uncompromising reply. Perhaps the shrewd millionaire suspected that the whole affair was a ruse for tapping his barrel rather than for honoring his son-in-law. In any case he could not be moved, and no other friends or political admirers came forward. The project simmered through the winter; then the managers discovered that Hay was unavailable, and he withdrew.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

WASHINGTON, March 31, 1880.

I ought to let you know as soon as any one else that I have definitely resolved not to run for Congress. I do not want it, and at last know that I do not.

I think I could be nominated, and the nearness of the prospect set me to thinking of it harder than ever, — with this result. I have written to my friends to put an end to the matter.

We must regret that he was deprived of experience in Congress, the only field in his apprenticeship as a statesman in which he lacked first-hand training. A few years' service in the lower House, or a single term as Senator, would not only have rounded out his otherwise extraordinary equipment, but would have given him the understanding which comes only

from fellowship with the very men who were later to sit in judgment on his statecraft. Journalism teaches its practitioner policies, party methods and interests, and the ways of individual politicians; but the commanding editor is, properly, a critic. Between the critic and the doer a gulf, wide and rarely bridged, is set. So, too, the ambassador or the cabinet officer, far from sympathizing with the Congressman's point of view, is unavoidably, from his position, in danger of misjudging it. The executive branch regards the legislative as meddlesome if not actually antagonistic. To his detriment, therefore, was Hay shut out from legislative training.

If he felt chagrin at being dropped, he soon got over it, as this letter to Mr. Howells testifies.

*To W. D. Howells*

WASHINGTON, D.C., May 24, 1880.

Thanks for M.'s letter, which I return. His idea is as judicious as it is daring. A club which would hold him and you and me, and then reach out for H. etc., — and still keep modest, — staggers and fatigues the faculty of wonder. I wish he and you would come down here and hold the first meeting of three at my house.

I feel what the French call a deaf rage when I think of your having spent a week in Washington, and

my not having seen Mrs. Howells at all, and you only a minute. If I were a saint, it would be enough for me to know that you had a pleasant visit yourselves; but I cannot help feeling like the Dutchman who, when he was in the calaboose and heard from a later arrival of an uproarious spree the night before when hogsheads of lager were drunk and two men killed, — sighed with soft regret — “And I was not dere.”

I did not get the chance I wanted to avow my sin and ask absolution. I have positively and definitely given up Congress, and I shall hold no more office after next March. I think there is no such Apples-of-Sodom fruit in the world, and I am out, finally, as soon as I can get away. I would give a pot of money to get out to-day, — nothing but my personal regard for Mr. Evarts keeps me through the administration. Yet this is the pleasantest place in the government, and I like and respect the principal people in office, — which makes an *a fortiori* case against anything else. . . .

The contest between Garfield and Hancock in 1880 should have been fought on the issue of Protection, but the Democrats resorted to strategic retreats which landed them far in the rear. Hay of course supported General Garfield, and how great

a value the candidate set upon his advice is plain in the following letters: —

*To General James A. Garfield*

1400 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., WASHINGTON,  
Oct. 18, 1880.

DEAR GENERAL GARFIELD: —

I did not come down on you while I was at Cleveland, simply because I felt that the truest service I could render would be to stay away — but as it will not take a minute of your time to read this note, I write it to offer my congratulations from the bottom of my heart. I believe that you will carry every Northern State and will go into the Presidency with the most magnificent moral backing any one has had in our time. I know you will feel no selfish gratification in this, but your opportunities for good will be incalculable. Great things are to happen under your administration. It would be an impertinence for me to intrude upon the high subjects that must now be occupying your mind. But even at the risk of seeming presumptuous I will rid myself of this word which has positively haunted me for a week. Beware of your own generosity! On the 2d of November, *you* ("not Launcelot nor another") are to be made our President. I believe it is to be an administration full of glory and benefit to the country — and it will

be glorious and fruitful just in the proportion that it is your own. You do not need the whispered admonition of the ancient monarchs, "Remember thou art mortal." It will pay you to keep a cheap friend to drone continually in your ear, "It was *you* who were nominated at Chicago and elected by the people."

Soon after his election, General Garfield proposed making Hay his private secretary and assigning to the post a greater distinction than it had had, so that he would have ranked with members of the Cabinet. Having deputed Whitelaw Reid to sound him, and having had no answer, General Garfield wrote direct. To this letter Hay replied: —

*To General Garfield*

1400 MASSACHUSETTS AVE.,  
Christmas Day, 1880.

I received several days ago from Whitelaw Reid an intimation of what you were thinking of for me, and I immediately wrote to him expressing my deep sense of the honor done me by such a thought, and the sincere regret I felt that it was not in my power to take the place. I agree with you in regarding the position as one of the greatest importance and shall always be proud that you thought of me in connection with it.

Although my letter to Reid was confidential, I thought he would communicate to you the purport of it, but I infer from yours of the 20th that he has not done so.

I have carried your letter in my pocket and the contents of it in my head and my heart, for several days, with the most earnest desire to catch myself in such a state of mind that I might write and tell you I would undertake the important and honorable duty you offer me. But I cannot delay my answer any longer, and so must say how sorry I am that I cannot see the way clear to doing it. If I could share your own view of my fitness for the place I should be inclined to sacrifice all other considerations and go to work. But I am not.

To do a thing well a man must take some pleasure in it, and while the prospect of spending a year or so in intimate relations with you and Mrs. Garfield offers a temptation which is almost more than I can resist, the other half of the work, the contact with the greed and selfishness of office-seekers and bulldozing Congressmen, is unspeakably repulsive to me. It caused me last spring to refuse, definitely and forever, to run for Congress. It has poisoned all of the pleasure I should otherwise have derived from a conscientious and not unsuccessful discharge of my duties in the State Department. The constant contact



with envy, meanness, ignorance, and the swinish selfishness which ignorance breeds, needs a stronger heart and a more obedient nervous system than I can boast. I am not going back on Democracy. It is a good thing — the hope and salvation of the world. I mean simply that I am not fit for public office. You will find some one, I am sure, who can do these things much better than I could, and will take pleasure in them as well.

All through the heats of last summer I looked forward to the 4th of March as the day of my deliverance. I promised my family, I promised Mr. Stone, who at considerable inconvenience has taken care of my affairs, that I would come home at that time — and although I know that he would acquiesce cheerfully in anything I should do, I should feel some remorse in breaking up the family arrangements for the coming summer. I do not know that I have much hope of ever improving my health, but the doctors give me the usual futile assurances that I will be better out of Washington in the summer time.

I did not mean to make a long letter of this, but the signal honor you have done me in selecting me for the place of the Government nearest yourself, has deeply touched me and I could not acknowledge it by a simple refusal. I felt that I ought to tell you some of my reasons for declining, although they are

of a sort that a man of your firm and even character may think trivial and not entirely creditable to me.

There is work for all of us during the next four years, and though you are to have the great rôle, all men of good-will can help more or less. I shall do my share in Cleveland, and now that I am cured of my momentary error about going to Congress, I can do better work than I have ever done before. I shall have a good deal of leisure and shall always be at your service for anything — "except these bonds."

Mrs. Hay sends her regards to Mrs. Garfield. I have of course talked fully with her. She saw both sides of the question, but resolutely refused to assist me in the decision.

Sincerely and gratefully yours.

Evidently General Garfield continued to urge: for Hay soon sent this second letter: —

WASHINGTON, D.C., December 31, 1880.

DEAR GENERAL: —

I have given strict and earnest thought to the matter of your offer all this week, but I cannot see any reason to change my mind. Every word I have heard was in favor of accepting, at first, but in every case, — Reid, Mr. Sherman, Mr. Stone and my wife, agreed with me in the end. Even Nichol — that

with envy, meanness, ignorance, and the swinish selfishness which ignorance breeds, needs a stronger heart and a more obedient nervous system than I can boast. I am not going back on Democracy. It is a good thing — the hope and salvation of the world. I mean simply that I am not fit for public office. You will find some one, I am sure, who can do these things much better than I could, and will take pleasure in them as well.

All through the heats of last summer I looked forward to the 4th of March as the day of my deliverance. I promised my family, I promised Mr. Stone, who at considerable inconvenience has taken care of my affairs, that I would come home at that time — and although I know that he would acquiesce cheerfully in anything I should do, I should feel some remorse in breaking up the family arrangements for the coming summer. I do not know that I have much hope of ever improving my health, but the doctors give me the usual futile assurances that I will be better out of Washington in the summer time.

I did not mean to make a long letter of this, but the signal honor you have done me in selecting me for the place of the Government nearest yourself, has deeply touched me and I could not acknowledge it by a simple refusal. I felt that I ought to tell you some of my reasons for declining, although they are

of a sort that a man of your firm and even character may think trivial and not entirely creditable to me.

There is work for all of us during the next four years, and though you are to have the great rôle, all men of good-will can help more or less. I shall do my share in Cleveland, and now that I am cured of my momentary error about going to Congress, I can do better work than I have ever done before. I shall have a good deal of leisure and shall always be at your service for anything — “except these bonds.”

Mrs. Hay sends her regards to Mrs. Garfield. I have of course talked fully with her. She saw both sides of the question, but resolutely refused to assist me in the decision.

Sincerely and gratefully yours.

Evidently General Garfield continued to urge: for Hay soon sent this second letter: —

WASHINGTON, D.C., December 31, 1880.

DEAR GENERAL: —

I have given strict and earnest thought to the matter of your offer all this week, but I cannot see any reason to change my mind. Every word I have heard was in favor of accepting, at first, but in every case, — Reid, Mr. Sherman, Mr. Stone and my wife, agreed with me in the end. Even Nichol — that

*Justus et tenax propositi vir* — gave up the fight this morning after a campaign of as faithful work as I ever saw. I have myself been on the affirmative side in all my wishes and desires — but the reason, I feel sure, is on the other. I *know* I should not be the help to you which you have thought, but I should be, in the White House, the source of many embarrassments and complications.

I deeply regret that I am compelled to decline this most agreeable and honorable service. I wish I could make you see that I do it in your interests more than in my own. I have had to resist constantly the temptation offered by the pleasures and enjoyments which such a position promises. But regretting all this as I do, I know that my decision is right.

There are many things in which no man can serve you. There are paths which you must traverse absolutely alone. The solitude which seems to you a penalty of your high office, you will find a blessing which can only be gained by wrestling. The footpad, the cut-purse, and the sycophant will always be ready to crowd their company on you. You will find reserve only among honest men.

I wish I could save you one moment of annoyance or perplexity but it is hardly possible that anyone can do that. It is a comfort to know you go into the Presidency with the best equipment possible.

Besides the qualities which are personal to you, you know more of the past and present of government, more history and more politics, than any man since the younger Adams, and you are free from his peculiar infirmities of temper, which so narrowed and distorted his views. "One thing thou lackest yet"; and that is a slight ossification of the heart. I woe-fully fear you will try too hard to make everybody happy — an office which is outside of your constitutional powers. Confine your efforts in that direction to Mrs. Garfield and the children. As for other matters, do as you think right, and it will be right nine times in ten and not far wrong the tenth time, though the heathen rage and the people imagine a vain thing.

Mrs. Hay joins me in wishing all good things for you and Mrs. Garfield.

Faithfully yours.

The President-elect ran into foreboding squalls in attempting to form his Cabinet. The penalty which a party long in the ascendant must pay is discord: and the Republicans were now split into several factions which either professed mutually conflicting principles or rallied to the standards of rival leaders. Blaine and Conkling captained the two largest divisions of the party; but several of the States — New York, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, to

name no more — boasted their local heads, as proud and as grasping as chieftains of Scottish clans. It was Garfield's business to endeavor to harmonize the dissidents: but harmony could mean only the preference of one faction to the other; and as he had been elected by the forces which united to defeat Grant's nomination for a third term, — the forces led by Blaine, — he unavoidably promoted Blaine, and, in order to requite the Independents who had contributed to his victory, he appointed two of them — Wayne MacVeagh and Thomas L. James — to his Cabinet.

Stung at being passed by, Conkling and his faction swore vengeance, which they believed they were strong enough to carry out. Garfield, the good-natured, still sought to temporize, in the hope of making everybody happy; and besides the sullen "Stalwarts," he had to appease the usual party war-horses — privateers in quest of any office, who were braying lustily for fodder.

*To President-Elect Garfield*

1400 MASSACHUSETTS AVE.,  
February 20, 1881.

DEAR GENERAL: —

The rumors of the last day or two have been very disturbing — but I have no doubt that we will have "clear or clearing weather" next week.

I write because you told me to — not because I think you need this continual buzzing. I suppose no man in America saw more clearly than you did the prodigious importance of the omens in the Philadelphia and Pittsburg elections. The Ring is broken; it can still nominate, but it cannot possibly elect. The course you hinted at will satisfy every exigency in Pennsylvania. Illinois and the great "Stalwart" influence of the Northwest is already as good as secured, I imagine. Your enemy is only threatening in one direction, and only threatening there so long as his hostility is masked.

It is again reported from Ohio that Foster<sup>1</sup> is to go into the Cabinet or abroad. I think all the most judicious men in the State would regret either course. This restlessness of our leading men is a great evil. It seems impossible for a leading Republican ever to stay where he is put, or to go into private life. I speak only as an Ohio Republican, without any wishes for myself or any other man, desiring only the success of your administration, when I say it seems to me impossible that any Ohio man should *now* go into your Cabinet — unless you should think it necessary after all to retain Sherman.<sup>2</sup> And you know what that would be.

<sup>1</sup> Charles Foster, an Ohio politician; later Governor of the State.

<sup>2</sup> Senator John Sherman.



Every other consideration is unimportant compared with the advantage of having a Cabinet of good men. That recommends itself. Factions and localities are of infinitely little moment compared with that single consideration.

But I am wasting your time with platitudes. You are walking with your "head in a cloud of poisonous flies," and we cannot resist the temptation of taking a flick at them now and then.

Our hearts, our hopes are all with you. We are eager to see you here, and the administration launched.

Yours with respect and affection.

John Hay went from the State Department to the editor's office of the *New York Tribune*. Under any circumstances to plunge into such work would have been formidable; for Hay the task was doubly hard because he took it up at the time when the Republican tempest broke. Conkling resigned his United States Senatorship in rage because Garfield ignored his candidates. His colleague — Thomas C. Platt, then a political cipher — nicknamed "Me Too" Platt for his apathy — followed suit. But, contrary to Conkling's expectations, the sun rose as usual the next morning, the Government at Washington pursued its diurnal routine, and even in New York

State there were few who lost either sleep or appetite over the theatrical resignations.

The open warfare between the factions laid an additional burden of responsibility on Editor Hay. He could not be sure that he was running the *Tribune* in each crisis as Whitelaw Reid would have done, and therefore he wisely concluded to run it as seemed best to himself. By common consent the editorial page was never more vigorous. Somebody with a taste for epigrams said: "The rule of the paper under Reid was that of whips, while with Hay it was that of scorpions." Assuredly, Hay did not spare the enemies of the Administration, and among his many talents that of invective was not the least. The members of the editorial staff found him strict in requiring punctuality and in judging the quality of their work, but always friendly and reasonable. An eminent journalist wrote of him after his death, that "he was like father, brother, philosopher, guide, and friend, all in one."

*To Whitelaw Reid*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE  
NEW YORK, May 26, 1881.

So you are married one month from to-day and I am Editor of the *Tribune ad interim* the same length of time. I hope your experience has been less

stormy and more amusing than mine. What a time we have had! <sup>1</sup> I do not regret it in the least — as a fight like this has been a godsend in what would otherwise have been a dull season. I think we have got on very well — behind none of them in news and out of sight in editorial. I will not indulge in prophecy with half a dozen cables between us, but to speak of certainties, Roscoe [Conkling] is finished. That Olympian brow will never again garner up the thousands of yore. Of course we shall have a bad state of things for a while and shall almost certainly lose the State next fall. But that will be after your return, and I can charge it to *my* leaving the *Tribune*.

The whole thing has been a freak of insanity on the part of a man who has lost sight of his true relations with the rest of the world. It was the logical result of the personality of Conkling and the workings of the Boss system.

Schurz <sup>2</sup> begins his editorial work on the *Post* to-day with a long, serious leader on civil service reform.

Miss R. is, I think, looking better than when you left. She plays the banjo and piano — rides and receives visits and seems very gay and happy.

<sup>1</sup> The fight over the appointment of a Collector of the Port of New York.

<sup>2</sup> E. L. Godkin, Carl Schurz, and Horace White were joint editors of the *New York Evening Post*.

So far nothing has happened over here to disturb your equanimity or cloud your honeymoon. Enjoy yourself as much as possible. I think we can keep the ship off the rocks.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE, N.Y.  
June 29, 1881.

... I think there is nothing over here which need trouble you. The *Tribune* seems to suit everybody but the ungodly. Hugh Hastings goes for me every day in eight or ten places, but as it amuses him, and I have adopted my great patent remedy of not reading him, I only know it from Bishop and Miss Hutchinson, who come in to console me when he is unusually violent, — and so I do not object to it. The *Chicago Tribune* had a lot of filthy little digs at both you and me, till I frankly asked Joe Medill to put a stop to it, which he did. It was the volunteer malignity of some "funny man" who wanted a "shining mark." That is to me one of the most curious things in our journalism — the way a man who has never seen you and knows nothing about you, will take a furious antipathy to you and blackguard you for months together, without letting up.

On July 2, President Garfield was shot by the half-crazy Guiteau. Throughout the summer he hung

between life and death. Outwardly, there was more moderation in the virulence of the political quarrelers; but they knew that at the President's death the flames would burst out afresh.

*To Whitelaw Reid*

NEW YORK TRIBUNE, N.Y.  
September 14, 1881.

I am glad to hear from you once in a while, and the long intervals only serve to convince me that you are having too good a time to bother about writing letters. Enjoy every moment of the time, for it will never come back again, and though you are, I trust, to have many long years of married happiness, you will never have the first year over again. Mrs. Hay and I are very anxious to go to Europe next year, but we hardly dare promise ourselves that we will go, because of the three small people whom we cannot leave behind, and to take whom will be a constant source of anxiety.

I am getting on so far toward the end of my inter-  
im-ity that I am comparatively easy about the rest of it. I do not see that I have made any serious mistakes. Thurlow Weed paid me the high compliment the other day of saying that he was a little afraid at first I would not know the State well enough, but that he had long ago forgotten that I was not a New

Yorker. Of course the credit of it is mostly due to the staff — but I have paid great attention and killed a good deal of matter which might have been embarrassing. I have as far as possible steered clear of rows without making the paper seem feeble. If it had been my paper I would have taken the hide off two or three blackguards — but I did n't want to commit you to new quarrels. If Garfield lives, I think you will find the paper in excellent position, when you return, to give it any direction you see fit. That has been my special object for the last half of my time.

<sup>i</sup> President Garfield died on September 19. When the Reids returned in October, Hay retired from the *Tribune*.

That the friend and adviser of presidents, the intimate of cabinet ministers and party managers, whose fitness no one denied, should be habitually shut out from public service excites our wonder. When Mr. Evarts sought the most competent Assistant Secretary of State he could think of, he asked John Hay. When the editor of the chief Republican newspaper in the United States sought a substitute for himself, he chose John Hay. Why was no permanent office opened to him?

The hints given in this chapter should help us to a clue. Hay's own remark, in a letter to Whitelaw

Reid, who had been offered and had declined the Berlin mission, will further enlighten us. Apparently, when Reid declined, Hay was "mentioned" for the place.

"I thank you for what you said of me, but — don't grin at this! — Mr. Evarts was right about it. I have not the political standing necessary for the place — neither had Taylor.<sup>1</sup> I tried to say a word to Taylor about it when he was here, but he was deaf to any such considerations. Now you may believe it or not, but I would not accept the mission to Berlin if it were offered to me. I know I am not up to it in many respects. At the same time I am free to say I would like a second-class mission uncommonly well. I think White's<sup>2</sup> appointment an excellent one, though I imagine you don't agree with me on that." (March 30, 1879.)

Hay turned now in earnest to the "Life of Lincoln," on which, as on a giant obelisk, he and Nicolay had been hewing at intervals for a long time past.

<sup>1</sup> Bayard Taylor, appointed Minister to Germany in 1877; died the next year.

<sup>2</sup> Andrew D. White, just appointed Minister to Germany.